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    Gossett. Okay. Come in and have a seat right there.

Okay. I realize I 've only got a
questionnaire and the back of your Summons to go on. But on the questionnaire --

MR. GOSSETT: Sorry for the handwriting.
THE COURT: That's okay. I 'm trying to find the part about PTSD.

MR. PATTON: It's on the other side, your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay.

## BY THE COURT:

Q Have you or anyone close to you ever suffered from post-traumatic stress disorder or any other stress or anxiety-related condition and you marked yes to both.

A Yes.
Q Okay. This case is, as you can imagine, because there's shooting and killing and injuring, we've got part of a 911 tape. We've got some tough stuff we're going to be putting on in the case that's necessary. And first of all, we're not doctors, we're lawyers, but we do no harm to the jurors, okay?

A Sure.
Q So when somebody tells us that, I need to
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THE COURT: Okay. This is what we're going to do.
The attorneys have had a chance to read over the questionnaire, so they have answered a lot of the questions. And rather than just start with the oral questions right away, I think what we'll do is follow up with a couple of you on some of your answers to the written questions. We'll still come back and do the oral questioning, but at least we will have covered that in private.

What we're going to do, the attorneys, if we cram into my chambers it's going to be really crowded. So there's an empty courtroom next door, so I 'm going to use that courtroom.

We're going to set up and we'll follow up with some of the questionnaire answers there, and then come back and do the oral questioning.

Having said all that, while we're doing that, if you need to stand up and stretch or use the washrooms to get some water, do that. Don't disappear, because I don't know how fast this is going to be. It might be pretty quick.

Okay. I 'll go in with the attorneys.
Deputy, I 'll let you know which person to call.
Okay. Who is the first one? Christopher
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know a little bit of information what you feel comfortable telling me in terms of your ability to handle some of that or what it could trigger.

A Sure. My answer to that question was truthful.

Number one, I have been going through like a lot of panic attack and anxiety issues for the past, really the past year and-a-half two years. I just started getting treated for it a few months ago.

So I myself have anxiety and panic attack issues. I wouldn't expect that discussion of this content matter would be necessarily a trigger moreover being around all these people, and kind of the social element is more of a trigger for me.

The second piece is my sister-in-law has been dealing with PTSD for several years. So you said anybody close to me or somebody it said in the form.

Q Sure. It's on the form, right.
A So those were the two reasons I answered affirmative to that question.

Q Okay. Have you ever served as a juror before?

A Never.
Q Okay. So if you got picked as a juror, you
would be with the other jurors and alternates. We have two alternates in this case.

A Mm-hmm.
Q Throughout the course of the trial, taking breaks, you know, sitting in those seats that you're in, taking breaks in the jury room. Is that going to have any impact on your --

A My concern is if I had an episode or a panic
attack, I think it would be quite disruptive to the Court. Typically, when that happens it's take medication wait half-an-hour and kind of I'm sitting here doing one of these. (Indicating.)

Q Okay.
A I don't know if that's cause for me not to serve.

Q I'm trying to find out what a panic attack entails. Is it too embarrassing for you? Is it lengthy? I mean if it's just too much for you, let me know. If you think you can handle it if it only comes in frequently. Let me know.

A It's several times a week, and I have had to walk out of client meetings and leave the office and it's been visible.

Q Okay. Mr. Gossett, okay. Thank you for your
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just wanted to correct that. He didn't shoot an employer, but just -- so you've got a pretty good memory of that, but it's also because you were right downtown as it was happening and heard about it probably as it was happening, or shortly thereafter.

MR. GOSSETT: It was kind of like stay with the client until things are stable.

THE COURT: Okay. Anybody have any questions? No? Okay.

MR. POWERS: Well, I think there will be testimony in this case about people who experience and are experiencing post-trauma. I don't know if that's something that will affect you, because that's an issue for at least one person in this case.

MR. GOSSETT: Listening to somebody else talk about post-traumatic stress disorder is not going to trigger me more than the social element of being on a jury and that kind of thing.

MR. POWERS: I think we don't want it to be a situation where it will trigger an event. Is there a concern on your part that that could happen?

MR. GOSSETT: I think there is a concern that I would have a panic attack, not as a result of the testimony, but I experience it as a result of the
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```
process, which I would potentially be asking for
permission to take a break for a period and would be
disruptive.
    MR. POWERS:Thank you, sir.
    THE COURT: Thank you.
        Who's the next person?
        Okay. This is Ozairuddin Ahmed.
BY THE COURT:
Q You stepped up right before the lunch break and you were explaining that you have -- you tell me, because I can't remember exactly what it was.
A Well, I just mentioned that I'm a student right now and I do have classes Tuesdays and Thursdays from afternoon to about 5:00. That's not a concern because I can speak to my teachers about that I have to fulfill my duty. But I do have a final exam on Tuesday after the Thanksgiving break and as well as the first week of December.
Q Okay. Are you in graduate school? I didn't read all of it.
A No, I'm still undergrad.
Q Undergrad. Okay. All right.
You already told me a lot, but I 'm also
going to follow up, because the attorneys have had a
```

chance over lunch to read through the questionnaires.
A Okay.
Q And you know a tiny bit about this case just from what I told you in the statement, right?

A Right, correct.
Q There's going to be some tough stuff that
comes through in this trial and you've marked that you or a -- that you have suffered post-traumatic stress disorder or some kind of stress anxiety disorder?

A Right, right.
Q The first thing that we want to do is never cause any harm to our jurors, you know?

A Right. When I did circle that I didn't know like there's no like level of severity that I could also include in that. It was just something in the past. It wasn't anything that serious.

Q Okay.
A But I did seek help from a psychologist for about a year.

Q Okay.
A But that was about it.
Q Okay. I think I' $m$ going to end up letting you go take your exams anyway.

A Okay.
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Q But I'm going to ask you to go sit back out there until I formally excuse you, just because I 'm still in this process.

A Right.
Q But just so I'm understanding, even though you marked on question 16 a yes, aside from your school and your exams and things like that, the effect of listening to some tough stuff at a trial and a 911 tape and hearing some rough testimony, that you would be able to handle that?

A Outside of school?
Q Yes.
A Yes.
Q Okay. I'm going to show you back.
THE COURT: Anybody have any follow-up questions?
MR. POWERS: No.
BY THE COURT:
Q Have a seat. We just want to ask you some followup questions.

A Right here?
Q Yes. Good afternoon. This is J orge
Peralta-Miranda. There's a hyphen in there. Okay.
And you wrote on the bottom of this I don't speak English.

A Yes. I'll try to understand, but I really don't. So I'm trying to do everything you say something, so I don't understand.

Q Okay. Let me ask you a few questions.
A Sure.
Q What do you do for a living?
A I work in Bolingbrook.
Q In a boiler room?
A Bolingbrook.
Q In Bolingbrook. And what do you do?
A A welding company. I'm a machine operator.
Q Okay.
A So I've been working there two years.
Q Okay. Your first language is Spanish, right?
A Espanol.
Q Okay. How long have you been in Chicago?
A In Chicago, just three years.
Q And in the United States?
A 15.
Q Okay.
A But I don't feel comfortable to listen you about what you say.

Q Did you feel like you understood most of my questions this morning?
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A Yes. I was trying to understand, but I
can't. So that's what I talked to the guy.
Q Yes. The Deputy. He speaks Spanish. Okay. It's not a complicated trial. There's not a lot of complicated testimony, but it's kind of a long trial, and there are a lot of attorneys who are going to be speaking and a lot of witnesses who are going to be speaking. And I don't have control. You know, witnesses, I don't know, so I don't have control over how quickly they speak or how loudly they speak or how clearly they speak.

You're under oath. You're telling me you don't understand English well. Are you going to be able to keep up with this trial if you get picked as a juror?

A I don't think so.
Q You don't think so. I 'm going to let the attorneys ask you some followup questions if they have any.

MR. MOTZ: I don't have any questions.
THE COURT: Okay. I 'm going to ask you to have a seat back where you were sitting, because we're calling in people one-by-one that we have questions about. I'll show you how to get back over there.

| 1 | MR. MOTZ: Your Honor, are we doing the close | 1 | some 911 tapes. And the last thing anybody wants to do |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | family members that have it, close friends, or just the | 2 | here is cause any of the jurors any kind of problems. |
| 3 | people that have it? | 3 | A Yes. So I suffer from anxiety. I'm |
| 4 | MR. POWERS: The people that have it. Everybody | 4 | currently going through marriage counseling and I'm in |
| 5 | knows somebody that have some kind of issues. | 5 | the process of potentially ending my marriage right |
| 6 | MR. MOTZ: I 'm just clarifying. | 6 | now. So I see both an individual therapist and a |
| 7 | THE COURT: Allison Thompson. | 7 | marital therapist for that because I suffer from |
| 8 | BY THE COURT: | 8 | anxiety from that. |
| 9 | Q Okay. You go to Minneapolis Monday through | 9 | The both was from my husband's cousin was |
| 10 | Thursday. You're a management consultant. You have a | 10 | a former mar reason who served in Fallujah and does |
| 11 | team. You have to talk to the team members to see if | 11 | suffer from PTSD. |
| 12 | they could possibly meet with your client without you | 12 | Q I'm sorry you're going through so much. |
| 13 | that was a little bit left in the air, right? | 13 | A Thank you. |
| 14 | A I'm supposed to be leading training sessions | 14 | Q That's got to be tough. You've got a lot |
| 15 | for the next two weeks after Thanksgiving. | 15 | going on. |
| 16 | Q Okay. | 16 | A Yes, there's a lot going on. |
| 17 | A So that's not something my team members can | 17 | Q So it's not necessarily the testimony that |
| 18 | do without me. When you said December 12th, that was | 18 | would be tough that would trigger something, it's just |
| 19 | where the red flags went up for me. | 19 | everything else going on in your life? |
| 20 | I 've served on a jury before and it was a | 20 | A Yes. I have a lot to balance right now. |
| 21 | day and-a-half trial. Something over the next day | 21 | THE COURT: Okay. Anybody have any follow-up |
| 22 | and-a-half, I feel like I could probably get coverage | 22 | questions? |
| 23 | for, but for the duration of the trial, from what you | 23 | MR. POWERS: Miss Thompson, I take it with trying |
| 24 | said, that would be impossible to be covered. | 24 | to get coverage for work involves currently you're |
|  | Page 14 |  | Page 16 |
| 1 | Q Okay. And I did put it out a little bit | 1 | going through marital issues, as well as the anxiety |
| 2 | longer than the attorneys think. Is it the 12th, or is | 2 | issues which you've told us about, it would be |
| 3 | it that whole week? | 3 | difficult to concentrate on this particular case, given |
| 4 | A It's the whole two weeks following | 4 | all that's going on in your life as you mentioned. |
| 5 | Thanksgiving. | 5 | MS. THOMPSON: Yes, that's correct. |
| 6 | Q The whole two weeks. | 6 | THE COURT: Anything? |
| 7 | A I'm supposed to be leading training sessions. | 7 | MR. MOTZ: No. |
| 8 | And I know this is a confidential space, and my client | 8 | THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to walk you back over |
| 9 | is General Mills, and so I have to be leading training | 9 | there. I'm going to ask you to sit where you're |
| 10 | sessions for that company. | 10 | sitting back in the courtroom. |
| 11 | THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else have any | 11 | MS. THOMPSON: Okay. |
| 12 | follow-ups? | 12 | BY THE COURT: |
| 13 | MR. POWERS: Your Honor, I think there's a PTSD | 13 | Q This is Mr. Horsman? |
| 14 | issue as well. | 14 | A Yes. |
| 15 | THE COURT: I didn't see it. Okay. That's right. | 15 | Q Mr. Horsman, I'm sorry your son has to |
| 16 | BY THE COURT: | 16 | undergo surgery, and I didn't want to discuss it out |
| 17 | Q So the attorneys have had a chance to study | 17 | there in front of everybody. Tell me a little bit |
| 18 | these over lunch. And you marked yes both on the PTSD | 18 | about what's going on. |
| 19 | or anxiety or stress-related condition. Let me just | 19 | A Yes. So it's an undescended testicle |
| 20 | follow up with you on that since you already know from | 20 | basically, so it wasn't all the way up in the stomach, |
| 21 | that little snippet that we told you in the statement | 21 | which was good the doctor found it a little bit lower. |
| 22 | of facts, this is going to be a tough case. | 22 | So he has to basically do an incision and bring it down |
| 23 | A Yes. | 23 | and do that. |
| 24 | Q There's going to be some tough testimony and | 24 | Q This is a baby? |
|  | Page 15 |  | Page 17 |
|  |  |  | 5 (Pages 14 to 17) |
| SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY <br> (312) 782-4705 |  |  |  |



| 1 | MR. POWERS: I think we're agreed. | 1 | A Yes. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. MOTZ: We're agreed. | 2 | Q Is there anything about yourself you need to |
| 3 | THE COURT: I think I'll let them know right now, | 3 | tell us that would impact your ability to serve as a |
| 4 | get them out of here. Let me pull the cards. | 4 | juror on this case if you're picked? |
| 5 | We'll see how quickly the rest of them can | 5 | A Not that I can think of. |
| 6 | come up with -- okay. | 6 | Q Okay. If you think of something, let us |
| 7 | Okay. So I will excuse these people and | 7 | know. We've got the questionnaire which is why my |
| 8 | then we'll start the oral questioning, right? | 8 | questions are short, because the attorneys have had a |
| 9 | MR. POWERS: Yes. | 9 | chance to study them. But if there's anything you want |
| 10 | THE COURT: Thank you, guys. | 10 | to add or you can think of, just raise your hand and |
| 11 | Mr. Ozairuddin, Mr. Christopher Gossett | 11 | let me know. |
| 12 | and Mr. Peralta-Miranda, thank you so much. You're | 12 | BY THE COURT: |
| 13 | being excused from serving on this jury. You'll need | 13 | Q Diana Cornejo, is that right? |
| 14 | to see the Sheriff's Deputy in the hallway. | 14 | A Yes. |
| 15 | Okay. I'm going to ask you some quick | 15 | Q Okay. Good afternoon. Housing counselor for |
| 16 | questions here, folks. And then the attorneys are | 16 | Cook County Housing Authority. Okay. Do you have any |
| 17 | going to ask you follow-up questions. Okay? | 17 | legal training? |
| 18 | BY THE COURT: | 18 | A No. I've taken a paralegal course. That's |
| 19 | Q Mr. J ames Lavan? | 19 | about it. |
| 20 | A Yes. | 20 | Q Okay. Anybody close to you, your immediate |
| 21 | Q You're a recruiter for Guaranteed Rate? | 21 | family have any legal training? |
| 22 | A Yes. | 22 | A My daughter is a paralegal. |
| 23 | Q What is that? | 23 | Q Who is? |
| 24 | A It's a mortgage company. | 24 | A My daughter. |
|  | Page 22 |  | Page 24 |
| 1 | Q A mortgage company. Okay. Have you ever | 1 | Q Okay. Who does she work for? |
| 2 | been a party to a lawsuit? | 2 | A Allstate Insurance. |
| 3 | A No. A class action. | 3 | Q Is there anything about her training and what |
| 4 | Q Just a class action. Okay. | 4 | she may discuss with you over dinner or lunch or |
| 5 | Is there anything about that experience | 5 | anything that -- |
| 6 | that would prevent you from being fair and impartial | 6 | A She doesn't discuss much of anything. |
| 7 | and listening to the facts of this case? | 7 | Q She doesn't? |
| 8 | A No. | 8 | A No. |
| 9 | Q Have you had any legal training? | 9 | Q Okay. Can you be fair and impartial in |
| 10 | A Just I was a paralegal. | 10 | listening to the facts of this case? |
| 11 | Q Oh, okay. For a firm downtown or where? | 11 | A Yes. |
| 12 | A Yes. The one that I can remember is at Schad | 12 | Q If you get picked as a juror, will you wait |
| 13 | \& Diamond. | 13 | until you've heard all of the evidence until you |
| 14 | Q What kind of cases did they do? | 14 | finally come to a conclusion? |
| 15 | A Class action. | 15 | A Yes. |
| 16 | Q Can you put aside anything you learned about | 16 | Q Have you ever been a party to a lawsuit? Did |
| 17 | other attorneys sitting on a jury, judges, anything you | 17 | I ask you that? |
| 18 | might have heard in that office and give a fair shake | 18 | A Years ago I was in a motorcycle accident, but |
| 19 | to the people in this trial? | 19 | I was 17 years old. So I was young. |
| 20 | A Yes. | 20 | Q That was just a couple years ago. Did that |
| 21 | Q Okay. If you get picked as a juror in this | 21 | case go to trial? |
| 22 | case, will you wait until you've heard all of the | 22 | A No. I think it settled, and we were awarded |
| 23 | evidence and the law that I read to you before you | 23 | a monetary amount. |
| 24 | finally come to a conclusion? | 24 | Q So you were injured in that accident? |
|  | Page 23 |  | Page 25 |
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A Yes.
Q Is there anything about that experience that would prevent you from being fair and listening to the facts of this case?

A No. I was 17 years old. It was just a phone
call. I can't really recall any decision-making much of anything. My parents took care of it.

Q Okay. Is there anything else about yourself
you think we ought to know that we may fail to ask you?
A No.
Q Okay.
BY THE COURT:
Q Justin Miranda, good afternoon, sir.
A Good afternoon.
Q You're a package handler for UPS. Okay.
Have you ever been a party to a lawsuit?
A Currently I ' $m$ filing a lawsuit because three years ago I got ran over by a car. So the attorneys are still fighting the case.

Q Okay.
A And nothing happened yet so far, but I think
it's going to go to a trial.
Q Who got ran over buy a car?
A I got run over buy a car.
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Q You got run over buy a car?
A To this day I really think it's going to go to trial, because they didn't want to settle for an amount of money that we offered, so I really think it's going to trial.

Q Okay. And that happens sometimes. Some cases settle, some cases go to trial.

A Yes.
Q So you're anticipating pursuing the matter in court in trial is what you're telling me?

A Yes.
Q How long ago did that accident happen? I'm sorry to hear that, by the way.

A Three years ago.
Q Okay.
A At my school.
Q Is there anything about that experience and your experience with settlement negotiations and maybe making a decision to pursue it at trial, is there anything about your personal experience there that would prevent you from being fair and impartial and listening to the facts of this case?

A No.
Q Okay. If you get picked as a juror, will you
wait until you've heard all of the evidence and the law before you finally make up your mind?

A Yes.
Q Have you ever had any legal training?
A No.
Q Has anyone close to you close family member
had any legal training?
A No.
Q Is there anything else about yourself that you think we ought to know that we may fail to ask you if that would impact your ability to be fair in this case?

A No.
Q Okay. Thanks.
BY THE COURT:
Q Deana Lewis, good afternoon.
A Hello.
Q You're a marketing manager for Northern
Press. Do you work right downtown here?
A Yes. Madison and Wells.
Q Okay. Have you ever been a party to a lawsuit?

A No.
Q Have you had any legal training?
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A No.
Q Anybody in your close family had any legal training?

A My best friend is an attorney for J esse White.

Q If you get picked as a juror in this case, will you wait until you have heard all of the evidence and the law that I give you before you finally make up your mind?

A Yes.
Q Is there anything else about yourself and your ability that would impact your ability to serve as a juror in this case that you think we need to know that we may fail to ask you?

A I would say one thing. Given that I work in a large building, and from what I heard with this case, if someone got past security, they weren't doing their job. That would be hard for me, because this case rings true to my personal environment.

Q Okay. And we're looking to see where people, what their feelings are. So far you haven't heard any evidence; you just heard the statement of the case.

A Yes.
Q This is a large building. A lot of us work
in large buildings.
I'm going to let the attorneys follow up with some questions to you. They'Il probably want to explore that a little bit more, but you realize that your building may have different security, a different setup than the building at issue here?

A Yes. I just want to be clear.
Q Fair enough. I asked you and you told me.
Thank you.
BY THE COURT:
Q Mr. Rodney Hatley?
A Yes.
Q Good afternoon, sir.
A Good afternoon.
Q You're in construction. Are you outside or inside construction?

A Right now inside.
Q Inside. Good. Okay.
Have you ever been a party to a lawsuit?
A Yes, for a car accident.
Q How long ago was that?
A $\mathbf{~} 06$.
Q Did the case go all the way to a trial?
A They settled. Then I went back after the
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A Yes.
Q Have you had any legal training?
A No.
Q Anybody in your close family had any legal
training?
A No. My brother is a cop in Elgin.
Q Is there anything you think that we may fail
to ask you that's important for us to know about your
serving as a juror?
A Not that I can think of.
Q Okay. If you think of something, let us
know.
A Okay.
Q All right.
BY THE COURT:
Q Last name Duran, Tarsicio?
A Tarsicio.
Q Good afternoon, sir. You are in IT at
Northwestern?
A Yes.
Q Have you ever been a party to a lawsuit?
A No.
Q Do you have any legal training?
A No.

A Yes.
Q Have you had any legal training?
A No.
Q Anybody in your close family had any legal training?

A No. My brother is a cop in Elgin.
Q Is there anything you think that we may fail to ask you that's important for us to know about your serving as a juror?

A Not that I can think of.
Q Okay. If you think of something, let us know.

A Okay.
Q All right.
BY THE COURT:
Q Last name Duran, Tarsicio?
A Tarsicio.
Q Good afternoon, sir. You are in IT at Northwestern?

A Yes.
Q Have you ever been a party to a lawsuit?
A No.
Q Do you have any legal training?
A No.

Q Does anybody in your close family member had any legal training?

A No.
Q If you get picked as a juror in this case, will you wait until you've heard all of the evidence before you finally make up your mind?

## A Yes.

Q I forgot to ask each of you, but I 'm going to ask you collectively. I 've already told you this at the very beginning that I llinois law is such that a corporate entity is to be treated just the same as an individual.

Is there anyone here who thinks they cannot treat a corporate entity the same as an individual and vice versa, an individual treated the same as a corporation?

I s there anything you think is important for us to know about you that we may fail to ask you?

A When you asked about the any vacation or anything like that?

Q Yes.
A I don't have anything booked, but I was planning something.

Q Okay. What were you planning?

you could sign a verdict form for the defendant?
A Yes.
Q Realizing, for everybody, we're not here for sympathy?

A Right.
Q You're not going to see the plaintiffs other than when they testify and when you return a jury verdict, and you're probably not even going to see the child that was left behind by Michael McKenna because you can understand it's a lot for him.

A Right.
Q So rather than put him through a trial like that, we're probably not even going to bring him to court. Do you have a problem with that?

A No.
Q He's certainly he's not going to testify. We wouldn't do that to a little child. You understand that? Does everyone understand that?

A Yes.
Q You understand this case is about counsel talked about the 38th floor where these killings and these injuries occurred.

It's our position that this was
preventable. And we concentrate on the third floor
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where -- the first floor where this was allowed to happen.

Can you separate all that? The crime, the murders happened on the 38th floor. We're not disputing that a person murdered these three individuals and injured Ruth Leib. The dispute is were they negligent on the first and the third floor. Do you understand that?

A Yes.
Q Can everybody separate that and realize that we're not disputing most of what happened on 38; we're really, the dispute is on 1 and 3 where we feel this could have been prevented? Do you understand that?

A Right.
MR. POWER: And for all six of you, if based on the law and the evidence the plaintiffs prove their case, prove they are entitled to a verdict under the law and evidence to many millions of dollars, could you all sign such a verdict form? Please raise your hand. (All raised their hands.)

And if we don't prove our case, you can sign a verdict form for the defendant? Everybody raise their hand if you could. (All raised their hands.) Okay.

Now, thank you, sir. Thank you for your
candor.
BY MR. POWER:
Q Miss Lewis, you answered the same question about jury verdicts and settlements are too high. Could you please tell us a little bit about that?

A To that point, so sometimes I do feel when cases are awarded multiple million dollars, I don't know, but what I see on the news and what I read, the settlements are sometimes too high. But that's just my opinion.

Q Okay. Well, would you let that opinion of yours carry over to this case?

A I don't know what the case is yet, but no.
Q Well, based on the law and the evidence, if
the plaintiffs prove their case and prove they are entitled to a verdict of many millions of dollars, could you sign such a verdict?

A Yes.
Q Okay. You seem to hesitate a little bit?
A Well, I don't know the facts yet.
Q Okay. Well, the facts are people were
killed, and it's the plaintiff's position that this was totally preventable. And if we prove our case, prove
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under the law and the evidence that people were indeed killed in a preventable occurrence, could you award many millions of dollars?

A Yes.
Q And if we don't prove our case, you could sign a verdict form for the defendant, I take it?

A Yes.
Q All right.
MR. POWER: Anything about this case anybody feels that they couldn't be fair and impartial as we're sitting here today? Please raise your hand if you feel you couldn't be fair and impartial. (No one raised their hand.)

Anything we should know about you that you feel you couldn't be fair and impartial in this case? (No one raised their hand.)

What we're looking for is basically an umpire at a baseball game, as I like use the analogy. Someone is calling the balls and the strikes during the playoffs when the Cubs were playing the Dodgers. I don't think the Cubs fans would appreciate it if there was a Dodger fan calling the balls and strikes. Do you understand? So that's what we're looking for.

Based on preconceived notions of verdicts
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and settlements being too high, if that would indeed prejudice you against the plaintiffs in this case, we'd like to know, because we represent people who are not even here. They are trusting us and trusting you to tell us the truth about your feelings. There's nothing wrong with that. People do have feelings. Some people just wouldn't be too sympathetic in the case. Other people may have preconceived notions that they can't award many millions of dollars I don't care. Can't bring people back, so I'm just not going to do it.

Anybody feel that just based on what they've heard here, they couldn't award many millions of dollars based on the law and the evidence? Raise your hand. (No one raised their hand.)

MR. POWER: The primary loss here is lost wages, obviously, but as far as the McKenna family is concerned, the primary loss is the loss of society, the loss of a loved one, the loss of a husband and a father. You understand that that's what our case is primarily about; the loss of a human being, the loss of a father, the loss of a husband.

Does anybody have a problem awarding many millions of dollars for the loss of society, the loss of a human being if we prove it under the law and the
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evidence that the defendants are responsible? Anybody have a problem doing that? Raise your hand. (No one raised their hand.)

Ruth Leib is here. She's here because she's been injured. She's been traumatized. She was shot in the foot. She's had post-traumatic stress disorder. She has pain and suffering. She has loss of her normal life. She has emotional distress she's experiencing.

Anybody have a problem awarding millions of dollars for Ruth Leib for injuries such as that?

She had a gun to her head on the 38th
floor. What we're saying is it never should have gotten to the 38th floor.

Anybody have a problem being that fair umpire I talked about in awarding significant money damages, many millions of dollars in a case such as this if we prove our case that this was preventable, they were negligent in allowing this to happen? Anybody have a problem doing that, please raise your hand. No one is raising their hand.

THE COURT: Let me step in for just a minute.
$J$ ust it's being mentioned many times if
they prove their case, many millions of dollars. And
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the other side will address this, too, in their questions. But just be clear about something. If you hear all of the evidence and the law in this case and you find that the plaintiffs are entitled to damages, it's always up to the jury to decide what they think is fair and reasonable. Okay?

So what you hear from attorneys are suggestions of recommendations. But it's always up to the jury. Just so you know that. All right? Okay.

MR. POWERS: It has to be based on the law and the evidence, because every case is different.

So you have to look at the evidence, look at the relationship between a husband and wife, father and sons and daughters. And then based on that relationship, you have to establish monetary damages along with the law, that the law will instruct you.

So you wait until the end of the case,
after you hear all the evidence, you're instructed on the law, and then you decide on a sum of money. That's what the jury is.

Anybody have a problem doing that in this case? No one is raising their hand, your Honor. would pass.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
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## MR. ROGERS: Thank you.

Good afternoon everyone. We can talk to each other a little bit now. Good afternoon.

I don't want to belabor the point, but I just wanted to touch upon a few things.

The Court mentioned that there's a corporation, Allied Barton Corporation, that's the defendant in the case.

We've also named as defendants their two security personnel, Robert Brown and Sidney Chambers.

Corporations, the Court told you, have to be treated like individuals. Corporations also act through individuals.

Would any of you struggle or have any difficulty holding if we prove our case, Sidney Chambers, Robert Brown and Allied Barton responsible if we prove that they failed to do what they should have done as security personnel in a security corporation? Would any of you have any problems with that? If you would, raise your hand. Let the record reflect no one raised their hands.

J ust like corporations have to be treated like individuals, each of us represents an estate.

The law recognizes that when you die and

| 1 | you sustain an injury or had a claim before your death, | 1 | contracted to provide was the protection of life, the |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | that claim doesn't die with you. That claim lives on | 2 | protection of life. |
| 3 | and can be pursued, and a fight for your justice can | 3 | Will any of you have difficulty if we |
| 4 | continue through your estate. | 4 | prove our case holding Allied Barton, Sidney Chambers |
| 5 | We represent the estate of Mr. Hoover, the | 5 | and Robert Brown responsible if we prove that they were |
| 6 | estate of Mr. McKenna, the estate of Mr. Goodson for | 6 | negligent in failing to protect life on December 8th, |
| 7 | the injuries they sustained that led to their deaths. | 7 | 2006? (No one raised their hand.) |
| 8 | Do each of you think you can be fair and | 8 | MR. MOTZ: Show my objection to the form of the |
| 9 | consider the claims of that estate, even though in the | 9 | question. Improperly stated. |
| 10 | end those individuals died, if you can be fair to those | 10 | THE COURT: Overruled, counsel. |
| 11 | estates, raise your hands, please. (All raised their | 11 | MR. ROGERS: If we prove that they contracted to |
| 12 | hands.) | 12 | provide that type of service, will any of you have |
| 13 | The estate claims, in part consists of | 13 | difficulty holding them responsible for what they |
| 14 | claims for pain and suffering that you could imagine | 14 | contracted to provide? If you think you can do that |
| 15 | would be associated with injuries involving gunshot | 15 | for us, raise your hands. |
| 16 | wounds. They are significant claims for pain and | 16 | Let the record reflect everyone raised |
| 17 | suffering. | 17 | their hands. |
| 18 | Do any of you have any difficulty, or do | 18 | I believe the evidence will show that this |
| 19 | you think you would have any difficulty, if we prove | 19 | was not -- I 'm sorry. I believe the evidence will show |
| 20 | our case, finding and assessing damages for pain and | 20 | you'll be asked to consider evidence that shows there |
| 21 | suffering for the estates of Mr. McKenna, Mr. Hoover | 21 | were warning signs. |
| 22 | and Mr. Goodson? If you think you can do that, raise | 22 | J oe J ackson was seen. There was suspicion |
| 23 | your hands for me. (All raised their hands.) | 23 | about J oe J ackson. You will likely even hear evidence |
| 24 | What happened on the 38th floor of the | 24 | that before he ever got to 38, Sidney Chambers felt |
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| 1 | Citigroup building on December 8th of 2006, I think | 1 | threatened by J oe J ackson. |
| 2 | everyone will tell you this was tragic, it was extreme. | 2 | THE COURT: Counsel, this is too much |
| 3 | You're going to hear some significant -- | 3 | indoctrination. |
| 4 | if you're selected, you'll hear some significant | 4 | MR. ROGERS: Let me rephrase. |
| 5 | traumatic evidence relating to it. But that's the end | 5 | If you hear evidence suggesting that there |
| 6 | of the story. That's the end of the story. That's the | 6 | were warning signs that J oe J ackson -- |
| 7 | result of what happened. | 7 | MR. MOTZ: Show my objection, your Honor. It's |
| 8 | We would suggest to you that you will hear | 8 | the same objection. |
| 9 | some significant evidence about what was going on for | 9 | THE COURT: I'm overruling at this point. Go |
| 10 | the minutes and hours before J oe J ackson got to the | 10 | ahead, counsel, I 'm sorry. |
| 11 | 38th floor. But you'll have to pay close attention to | 11 | MR. ROGERS: If you're selected as a juror in the |
| 12 | that as much as you will the tragic things that | 12 | case, will you be able to give fair consideration to |
| 13 | happened on the 38th floor. | 13 | evidence of warning signs well before J oe J ackson got |
| 14 | If you think you can give fair and | 14 | to the 38th floor? |
| 15 | appropriate attention to what happened in the hours | 15 | To the extent that Allied Barton, Sidney |
| 16 | before J oe J ackson got up there, if you think you can | 16 | Chambers and/ or Robert Brown were aware or should have |
| 17 | do that and give that fair consideration, can you raise | 17 | been aware of those warning signs, will you be able to |
| 18 | your hands for us? (All raised their hands.) | 18 | hold them accountable if we are able to prove that? If |
| 19 | I believe the evidence in the case that | 19 | you will, raise your hands. (All raised their hands.) |
| 20 | you will hear will include a variety of things, some of | 20 | MR. MOTZ: Show my objection, your Honor, as it |
| 21 | which will be contracts, where Allied Barton contracted | 21 | misstates the evidence. That's an improper question. |
| 22 | to provide security services personnel like Sidney | 22 | THE COURT: You know what? I would have to have |
| 23 | Chambers, its employee, and Robert Brown, its employee. | 23 | it read back and then underscores. |
| 24 | One of the things you will hear that they | 24 | MR. ROGERS: I can rephrase it. |
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| 1 | THE COURT: Can you rephrase it, counsel? | 1 | brothers. That question isn't asked anywhere. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. ROGERS: Sure. | 2 | Can you raise your hand if you have |
| 3 | THE COURT: Thank you. I 'm sorry. | 3 | siblings? Now, does anybody have siblings that live |
| 4 | MR. ROGERS: I believe you'll hear evidence about | 4 | out of town? Raise your hands if you have siblings |
| 5 | J oe J ackson's activities prior to ever getting up to | 5 | that live out of town. Okay. |
| 6 | the 38th floor. And I believe you'll hear evidence | 6 | I ask you that because you're going to |
| 7 | that Sidney Chambers in particular and Robert Brown | 7 | learn that Paul Goodson had brothers; that none of his |
| 8 | were aware of some of these activities and warning | 8 | brothers lived in Chicago. They all lived in different |
| 9 | signs. | 9 | states. |
| 10 | If we are able to prove that, will you be | 10 | I have family in Arizona and in |
| 11 | able to consider that evidence in deciding whether | 11 | California, but I think you can be as close to a |
| 12 | Allied Barton, Sidney Chambers and Robert Brown are | 12 | relative 2,000 miles away as you are to somebody that's |
| 13 | negligent in the case? If you'll be able to do that, | 13 | down the street even closer. Does everybody agree with |
| 14 | raise your hands. (All raised their hands.) | 14 | that? Raise your hand if you agree with that |
| 15 | And then finally, I think Mr. Powers | 15 | statement. (All raised their hands.) |
| 16 | touched upon this, but the claims here involve three | 16 | So this is a wrongful death case for the |
| 17 | deaths, and serious injuries to Ruth Leib. | 17 | estate of Paul Goodson. His family happens to be his |
| 18 | The deaths involved, as I think is | 18 | brothers. Would anybody have a problem returning a |
| 19 | undisputed, were tragic. They involved firearms. They | 19 | verdict even if it was in the millions of dollars for |
| 20 | involved workplace violence. And they involved the | 20 | Paul Goodson's brothers in this case? If you could do |
| 21 | ultimate injury you could ever sustain, death; an | 21 | such a thing, if it is proven by the facts and the law, |
| 22 | injury so severe that it leads to your death. | 22 | please raise your hands. (All raised their hands.) |
| 23 | If we are able to prove that those deaths | 23 | If the evidence in this case proves that |
| 24 | warrant the award of millions of dollars, will each of | 24 | Paul Goodson's brothers are entitled to a jury verdict |
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|  | you be able to sign a verdict form to support the |  | in the millions of dollars, could you return such a |
| 2 | verdict if it is proven by the evidence? If you will, | 2 | verdict? (All raised their hands.) |
| 3 | raise your hands. (All raised their hands.) | 3 | I 'll stop there. Thank you very much. |
| 4 | Can each of you be fair to both sides of | 4 | THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. Mr. Motz? |
| 5 | the case? If we don't prove our case, will you be able | 5 | MR. MOTZ: Good afternoon everyone. |
| 6 | to send us out of the courtroom without a verdict for | 6 | This is our one opportunity to talk to |
| 7 | the plaintiff and instead, a verdict for the defendant? | 7 | each and every one of you. The only time you guys get |
| 8 | Will you be able to do that? (All raised their hands.) | 8 | to talk back to us. So we just want to learn a little |
| 9 | Thank you. I don't have any other | 9 | more about you, learn about your confidentials to sit |
| 10 | questions. | 10 | on this jury. |
| 11 | THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. Mr. Kotin? | 11 | And as the J udge said early on today |
| 12 | MR. KOTI N: Thank you, your Honor. | 12 | there's no right or wrong answer. There's no one out |
| 13 | I don't want to belabor this any more. | 13 | in the hall going to give you a report card, you got a |
| 14 | You heard about it from Mr. Power and Mr. Rogers have | 14 | B-plus a C-minus or anything like that. We just want |
| 15 | talked to you about all the issues that relate to our | 15 | to hear your honest answers so that we can make the |
| 16 | cases, except one. And that's this: | 16 | determination about the jury in this case. |
| 17 | Your questionnaires that you filled out | 17 | You've heard a little bit about the case |
| 18 | when you got the Summons for jury service, you all got | 18 | from her Honor and counsel. Now I want to ask you some |
| 19 | the questionnaire when you got here today, talks about | 19 | questions about everything involved in this case. |
| 20 | people having spouses or children. It doesn't talk | 20 | You all understand that this is -- strike |
| 21 | about siblings. | 21 | that. |
| 22 | And Paul Goodson, one of the victims in | 22 | Can you all wait until the end of the |
| 23 | this case who was killed, didn't have any spouse, | 23 | evidence, both the plaintiffs get their full case in |
| 24 | didn't have any children, but he was survived by | 24 | and then the defense goes and gets their full case in, |
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before you make up your mind, before you determine whether or not Allied Barton, Robert Brown are responsible or liable in this instance? Everyone can do that? Everybody is nodding their heads.

Just talking generally, how many of you
have been in highrises, either in this city or around the country, that have security guards. Raise your hands. Five of the six.
BY MR. MOTZ:
Q Mr. Lavan, tell us about that experience.
A I live in Marina Towers.
Q Unarmed security guards, armed security guards?

A Unarmed.
Q What's your experience with unarmed security guards in your residence?

A Well, we have a desk we have to approach past the desk. You have to have a pass to get in the sliding doors. Other than the security guards, if they are armed, I haven't seen them.

Q Are they dressed, I don't want to say kind of like lawyers, but in like a suit and tie?

A Yes.
Q Soft dress?
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A Soft dress.
Q They are not in police-style uniform?
A No.
Q Are they in like a visual, you see them
there, you talk with them and that's their purpose?
A Yes.
Q Are you involved in their assignments or what their duties are?

A No.
Q Do you have any knowledge of --
A I have no idea.
MR. MOTZ: Anybody have experience with security
guards and what their assignments are at a given
location? Anybody?
BY MR. MOTZ:
Q Miss Cornejo?
A Yes.
Q Your husband was a security guard?
A Yes.
Q Tell me about that.
A Well, he was a security guard before I knew him.

Q Okay. In Chicago?
A In Chicago. I think for ten years.

Q A good experience?
A He got out of it. He didn't like it. After
ten years. But I can't say much about it. It was a job.

Q Do you know if he was armed or unarmed?
A I believe -- I 'm not sure. I 'm not sure.
Q Do you know who he worked for, just out of curiosity?

A A housing for residents buildings.
Q Not in a highrise office building?
A I don't know if the housing was highrise, but it probably was. It sounds like it was.

Q Anything about your husband's experiences as a security guard before you met him? Does that weigh in one way or the other as you sit here as a potential juror in this case?

A No. I don't think it would affect it.
Q Mr. Power used the analogy of an umpire, a Los Angeles Dodgers umpiring a playoff game between the Cubs and the Dodgers.

The analogy that we like to use is think
of this as a start of a horse race. All the horses are in the gates. The doors aren't open. And that's like the start of the trial.
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You're all familiar with horse racing, the
Kentucky Derby; everybody is at the gate and all at once the doors open up.

With this case, just with the little that
you know, are all the gates closed? Everybody is still in the starting blocks?

A Yes.
Q Okay.
BY MR. MOTZ:
Q Mr. Miranda, I think you raised your hand with regard to experience with security guards?

A Correct.
Q Tell me about that.
A Like what do you want me to say, like how I feel about it?

Q Why don't you tell me about your experiences with them?

A I don't have a problem with them. When they are armed and everything, I feel like much more safe and everything.

There's been a couple buildings, like my facility UPS, there's like unarmed security that I feel worried about. But then in the end I don't feel worried, because they have make you walk through a
metal detector going in and going back out, so it's
half and half.
Q Now, the experience, I think you said you
have some worry about unarmed security?
A Yes.
Q Just tell me, what do you mean by that?
A I have worry about them because like they are
unarmed, like I don't know if they can protect me from anything happening or not. That's the problem.

Q Do they have radios?
A Yes, they have radios, but that's about it.
Q And you said you work for UPS?
A Yes.
Q Are any like a contractor for UPS, or are
they UPS employees, if you know?
A They are like UPS employees.
Q You don't have any involvement in their
uniforms, what equipment they have, what equipment they have available to them or anything like that?

A No.
Q Same question. Just with your some worry
about security guards, are we all still --
Allied Barton, we provide unarmed security
guards. That's what the evidence is going to be in
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and listening to your answers, it seems like, and it's completely understandable, that it's a long, drawn-out process; you're a little frustrated by that?

A Yes.
Q Do you believe, or do you feel just because
there are defendants here, Allied Barton, Robert Brown and Sidney Chambers, that we must have done something wrong, being in this courtroom?

A Yeah.
Q You believe that?
A Yeah.
Q Okay. That's a strongly-held belief just
because we're in a lawsuit, we obviously must have done something wrong?

A Yeah.
Q And --
THE COURT: I think we're good, counsel.
MR. MOTZ: All right. Thank you.
BY MR. MOTZ:
Q Miss Lewis, you made a comment, I think it was the Judge's questions about experience with security guards, experience in highrises. I think you made a comment something like they weren't doing their job and they got past security.
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this case. All of our security guards are unarmed.
That's what the building wanted. Just that fact alone, are we still in the gates, or have the plaintiffs gotten a little head-start?

A No.
Q So we're not still in the gate?
A You guys are in the gate, yes.
Q We're all still even?
A Yeah.
Q Okay. You indicated while I'm talking to you you indicated that you have a pending case right now?

A Correct.
Q That you're going to have to file, file a lawsuit. You haven't yet?

A I have, but like we haven't came to a settlement yet.

Q So you have filed a lawsuit?
A Yes.
Q Is it here in Cook County?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And it sounded like if there's no settlement, that you're going to have to go to trial?

A Most likely, yes.
Q And it sounds looking at your questionnaire
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A Correct.
Q And you understand that we're a security company; we have security guards as defendants in this case?

A Yes.
Q And obviously, you've heard the statement of the case. Three people were shot, one person was wounded.

Based on what you've heard so far, given your views, and there's nothing wrong with those views whatsoever, does it look like the plaintiffs are just out of the gate a little early right now?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And that's a naturally-held belief?
A Yes. To be fair, walking into this building,
I feel secure. I feel good. Even in my building, Madison and Wells, it just doesn't feel safe.

We have a key to get past the doors.
There's two security guards on each side, probably similar to where you were you guys are employed, in suit, unarmed. And it doesn't feel safe, considering what's going on in the world today. That's my opinion.

Q There's no wrong answer. We appreciate your candor.

| 1 | MR. MOTZ: Does anyone else agree with Miss Lewis? | 1 | They haven't been instructed on burden, so it's an |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | BY MR. MOTZ: | 2 | improper question. |
| 3 | Q Mr. Hatley? | 3 | THE COURT: I know. I agree. |
| 4 | A Yes. | 4 | Counsel, it's a -- question because I |
| 5 | Q Strongly-held belief that if something | 5 | haven't instructed them on anything that they have no |
| 6 | happens when there's unarmed security, the security | 6 | legal knowledge on -- |
| 7 | company is automatically at fault in your mind? | 7 | MR. MOTZ: I thought you instructed during the |
| 8 | A Not necessarily at fault, but I feel unsafe. | 8 | preliminary talking about the different burdens. |
| 9 | I mean they don't have any way of protecting me. | 9 | THE COURT: Not to the extent you're questioning. |
| 10 | Q And with that, that's completely natural. | 10 | MR. MOTZ: Okay. |
| 11 | There's nothing wrong with either of what you're | 11 | Do you have any experience with unarmed |
| 12 | saying. We completely understand. This is just our | 12 | security guards outside of what everyone else has |
| 13 | opportunity to learn a little more about that. | 13 | talked about here, Mr. Hatley? |
| 14 | And just because this is a security case | 14 | MR. HATLEY: No. |
| 15 | and because three individuals were tragically murdered | 15 | MR. MOTZ: Have you ever been to the 500 West |
| 16 | and another was shot, does it sound like or do you | 16 | Madison building, the Ogilvie Center? |
| 17 | believe that the plaintiffs are out of the gate just a | 17 | MR. HATLEY: No. |
| 18 | little early and we're still at the starting gate? | 18 | MR. MOTZ: Okay. |
| 19 | A You both are still at the starting gate, | 19 | BY MR. MOTZ: |
| 20 | because I haven't heard all the evidence yet. | 20 | Q Mr. Duran, the same questions that I 've been |
| 21 | Q But do we as a security company and security | 21 | asking; have you ever had any experience with unarmed |
| 22 | guards, do we have to prove to you that we did | 22 | security guards? |
| 23 | something, or that we complied with everything? | 23 | A Yes. |
| 24 | Basically, we have to prove something to you to show | 24 | Q Tell me about that. |
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| 1 | that we're not negligent? | 1 | A I used to work at the Feinberg Medical School |
| 2 | A Yes. | 2 | and they have unarmed security guards at the security |
| 3 | MR. MOTZ: And you all understand that this is a | 3 | buildings there. And I worked with them on setting up |
| 4 | civil courtroom. We as defendants, we have no burden | 4 | a visitor key or access system. |
| 5 | of proof. | 5 | Q Okay. And you said the Feinberg, |
| 6 | And Mr. Duran and Mr. Hatley, you're both | 6 | Northwestern Feinberg School of Medicine? |
| 7 | shaking your heads right now. And I saw you, | 7 | A Yes. |
| 8 | Mr. Duran, you were shaking your head when I was asking | 8 | Q And were the security guards, were they in |
| 9 | Mr. Hatley questions. Do you agree with Miss Lewis and | 9 | suits, soft dress or were they more in police style |
| 10 | Mr. Hatley? | 10 | uniforms? |
| 11 | MR. DURAN: No. | 11 | A It was like police style, like a sweater and |
| 12 | MR. MOTZ: You don't? You're shaking your head | 12 |  |
| 13 | no. They can't? | 13 | Q Tasers or anything, handguns? |
| 14 | MR. DURAN: No, I don't agree with them. | 14 | A No. |
| 15 | MR. MOTZ: Okay. | 15 | Q Okay. A positive experience overall? |
| 16 | Mr. Hatley, rightly, wrongly, this is just | 16 | A Yes. |
| 17 | how you feel and how you vote. Just your everyday | 17 | Q Do you have any issue with Allied Barton and |
| 18 | experiences. | 18 | Robert Brown defending against these claims saying you |
| 19 | And just because where there's a security | 19 | know what; the plaintiffs are incorrect, we did nothing |
| 20 | guard company, two security guards and a crime | 20 | wrong. Do you have any problem with that? |
| 21 | happened, I think you're nodding your head and it | 21 | A No. |
| 22 | sounds like we have to show that we dotted all the i's | 22 | MR. MOTZ: Does anybody have a problem with Allied |
| 23 | crossed all the t's. | 23 | Barton defending itself against the claims the |
| 24 | MR. ROGERS: Your Honor just note my objection. | 24 | plaintiffs are making? Anybody raise their hands if |
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they have a problem with Allied Barton defending
itself. (No one raised their hand.)
Robert Brown, any problem with Robert
Brown, security officer, defending himself? (No one raised their hand.)

Now, counsel asked, all three counsel
asked each of you numerous questions about verdicts; if they prove their case, can you sign a verdict. Do you remember all those questions?

Now let me ask you the flip side. If the plaintiffs fail to prove their case against Allied Barton and Robert Brown, do you have any issue walking out of that room, looking each one of these lawyers in the eye and sending them out the door with nothing? Anybody have a problem with that? Raise your hand if you have a problem with that. (No one raised their hand.)

Now you're going to hear testimony about J oseph J ackson. The Court talked about J oseph J ackson, and Allied Barton has a claim against J oseph J ackson. He's unrepresented here.

Now, Allied Barton has the burden of proof against J oseph J ackson. I believe the evidence is going to show that he took Robert Brown hostage and
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then forced his way up to the 38th floor and he began his murderous spree up there.

If the evidence shows that, if the
evidence shows that Mr. Jackson took Mr. Brown hostage and then chained the door shut on the 38th floor and began shooting Mr. McKenna, Mr. Hoover, Miss Leib and Mr. Goodson, would you have any issue walking out that door and returning a verdict in favor of Allied Barton against Mr. J ackson?

MR. ROGERS: Objection to form, your Honor.
THE COURT: Overruled.
MR. MOTZ: Anybody have an issue with that? Raise your hand if you do. No one is raising their hand. BY MR. MOTZ:

Q Mr. Hatley, I have a note just in listening to the questions and answers either to the J udge or to counsel that you had a bad experience in a prior lawsuit. Can you tell me a little more about that?

A I was in a truck accident and I got injured and the insurance company just paid for the vehicle, they didn't pay for my medical bills.

Q And I think you said you had to go after them after all your treatment was done?

A Yes.

Q And did that leave a bad taste in your mouth, the whole process?

A Well, at that time, yes. I had to pay for everything.

Q And was a suit actually filed in this?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And you understand that that's separate and apart from what's going on in this courtroom?

A Yes.
Q Just with that experience, you're not going to hold that against my clients in any way?

A No.
Q Okay. You're going to hear testimony -- I think you said your brother is a cop in Elgin, right?

A Yes.
Q You're going to hear testimony from police officers that were involved in responding and investigating Mr. J ackson and this incident. Anything about that that's going to push you one side or the other in terms of where we're all at that starting gate?

A No.
Q You also indicated on your form that you
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## witnessed a crime?

A Yes.
Q Tell me about that.
A There was a guy running down the street and snatched a lady's purchases.

Q You've also been a victim of a crime?
A Yes. I got robbed.
Q And did they use a gun?
A No. It was a strong armed robbery.
Q Strong armed robbery. And did they make a demand on you and you complied?

A Yes, I complied.
Q Anything about that process that would cause you to shift to one side or the other?

A No. It was years ago.
Q We're all even at the starting gate?
A Yes.
Q Okay.
MR. MOTZ: Can I have one second, your Honor?
THE COURT: Sure.
BY MR. MOTZ:
Q Here's a question, for you, Mr. Hatley. There's only six of you, so a small group.
As the Court said earlier, we've usually got 48 people
in here and we are asking everybody questions.
Do you have an opinion as you sit here
whether our unarmed guards did anything wrong based on the little that you know about the case?

A I can't say. I don't know what the case entailed into what they were supposed to be doing as the guards.

Q Based on what you know right now about this case, do you believe that our guards failed to protect the people who were killed?

MR. ROGERS: Objection to the form of that question.

THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. MOTZ:
Q Do you believe that unarmed security guards must prevent all crime?

A Well, I would think that's what their job
was, to protect us as being a security guard.
Q And if that's their job, would you agree with me that just -- there's nothing wrong. There's no wrong answer here. That because you hold that belief, we're just a step behind the plaintiffs, just the little that you know about the case?

MR. KOTI N: Objection, your Honor. He's asked
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THE COURT: Thank you everybody. We're going to step out of the courtroom. We'll be gone for a few minutes. We have to talk about you. Feel free to stand up and stretch. There are washrooms in there. There's water in there. Don't go far. We won't be that long.

Guys, Mr. Miranda is out. I didn't
realize, I didn't understand he had a case pending, that they offered settlement and they didn't accept, so now he thinks they are going to trial. That was not clear to me early on. So he's got a pending case, in addition to other things he said, but that's enough to knock him out for cause.

MR. ROGERS: Did they look him up in the system? MR. POWERS: He's only 19. The case may not be searchable.

MR. PATTON: He said he had a pending case in Cook County.

THE COURT: I'm not calling Michelle back in here. I'm not doing that. I can ask my clerk to look him up in the system.

MR. MOTZ: I think based off the balance of what he said, forget the case, but the case is also an issue.
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this four times already of the same perspective juror.
THE COURT: I'm going to allow you to answer the question.

MR. HATLEY: Can I have the question again?
MR. MOTZ: Could I have the court reporter read it back?

THE COURT: Sure.
(The record was so read
by the court reporter.)
MR. HATLEY: No.
MR. MOTZ: Anybody else thinking we're a little step behind?

We have already heard from you, Mr. Miranda and from you Miss Lewis, Miss Cornejo, Mr. Lavan. Do you think based on the little you know that we're just a little step behind the plaintiffs at this point?

You're shaking your head no. Mr. Duran is shaking his head no. And Miss Cornejo is telling us no.

J ust as a final wrap-up here, anything else that you think that we should know before we go in and finalize jury selection about you at this point? No one is jumping and raising their hands.

Thank you for your time.

and impartial during the course of this trial with the evidence in this trial?

A Yes.
Q At the same time you told Mr. Motz when he was asking you questions that yes, the plaintiffs have a head-start in this case just based upon your experiences in highrises?

A Right. So I should probably go back on that.
I guess I can -- I you know what? I
probably can't be fair, because given my current situation, there's many times where I have been in that building and I do not feel like it's secure. I mean I just don't.

And an unarmed security guard in a suit is
not going to stop someone that's going to take me down with a gun. They are just not. So that's my opinion.

Q Okay. So even though you haven't heard any evidence yet, they are not starting out equally just based upon your personal experience as an observation; is that correct?

A Unfortunately, yes.
Q Okay.
MR. MOTZ: No wrong answer.
MS. LEWIS: I'm sorry.
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Mr. Motz asked the question for what I believe was the fourth time, you allowed him to ask one more time. He asked the question one more time, and Mr. Hatley said no, nobody has an advantage. That was the answer.

MR. MOTZ: That was a different question.
THE COURT: I know, counsel. I know what your question was and I know what he answered. I can bring him back here.

But I think when you're asking people who have not been advised on the law yet about do they have to prove their case, they don't know who has to prove what, even if we mentioned it a couple times.

And he consistently said he could be fair and his past experiences have no impact on his ability to be impartial.

If you want, I 'll bring him back here.
But I'm not --
MR. MOTZ: I 'd like the opportunity to clarify.
MR. KOTI N: Your Honor, he can't --
THE COURT: Counsel, I know, but I can't do it for one side and not the other side. I mean --

MR. ROGERS: He went through it multiple times, at least four times. And the gentleman said no. It's just now it's becoming abusive.
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MR. PATTON: Judge, every time you've got a question when they have suggested they heard something --

THE COURT: I'm going to go get him. I'm sorry it's taking so long, but I 'm going to do that right now.
BY THE COURT:
Q I know that you said multiple times during the questioning that you can be fair and impartial in this case?

A Yes.
Q I know that when the questioning by Mr. Motz came up and he asked would the defendants have to prove that they didn't do anything wrong, you agreed with that?

A Yes.
Q Okay.
A You mean as in they didn't do anything wrong? Is it the plaintiff or the defendant? You're getting me mixed up right now.

Q Okay. The plaintiff is the one who brings the suit.

A Right.
Q Those are the families that are suing on
behalf of thereof loved once.
A You said Mr. Moore. Is that the defendant or the --

Q Mr. Motz.
MR. MOTZ: That's me.
BY THE COURT:
Q I'm sorry.
The attorneys -- and I will tell you the
law at the end of all the evidence but you'll hear it
during the course of the trial as to which side has the
burden of proving their case. Sometimes it switches at
certain points and now they pointed it out to you.
Okay?
A Yes.
Q But what I -- I don't know how to ask this
without getting -- unless you ask the question again,
but don't go back into the same way that you did it, because $I$ think that it presupposes that people are familiar with the burden of proof.

MR. MOTZ: Maybe I can ask it just in a different way.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. MOTZ: You've heard that three people were
shot, or three people were murdered one person was shot
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so four people were shot, and that we provide security, provided security services at 500 West Madison. You heard all that. You remember that?

MR. HATLEY: Right, I remember that.
MR. MOTZ: As you sit here right now, do you have an opinion that there's some fault on Allied Barton and our security guards? There's no right or wrong answer.

MR. ROGERS: I would just object to the question on form, your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm going to listen to it. Overruled.
MR. HATLEY: I can't say fault because I don't
know what happened on their behalf and what they supposed to have been doing, so I can't really say if they was negligent or not.

MR. MOTZ: But fault is different than --
THE COURT: Counsel.
This is what I need to know from you.
Will you keep an open mind and listen to all the evidence in this case and the law that I have given you and be fair and impartial to both sides?

MR. HATLEY: Yes.
THE COURT: Can you do that?
MR. HATLEY: Yes.
THE COURT: Anybody else have any followup

## questions on that?

MR. MOTZ: No, your Honor.
MR. ROGERS: Rogers. No.
THE COURT: Yes, no?
MR. POWERS: No.
MR. MOTZ: No.
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
MR. ROGERS: Thank you.
THE COURT: So he's not for cause.
MR. PATTON: I think it's theirs. Did you accept?
MR. POWERS: Yes, we accept.
MR. MOTZ: We'll thank and excuse Mr. Hatley.
THE COURT: That only leaves Mr. Duran.
MR. POWERS: We'll accept.
MR. MOTZ: We have to accept.
THE COURT: All right, guys. Boy, down to the
wire, the last person. I can't believe this.
Listen, do you want to just take five
minutes and then we'll start?
MR. ROGERS: I'll need ten.
MR. PATTON: A couple of things, Judge, time-wise.
Are you sending the jurors home at 5:00? I know somebody said they've got child issues.

THE COURT: Yes.

Page 84

MR. PATTON: I understand there's going to be four opening statements by the plaintiff. I want to make sure that we're not splitting up the operation where I don't get to do it today. I want to be sure I get my opening today. And I 'm concerned about the time now.

THE COURT: Both sides told me they could do their opening in about an hour, I thought. I didn't think that would be an hour, an hour, an hour.

MR. POWERS: No, no, it's not an hour. I will be the longest. Then the others will be shorter.

MR. KOTI N: I'll take 12 minutes, J udge.
MR. POWERS: My son has probably $\mathbf{1 2}$ minutes as
well, J ames, just on damages. I may be 45 to an hour.
MR. MOTZ: Well, that's still --
THE COURT: That's going to take us beyond.
MR. POWERS: How much do you have, J ohn?
MR. PATTON: I have at least an hour because I've got to talk about four cases.

MR. POWERS: Well, what if I go down to
45 minutes?
MR. PATTON: I just don't want to --
MR. ROGERS: Just give us a maximum and we'll divide it up.

THE COURT: I thought we did. I thought when I
asked you all, we were kind of kidding around, and I said is anybody going to go over an hour and somebody said maybe just a little bit, but not much more than an hour, so I was counting on that.

MR. POWERS: That was probably me.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. POWERS: And then they have smaller pieces of
it. So 15 minutes each.
THE COURT: But I was looking in total though. So now I'm hearing it --

MR. POWERS: Okay. So let me go, we'll try to go
an hour let's say an hour and $\mathbf{1 5}$ minutes?
MR. ROGERS: Yes.
MR. POWERS: An hour and 15 minutes.
THE COURT: Then how long for you guys?
MR. ROGERS: No, we've dividing up.
THE COURT: Okay. I got it. Good. All right. I think we can do it.

What do you guys need, ten minutes,
five minutes?
MR. PATTON: Yes. I'll want to break between when they finish, but if I have any concerns about the time, I know you've given them direction. I'll raise it at that time.
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MR. POWERS: If they are with your team.
THE COURT: I 'm out of chairs if I put everything in the courtroom that I 've got, unless I take them out of chambers.

MR. PATTON: No. They are sitting in the gallery and they are not going to be identified as being anybody in this case, not us or you.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. POWERS: As long as they are not identified with us, that's all.

MR. PATTON: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay, guys.
Mr. Duran, you have been chosen as our last juror. So stand and raise your right hand, please, to be sworn. Raise your right hand please.

> (The oath was thereupon duly administered to the juror by the Court.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, now that you have been selected and sworn, this trial is actually going to begin. And the trial starts with opening statements. I alluded to this a little bit yesterday and the day before.

These are opening statements by the
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THE COURT: And I can ask them if they unanimously can stay later today, I can always do that.

MR. PATTON: Okay.
THE COURT: You need ten minutes now, or five minutes now?

MR. KOTI N: Just to get the technology set up.
MR. PATTON: And then, Judge, on a separate matter we have four individuals, five individuals that will be attending this trial every day. I think some term is called shadow jurors.

We don't want anybody talking to them drawing attention about them in this trial. I'm not suggesting that's going to happen, but I wanted to bring that to your attention.

You're going to see the same five people every day attending this trial. And we have instructed them not to talk to anybody, not to talk to the jurors, not to talk to the lawyers.

They don't know who retained them, and they think this is just a project, you know. So I assume there won't be any issues with that.

MR. POWERS: As long as they are with your team, I don't care.

THE COURT: Okay.
attorneys. Because the plaintiffs have the burden of proving their case, the plaintiffs go first. Then the defendants.

Opening statements are not evidence. I told you it's kind of like a preview of coming attractions in a movie, or a trailer. It's the attorneys are going to tell you what they believe the evidence will show in the case, their description of what the attorneys believe their position is in this case.

So what the attorneys actually say in opening statements is not evidence. Remember, the evidence is what you hear from the witness stand or a witness who has been sworn and is giving testimony that's shown by video.

I always will let you know along the course of the trial what the evidence is. The evidence is also any exhibits that have been admitted into evidence.

So in terms of determining your verdict at the very end, you only consider the evidence that comes in through the witnesses and exhibits. All right?

As soon as opening statements are completed, the evidence starts to come in. Plaintiffs

| 1 | go first again. When the plaintiffs have finished | 1 | attorneys or a witness or me, do that exaggerated ear |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | putting on all of their evidence, they turn to the | 2 | form. Don't be shy about that. We have to know that |
| 3 | Court, they address the Court, and they say the | 3 | you can hear us. Okay? |
| 4 | plaintiffs rest. That means they have put on their | 4 | And with that, we will begin. The |
| 5 | case in chief. Then the defendants put on their case | 5 | plaintiffs go first, as I told you. |
| 6 | in chief. Then the defendants rest. | 6 | MR. POWERS: If it please the Court, counsel, |
| 7 | After all of the evidence comes in, the | 7 | ladies and gentlemen of the jury. |
| 8 | attorneys get to address you again and they make their | 8 | I want to first of all thank you for |
| 9 | closing arguments in their favor. The closing | 9 | agreeing to serve as a juror in this case. |
| 10 | arguments are not more evidence, but it might refer to | 10 | As you know, it's taken us a while to get |
| 11 | evidence that you have heard during the course of the | 11 | the jury over the course of a number of days, so I want |
| 12 | trial. | 12 | to thank you for your patience, thank you for your |
| 13 | At that point when they are done with | 13 | service to our community and to our county and to our |
| 14 | their closing arguments, I read those jury instructions | 14 | state. |
| 15 | on the law to you, and you get a written copy to take | 15 | This is a case that involves security. It |
| 16 | with you back to the jury room. | 16 | involves families that have suffered a tragedy, which |
| 17 | And then you begin your deliberations. | 17 | even the defense will admit. The issue is it boils |
| 18 | And then at that point you can discuss the facts of the | 18 | down to was this something that was preventable. |
| 19 | case and the witnesses and the evidence with each | 19 | And first of all, we get to the day of the |
| 20 | other. Until that point, you've got to talk about the | 20 | occurrence, December 8th, 2006. Prior to that date, |
| 21 | weather and other things. Okay? | 21 | there was a service contract agreement entered into |
| 22 | Remember, you're taking all this evidence | 22 | between Allied Barton and the owner of the building. |
| 23 | and determining the credibility of witnesses as | 23 | And in that service contract which was executed, as I |
| 24 | individuals, and you only share your thoughts and notes | 24 | said, prior to the occurrence by an Allied Barton |
|  | Page 90 |  | Page 92 |
| 1 | at the very, very end, after I 've read the law to you | 1 | representative actually on November 1st, 2006. |
| 2 | and you go back into the jury room to deliberate and | 2 | It said on Exhibit No. 2, among othe |
| 3 | come up with a verdict. | 3 | things, because we have limited time, but it points out |
| 4 | You can take notes during the course of | 4 | in Section 2 contract duties on Exhibit 2, the first |
| 5 | the trial. You don't have to. The notes are destroyed | 5 | page at the bottom. You can see at the bottom there, |
| 6 | after the end of the trial. You can use your notes to | 6 | it says they agree among all the other things to |
| 7 | refresh your recollection. Don't show your notes to | 7 | provide a competent and well-trained on-site |
| 8 | somebody else. They might have heard it differently | 8 | Supervisor. And in this case that would be Mr. Sidney |
| 9 | than you. Just because somebody wrote it down doesn't | 9 | Chambers. |
| 10 | make it more true than the other person's recollection. | 10 | "For performance of the contract duties at |
| 11 | Don't get so caught up in taking notes | 11 | all times when said contract duties are being performed |
| 12 | that you miss something. I mean a lot of us in school, | 12 | and to maintain a continuing employee training program |
| 13 | I remember in law school before we had laptops and I | 13 | so as to ensure maximum efficiency of performance of |
| 14 | would write down practically every word. | 14 | the -- next page. At the top. "Performance of |
| 15 | You can get so caught up in taking notes | 15 | contract duties to insure the safety of all persons on |
| 16 | and making sure you've got everything right that you | 16 | the property and to keep the property free from |
| 17 | miss valuable testimony on the stand. So don't let | 17 | accumulation of waste, materials and rubbish, etc." |
| 18 | that happen to you. | 18 | So this was the contract that was entered |
| 19 | Any time you need to take a break, please | 19 | into by Allied security to keep the people on this |
| 20 | let us know. Any time you need to just stand up and | 20 | property safe. So that was their duty. |
| 21 | stretch because your back is killing you, just do so | 21 | And in doing that, they were to exercise, |
| 22 | quietly. I'll know what you're doing unless you wave | 22 | as you'll learn later, ordinary care. And ordinary |
| 23 | your hand and tell me something more. | 23 | care is to, among other things, to use your common |
| 24 | Any time you cannot here one of the | 24 | sense and good judgment. |
|  | Page 91 |  | Page 93 |
| 24 (Pages 90 to 93) <br> SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY <br> (312) 782-4705 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

If we go to 213, we'll look at $B$.
"Respond to all alarm conditions and allocations of suspicious activities."

Go to C. "To monitor and to enforce all
access control procedures, including identification of personnel and control of entry and exits to the property, and vital areas in accordance with the owner's expectations."
"D. To use reasonable efforts to deter persons observed attempting to gain or gaining unauthorized access to the property."
"F. To respond to suspicious incidents whether discovered by owners or tenants, take reports on items reported stolen or damaged from the building. When necessary and deemed appropriate, follow incidents to their conclusion."
"H. Respond to and provide assistance in security-related situations in conformance with common sense and good judgment in keeping with the owner's policies and procedures."

I , which is the next page 214. "Perform
any and all security service as may be set forth in
service provider's special orders from time to time agreed to by owner and service provider."
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their account manager, a gentleman by the name of Sidney Chambers. Now, the account manager on this day at that time was actually acting as the Supervisor of these officers, these security officers, including the other defendant in this particular case, Mr. Brown.

So Mr. Chambers, he's acting as a security guard. He's running from 3 to 1. I'm talking about the third floor where the concierge is down to the third floor where there's a train station where people are exiting. There's also some shops on 1 and 2.

Now, Mr. Chambers says somewhere we're estimating around 11:00, he sees Mr. J oe J ackson. And he remembers him because he said he looked like a puppet that he had when he was a child. And he said he appeared to him to be lost.

Now, if we go to the post orders which you'll hear more about, the post orders tell these security officers what they are supposed to do.

And when someone appears to be lost, they are deemed to be a person that is the equivalent of a trespasser or a suspicious person. And what are you supposed to do? If someone appears and says he's by the elevator on the first floor, they appear lost, their main function, first function of the security
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officer is to deter. They have a presence.
So what do they tell you to do? Go up to the person who appears lost, who's deemed by their own post orders to be suspicious, and you ask, may I help you, sir? Is there a reason why you're here? Do you have an ID?

You do that because you have a presence. You don't have a gun, but you have handcuffs. So that's what you're supposed to do.

What did Mr. Chambers do? Under oath you'll hear testimony an admission of his that he did nothing.

Now again, two to three hours later on this same day, he sees Mr. J ackson at around the same spot, the elevator on the first floor. What does he do? Does he go up and ask him what's your purpose here, sir? Why are you here? He does nothing.

Two to three hours later he sees
Mr. J ackson. He sees Mr. Jackson. What he's doing is he's coming up the escalator and he's going to the concierge desk to try to get in.

Now, he talks to the other security officer first, Mr. Brown. Mr. Brown points him to the concierge desk.

So Mr. J ackson, he's carrying a manila envelope, a thin manila envelope. We don't know why. He's dead. As we suspect, maybe he was pretending to be a messenger. He's caring it as you'll see on the video. He goes up and he lays it.

First Mr. Brown tells him, points to the concierge desk. He goes to the concierge desk. He places it on the desk. You can see there's nothing in it. And he hangs around and the concierge person does her job, says do you have an ID.

He goes to his pocket. And you'll see a
video of this. And someone else comes in. And then he walks away.

And he comes up to Mr. Brown who is standing there talking to another person and he stands there for about a minute and-a-half or so, just standing there, seemingly listening to this conversation.

They know because Mr. Chambers now, the account manager who is the Supervisor security officer watches this. They know he's gotten turned away by the concierge.

They are assuming lack of ID or something, but he's not listed upstairs which is required to get
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But that's the encounter. There's no code word used. There's no attempt by Mr. Brown to like say this guy is saying he hasn't done. Nothing.

And Chambers is there apparently within two seconds is about what it is on the video, for about two seconds. Because this is a guy he's seen wandering around the building for hours, turned away from the desk. And what does Chambers do? He stands there seemingly maybe walking towards the escalator.

At the same time Mr. J ackson is taking
Mr. Brown up through the security system they have where everybody has to have an ID. He's taking him through there. What he's doing is abandoning his post. Now, you cannot do that under this contract under these post orders.

Mr. Brown is required to stay on the third
floor, stay on the third floor at his post, and Chambers knows that. And he can only leave if Chambers approves.

You've got Chambers is right there highly suspicious of J ackson, and he's there for two seconds. He asks one question and again he's suspicious because he claims he looks at J ackson and Brown answers no.

Now, we can only know by what they say and
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upstairs as well. But he's turned away and they both know it. And he leaves.

He waits a minute and-a-half doing nothing, just listening to a conversation, with his little manila folder. And then he goes down the escalator.

So Mr. Chambers sees him again. Brown and Chambers talk again. And he goes down. And a minute and-a-half later or so he comes back up. He comes back up. And what does he do? He engages Mr. Brown by apparently saying to Mr. Brown, "I have a gun. I want you to take me upstairs."

Now, this is all, we don't have -- you see the counter there. And then Mr. Chambers comes up. And Mr. Chambers, now he's supposed to be the guy running the show for Allied Barton. He comes up and he says, he says he says to Mr. J ackson, "Do you have a problem?" Something along those lines. "Do you have an issue? Do you have a problem?"

And then he says that Brown says no.
Mr. Brown says J ackson said no. But whatever it is, you know, Chambers says I was highly suspicious. This guy has been around the building for hours, seemingly doing nothing.
what they did, but one thing we know they didn't do is in their post orders for workplace violence, there's a code. It's called code 9.

Now, Mr. Chambers said at his deposition when we took his deposition he said there is a code we use over the radio that we can use personally, and that's code 9 for workplace violence.

And yet, apparently Mr. Brown was so poorly trained, he doesn't know code, he doesn't know anything. But what happens now is Chambers is right here and Brown is heading up the elevators through the security system which everybody is supposed to have an ID. You're supposed to get it from the concierge.

We know J ackson has been turned away. Chambers knows he's been turned away, and admits it. Yet, Brown is taking him right through security, abandoning his post as his Supervisor is right there, spending barely two seconds watching a highly suspicious person, in his own words, walking off with the only security guard that is present on 3 for that purpose.

And we lead him up through the security system, double swiping him. Meaning that J ackson needed to have one of those ID's to swipe him up.

Everybody, either employees had to have an ID, or visitors had to have a temporary ID that they get at the desk.

So what does Brown do? He not only swipes himself through, he improperly swipes J ackson through. He does nothing, nothing, but leads Mr. J ackson who told him he has a gun up to where he wanted to go, to confront his lawyer who he claimed owed him \$1,000 bucks. It turns out it's $\mathbf{\$ 8 2 5 . 0 0}$.

And what is it about? It's about an event three years or so before. Mike McKenna was a patent lawyer. Joe J ackson came into the office. He thought he had a patent, because he was a truck driver, for a toilet that would go in the back of the truck, a portable toilet.

So he comes in with his minister, meets Mr. McKenna. Ruth Leib was there, too, was like the Office Manager or secretary of Mr. McKenna, paralegal. And he has this idea for a portable potty in a truck.

So McKenna charges him \$825.00. \$300.00 to $\mathbf{\$ 5 0 0 . 0 0}$ is for the process itself, because you have to go through the U.S. Patent Office.

So he sends it into see if there is a
patent. He gets the information back. The information
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it down for the right reason. Sure, he could have said oh, I'll look further and charge more money. No, this is it. He turned the case down. He said you don't have a case. So that was the end of it.

Because over a year before someone else had already applied for the same type of thing, a toilet in the back of a truck.

So that should have been the end of it. But unfortunately, we don't know what was going on in Mr. J ackson's mind, but a few years later --

And this was the only complaint
Mr. McKenna ever had in his career, and it bothered him. And he even talked to his daughter Amber about it. It bothered him that someone would complain, but that's the gist of it.

So at the end of the day what happens is Brown leads him up, abandons his post, double swipes.

Now, they are going to say oh, he's under duress. You'll hear experts. You'll hear Dr. Kenady, criminologist/ sociologist will talk about that.

You're not under duress unless you try to do something. You've got to do something, you know. If you don't know the code because you're so poorly trained, you know, do something.
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is over a year before, and you'll see this, over a year before someone else had applied for the same patent. And so you can't get a patent if someone already has applied.

And so what happens is Mr. McKenna within a short time after he gets the information back, turns the case down by letter. He says I 'm sorry, but someone has already applied for a patent.

In J ackson's mind apparently, he thought that he gave his patent out to someone else, because there was a different date on the bottom. But if you look closely at the document, you'll see over a year before there was an application filed for the patent, over a year before Mr. J ackson came in to his office.

So what Mr. J ackson did, he then filed a Complaint with the Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission and they looked into it. Mr. McKenna has to respond by letter saying what happened.

Once he responded to the ARDC, they are supposed to send the letter you sent to them to the complainant and then they decide if there's a situation here or not, if they should investigate further.

In this case they said clearly you turned
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And that's one of the criticisms, that
Allied Barton should have educated and trained on the code.

And there's various codes. Codes can be used all over security, and they are. If you've got a problem, officer in distress, whatever it is, you have to have something to signal.

Because what they did is they had a restraint policy that involved handcuffs, which is actually one of the things they trained Mr. Brown on, handcuffs.

Now, Mr. J ackson is about six-foot tall, about 170 pounds. Mr. Brown is $6^{\prime} \mathbf{2}^{\prime \prime}$, over 300 pounds. Mr. Chambers is $\mathbf{6}^{\prime} \mathbf{4}^{\prime \prime}$, 270 pounds.

And you may have been thinking based on voir dire that there was some gun, the guy was menacing a gun, he had a knife on the third floor, he was running around? No, no. He never even displayed a weapon until allegedly it was on the elevator. He never displayed a weapon.

Now, under these circumstances, what should have occurred is had he known the code, which for workplace violence it's code 9, code 9, then what happens is Chambers who's 6'4', 270 and Brown who's

6'2', 300-plus, they restrain him and they handcuff him. The guy is $\mathbf{6}$-foot, $\mathbf{6 0}$ years old who hasn't displayed a weapon. Because if he actually pulled a gun, then that restraint policy doesn't apply. But if he doesn't show the weapon, it applies.

They needed to do it there. They needed to do it then. They needed to do it now.

You're never going to have an opportunity, most likely, to have a trained person, and it requires two people to be present to use the restraining policy. There's never going to be that opportunity, because once you get to the law office, you've got older people, you've got women. You don't have, you cannot do it under their policy. You've got to have two people present. And it was right there.

I nstead Chambers, who's highly suspicious, walks away in two seconds. Brown apparently doesn't know the code. And it's over. It's over.

So what are you going to do? What he could have done? You go up and you've got to swipe in. I'm sorry. This won't work. Because everybody has got to have a pass. Do something. Make something up. If you're going to give up that wonderful opportunity there, do something.
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But instead, he double swipes him. Does it all for an excuse. Like saying here, you've got to get an ID because it won't work; an alarm will set off. Anything.

He's a very concrete thinker, I would suggest, Mr. Brown. He couldn't think of anything. He led J ackson up to the office on the third floor. They had to stop at 31, because it was a midrise, and crossover. And then they went up to 38.

And J ackson didn't know where he was going, nor apparently did Brown, because a lady lawyer directed them to where this Woods Phillips where Mr. McKenna had an office, where it was.

So then they are going into this office now.

And the important thing about this whole circumstance is when you're a security officer, you're trained. And you'll see these post orders and everything else, do not let something escalate. Talk him out of it. Delay it. Do what you can. Because once you get up on 38 and it escalates, we don't know what's going to happen, but it ain't gonna be good.

Because Brown testified he thought maybe it would just end; that Mr. McKenna could just give

Mr. J ackson whatever he thought he was entitled to and it would end.

J ackson has already committed a felony. It ain't gonna end good. This is not going to end good no matter what way you go. Jackson either will be carried out, or he's going to go to jail. Let's face it. This is not ending well. The only way it could have ended well was on the third floor or the first floor earlier. But it isn't going to end well when it escalates on 38. And it did. Totally predictable.

And what happens is apparently there's a problem with the door. Whether there was or not, Mr. Brown testifies that there wasn't; that he had to be buzzed in.

Now, there's others who will say there was a problem because the buzz door, the door you get buzzed in with squeaked too much, so they locked that one, and the other door they were opening manually. So the buzzer didn't work on this day. I don't know what the circumstances was, but that's some of the testimony.

It doesn't matter. Because you know what? If they used the code, if he knew the code, all he had to do was give Chambers a code. And let's say Chambers
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didn't want to take him down for some reason, which the restraint policy applied. But let's say, they could have at least used their intercom system and went into lockdown. That was available to them.

You call over the intercom system to all the tenants on the 4th floor to the 41st floor. There is a missing security guard. Please lockdown. Lock your doors. Don't let anyone in, including anyone who approaches you with a security guard. Go into lockdown.

They had it. They did nothing, nothing. And that's why we're here.

Now, they get up to the 38th floor. Counsel talked about the 38th floor. We're not disputing the fact that Mr. J ackson killed these people. He shot them. We're not disputing that. So he'll talk, most of his time will be talking about that, I suspect. But that's not in dispute.

What we do know is Ruth -- he came in there. Mr. Brown brought him in the office because he's got the uniform on. He said he wants to talk to Mr. McKenna. So she said, the receptionist, he's busy. Well, I need to speak to him.

So Ruth came out and Ruth said he said
that he was in a meeting with a client. And he was, the conference room was right there past the door, and you could see in the conference room.

He said I want to meet him I need to see
him. She said he's in an appointment. You'll have to take a message. So what did he do? He pulled a gun out. Either he had it in -- she's not sure if he had it in a manila envelope and pulled it out from under his coat or he pulled it out from his coat. She's not sure.

Obviously, she's shook up because he takes the gun and he puts it to Ruth's head and tells her you better get him. You better get him. So what's she going to do? What's Mr. Brown do?

Mr. Brown, the security guard, who took him right up, he says why did this have to happen during my shift? Why did this have to happen to me?

It's all about him? She's got a gun to
her head. That's the first time there's a gun to anyone's head, is to Ruth. So Ruth is shook up and she goes in and tells Michael, there's a guy out there with a gun and he wants to see you.

Now, Michael could have been like
Mr. Brown, I suppose, and tried to run out the back

Page 110
up and tried to engage him, and he tried to rush him one time when he was shooting. And eventually the SWAT team came up and engaged him and were able to take him out.

Now, with respect to the contract, you'll
have to decide whether they lived up to their contract, whether they lived up to the post orders, whether they were properly trained. These are all issues.

Whether they should have had some type of duress code, some signal that Brown should have known and should have given it to Mr. Chambers that for whatever reason let's say they didn't want to follow the restraint policy. They were going to call the police. If there was just a code that Chambers could go over and just put everybody on lockdown.

Nothing was done. Nothing. Except some poor guard who was only worried about himself, only worried about himself. How telling is that? Why did this all have to happen on my shift?

You have to decide, is that what we all expect of our security officers? Is that what we expect from our security contractors?

We make a lot of assumptions in life. We assume everybody's going to do their job. That they
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> door. Right? Tried to just hide. But no. He was a mediator. He's a guy who was going to try to see what the grievance was and talk to him.
> So Michael went out there to reception and he tried to explain this is the lawfirm, Wood Phillips. I work here. And while he was doing that, Mr. J ackson took the gun to the left side of his head and shot him.
> Now, he laid there for 40 minutes. And Mr. J ackson then took off down the hallway. He went up to a couple of the lawyers. He asked Mr. J ohn Mortimer if you're a lawyer and Mr. Mortimer said he wasn't, so he didn't shoot him.
> He came up to Mr. Hoover and he asked him if he's a lawyer and Mr. Hoover said he was and he shot him.
> And then he went up to Ruth and Ruth was saying she has children and screaming for her life and pleading for her life. And he took the gun and he's deciding he wasn't going to shoot her in the head. He shot her in the foot.
> And then he took Mr. Kotin's client as a hostage and dragged him around for a while and then shot him.
> In the meantime, and Officer Tenton came
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are properly trained. We make assumptions like this. We take it for granted.

Is that what the tenants at 500 West
Madison would expect of their security officers, that they didn't even know code words?

They didn't take advantage of the restraint system, the handcuff policy which was one of the few things they were trained on? In addition, the allegedly workplace violence post order that Brown was supposed to be trained on?

If he was, he must have forgot, because if he was trained on that, as I said, actions are louder than words.

He can come in here and say all he wants about how they do this or do that or did this or did that, but what did he do? You'll see it on video what he did. He did nothing. Chambers, the person who is so highly suspicious, walked away.

You're going to have to decide this, whether it's appropriate to be improperly trained, to disregard the post orders, to turn a blind eye because you're more concerned about any possible risk to you apparently?

I mean there's a risk in life for
everything we do. And if you're going to handcuff someone, if you're going to have to put someone out of the building, you've got someone who's drunk, you've got to get him out of the building.

There's always risks. But you know, you
need to minimize the risk.
But if you're a security officer, you've got to do your job. You've got to protect people. You've got to protect the employees. You've got to protect the visitors. You've got to protect the tenants. That was your contract.

When the evidence after this case is done, you'll see that Brown protected known. He put his own life at risk stupidly.

How do you think you're in better shape going upstairs when you have Sidney Chambers who's 6'4" 270 right there? How do you ever figure if you're going to take someone out, you've got to do it now here. Not travel up the elevator with a guy if indeed he had a gun. Because he hadn't even seen a gun yet.

So when the questions were asked in voir
dire about gun to your head, there was no gun to his head, by his own admission. Until the elevator it wasn't even to his head. It was shown to him on the
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bike trip. And he just met her casually. And then at the bike trip they got very friendly and they got serious and they got married. And it's from his marriage to his first wife Michael had Matthew, Warren and Amber who were in their -- who were adults.

But when he married Suzanne, they had a baby J onah. And J onah was two and-a-half. And Michael died about ten years after his first wife Barbara died.

And I'll show you a photograph of the family. 63-C and 003. This is the family. 63-007. This is a little later and you'll see J onah. That's Michael and he's got J onah there at Christmas. This is 2005. And then we have 63-B 006. This is Michael with J onah.

This didn't need to happen. It was preventable on 1 if Sidney Chambers had done his job. It was preventable on 3 if Brown and Chambers had done their job. They should never have gotten to 38.

We'll hear from Dr. Kenady, sociologist/
criminologist, who said when they asked him about fault, isn't this, as counsel has suggested in his questioning, this is all about Mr. J ackson. And he said you know, it's sort of like the fox and the farmer. What do you mean?
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elevator, according to his own testimony. We know it wasn't to his head when he walked off into the office because Ruth was there.

The first time there was a gun to a head was to a middle-aged secretary, a middle-aged secretary.

Duress. Do your job. The evidence will show at the end of the day he didn't do his job. He didn't protect anyone. He worried about himself.

And there's nothing more telling than the statement why did this happen to me on my shift? Sad to say. Sad to say.

And Chambers didn't do his job. He ignored the post orders. He walked away in two seconds. He abandoned his post. He double swiped J ackson through, violating all their post orders and all their rules.

Sad. Sad. Because at the end of the day, and I 'll show you we haven't talked about it, the McKennas. I represent Suzanne McKenna, his wife.

His first wife, Michael's first wife died at 49 suddenly. I don't know if it was a reaction to food or what it was, but she died suddenly. And a few years later he met Suzanne on a training for a charity
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He said well, if the farmer has a hen house and he leaves the door to the hen house open and the fox comes along and he eats the hes, who's at fault, the farmer or the fox? When you think about it, in this case, the farmer's assistant took the fox right to the hen house.

When so he talks about 38, everything happened on 38, remember that. Remember the fox and the farmer. The farmer's assistant and the poor hens.

Thank you, ladies and gentlemen.
MR. ROGERS: If it please the Court, counsel, counsel. Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.

This is only one of two opportunities that I get to speak directly to you. Other than this, you will hear about this case from the witness stand when the witnesses are called, through the reading of what the Court has determined to be admissions, admissions against the defendant, and through the presentation of documents like the contract that Mr. Power talked to you about, the contract that Allied Barton Security committed to provide, amongst other things, the protection of the tenants' lives in 500 West Madison.

I believe after you hear all that evidence, hear the testimony by way of admissions of

| 1 | the defendants, see what their policies, master | 1 | Sidney Chambers, he felt that J oe J ackson was |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | security officer handbook requirements and their post | 2 | suspicious on the third floor. You will hear him admit |
| 3 | orders require, I think that we will have met our | 3 | that. |
| 4 | burden of establishing that had they complied with | 4 | "Observe. Criminal acts or rule |
| 5 | their duties. | 5 | infractions at or near your post which may be a threat |
| 6 | Had they met their obligations, it's more | 6 | to the facility, the client or employees at your work |
| 7 | likely than not that Mr. McKenna, Mr. Hoover, | 7 | site." |
| 8 | Mr. Goodson would be alive today, and Miss Leib would | 8 | As Mr. Power touched upon, J oe J ackson was |
| 9 | not have been injured. | 9 | seen on the first floor. And despite all of the people |
| 10 | Before I get into what I believe the | 10 | that go through that property, Sidney Chambers admits |
| 11 | evidence will show, and I'm going to move it along. I | 11 | observing him not once, but twice on the first level, |
| 12 | know it's been a long day for all of us. I want to | 12 | acting and looking lost. |
| 13 | thank you for your service. | 13 | And you will hear that those are the types |
| 14 | You all spent a lot of time going through | 14 | of suspicious activities that Allied Barton security |
| 15 | a lot of questions probing your personal lives. And I | 15 | officers are supposed to pay attention to and not |
| 16 | know it's probably an interruption of your lives and | 16 | ignore, but respond to, do something. |
| 17 | your days, but trust me, and I think I speak for both | 17 | And it will be, I would suggest to you, |
| 18 | the plaintiffs and the defendants when I say, we | 18 | uncontested that on those not one but two occasions on |
| 19 | vitally depend on you to be fair people, to decide this | 19 | the first floor, Sidney Chambers did nothing. |
| 20 | case based upon the evidence that you hear, the | 20 | When we talk about the obligations and the |
| 21 | testimony you hear, the evidence presented before you, | 21 | expectations and the duties of a security officer, they |
| 22 | and then to render justice. | 22 | aren't duties obligations and training that we made up. |
| 23 | Our system is one where judges, despite | 23 | They are those imposed by Allied Barton. And let's |
| 24 | their familiarity with the law, they don't decide these | 24 | talk about a few of those. |
|  | Page 118 |  | Page 120 |
| 1 | cases. We put them in the hands of our peers, and we | 1 | They have a master security officers' |
| 2 | decide our dispute by presenting evidence that we | 2 | handbook that sets forth the training, the expectations |
| 3 | believe bears on the question and entrusting that you | 3 | and requirements that they place upon their officers. |
| 4 | will be fair and render a just verdict. | 4 | If I could direct you to 5-157. Allied |
| 5 | So I want to thank you for that service. | 5 | security officers, their patrol objectives delineates |
| 6 | Trust me that we depend upon it. It is what prevents | 6 | specifically, protect life. It doesn't say armed and |
| 7 | this vigilantism and a just society. | 7 | unarmed as this distinction is attempted to make to |
| 8 | Mr. Power talked to you about the | 8 | you. It says Allied security officers' patrol |
| 9 | contract. I wanted to touch upon that. Turn to 19-11, | 9 | objectives are to protect life and property. |
| 10 | please. | 10 | "Your primary responsibility as an Allied |
| 11 | On-duty procedures. There's no question | 11 | Barton security officer is to protect life and |
| 12 | that these officers, security officer Brown and Sidney | 12 | property. This means providing a safe environment for |
| 13 | Chambers, the account manager and the Supervisor that | 13 | our client's employees guests and the general public? |
| 14 | day were on duty. And you will hear repeatedly over | 14 | That was what was expected by Allied of |
| 15 | the course of the case the discussion of deter, observe | 15 | Allied's security officers. |
| 16 | and report; deter, detect, observe and report. | 16 | Page 5-182. "Things to remember about |
| 17 | "Deter. The basic functions of an Allied | 17 | patrols. The primary objective of a security patrol is |
| 18 | Barton Officer are as follows: To serve as a general | 18 | to protect life and property." Reiterating to the |
| 19 | security presence and visible deterrent by continually | 19 | security officers what the expectation is upon them. |
| 20 | performing your duties in an alert, professional | 20 | And again, as Mr. Power pointed out on the |
| 21 | manner." | 21 | contract, what Allied committed and contracted to with |
| 22 | "Detect. Suspicious activities." | 22 | regard to the property at 500 West Madison Street. |
| 23 | You will hear through the admission | 23 | "The second objective of a security patrol |
| 24 | testimony the Court has deemed to be admissions of | 24 | is to deter, detect, observe and report illegitimate |
|  | Page 119 |  | Page 121 |
|  |  |  | 31 (Pages 118 to 121) |
| SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY <br> (312) 782-4705 |  |  |  |

activities."
Page 5-189. This is an important one because $I$ think this is very germane to what happened here.
"A security officer's role is to deter
crime. Crime, especially violent and dramatic acts, capture our imagination."

Let's go to the bottom paragraph, the last
two sentences. "You may receive a report of a crime from a co-worker, or you may happen upon a crime in progress. Although these situations are rare, you must learn the proper response procedures to minimize and control the situation until police arrive."

That's important here. And let's talk about the facts here.

The evidence will show that after Sidney
Chambers observed what he thought looked to be a lost person on the first floor, he then saw J oe J ackson on the third floor.

As Joe J ackson came up, he approached
officer Brown, officer Brown directed him to the visitor's desk. He goes to the visitor's desk. He asks for identification. He cannot find or does not produce identify despite fumbling around.
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He's turned away. He turns and goes to Officer Brown. Officer Brown is engaged in some casual conversation with someone else in the building.

Mr. J ackson hangs around there a bit.
Then he goes down the escalator. A few minutes later he comes back up the escalator. He goes to Brown. And importantly, Sidney Chambers sees him approaching. Sidney Chambers recognizes that he is the man that he had observed hours earlier.

Sidney Chambers says I went over there because of my suspiciousness. I was suspicious of him. And he stood between the two of them. Sidney Chambers, you will hear what the Court has deemed to be an admission, felt threatened by Joe J ackson because of the way he was standing with his hands not visible in his pockets.

He engaged him and said is there a problem, looking him in his eyes, looking J oe J ackson in his eyes on the third floor. And instead of Joe J ackson responding, Mr. Brown said quickly no, there is no problem.

Sidney Chambers felt that J oe J ackson was standing unusually close to Mr. Brown at the time. Again, on the third floor. He felt he was unusually
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close to him. He felt he was suspicious because he had been around the building for hours. He thought it was suspicious how quickly Mr. Brown responded to a question that Sidney Chambers directed to Mr. J ackson. And what did he do? Did he know what to do in that situation? The testimony will be he walked away. He walked away.

And as he walked away, and you will see on the video clip, Mr. J ackson walked standing next to Mr. Brown directly toward the turnstiles to get upstairs. And Sidney Chambers acknowledges that he knew that at that point in time, he knew that J oe J ackson had already been denied entry into the building.

The evidence will show that when J oe J ackson got up into the suite, he had a bag with him, a bag that had a large sledge like type hammer in it. He had a chain. He had a knife. And he had this gun.

5-193. Why is this significant? As an Allied Barton security officer, one of your duties and responsibilities is to look for carrying a concealed weapon.

I'm sorry. Back up for a second. Common crimes we see every day. Crime prevention and
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response. This is part of the master security officer lesson. Looking for carried and concealed weapons.

How did J oe J ackson get past both Robert Brown and Sidney Chambers with a bag with a hammer, chain, knife and all of these items and not be detected?

You can look to the left of that section, the little guy on the left carrying a concealed weapon. They are supposed to look for things that look uncomfortable and ask what is that under the robe. The duties and responsibilities of Allied security officers. The evidence will be that none of that happened on the third floor.

Page 5-196. In the crime prevention and response section of their lesson book, the most important thing it tells you at the bottom, the most important, do you know what to do when someone tries to violate your work site access control procedures. You need to know.

The testimony will be that when Robert Brown was on that third floor, he will -- I believe the evidence will show that J oe J ackson, the suspicious person who was unusually close to him, had an envelope, didn't display a weapon to him; told him I have a
weapon and did not display any weapon until he got upstairs.

Despite Sidney Chambers standing in front of him being suspicious, there was no code word expressed. There was no indication of a problem. In fact, there was an indication to Mr. Chambers that there was no problem by Mr. Brown. He did absolutely nothing, absolutely nothing to protect these individuals that were on the 38th floor.

As Mr. Powers talked about, he walked
Mr. J ackson to the turnstiles, he swiped himself in, he swiped Mr. J ackson in, he got to the 38th floor.

The receptionist, seeing a security guard,
allowed them into the suite. And then everything happened thereafter.

So I expect that you will hear from
counsel about everything that happened on the 38th floor, but I'd suggest to you that that's the end of the story. There were things that were missed. There were opportunities to intervene.

There was an inclination that this man was suspicious, that he was unusually close to Mr. Brown, that Mr. Brown was responding. They were the warning signs that allow you to prevent tragedies like occurred
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Brown did not let him know through a code message word or any indication that there was a problem. Call the police. Call over the intercom, notify the people upstairs that there's a problem. He never hesitated.

5-315. Your role in preventing workplace violence. Warning signs. Suspicious activity. Again, their training indicates those things matter to pay attention to them.

Sidney Chambers acknowledges he felt he was suspicious on the third floor. You'll see the video that shows he just walks away.

Finally, the post orders that you'll hear about, 6-042. Visitor access control. This was specifically applicable to that property. The top section.

MB Real Estate, that was the manager of the property that they contracted with Allied to provide the service requires visitors to be pre-authorized and logged into the facility.
"Your primary responsibilities include verifying the visit, contacting the client rep, and denying access to the visitor until proper authorization is received."

They failed to deny that, and in fact,
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on December 8th of 2006. Nonetheless, nothing was happening.

You're going to see, and I'm going to flip
through another couple of training procedures. 5-197. Watching for suspicious persons is exactly what Allied Barton personnel was trained to do.
"Always be alert for people who are acting in a suspicious manner. Question them politely but firmly. Ma'am, can I help you with something. This is private property. Can I ask what you're looking for?" Those things were never effectively done.

Loitering on the property. Page 5-198. "People who are standing around who do not appear to be conducting any legitimate business." That's loitering.

He was on that first level on not one, but two occasions, hours apart in the same area. After he was denied entry on the third floor, you'll see him on the videos hovering around Mr. Brown, really not doing anything.

Page 5-226. Dealing with potential intruders. The bottom paragraph. "If you are confronted with a dangerous person or feel threatened, call for backup and police immediately."

Sidney Chambers came over there and Robert
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walked Mr. J ackson up to the 38th floor.
Let me just conclude by saying I have the pleasure of representing the Hoover estate. If I could direct you to 65-17.

You'll hear testimony that Mr. Hoover was a lawyer. He was not affiliated in a law firm practice with Mr. McKenna. He happened to be at work that day. And he happened to be a lawyer. And because of what was not done on the third floor, he lost his life.

The picture is of him, his wife, his daughter and his son.

65-09. That's Mr. Hoover and his wife Louise attending their daughter's wedding.

And I will have a chance to talk more about them toward the end of the case.

Thank you for your kind attention. We look forward to presenting the case to you.

THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. Mr. Kotin?
MR. KOTI N: May it please the Court, counsel, Mr. Power, Mr. Power, Mr. Rogers.

Ladies and gentlemen, just like during the jury selection process, I am the third person to speak to you here this afternoon. And I could not do nearly as good a job as Mr. Power and Mr. Rogers just did in
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| 1 | sharing with you the basis of our collective claim | 1 | and into the Wood Phillips offices where he could do |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | against these defendants here, so I'm not going to | 2 | the harm he did. |
| 3 | waste your time by trying to repeat anything or rehash | 3 | You're going to hear a lot of witnesses in |
| 4 | anything. | 4 | this case. You'll hear from experts in this case. But |
| 5 | But what I will do very concisely is | 5 | I think at the core of it, folks, it's really not a |
| 6 | explain to you how the evidence in this case will prove | 6 | very complicated case at all. |
| 7 | who is responsible for the harms and the losses | 7 | There was a bad man who came to the 500 |
| 8 | suffered by these four victims and their families on | 8 | West Madison building with the intention of killing |
| 9 | December 8th, 2006. | 9 | people on the 38th floor. |
| 10 | And what you have to keep in mind is that | 10 | Allied Barton security officers were on |
| 11 | this case is not about J oe J ackson. J oe J ackson, I | 11 | site downstairs to guard against this exact type of |
| 12 | think it's pretty clear, was a bad man. He was a bad | 12 | person. We say they failed. We say they were |
| 13 | person who had bad intentions on December 8th, 2006. | 13 | negligent. And because of that, these terrible harms |
| 14 | He had intentions to kill people that day. And all he | 14 | and losses occurred. |
| 15 | needed to do was to make his way to the 38th floor of | 15 | I 'll say no more about the liability part |
| 16 | the 500 West Madison building so he could do what he | 16 | of the case. You've already heard enough about that |
| 17 | wanted to do. Once there, there was no stopping J oe | 17 | and you'll hear much more about that as the trial goes |
| 18 | J ackson's bad intentions. | 18 | on. |
| 19 | Nobody in this courtroom will claim there | 19 | But I do need to talk to you -- can we see |
| 20 | is a surprise when you say there's the existence of an | 20 | 660-08. |
| 21 | evil person intent on killing others. Nobody is | 21 | I do need to talk to you for just a couple |
| 22 | surprised by that. There have been bad people | 22 | of moments about one of the victims, Paul Goodson. I |
| 23 | intending to kill others since long before J oe J ackson | 23 | need to talk to you about his death which happened that |
| 24 | was around. And unfortunately, there will be bad | 24 | day in the most horrific of ways and about the family |
|  | Page 130 |  | Page 132 |
|  | people intent on killing others long after we're all | 1 | that he left behind. 66-006. |
| 2 | gone. | 2 | This is a photograph of Paul Goodson and |
| 3 | But you'll learn and you probably already | 3 | his family. That's Paul there on the bottom left. And |
| 4 | know that as a society, we guard against these people, | 4 | seated next to him, that's his mom. Her name was |
| 5 | against this reality that exists in the world. | 5 | Blanche. And this was a family picture taken at |
| 6 | And that's exactly what the owners and | 6 | Blanche's 100th birthday party. It was eight years |
| 7 | managers of the $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ West Madison building did when they | 7 | before Paul was killed. Blanche ended up living until |
| 8 | hired Allied Barton Security to provide security and | 8 | 103. |
| 9 | protect of the lives of the 3,000 people that worked | 9 | The Goodsons are from a small town called |
| 10 | every day in that highrise office complex. | 10 | Princeton, I ndiana which is about $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ miles south of |
| 11 | And so this case is not about what J oe | 11 | here right on the Illinois/ I ndiana border. Paul |
| 12 | J ackson did that day. This case is about Allied Barton | 12 | graduated from Princeton High School. He was born in |
| 13 | and its security officers and the negligence that they | 13 | 1928 and he graduated from Princeton High School and |
| 14 | performed and what they did and didn't do in allowing | 14 | World War II was still going on. So he enlisted in the |
| 15 | Joe J ackson to make his way to that office. | 15 | Navy to defend our country. |
| 16 | Specifically, you've heard a little bit | 16 | You'll learn that the war ended shortly |
| 17 | about it. You're going to hear about multiple | 17 | after Paul enlisted, but he finished his tour of duty |
| 18 | opportunities that Allied Barton and their officers had | 18 | honorable discharge and then he came back to I ndiana |
| 19 | to stop J oe J ackson from making it up to that office. | 19 | where he got his undergraduate degree in education. He |
| 20 | And I don't know whether it's because of | 20 | got a Master's in education from I ndiana State |
| 21 | poor training or just simple negligence on the part of | 21 | University. Then he taught school in Indiana for a |
| 22 | the security officers, but they didn't just not stop | 22 | little while. |
| 23 | J oe J ackson from getting up there, they essentially | 23 | He went over to J apan, taught school, |
| 24 | escorted him through the turnstile and up the elevator | 24 | taught English in schools in J apan and then Morocco. |
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He came back, moved to Chicago where he taught school for many, many years.

And you'll learn that once he retired from teaching, he engaged in several different jobs in the Chicago area. He was a driver for a Senator. He worked at a downtown hotel.

And for the last few years of his life, Paul worked part-time as a mail clerk for the Wood Phillips law firm at 500 West Madison. He would show up in the afternoons and he would sort the mail and then deliver it to the different lawyers in the office.

And you'll hear that Paul loved that job,
not just because he made a little bit of money, but because he loved of he emotional outlet it provided being around all these people every day. It made him happy. And it was in that environment where his life came to such a terrible end.

You're going to hear about the last minutes of Paul Goodson's life and the pain, physical pain and the emotional suffering he experienced. And that's very much part of this case. But you're also going to hear about what he left behind, who he left behind. 66-006 again, please.

Paul was the second oldest of the Goodson
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family. That's his brother Howard. He was a few years older than Paul. Howard was in ill health when Paul was murdered. He had a heart condition. He was out in California. Paul visited Howard a few months before he was killed and that visit meant so much to his big brother. You'll hear about that.

And you'll hear about how important the phone calls were that Howard and Paul had in those months. And you'll hear about how devastated Howard was about the loss of his brother. Howard died nine months later.

His sister was Mary. She was also very sick when Paul was killed. She died about three years later.

Then there's Roger and the baby of the family, John. Roger and J ohn are younger than Paul and they are very much alive, and you're going to meet them. They'll be here next week.

And Roger and John are going to tell you about the Paul Goodson that other people might not know about. They'll talk to you about his interests in art and cooking. They'll tell you about their relationship with their big brother and how when they were kids, they might not have known Paul too well because he was
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so much older, but when they got older, they grew closer together, particularly when their parents died and they were now the oldest generation.

Folks, I don't want to take any more of your time. You're going to learn much more about the Goodsons, so I'm going to stop now. And then Mr. Power is going to be able to speak to you about Mrs. Leib.

But pretty soon we're going to sit down, and then Mr. Patton will have an opportunity to give his opening statement on behalf of Allied Barton and Robert Brown. And I can assure you that Mr. Patton intends to defend this case vigorously.

I expect that you are going to hear a lot about $J$ oe $J$ ackson and what he did on the 38th floor of the 500 West Madison building that day.

I don't expect you're going to hear much about Allied Barton's security and what they did or didn't do downstairs on the first floor or on the third floor in the hours and minutes before this happened.

But at the end of this case, ladies and gentlemen, we'll have an opportunity, as counsel has mentioned already, to talk to you again in closing argument. And at that time we will ask you to conclude that the evidence has proven that Allied Barton and

Page 136
their security guards, Chambers and Brown, were negligent on December 8th, 2006, and that because of that, these four victims were shot and three of them killed.

For that we're going to ask you to return a substantial dollar verdict.

Thank you so much for your attention. And I 'll now let Mr. Power finish up for the plaintiffs. MR. J AMES POWER: Good afternoon everyone. Counsel, counsel.

I 'm here on behalf of Ruth Leib. And we believe that the evidence will show that on December 8th, 2006, Ruth Leib's world was turned upside-down. The evidence will show that she not only lost her employer, Mike McKenna, but that she lost her best friend and her confidante as well.

Ruth worked for Mike for 14 years, and over that time, their relationship developed from that of employer employee into a true friendship.

Ruth described Mike as her best friend, and Mike described Ruth as his work friend.

Now, you will hear that for Ruth, the world slowed down as J oe J ackson began to chain the doors, and that she told herself this must not be real
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as Joe J ackson put a gun to her head and demanded that she get Mike McKenna.

You will hear that she witnessed her best friend call fall to the floor after he was shot in the back of the head. And you will hear about the guilt that Ruth lives with every day for feeling responsible for leading Mike from the conference room into the office lobby.

You will hear that she experienced that
same fear as J oe J ackson the second time pointed his gun at her head. You will hear how she begged for her life, telling J ackson that she had babies at home, and how J ackson then took his gun, scanned her body and decided where to shoot.

You will hear about the third death that
Ruth witnessed on that day, the death of Alan Hoover as they together hid in the docketing room of the law firm.

Finally, you will hear that the things
Ruth witnessed and experienced that day have never left her.

Not only do the $\mathbf{3 0}$ pieces of shrapnel left
by Joe J ackson's bullet remain in her foot, but you will hear the post-traumatic stress she now suffers as
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THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Mr. Patton? MR. PATTON: May it please the Court. Counsel. Counsel. Ladies and gentlemen of jury.

Before I start my opening statement, I would like to introduce you to Robert Brown.

As Judge Powell told you earlier, opening statements are not evidence. The evidence is going to come from the witnesses in this case. Documents you may see, and other evidence her Honor admits for you to consider.

What I will say at the front end of my comments is it's a good thing that there's videotape of some of the key events, some of which I'm going to show you shortly, that will prove to you that the only person to be blamed in this case is J oe J ackson.

It may be a little bit mind boggling to
hear these opening statements and not hear anything about J oe J ackson. There's a whole lot of evidence about Joe J ackson, but I guess there's no benefit to try to blame him.

Joe Jackson wasn't -- this wasn't
workplace violence. This was domestic terrorism.
And I agree with Mr. Kotin when he said these are things that happen in our society.
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Unfortunately, they happen in our society. And there are going to be times like this case where you can't stop it.

If we apply -- the evidence will show, if we apply the comments that you heard from counsel to this situation, the evidence is going to show you there would have been mass murder on the third floor. Their own experts agreed with that. But you didn't hear that in the hour and $\mathbf{1 5}$ minutes that they tried to convince you don't think about J oe; think about Robert, think about Mr. Chambers.

Yet, there is so much evidence in this case that will lead you to the inescapable conclusion J ackson was a suicidal executioner, executioner.

And this wasn't, what they left out, this wasn't him walking down the street on December 8th and saying you know what? I think today would be a good day to go and murder my former lawyer, because that isn't the evidence.

The evidence is going to show you that this was a well-planned, well-planned assault on not only Mr. McKenna, but everybody in that firm, everybody in that firm.

How do we know that? Well, one thing we

| 1 | know, if we can put up Defense Exhibit 709, something | 1 | weapons, came down to 500 West Madison Street and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | you didn't hear much about earlier, what do we see | 2 | succeeded in what his plan was. It wasn't a |
| 3 | here? That is a small sledge hammer, not what was | 3 | spur-of-the-moment thing. |
| 4 | described earlier, that the experts believe he brought | 4 | Now let's talk a little bit about 500 West |
| 5 | to shatter the doors to a law office in the event they | 5 | Madison. I think some of you folks have said that |
| 6 | were locked. As it turns out, they were broken and you | 6 | you've gone through the building. It's been around for |
| 7 | could walk right in. | 7 | decades. It does have about 100,000 commuters a day |
| 8 | He brought with him a chain and a lock | 8 | come through the building. That transportation center |
| 9 | which the evidence does show when he got into the law | 9 | is on the second floor. |
| 10 | offices, he chained the door so nobody could escape. | 10 | The first floor as you come in off |
| 11 | And then you didn't hear much about this. | 11 | Madison, and as you'll see, the way that Mr. J ackson |
| 12 | He brought a snub-nosed 38 pistol with about 20 rounds | 12 | came in, he came in off Washington Street. And that is |
| 13 | of ammunition. That wasn't an individual that had a | 13 | the food court and shopping. |
| 14 | misunderstanding or however they are characterizing | 14 | This is a public building. This is a |
| 15 | him. And a hunting knife which I'll talk about in a | 15 | building that encourages all types, all shapes and |
| 16 | minute, he pulled out to stab Mr. McKenna after | 16 | sizes of people to come in and hang out. |
| 17 | shooting him in the head. | 17 | You don't get kicked out of this building, |
| 18 | So J oe J ackson, the evidence will show, | 18 | as I'll show you in some of the specific documents that |
| 19 | was not going to be stopped, was not going to be | 19 | weren't shown you earlier. You don't get kicked out |
| 20 | stopped, because we also know he was suicidal. | 20 | because you're lost. You don't get kicked out because |
| 21 | His plan was to go up there, kill as many | 21 | you're standing around doing nothing. |
| 22 | people as possible, and then either shoot himself, as | 22 | People come to this building. This is in |
| 23 | we know sometimes happens, or as what actually happened | 23 | December. The building had nice Christmas stuff you'll |
| 24 | this day, the only, the only individuals that could | 24 | see in some of these photos. They come here to eat. |
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| 1 | stop J ackson was the SWAT team. Not even the Chicago | 1 | There's a bar. They come there to drink. |
| 2 | police officers could stop him. You didn't hear that | 2 | The third floor and the only floor that |
| 3 | in the speeches before. | 3 | Mr. Chambers saw Mr. J ackson, you'll hear that in a |
| 4 | J oe J ackson had some -- it's hard to | 4 | minute, the third floor is the lobby for the tenants. |
| 5 | characterize, but he really hated his lawyer. It was | 5 | And there's about 3,000 tenants that access through |
| 6 | touched upon a little bit earlier. | 6 | that lobby. And so we have an awful lot of people |
| 7 | He felt I 'm going to say four years before | 7 | hanging out in the lobby. |
| 8 | this rampage, he felt he had come up with a unique | 8 | In fact, we actually have sitting areas |
| 9 | design for truck drivers. He was a truck driver. And | 9 | for people to come, sit down, stand, because they are |
| 10 | he went to Mr. McKenna and he presented him with that | 10 | waiting for people to call up and have people come down |
| 11 | idea. And Mr. McKenna then did what patent lawyers do, | 11 | and get them. They are waiting for the train. We have |
| 12 | researched it and found the design had already been | 12 | a lot of people in this building. And that has been |
| 13 | patented, and told Mr. McKenna I can't help you. | 13 | true for decades. |
| 14 | For whatever reasons we'll never know, but | 14 | So through the eyes of NACA, you didn't |
| 15 | J ackson in his mind felt that the lawyer had cheated | 15 | hear that mentioned, NACA, they are the owners. And |
| 16 | him. | 16 | then you have MB Realty. You see their signs around. |
| 17 | You'll hear he screamed up in the suite | 17 | They are the managers for the building. And our |
| 18 | back to the cops or to whoever was listening after he | 18 | contract was with NACA and MB Realty. |
| 19 | had already executed a few of the lawyers, that he felt | 19 | And what is important about all of this is |
| 20 | that McKenna had cheated him out of \$30 to \$50 million. | 20 | NACA and MB Realty has been managing that building for |
| 21 | I mean none of that is really relevant. | 21 | years, and they know the kind of people that come |
| 22 | No one is blaming the law firm. But this is the depth | 22 | through that building every day. They are the ones |
| 23 | of the hatred that had festered in this man over the | 23 | that decide what level of security they want in the |
| 24 | years to the point where he assembled all of these | 24 | building. |
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| 1 | We don't come to them and say hey, we | 1 | they are to observe problems and call the police if |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | think you should have this, you should have that. They | 2 | they can't ask you to leave the building. That's it. |
| 3 | decide. And of course they had years and years of | 3 | Only if there's a felony, only if we've |
| 4 | other security companies providing security for that | 4 | called the police first, only if we have two guards |
| 5 | building. | 5 | there and a Supervisor approves can we attempt to |
| 6 | We replaced one on a bid, and nothing | 6 | restrain you. |
| 7 | changed as to the level of services NACA and MB Realty | 7 | But they left out the most important |
| 8 | wanted, nothing new. They just liked us this time | 8 | document addressing restraints. Never do that if the |
| 9 | around. | 9 | person is armed. |
| 10 | And we were there for two years before | 10 | We're not armed. We've got thousands of |
| 11 | this accident. And so we had two years that we're | 11 | people everywhere. We're not going to escalate a |
| 12 | going to talk about, about the kind of things that our | 12 | situation. We're not trained. This isn't Steven |
| 13 | security company would do and wouldn't do. | 13 | Seagal. Right? |
| 14 | But thank goodness we have a lot of | 14 | These are guards in suits that are at |
| 15 | documents here that weren't shown you in the speeches | 15 | different locations, looking for people that may be |
| 16 | by the four prior attorneys, such as we wear suits and | 16 | causing a problem. We're there to look for trash on |
| 17 | ties. We don't carry guns. We are specifically | 17 | the ground that we pick up. We're there in case a pipe |
| 18 | instructed -- we don't put our hands on anybody. We | 18 | bursts. We report that. We're there to keep the |
| 19 | are greeters in this building. We're supposed to | 19 | tenant happy. That's our job. That wasn't mentioned |
| 20 | interact. | 20 | earlier. |
| 21 | And you'll see we're supposed to have a | 21 | You heard some comments about the ID |
| 22 | straight posture and smile and never say anything mean | 22 | system. We don't run the I Ds. That's a separate |
| 23 | to anybody. If somebody is standing around, the most | 23 | company. That wasn't mentioned to you. That's |
| 24 | we do is go up to them and say can we help you? | 24 | Concierge Unlimited, I think is the name of the |
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| 1 | This isn't a building that's had a history | 1 | company. |
| 2 | of violence. Zero. | 2 | And you will hear from Rosario, the young |
| 3 | Now, we get shoplifters. We get drunks | 3 | lady that actually had a chance to interact with |
| 4 | from the restaurants and coming off a train or going to | 4 | Mr. J ackson, and she will tell you he wasn't |
| 5 | the train. Panhandlers, homeless people. That's who | 5 | suspicious. |
| 6 | we deal with day in and day out. | 6 | Their job if they feel that if somebody is |
| 7 | And we do have a responsibility. I'm | 7 | checking in and doing something wrong, their job is |
| 8 | embracing the contract they just talked about. We're | 8 | then to ask one of the officers if they can come over |
| 9 | there for safety. Don't get that wrong. | 9 | and see if they can't straighten out the problem. |
| 10 | Except, you'll see all these documents | 10 | So you have three eyes on Mr. J ackson on |
| 11 | that say we can't wrestle with you. We can't put our | 11 | the third floor. You had Chambers, you had Robert and |
| 12 | hands on you. We're not allowed to do that. | 12 | you had Rosario. And none of them, none of them felt |
| 13 | The only situation counsel showed you when | 13 | that he was acting in a suspicious way. |
| 14 | he says about restraints and the handcuffs, let's not | 14 | So what did you hear earlier? Mr. Kotin |
| 15 | be misled. Let's go by what the documents actually | 15 | suggested that I won't talk about the third floor. I'm |
| 16 | say. | 16 | going to spend a ton of time talking about the third |
| 17 | The only time, the only time we can | 17 | floor. I won't talk about the first floor. I won't |
| 18 | consider restraining somebody is if we have seen them | 18 | talk about the second floor. |
| 19 | commit a felony. But that's not -- we don't jump you | 19 | Well, he's probably right on that, because |
| 20 | at that point. | 20 | Mr. J ackson was never on the first floor. Mr. J ackson |
| 21 | The guidelines, because they don't want us | 21 | was never on the second floor that morning as |
| 22 | fighting, our job is to call the police. And that is | 22 | Mr. Chambers -- we'll read you some admissions out of |
| 23 | the custom and practice of most of the buildings | 23 | his deposition. And he did say that, but the evidence |
| 24 | downtown here. When you see individuals in blue suits, | 24 | is going to show it's just not true. |
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Unfortunately for Mr. Chambers, many, many months after this event, he was demoted, not because of this event. He was demoted. And then a few months later after that, he was terminated. And he absolutely holds a grudge against Allied Barton.

His deposition in which you're going to
hear some of these comments was he was already fired. He wasn't working for us. He wasn't even represented by an attorney.

So while that's unfortunate that he said
those things, it's just not true. And how do we know it's just not true? He filled out a report right after the murders that day and writes down what he saw that day. He never mentions that he saw Chambers on the first floor, thought he looked like a doll. Second floor, felt suspicious or threatened, whatever was just said. That's not in his report.

He was being truthful on the day of this very tragic event.

How else do we know that this didn't
happen? Well, he also was interviewed by the director of security, Bradley Realty. So they have their own security. One guy kind of heads it up. And we interact with him. And you'll hear some of the heroic
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And your common sense is also going to be a strong piece of evidence.

If J ackson put all this time into thinking about how he was going to get up there, and when you see him walk through our doors, you will see a man on a mission for sure. He came straight in, went straight up the escalator and went over to get his ID.

There's a lot of bad things, I agree with Mr. Kotin, we're going to say about J ackson, but one of them isn't going to be he was stupid enough to hang out on the first floor, hang out on the second floor for hours and hours and hours. Not believable, the evidence will convince you.

This case, this whole tragic event starts on the third floor, starts on the third floor. And why don't we just go to it.

Let's have him, if you can play it, have him coming through our doors on Washington Street. Can we turn the lights down a little bit?

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. PATTON: Okay. You've got to go way back, start from the beginning.

And before you start playing it, I should
say this. We put the little white light on him so you
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things that he did that day.
But he also had a chance to talk to
Chambers that day, and Chambers told him, gave him a story, the only time he saw J ackson was on the third floor, which in a few moments I'm going to play for you.

How else do we know that Mr. J ackson was not on the first and the second floor? We have all the videotape. We have all the videotape. That wasn't mentioned earlier.

And so all the entrances, the escalators where I'm going to show you, you see J ackson for the first time walking into the building at about two minutes to 3:00 P.M. That is the first time he came into the building. You're never going to see any videotape showing Mr. J ackson coming in that morning. And there's no claim that they didn't get all the videotape.

MR. ROGERS: Your Honor, just an objection based upon your rulings.

THE COURT: Overruled, counsel.
MR. PATTON: So no objection to that videotape
showing every entrance, our escalator. You're never going to see them.
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can distinguish who he is. If that white light wasn't on him, which you'll see as part of the evidence in this case, there would be no doubt he wasn't looking suspicious at all. You wouldn't have recognized him, except for the fact that we're going to put the light on him.

Okay. Play it. Here is Mr. J ackson just coming off the street, Washington Street, in December, wearing a winter coat, baseball cap, gold-rimmed glasses, jeans and I think sneakers. This is the first time he came to 500 West Madison Street. And I ask you if he looks suspicious when you compare all the different people coming in and out of this building.

Play it on, please. And he is carrying a white manila envelope. There he is here. That's downstairs. That's the restaurants, food court.

We'll start from the beginning. This is him coming into our building and walking, only carrying an envelope. No bag. No bag on him.

So from the first floor, the food court area where everybody hangs out, you go up the escalator to the second floor which as you know now is also the area where you can catch a train.

That's him right there. Keep playing it.

| 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2 | This is their Christmas area, still the second floor. | 2 | you'll see that J ackson turns around and goes straight |
| 3 | He's got to go up one more escalator to get to the | 3 | for the escalator and leaves. |
| 4 | third floor. That's not suspicious conduct. | 4 | Something that Robert experiences day in |
| 5 | Now he comes up. And I'll show a picture | 5 | and day out, people asking questions. It could have |
| 6 | later of all the people that were milling about. | 6 | been where are the public bathrooms, where can I get a |
| 7 | That's him right here. And let's stop it right here. | 7 | bite to eat downstairs. We don't know. But those are |
| 8 | This is Robert Brown. This is J oe | 8 | the kind of encounters Robert has day in and day out, |
| 9 | J ackson. J ackson asks him a question which I think the | 9 | and there is nothing suspicious about that. |
| 10 | evidence is going to show is where do I check in in | 10 | All standing there facing each other. |
| 11 | this building, because you'll see Robert point to the | 11 | Then he leaves. I think we have a shot of him going |
| 12 | concierge desk. | 12 | back down the escalator. And now he leaves. He goes |
| 13 | Keep playing. He points him over to | 13 | right down the escalator, same one he came up. Nothing |
| 14 | Rosario. This is where you have to check in in order | 14 | suspicious about that. |
| 15 | to get that pass to go through the turnstiles and up to | 15 | Now stop there. This is what I mean about |
| 16 | over 40 floors of office space. | 16 | he was on a mission. All right? He goes straight from |
| 17 | Rosario will tell you that he asked to get | 17 | our doors off Washington Street, straight up to the |
| 18 | a pass and she said I need to see ID. That's required. | 18 | level -- he's been in the building before. |
| 19 | He reaches for his wallet, looking for an ID. She's | 19 | McKenna, he had a meeting with McKenna a |
| 20 | also on the phone, waiting for him to produce his ID. | 20 | few years back. He knows this building. And he comes |
| 21 | Robert Brown and Rosario and director of | 21 | in. He doesn't have his ID. And he's sent back down |
| 22 | security J enkins will all tell you it's not unusual for | 22 | the escalators. He goes down the escalators. |
| 23 | people to forget their IDs, to forget their wallets, | 23 | Now we see we're back on the second floor. |
| 24 | forget their purses. All they have to do then is call | 24 | And you'll see he does an immediate turn to get back on |
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|  | up and have the person come down. Nothing is | 1 | the escalator to go right back up to the third floor. |
| 2 | suspicious about a person that doesn't have an ID. | 2 | This was not an individual that was |
| 3 | As he's looking, another gentleman comes | 3 | comfortable loitering, hanging around, as they claim, |
| 4 | up. And she makes the same request on that gentleman. | 4 | on the first and the second floor. |
| 5 | You can see he sets down his manila | 5 | Now, let's play him going back up. Here |
| 6 | envelope, and we'll spend more time on that during the | 6 | he is. Now let's stop it there for a minute. We don't |
| 7 | trial. And it's flat. There's no gun yet in that | 7 | have -- unfortunately, we don't operate the cameras. |
| 8 | envelope. There is nothing suspicious about a person | 8 | That's the building puts the security cameras. They |
| 9 | bringing -- | 9 | control where they put these things. They control all |
| 10 | They have got law offices upstairs. We've | 10 | of that. |
| 11 | got all kinds of things where people bring paper. You | 11 | And we don't get to see him as he's coming |
| 12 | don't see any bulges on his jacket. His weapons are | 12 | back up to the third floor. But I think the evidence |
| 13 | well concealed. And no one in this case is going to | 13 | is going to show that's where he reached into a pocket, |
| 14 | testify that there was anything about that jacket that | 14 | took out his gun, put it into the envelope. So he's |
| 15 | suggested what he was about to do. | 15 | got the gun in his hand in the envelope, because now |
| 16 | He walks away. And he walks over to | 16 | you see him walking here. |
| 17 | Robert again. And Robert is in the middle of | 17 | Keep playing. And he's walked up to |
| 18 | discussing something. Here they are. You see J ackson. | 18 | Robert and he's told Robert, "I have a gun. I have a |
| 19 | He's facing Robert. Robert is talking to what probably | 19 | gun. You're going to take me upstairs. Don't be a |
| 20 | is a tenant. And he's waiting to ask Robert another | 20 | hero. You want to go home to your family tonight." |
| 21 | question. Robert doesn't remember what that question | 21 | And they want to make it seem like this is |
| 22 | is, but obviously, he's asking a question about | 22 | an innocent encounter between two people. |
| 23 | something downstairs, because Robert points him back to | 23 | We know what he did with that gun. We |
| 24 | the escalators right there. | 24 | know what his plan was. We know had Robert done |
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anything at this location, you're going to see a picture of all the people standing in that area. If any of these things they suggest, code words -- code words weren't even the practice back then, by the way.

But we don't know if this individual with
a gun wasn't a security guard, wasn't a police officer or would recognize what a code word is.

What his training, what these officers are
trained to do is not to provoke a person that has a weapon. They are trained to get them away from an area where there are a lot of people. And that's what Robert will tell you he did.

He had a gun on them. He knew that all rules, you don't try to take the gun away from these people. Experts will tell you that. Chicago Police will tell you that. You don't take a gun away from somebody if you're not armed.

What he was doing was he was taking him away from that lobby area where there was going to be, as we now know, would have been a massacre.

He was trying to de-escalate the
situation.
You heard comments earlier, well, he
abandoned his post. He led him through the turnstile.
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Sure he did. Under threat of being shot. He was getting him away from that area, and he did.

And Robert will tell you when he got in the elevator, then he pulls the gun out. It's as if they are claiming he didn't have a gun. He pulls the gun out and points it at him and tells him again, "Don't be a hero. You want to go home to your family tonight."

And he had a family. He's got a wife and three children. But he was using that time, he will tell you, he testifies tomorrow; he will tell you he was using that time to figure out what to do.

And so he struck up a conversation with Mr. J ackson. What's this all about? What are you doing? J ackson told him. Somebody owes me money. Don't be a hero. Somebody owes me money.

And Robert will tell you in his mind he was thinking this was just going to be about an individual, J ackson, going up to an office and taking money, and taking money. That's what he was hoping. No one knew what J ackson had in mind. We know now.

And a woman tries to come on the elevator and Robert tells her to take another elevator.

He gets up to the 38th floor and what
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happens next? He's still got the gun. And they ask another woman where's Michael McKenna's offices, and she points to the law firm up on that floor, and they go in.

And they are still making a claim to you that somehow Robert should have disarmed him. Robert's thinking this is just about money. Of course we know it wasn't. Because from the time that Robert had a gun put on him on the third floor to the time that J ackson shot McKenna in his head, about three minutes had gone by. Three minutes. That's an individual that was going to commit mass violence.

You heard, they talked to Mrs. Leib, they talked to the secretary there. You'll hear her testimony, too, through evidence deposition. And J ackson kept asking get me McKenna. And they kept telling him he's in a meeting.

And so Robert turns to J ackson and says, "Why don't you just leave a message?" Hoping he can de-escalate, get him back out and out of the building and have the Chicago cops called.

J ackson's response, "I 'm going to give
McKenna a message." And so Mrs. Leib goes in and gets McKenna. They come out. And McKenna tries to
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de-escalate the situation. What do you mean about I owe you money? Essentially, I don't work here. I lease space here.

And McKenna tries to show Jackson his name is below all the lawfirms listed. He turns and starts to point to that, trying to convince this guy he's got the wrong guy. It must be somebody else.

And McKenna takes the 38 and blows his brains out. He goes right down on the ground. His client, McKenna's client who he was in a meeting with, you'll hear from him, Mr. Danzig, you'll hear from him by video which is evidence. And he will tell you that he came running out and tried to stop the bleeding in his head.

Another person that certainly was heroic, it is Danzig that will tell you that J ackson kept telling him, "I 'm going to kill you; I'm going to kill myself. I'm going to kill you; I'm going to kill myself."

You'll hear from Officer Tenton, another hero, and he will tell you that J ackson kept telling him through the door that, "Let's make a deal. I 'll let Danzig go if you shoot me in the head." That's how we know that anything that could have been done to
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| 1 | Jackson on the third floor or on the 38th floor was | 1 | Tenton will tell you I went in about ten |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | going to end up horribly for anybody around. | 2 | feet; this was too quiet for me. And he went back out |
| 3 | So what happens next? You've heard some | 3 | of the suite, left the suite, because his training was |
| 4 | testimony, and it was difficult for me to be sitting in | 4 | you don't go confront something you don't know. You |
| 5 | my chair to things that were said about Robert. Very | 5 | don't know what could happen. |
| 6 | difficult. Because there's a whole different story | 6 | So then Nelson, you'll probably hear from |
| 7 | about Robert. | 7 | Nelson too, Chicago Police Officer Nelson and Tenton |
| 8 | He made sure there wasn't a slaughter down | 8 | are together, and they decide to go down that hallway |
| 9 | in the lobby by willingly trying to de-escalate the | 9 | and look around the corner into the lobby. And what do |
| 10 | situation. | 10 | they see? McKenna is still on the ground. Danzig is |
| 11 | After J ackson executes McKenna, he then | 11 | still applying first aid. |
| 12 | goes down the hallway to start shooting at another | 12 | And they see Mr. Hoover. And behind |
| 13 | lawyer. Are you a lawyer? Yes. Boom. That's what he | 13 | Mr. Hoover is Mr. J ackson. And they try to have a |
| 14 | did to Hoover down the hallway. | 14 | conversation to de-escalate the situation with |
| 15 | He goes left. Robert goes right down the | 15 | Mr. Jackson. And what does Mr. Jackson do? He pulls |
| 16 | hallway and tells some women and another attorney, "Get | 16 | Hoover around a corner and shoots him in the head. |
| 17 | under your desks and hide." | 17 | There was no talking J ackson out of this rampage. |
| 18 | He could have run. Most people probably | 18 | J ackson comes back around again. He's |
| 19 | would after what he just saw. He turned around and he | 19 | standing over Danzig putting a gun to Danzig. And |
| 20 | walked back and he stayed in the lobby as McKenna is on | 20 | Tenton tells Nelson, "If he starts to reload, I'm going |
| 21 | his rampage. Probably a guy his size probably could | 21 | to rush him, if he starts to reload." |
| 22 | have pulled those doors out and run. | 22 | J ackson starts to reload. Tenton rushes |
| 23 | Because ultimately what happened, Chambers | 23 | him, fires off, and I think he'll tell you three or |
| 24 | and J enkins now come up to the 38th floor. They see | 24 | four rounds, messed up, and J ackson shoots back at him. |
|  | Page 162 |  | Page 164 |
| 1 | McKenna on the ground. They see Danzig applying what | 1 | This is not an individual that was going to be asked to |
| 2 | looked like to be first aid. They see Robert standing | 2 | leave the building. |
| 3 | there, knowing that McKenna is down the hallway | 3 | This was not an individual that was going |
| 4 | shooting people. | 4 | to be escorted out of our building. This is an |
| 5 | THE COURT: Counsel. Come here just a minute. | 5 | individual that exchanged gunfire with Chicago police |
| 6 | MR. PATTON: Sure. Sorry. J ackson. J ackson was | 6 | officers, fired at Robert and killed all these people. |
| 7 | on the rampage and Robert stayed in the lobby. | 7 | And they would have you believe that |
| 8 | Then J enkins and Chambers come up and they | 8 | Robert, unarmed, taught not to escalate a situation |
| 9 | are trying to get Robert to open the door. Robert is | 9 | when a person has a gun on you, somehow should have |
| 10 | telling them, "Quiet. He's right around the corner." | 10 | done something different. |
| 11 | And then they make a lot of noise. They | 11 | So there's a lot more, folks. It's |
| 12 | break the door open. They get one of the attorneys | 12 | getting late. I know we want to get going here. |
| 13 | out. Robert's on his way out. And J ackson comes back | 13 | But there's a lot more evidence that |
| 14 | around the corner and shoots at Robert and Jenkins and | 14 | wasn't mentioned that you're going to hear about what |
| 15 | Chambers. And they would have you believe that Robert | 15 | Robert did, what Allied security officers did to try |
| 16 | was some kind of coward. | 16 | and prevent this murderer from going on his rampage. |
| 17 | This was a real-life massacre going on. | 17 | And even the Chicago police officers |
| 18 | So then they escape. And the next thing you know, | 18 | couldn't stop him. Because after they had this |
| 19 | Tenton comes up, a Chicago police officer. What did he | 19 | conversation, after they exchanged gunfire, Tenton goes |
| 20 | do? He's got a full vest on. He's armed. Did he go | 20 | up to the side of the door and spends 40 minutes, |
| 21 | charging in like they want you to have Robert do? He | 21 | 40 minutes talking to J ackson, trying to buy time for |
| 22 | sneaks in the back door, goes in there as an attorney | 22 | SWAT. And SWAT came, and you know they snuck in the |
| 23 | is sneaking out the back door, and tells him, "There's | 23 | back way again, crawled along the floor. |
| 24 | a guy in here with a gun." | 24 | They had to get a left-handed SWAT |
|  | Page 163 |  | Page 165 |
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