In The Matter Of: Perez v. Live Nation
December 5, 2019
Original File 120519 Perez.txt Min-U-Script® with Word Index

Perez v. Live Nation

2       MARK FEREZ,         3       Plaintiff,         4       -against-         5       LIVE NATION WORLDWIDE, INC.         6       Defendant.         7       TRIAL         9       Defendant.         7       Timber Street         8       TRIAL         9       Defendant.         7       Timber Street         9       Defendant.         7       Timber Street         8       TRIAL         9       Defendant.         7       Timber Street         9       Some of the defendant's changes, propo         10       B E F O R E:         11       HONORABLE JOHN J. KELLEY,         12       JUSTICE and a JURY         13       A P P E A R A N C E S:         14       MCRELI LAW FIRM, LLC         15       MORELLI LAW FIRM, LLC         16       MCRARA NORELLI, ESO         17       BY: BENEDICT MORELLI, ESO         18       MCHARA NORELLI, ESO         19       NCHARA NORELLI, ESO         19       KELANDER P. MORELLI, ESO         19       I. SURONTKIN, ESO         19       (Appearances continued o	es that had gond ich I had gotter irges as, I think, de which agreed they were doing oposed changes and appreciate ntively, though I xplanation for e stipulated thought we had recessary. agreed. tion. I opened of lity with the hat the amount of ew and what my you have agreed	<ol> <li>THE COURT: First of all, I want to make a r</li> <li>on the jury charges.</li> <li>There were a few e-mail exchanges that had</li> <li>back and forth yesterday, the last of which I had g</li> <li>last night was the defendant's proposed charges as, I t</li> <li>plaintiff had seen the changes that I had made which a</li> <li>or disagreed with certain aspects of what they were</li> <li>and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>Some of the defendant's changes, proposed charges and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>some of the defendant's changes, proposed charges and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>Were typographical and I have made those and approximation in the substantively, the either don't understand or want some explanation</li> <li>Why is it necessary to include the stipulat amount of past medical expenses because I thought w</li> <li>agreed in chambers that that was not necessary.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: It isn't.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope</li> <li>it. So, in order to establish my credibility with</li> </ol>	E COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK OF NEW YORK - CIVIL TERM - PART 56 EREZ, Plaintiff, -against- ATION WORLDWIDE, INC. Defendant. 71 Thomas Street New York, New York December 5, 2019 F O R E: HONORABLE JOHN J. KELLEY, JUSTICE and a Jury E A R A N C E S: RELLI LAW FIRM, LLC TORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : BENEDICT MORELLI, ESQ. DAVID T. SIROTKIN, ESO.	COUNTY O MARK PER LIVE NAT TRIAL B E F A P P E MORE	
2       COUNTY OF NEW YORK - CIVIL TERM - PART 56       1 </th <th>es that had gond ich I had gotter irges as, I think, de which agreed they were doing oposed changes and appreciate ntively, though I xplanation for e stipulated thought we had recessary. agreed. tion. I opened of lity with the hat the amount of ew and what my you have agreed</th> <th><ul> <li>2 on the jury charges.</li> <li>3 There were a few e-mail exchanges that had</li> <li>4 back and forth yesterday, the last of which I had g</li> <li>5 last night was the defendant's proposed charges as, I t</li> <li>6 plaintiff had seen the changes that I had made which a</li> <li>7 or disagreed with certain aspects of what they were</li> <li>8 and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>9 Some of the defendant's changes, proposed charges and approx</li> <li>10 were typographical and I have made those and approx</li> <li>11 those. Frankly, some of them I note substantively, the</li> <li>12 either don't understand or want some explanatio</li> <li>13 Why is it necessary to include the stipulat</li> <li>14 amount of past medical expenses because I thought w</li> <li>15 agreed in chambers that that was not necessary.</li> <li>16 MR. MORELLI: It isn't.</li> <li>17 MR. HAWORTH: I thought we agreed.</li> <li>18 MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope</li> <li>19 it. So, in order to establish my credibility with</li> <li>20 jury</li> </ul></th> <th>OF NEW YORK - CIVIL TERM - PART 56 EREZ, Plaintiff, -against- ATION WORLDWIDE, INC. Defendant. X 71 Thomas Street New York, New York December 5, 2019 F O R E: HONORABLE JOHN J. KELLEY, JUSTICE and a Jury E A R A N C E S: RELLI LAW FIRM, LLC TORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : BENEDICT MORELLI, ESQ. DAVID T. SIROTKIN, ESO.</th> <th>COUNTY O MARK PER LIVE NAT TRIAL B E F A P P E MORE</th>	es that had gond ich I had gotter irges as, I think, de which agreed they were doing oposed changes and appreciate ntively, though I xplanation for e stipulated thought we had recessary. agreed. tion. I opened of lity with the hat the amount of ew and what my you have agreed	<ul> <li>2 on the jury charges.</li> <li>3 There were a few e-mail exchanges that had</li> <li>4 back and forth yesterday, the last of which I had g</li> <li>5 last night was the defendant's proposed charges as, I t</li> <li>6 plaintiff had seen the changes that I had made which a</li> <li>7 or disagreed with certain aspects of what they were</li> <li>8 and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>9 Some of the defendant's changes, proposed charges and approx</li> <li>10 were typographical and I have made those and approx</li> <li>11 those. Frankly, some of them I note substantively, the</li> <li>12 either don't understand or want some explanatio</li> <li>13 Why is it necessary to include the stipulat</li> <li>14 amount of past medical expenses because I thought w</li> <li>15 agreed in chambers that that was not necessary.</li> <li>16 MR. MORELLI: It isn't.</li> <li>17 MR. HAWORTH: I thought we agreed.</li> <li>18 MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope</li> <li>19 it. So, in order to establish my credibility with</li> <li>20 jury</li> </ul>	OF NEW YORK - CIVIL TERM - PART 56 EREZ, Plaintiff, -against- ATION WORLDWIDE, INC. Defendant. X 71 Thomas Street New York, New York December 5, 2019 F O R E: HONORABLE JOHN J. KELLEY, JUSTICE and a Jury E A R A N C E S: RELLI LAW FIRM, LLC TORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : BENEDICT MORELLI, ESQ. DAVID T. SIROTKIN, ESO.	COUNTY O MARK PER LIVE NAT TRIAL B E F A P P E MORE	
2       MARK PEREZ, 3       Plaintiff, Index No. -against-       2       on the jury charges. 3       2         4       -against-       158373/2013       4       back and forth yesterday, the last of which 5       last night was the defendant's proposed charge 6       6         6	es that had gond ich I had gotter irges as, I think, de which agreed they were doing oposed changes and appreciate ntively, though I xplanation for e stipulated thought we had recessary. agreed. tion. I opened of lity with the hat the amount of ew and what my you have agreed	<ul> <li>2 on the jury charges.</li> <li>3 There were a few e-mail exchanges that had</li> <li>4 back and forth yesterday, the last of which I had g</li> <li>5 last night was the defendant's proposed charges as, I t</li> <li>6 plaintiff had seen the changes that I had made which a</li> <li>7 or disagreed with certain aspects of what they were</li> <li>8 and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>9 Some of the defendant's changes, proposed charges and approx</li> <li>10 were typographical and I have made those and approx</li> <li>11 those. Frankly, some of them I note substantively, the</li> <li>12 either don't understand or want some explanatio</li> <li>13 Why is it necessary to include the stipulat</li> <li>14 amount of past medical expenses because I thought w</li> <li>15 agreed in chambers that that was not necessary.</li> <li>16 MR. MORELLI: It isn't.</li> <li>17 MR. HAWORTH: I thought we agreed.</li> <li>18 MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope</li> <li>19 it. So, in order to establish my credibility with</li> <li>20 jury</li> </ul>	EREZ, Plaintiff, -against- ATION WORLDWIDE, INC. Defendant. 71 Thomas Street New York, New York December 5, 2019 F O R E: HONORABLE JOHN J. KELLEY, JUSTICE and a Jury E A R A N C E S: RELLI LAW FIRM, LLC TORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : BENEDICT MORELLI, ESQ. DAVID T. SIROTKIN, ESO.	MARK PER LIVE NAT TRIAL B E F A P P E MORE ATTO	
3       Plaintiff, -against-       Index No. -against-       3       There were a few e-mail exchanges th 4 back and forth yesterday, the last of which 5 last night was the defendant's proposed charge 6 plaintiff had seen the changes that 1 had made 7 or disagreed with certain aspects of what they 8 and then I received the defendant's.         9       0       B E F O R E:         11       HONORABLE JOHN J. KELLEY, 9       9         12       JUSTICE and a Jury       10         13       A P P E A R A N C E S:       11         14       MORELLI LAW PIRM, LLC ATTOORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF       13         15       MORELLI LAW PIRM, LLC ATTOORNEYS FOR THE DEFINICER, ESQ.       13         18       MCCHAEL S. SCHLESTNOER, ESQ.       14         19       NICHAEL S. SCHLESTNOER, ESQ.       13         10       MORELLI: I timed avenues to thouse and they agreed in chambers that that was not nector 19       15         10       MCHAEL S. SCHLESTNOER, ESQ.       16         18       MC O'HARA: There was no stipulation 19       17         19       Its agreed in chambers that they may 10       18         20       MICHAEL S. SCHLESTNOER, ESQ.       18         21       (Appearances continued on next page.)       11         22       Its DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER       21         23<	ich I had gotter irges as, I think, de which agreec they were doing oposed changes and appreciate ntively, though I xplanation for e stipulated thought we had thought we had tecessary. agreed. tion. I opened on lity with the hat the amount of the wand what my you have agreed	<ul> <li>There were a few e-mail exchanges that had</li> <li>back and forth yesterday, the last of which I had g</li> <li>last night was the defendant's proposed charges as, I t</li> <li>plaintiff had seen the changes that I had made which a</li> <li>or disagreed with certain aspects of what they were</li> <li>and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>Some of the defendant's changes, proposed charges and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>Some of the defendant's changes, proposed charges and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>Some of the defendant's changes, proposed charges and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>Some of the defendant's changes, proposed charges are typographical and I have made those and approximate the don't understand or want some explanation</li> <li>Why is it necessary to include the stipulat amount of past medical expenses because I thought w</li> <li>agreed in chambers that that was not necessary.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: It isn't.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: I thought we agreed.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope</li> <li>it. So, in order to establish my credibility with</li> <li>jury</li> </ul>	Plaintiff, -against- ATION WORLDWIDE, INC. Defendant. X 71 Thomas Street New York, New York December 5, 2019 F O R E: HONORABLE JOHN J. KELLEY, JUSTICE and a Jury E A R A N C E S: RELLI LAW FIRM, LLC TORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : BENEDICT MORELLI, ESQ. DAVID T. SIROTKIN, ESO.	LIVE NAT TRIAL B E F A P P E MORE	
4       Plaintiff, -against-       Index No. 158373/2013       3       There were a few e-mail exchanges to back and forth yesterday, the last of which back and forth yesterday. The last of what the garred in chambers that that was not neck back and forth yesterday. The last medical expenses because I th back and or was in the opening because nobody knew conserver to establish my credibility co jury con the amount of medical expenses and that's no chamber of medical expenses and that's no conserver the last of what we defendant's chamber. The provide and the last of which conserver	ich I had gotter irges as, I think, de which agreec they were doing oposed changes and appreciate ntively, though I xplanation for e stipulated thought we had thought we had tecessary. agreed. tion. I opened on lity with the hat the amount of the wand what my you have agreed	<ul> <li>4 back and forth yesterday, the last of which I had g</li> <li>5 last night was the defendant's proposed charges as, I t</li> <li>6 plaintiff had seen the changes that I had made which a</li> <li>7 or disagreed with certain aspects of what they were</li> <li>8 and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>9 Some of the defendant's changes, proposed charges and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>9 Some of the defendant's changes, proposed charges and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>9 Some of the defendant's changes, proposed charges and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>9 Some of the defendant's changes, proposed charges and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>9 Some of the defendant's changes, proposed charges and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>9 Some of the defendant's changes, proposed charges and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>9 Some of the defendant's changes, proposed charges and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>9 Some of the defendant's changes, proposed charges and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>9 Some of the defendant's changes, proposed charges and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>10 were typographical and I have made those and approximate those. Frankly, some of them I note substantively, the either don't understand or want some explanation.</li> <li>13 Why is it necessary to include the stipulate the amount of past medical expenses because I thought with agreed in chambers that that was not necessary.</li> <li>16 MR. MORELLI: It isn't.</li> <li>17 MR. HAWORTH: I thought we agreed.</li> <li>18 MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope if it. So, in order to establish my credibility with 20 jury</li> </ul>	-against- Index No. 158373/2013 ATION WORLDWIDE, INC. Defendant. X 71 Thomas Street New York, New York December 5, 2019 F O R E: HONORABLE JOHN J. KELLEY, JUSTICE and a Jury E A R A N C E S: RELLI LAW FIRM, LLC TORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : BENEDICT MORELLI, ESO. DAVID T. SIROTKIN, ESO.	LIVE NAT TRIAL B E F A P P E MORE	
-against-       158373/2013       4 back and forth yesterday, the last of which         5       LIVE NATION WORLDWIDE, INC.       5 last night was the defendant's proposed charge         7	arges as, I think, de which agreed they were doing oposed changes and appreciate ntively, though 1 xplanation for e stipulated thought we had eccessary. agreed. tion. I opened of lity with the hat the amount of ew and what my you have agreed	<ul> <li>5 last night was the defendant's proposed charges as, I if</li> <li>6 plaintiff had seen the changes that I had made which a</li> <li>7 or disagreed with certain aspects of what they were</li> <li>8 and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>9 Some of the defendant's changes, proposed charges and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>9 Some of the defendant's changes, proposed charges and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>9 Some of the defendant's changes, proposed charges and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>9 Some of the defendant's changes, proposed charges and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>9 Some of the defendant's changes, proposed charges and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>9 Some of the defendant's changes, proposed charges and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>10 were typographical and I have made those and approximately.</li> <li>11 those. Frankly, some of them I note substantively, the either don't understand or want some explanation.</li> <li>13 Why is it necessary to include the stipulate the amount of past medical expenses because I thought with agreed in chambers that that was not necessary.</li> <li>16 MR. MORELLI: It isn't.</li> <li>17 MR. HAWORTH: I thought we agreed.</li> <li>18 MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope if it. So, in order to establish my credibility with 20 jury</li> </ul>	-against- 158373/2013 ATION WORLDWIDE, INC. Defendant. X 71 Thomas Street New York, New York December 5, 2019 F O R E: HONORABLE JOHN J. KELLEY, JUSTICE and a Jury E A R A N C E S: RELLI LAW FIRM, LLC TORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : BENEDICT MORELLI, ESQ. DAVID T. SIROTKIN, ESO.	LIVE NAT TRIAL B E F A P P E MORE	
LIVE NATION WORLDWIDE, INC.       Defendant.       Trial         7	de which agreed they were doing oposed changes and appreciate ntively, though I xplanation for e stipulated thought we had recessary. agreed. tion. I opened of lity with the hat the amount of ew and what my you have agreed	<ul> <li>6 plaintiff had seen the changes that I had made which a</li> <li>7 or disagreed with certain aspects of what they were</li> <li>8 and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>9 Some of the defendant's changes, proposed changes</li> <li>10 were typographical and I have made those and approximate the transformation of t</li></ul>	Defendant. X 71 Thomas Street New York, New York December 5, 2019 F O R E: HONORABLE JOHN J. KELLEY, JUSTICE and a Jury E A R A N C E S: RELLI LAW FIRM, LLC TORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : BENEDICT MORELLI, ESO. DAVID T. SIROTKIN, ESO.	TRIAL B E F A P P E MORE	
Defendant.TRIALTRIALTrimages that had madesTRIALTrimages that had madesTRIALTrimages that had madesTRIALTrimages that had madesTRIALTrimages that had madesTrimages that had madeTrimages that had madesTrimages to prove that had made madesTrimages that had made made mades <th co<="" th=""><th>they were doing oposed changes and appreciate ntively, though 1 xplanation for e stipulated thought we had necessary. agreed. tion. I opened of lity with the hat the amount of new and what my you have agreed</th><th><ul> <li>7 or disagreed with certain aspects of what they were</li> <li>8 and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>9 Some of the defendant's changes, proposed changes</li> <li>10 were typographical and I have made those and approximate the substantively, the either don't understand or want some explanation</li> <li>13 Why is it necessary to include the stipulat</li> <li>14 amount of past medical expenses because I thought with agreed in chambers that that was not necessary.</li> <li>16 MR. MORELLI: It isn't.</li> <li>17 MR. HAWORTH: I thought we agreed.</li> <li>18 MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope</li> <li>19 it. So, in order to establish my credibility with</li> <li>20 jury</li> </ul></th><th>71 Thomas Street New York, New York December 5, 2019 F O R E: HONORABLE JOHN J. KELLEY, JUSTICE and a Jury E A R A N C E S: RELLI LAW FIRM, LLC TORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : BENEDICT MORELLI, ESQ. DAVID T. SIROTKIN, ESO.</th><th>BEF APPE MORE</th></th>	<th>they were doing oposed changes and appreciate ntively, though 1 xplanation for e stipulated thought we had necessary. agreed. tion. I opened of lity with the hat the amount of new and what my you have agreed</th> <th><ul> <li>7 or disagreed with certain aspects of what they were</li> <li>8 and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>9 Some of the defendant's changes, proposed changes</li> <li>10 were typographical and I have made those and approximate the substantively, the either don't understand or want some explanation</li> <li>13 Why is it necessary to include the stipulat</li> <li>14 amount of past medical expenses because I thought with agreed in chambers that that was not necessary.</li> <li>16 MR. MORELLI: It isn't.</li> <li>17 MR. HAWORTH: I thought we agreed.</li> <li>18 MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope</li> <li>19 it. So, in order to establish my credibility with</li> <li>20 jury</li> </ul></th> <th>71 Thomas Street New York, New York December 5, 2019 F O R E: HONORABLE JOHN J. KELLEY, JUSTICE and a Jury E A R A N C E S: RELLI LAW FIRM, LLC TORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : BENEDICT MORELLI, ESQ. DAVID T. SIROTKIN, ESO.</th> <th>BEF APPE MORE</th>	they were doing oposed changes and appreciate ntively, though 1 xplanation for e stipulated thought we had necessary. agreed. tion. I opened of lity with the hat the amount of new and what my you have agreed	<ul> <li>7 or disagreed with certain aspects of what they were</li> <li>8 and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>9 Some of the defendant's changes, proposed changes</li> <li>10 were typographical and I have made those and approximate the substantively, the either don't understand or want some explanation</li> <li>13 Why is it necessary to include the stipulat</li> <li>14 amount of past medical expenses because I thought with agreed in chambers that that was not necessary.</li> <li>16 MR. MORELLI: It isn't.</li> <li>17 MR. HAWORTH: I thought we agreed.</li> <li>18 MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope</li> <li>19 it. So, in order to establish my credibility with</li> <li>20 jury</li> </ul>	71 Thomas Street New York, New York December 5, 2019 F O R E: HONORABLE JOHN J. KELLEY, JUSTICE and a Jury E A R A N C E S: RELLI LAW FIRM, LLC TORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : BENEDICT MORELLI, ESQ. DAVID T. SIROTKIN, ESO.	BEF APPE MORE
7 TI Thomas Street Becember 5, 2019       7 of disgreed with certain aspects of what the Becember 5, 2019         9       8 and then I received the defendant's.         9       Some of the defendant's changes, prop.         10       B E F O R E:         11       HONORABLE JOHN J. KELLEY,         12       JUSTICE and a JURY         13       A P P E A R A N C E S:         14       MORELLI LAW FIRM, LLC ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF         15       MORELLI LAW FIRM, LLC ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF         16       MR. MORELLI: It isn't.         17       BY: BENEDICT, MERCHARCES (Continued):         18       MICHAEL S. SCHLESINGER, ESO.         19       It. So, in order to establish my credibility         20       24         21       CAPPearances continued on next page.)         22       Its A DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER         23       LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER         24       24         25       24         26       27         27       JUSA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER         26       26         27       Its A DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER         27       APPEARANCES (Continued):         3       ATTOR	oposed changes and appreciate ntively, though 1 xplanation for e stipulated thought we had eccessary. agreed. tion. I opened of lity with the hat the amount of ew and what my you have agreed	<ul> <li>and then I received the defendant's.</li> <li>Some of the defendant's changes, proposed chance</li> <li>were typographical and I have made those and apprendiments</li> <li>those. Frankly, some of them I note substantively, the</li> <li>either don't understand or want some explanation</li> <li>Why is it necessary to include the stipulat</li> <li>amount of past medical expenses because I thought with</li> <li>agreed in chambers that that was not necessary.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: It isn't.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: I thought we agreed.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope</li> <li>it. So, in order to establish my credibility with</li> <li>jury</li> </ul>	New York, New York December 5, 2019 F O R E: HONORABLE JOHN J. KELLEY, JUSTICE and a Jury E A R A N C E S: RELLI LAW FIRM, LLC TORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : BENEDICT MORELLI, ESQ. DAVID T. SIROTKIN, ESO.	BEF APPE MORE	
99Some of the defendant's changes, proportion10BEFORE:10were typographical and I have made those an11HONORABLE JOHN J. KELLEY,10were typographical and I have made those an11JUSTICE and a Jury12either don't understand or want some exp13APPEARANCES:13Why is it necessary to include the s14MORELLI LAW FIRM, LLC13Why is it necessary to include the s15MORELLI LAW FIRM, LLC14amount of past medical expenses because I the16777 Third Avenue15agreed in chambers that that was not nect17BY: BEREDIT MORELLI, ESQ.16MR. MORELLI I tisn't.19NICHARL S. SCHESSINGER, ESQ.18MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation19ALEXANDER P. MORELLI, ESQ.18MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation20Jury21THE COURT: Nobody told them what21(Appearances continued on next page.)242022JUSA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERLISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERLISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER23APPEARANCES (Continued):1MR. MORELLI: Right.24APPEARANCES (Continued):1MR. MORELLI: Right.25AFPEARANCES (Continued):1MR. O'HARA: In my opening, I spec24Yeage 1658Proceedings25APPEARANCES (Continued):1MR. O'HARA: In my opening, I spec26APPEARANCES (Continued):2MR. O'HARA: In my opening,	e and appreciate ntively, though 1 xplanation for e stipulated thought we had eccessary. agreed. tion. I opened of lity with the hat the amount of ew and what my you have agreed	<ul> <li>9 Some of the defendant's changes, proposed chi</li> <li>10 were typographical and I have made those and appre</li> <li>11 those. Frankly, some of them I note substantively, the</li> <li>12 either don't understand or want some explanatio</li> <li>13 Why is it necessary to include the stipulat</li> <li>14 amount of past medical expenses because I thought w</li> <li>15 agreed in chambers that that was not necessary.</li> <li>16 MR. MORELLI: It isn't.</li> <li>17 MR. HAWORTH: I thought we agreed.</li> <li>18 MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope</li> <li>19 it. So, in order to establish my credibility with</li> <li>20 jury</li> </ul>	F O R E: HONORABLE JOHN J. KELLEY, JUSTICE and a Jury E A R A N C E S: RELLI LAW FIRM, LLC TORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : BENEDICT MORELLI, ESO. DAVID T. SIROTKIN, ESO.	BEF APPE MORE	
9Some of the defendant's changes, proportion10B E F O R E:1011HONORABLE JOHN J. KELLEY,1012JUSTICE and a Jury1113A P P E A R A N C E S:1314MORELLI LAW PIRM, LLC1315MORELLI LAW PIRM, LLC1316MORELLI LAW PIRM, LLC1417MORELLI LAW PIRM, LLC1518MORELLI, LAW PIRM, LLC1619NEW YORK, NEW YORK 1001710MUTCHARL S. SCHLESSINGER, ESO.18MICHARL S. SCHLESSINGER, ESO.19ALEXANDER P. MORELLI, ESO.19ALEXANDER P. MORELLI, ESO.20Ujury21(Appearances continued on next page.)22JUSTICAL COURT REPORTER24LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER25LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER26Page 165827Page 165828Proceedings29ALFXANCES (Continued):3ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANT4MR. O'HARA: In my opening, I special avpenses for paet medical avpenses for paet medi	e and appreciate ntively, though 1 xplanation for e stipulated thought we had eccessary. agreed. tion. I opened of lity with the hat the amount of ew and what my you have agreed	<ul> <li>10 were typographical and I have made those and apprelimation.</li> <li>11 those. Frankly, some of them I note substantively, the either don't understand or want some explanation.</li> <li>13 Why is it necessary to include the stipulate the amount of past medical expenses because I thought with agreed in chambers that that was not necessary.</li> <li>16 MR. MORELLI: It isn't.</li> <li>17 MR. HAWORTH: I thought we agreed.</li> <li>18 MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope if it. So, in order to establish my credibility with 20 jury</li> </ul>	F O R E: HONORABLE JOHN J. KELLEY, JUSTICE and a Jury E A R A N C E S: RELLI LAW FIRM, LLC TORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : BENEDICT MORELLI, ESO. DAVID T. SIROTKIN, ESO.	BEF APPE MORE ATTO	
10       BEFORE:       10       were typographical and I have made those and 11 those. Frankly, some of them I note substantive 12 either don't understand or want some exp         13       APPEARANCES FOR THE FLAINTIFF       13       Why is it necessary to include the s         14       ATTORNEYS FOR THE FLAINTIFF       14       15       Why is it necessary to include the s         16       MORELLI LAW FIRM, LLC       16       MR. ORELLI: It isn't.       17         17       BY:       BEREDICT MORELLI, ESO.       16       MR. MORELLI: It isn't.       17         19       MICHAEL S. SCHLESINGER, ESO.       18       MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation       19       11. THE COURT: Nobody told them what         20       Appearances continued on next page.)       21       THE COURT: Nobody told them what         22       23       Charge simply was saying, is saying now that yo       24       24       23       24       23       24       25       24       25       25       26       26       27       28       29       24       24       24       25       26       26       27       28       29       24       24       24       24       25       26       26       26       27       27       28       26       26       26 <th>e and appreciate ntively, though 1 xplanation for e stipulated thought we had eccessary. agreed. tion. I opened of lity with the hat the amount of ew and what my you have agreed</th> <th><ul> <li>10 were typographical and I have made those and apprelimation.</li> <li>11 those. Frankly, some of them I note substantively, the either don't understand or want some explanation.</li> <li>13 Why is it necessary to include the stipulate the amount of past medical expenses because I thought with agreed in chambers that that was not necessary.</li> <li>16 MR. MORELLI: It isn't.</li> <li>17 MR. HAWORTH: I thought we agreed.</li> <li>18 MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope if it. So, in order to establish my credibility with 20 jury</li> </ul></th> <th>HONORABLE JOHN J. KELLEY, JUSTICE and a Jury E A R A N C E S: RELLI LAW FIRM, LLC TORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : BENEDICT MORELLI, ESQ. DAVID T. SIROTKIN, ESO.</th> <th>A P P E More Attc</th>	e and appreciate ntively, though 1 xplanation for e stipulated thought we had eccessary. agreed. tion. I opened of lity with the hat the amount of ew and what my you have agreed	<ul> <li>10 were typographical and I have made those and apprelimation.</li> <li>11 those. Frankly, some of them I note substantively, the either don't understand or want some explanation.</li> <li>13 Why is it necessary to include the stipulate the amount of past medical expenses because I thought with agreed in chambers that that was not necessary.</li> <li>16 MR. MORELLI: It isn't.</li> <li>17 MR. HAWORTH: I thought we agreed.</li> <li>18 MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope if it. So, in order to establish my credibility with 20 jury</li> </ul>	HONORABLE JOHN J. KELLEY, JUSTICE and a Jury E A R A N C E S: RELLI LAW FIRM, LLC TORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : BENEDICT MORELLI, ESQ. DAVID T. SIROTKIN, ESO.	A P P E More Attc	
11       HONORABLE JOHN J. KELLEY,       11 those. Frankly, some of them I note substantive         12       JUSTICE and a Jury       12 either don't understand or want some exp         13       A P P E A R A N C E S:       13       Why is it necessary to include the s         14       MORELLI LAW FIRM, LLC       14       amount of past medical expenses because I that a amount of past medical expenses because I that a mount of past medical expenses because I that a mount of past medical expenses because I that a mount of past medical expenses because I that a mount of medical expenses because I that a mount of past medical expenses because I that a mount of past medical expenses because I that a mount of medical expenses and that was not nected in the mount of medical expenses and that was not nected in the mount of medical expenses and that was not nected in the opening because nobody knew and the mount of medical expenses and that's n a so in issue they're going to consider.         12       (Appearances continued on next page.)       11       MR. MORELLI: Right.         24       20       21       THE COURT: Nobody told them what a mount of medical expenses and that's n a so in issue they're going to consider.         21       (Appearances (Continued):       2       11       MR. MORELLI: Right.         25       2       an issue they're going to consider.       2         24       11       MR. MORELLI: Right.       2 <th>ntively, though l xplanation for e stipulated thought we had eccessary. agreed. tion. I opened of lity with the hat the amount of ew and what my you have agreed</th> <th><ul> <li>11 those. Frankly, some of them I note substantively, the</li> <li>12 either don't understand or want some explanatio</li> <li>13 Why is it necessary to include the stipulat</li> <li>14 amount of past medical expenses because I thought w</li> <li>15 agreed in chambers that that was not necessary.</li> <li>16 MR. MORELLI: It isn't.</li> <li>17 MR. HAWORTH: I thought we agreed.</li> <li>18 MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope</li> <li>19 it. So, in order to establish my credibility with</li> <li>20 jury</li> </ul></th> <th>JUSTICE and a Jury E A R A N C E S: RELLI LAW FIRM, LLC TORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : BENEDICT MORELLI, ESO. DAVID T. SIROTKIN, ESO.</th> <th>A P P E More Atto</th>	ntively, though l xplanation for e stipulated thought we had eccessary. agreed. tion. I opened of lity with the hat the amount of ew and what my you have agreed	<ul> <li>11 those. Frankly, some of them I note substantively, the</li> <li>12 either don't understand or want some explanatio</li> <li>13 Why is it necessary to include the stipulat</li> <li>14 amount of past medical expenses because I thought w</li> <li>15 agreed in chambers that that was not necessary.</li> <li>16 MR. MORELLI: It isn't.</li> <li>17 MR. HAWORTH: I thought we agreed.</li> <li>18 MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope</li> <li>19 it. So, in order to establish my credibility with</li> <li>20 jury</li> </ul>	JUSTICE and a Jury E A R A N C E S: RELLI LAW FIRM, LLC TORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : BENEDICT MORELLI, ESO. DAVID T. SIROTKIN, ESO.	A P P E More Atto	
12       JUSTICE and a Jury       12       either don't understand or want some exp         13       A P P E A R A N C E S:       13       Why is it necessary to include the s         14       MORELLI LAW FIRM, LLC       14       amount of past medical expenses because I the         15       MORELLI LAW FIRM, LLC       15       agreed in chambers that that was not necd         16       777 Third Avenue       16       MR. MORELLI: It isn't.         17       BY: BENEDICT MORELLI, ESO:       16       MR. MORELLI: It isn't.         18       MICHAEL S. SCHLESINGER, ESO:       18       MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation         19       I. So, in order to establish my credibility       20         20       (Appearances continued on next page.)       21       THE COURT: Nobody told them what         21       (Appearances continued on next page.)       21       THE COURT: Nobody told them what         23       24       22       money was in the opening because nobody knew         24       25       26       27       an issue they're going to consider.         LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER       LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER       LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER         23       APPEARANCES (Continued):       1       MR. MORELLI: Right.       2 <th>xplanation for e stipulated thought we had eccessary. agreed. tion. I opened of lity with the hat the amount of ew and what my you have agreed</th> <th><ul> <li>12 either don't understand or want some explanatio</li> <li>13 Why is it necessary to include the stipulat</li> <li>14 amount of past medical expenses because I thought w</li> <li>15 agreed in chambers that that was not necessary.</li> <li>16 MR. MORELLI: It isn't.</li> <li>17 MR. HAWORTH: I thought we agreed.</li> <li>18 MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope</li> <li>19 it. So, in order to establish my credibility with</li> <li>20 jury</li> </ul></th> <th>E A R A N C E S: RELLI LAW FIRM, LLC TORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : BENEDICT MORELLI, ESO. DAVID T. SIROTKIN, ESO.</th> <th>A P P E MORE ATTO</th>	xplanation for e stipulated thought we had eccessary. agreed. tion. I opened of lity with the hat the amount of ew and what my you have agreed	<ul> <li>12 either don't understand or want some explanatio</li> <li>13 Why is it necessary to include the stipulat</li> <li>14 amount of past medical expenses because I thought w</li> <li>15 agreed in chambers that that was not necessary.</li> <li>16 MR. MORELLI: It isn't.</li> <li>17 MR. HAWORTH: I thought we agreed.</li> <li>18 MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope</li> <li>19 it. So, in order to establish my credibility with</li> <li>20 jury</li> </ul>	E A R A N C E S: RELLI LAW FIRM, LLC TORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : BENEDICT MORELLI, ESO. DAVID T. SIROTKIN, ESO.	A P P E MORE ATTO	
13       A P P E A R A N C E S:       13       Why is it necessary to include the s         14       MORELLI LAW FIRM, LLC       14       amount of past medical expenses because I the         15       MORELLI LAW FIRM, LLC       15       agreed in chambers that that was not nece         16       777 Third Avenue       16       MR. MORELLI: It isn't.         17       BY: BENEDICT MORELLI, ESQ.       16       MR. MORELLI: It isn't.         18       MICHAEL S. SCHLESINGER, ESQ.       18       MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation         19	e stipulated thought we had eccessary. agreed. tion. I opened of lity with the hat the amount of ew and what my you have agreed	<ul> <li>13 Why is it necessary to include the stipulat</li> <li>14 amount of past medical expenses because I thought w</li> <li>15 agreed in chambers that that was not necessary.</li> <li>16 MR. MORELLI: It isn't.</li> <li>17 MR. HAWORTH: I thought we agreed.</li> <li>18 MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope</li> <li>19 it. So, in order to establish my credibility with</li> <li>20 jury</li> </ul>	RELLI LAW FIRM, LLC TORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : BENEDICT MORELLI, ESO. DAVID T. SIROTKIN, ESO.	MORE ATTC	
14       MORELLI LAW FIRM, LLC         15       MORELLI LAW FIRM, LLC         16       MTTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF         17       BY:         18       MCNEDICT MORELLI, ESO.         DAVID T. SINOTKIN, ESO.         19       MICHAEL S. SCHLESINGER, ESO.         19       MICHAEL S. SCHLESINGER, ESO.         19       ALEXANDER P. MORELLI, ESO.         20       MICHAEL S. SCHLESINGER, ESO.         21       (Appearances continued on next page.)         22       Image: Continued on next page.         23       Carge simply was saying, is saying now that yo         24       Carge simply was saying, is saying now that yo         24       Carge simply was saying, is saying now that yo         24       Carge simply was saying, is saying now that yo         25       Carge simply was saying, is saying now that yo         24       Carge simply was saying, is saying now that yo         25       Continued):       MR. MORELLI: Right.         26       Page 1658         Page 1658       Proceedings         1       MR. MORELLI: Right.         2       MR. O'HARA: In my opening, I spec         2       MR. O'HARA: In my opening, I spec         3       APPEARANCES (Contin	agreed. thought we had agreed. tion. I opened of lity with the hat the amount of ew and what my you have agreed	<ul> <li>14 amount of past medical expenses because I thought w</li> <li>15 agreed in chambers that that was not necessary.</li> <li>16 MR. MORELLI: It isn't.</li> <li>17 MR. HAWORTH: I thought we agreed.</li> <li>18 MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope</li> <li>19 it. So, in order to establish my credibility with</li> <li>20 jury</li> </ul>	RELLI LAW FIRM, LLC TORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : BENEDICT MORELLI, ESO. DAVID T. SIROTKIN, ESO.	MORE ATTC	
15       MORELLI LAW FIRM, LLC         16       ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF         16       777 Third Avenue         17       BY: BENEDICT MORELLI, ESO.         18       MICHAEL S. SCHLESINGER, ESO.         19       ALEXANDER P. MORELLI, ESO.         19       ALEXANDER P. MORELLI, ESO.         19       (Appearances continued on next page.)         21       (Appearances continued on next page.)         22       21         23       Charge simply was saying, is saying now that yo         24       24         25       LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER         LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER       LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER         Page 1658       Proceedings         1       MR. MORELLI: Right.         2       MR. O'HARA: In my opening, I special avenues for met medical avenues	agreed. tion. I opened of lity with the hat the amount of lew and what my you have agreed	<ul> <li>15 agreed in chambers that that was not necessary.</li> <li>16 MR. MORELLI: It isn't.</li> <li>17 MR. HAWORTH: I thought we agreed.</li> <li>18 MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope</li> <li>19 it. So, in order to establish my credibility with</li> <li>20 jury</li> </ul>	TORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : BENEDICT MORELLI, ESO. DAVID T. SIROTKIN, ESO.	MORE ATTC	
ATTORNEYS FOR THÉ PLAINTIFF       13 agreed in chambers that that was not next are in the open in	agreed. tion. I opened of lity with the hat the amount of lew and what my you have agreed	<ul> <li>MR. MORELLI: It isn't.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: I thought we agreed.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope</li> <li>it. So, in order to establish my credibility with</li> <li>jury</li> </ul>	TORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : BENEDICT MORELLI, ESO. DAVID T. SIROTKIN, ESO.	ATTC	
17       BY:       BENEDICT MORELLIT, ESO.         18       MICHAEL S. SCHLESINGER, ESO.         19       ALEXANDER P. MORELLI, ESO.         19       ALEXANDER P. MORELLI, ESO.         19       (Appearances continued on next page.)         21       (Appearances continued on next page.)         22       (Appearances continued on next page.)         23       (Appearances continued on next page.)         24       23         25       constant definition         LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER       LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER         13       APPEARANCES (Continued):         4       APPEARANCES (Continued):         4       1	tion. I opened on lity with the hat the amount of ew and what my you have agreed	<ul> <li>MR. HAWORTH: I thought we agreed.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope</li> <li>it. So, in order to establish my credibility with</li> <li>jury</li> </ul>	NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 : Benedict Morelli, ESO. David T. Sirotkin, ESO.		
DAVID T. SIROTKIN, EŠQ.         18       MICHAEL S. SCHLESINGER, ESQ.         19       ALEXANDER P. MORELLI, ESQ.         20       (Appearances continued on next page.)         21       (Appearances continued on next page.)         22       21         23       THE COURT: Nobody told them what         24       23         25       Charge simply was saying, is saying now that yo         24       24         25       Charge simply was saying, is saying now that yo         24       24         25       EISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER         26       Page 1658         27       Page 1658         28       APPEARANCES (Continued):         3       ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANT	tion. I opened on lity with the hat the amount of ew and what my you have agreed	<ul> <li>18 MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I ope</li> <li>19 it. So, in order to establish my credibility with</li> <li>20 jury</li> </ul>	DAVID T. SIROTKIN, EŠO.	DI i	
19       Image: Additional appearances continued on next page.         20       (Appearances continued on next page.)         21       (Appearances continued on next page.)         22       21         23       22         24       23         25       24         26       23         27       24         28       23         29       24         29       24         29       24         29       24         29       24         29       24         29       24         29       24         29       24         29       24         29       24         20       25         21       THE COURT: Nobody told them what yo         24       25         25       26         26       27         27       28         28       29         29       29         20       29         20       29         21       20         22       29         29       20	ility with the hat the amount of new and what my you have agreed	<ul><li>19 it. So, in order to establish my credibility with</li><li>20 jury</li></ul>	ALEXANDER P. MORELLI, ESQ.		
<ul> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>(Appearances continued on next page.)</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>29</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>22</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>29</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>29</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <l< th=""><th>hat the amount of new and what my you have agreed</th><th><b>20</b> jury</th><th></th><th></th></l<></ul>	hat the amount of new and what my you have agreed	<b>20</b> jury			
21       (Appearances continued on next page.)       21       THE COURT: Nobody told them what         22       23       21       THE COURT: Nobody told them what         23       24       23       23       23         24       25       26       27       28         25       26       27       28       29         26       29       29       20       20         26       27       28       29       20         27       29       29       20       20       20         28       29       20       20       21       THE COURT: Nobody told them what         29       20       21       charge simply was saying, is saying now that yo       22         29       20       on the amount of medical expenses and that's n       25       an issue they're going to consider.         20       LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER       LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REF       Page 1658         20       Page 1658       Proceedings       1       MR. MORELLI: Right.         2       MR. O'HARA: In my opening, I spectrum       2       Mathematical expenses for past medical expenses for past medic	ew and what my you have agreed	5 5			
<ul> <li>22 money was in the opening because nobody knew</li> <li>23 charge simply was saying, is saying now that yo</li> <li>24 charge simply was saying, is saying now that yo</li> <li>24 on the amount of medical expenses and that's n</li> <li>25 an issue they're going to consider.</li> <li>26 LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER</li> <li>27 LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER</li> <li>28 Proceedings</li> <li>29 Proceedings</li> <li>20 MR. O'HARA: In my opening, I special expenses for past medical expen</li></ul>	ew and what my you have agreed				
<ul> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER</li> <li>LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER</li> <li>Page 1658</li> <li>Proceedings</li> <li>APPEARANCES (Continued):</li> <li>ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANT</li> <li>ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANT</li> <li>ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANT</li> </ul>	you have agreed	-	(Appearances continued on next page.)		
<ul> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER</li> <li>Page 1658</li> <li>APPEARANCES (Continued):</li> <li>ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANT</li> <li>ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANT</li> <li>24 on the amount of medical expenses and that's n</li> <li>25 an issue they're going to consider.</li> <li>LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER</li> <li>LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER</li> <li>Page 1658</li> <li>Proceedings</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Right.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: In my opening, I spender of the medical expenses for past medical expenses</li></ul>		<b>22</b> money was in the opening because nobody knew and wh			
<ul> <li>25 an issue they're going to consider.</li> <li>LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER</li> <li>Page 1658</li> <li>APPEARANCES (Continued):</li> <li>ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANT</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: In my opening, I special expenses for past medical expenses for past</li></ul>	s not going to h	<b>23</b> charge simply was saying, is saying now that you have a			
<ul> <li>25 an issue they're going to consider.</li> <li>LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER</li> <li>Page 1658</li> <li>APPEARANCES (Continued):</li> <li>ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANT</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: In my opening, I special expenses for past medical expenses for past</li></ul>		24 on the amount of medical expenses and that's not going			
LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER Page 1658 Proceedings APPEARANCES (Continued): ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANT A that the medical expenses for past medical expenses					
Page 1658 Proceedings          1       MR. MORELLI: Right.         2       MR. O'HARA: In my opening, I spectrum of the medical expenses for past medical expens					
1       APPEARANCES (Continued):         3       ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANT         4       MR. O'HARA: In my opening, I special expenses for past medical expenses for	REPORTER	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTEF		LISA DE	
4 ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANT 2 MIR. O HARA. In my opening, I spece	Page 166	Proceedings Pag	Page 1658		
4 ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANT 2 MIR. O HARA. In my opening, I spece		1 MR MORFILL Right			
4 <b>3</b> that the medical expenses for past medical ex	manifically	•			
			CORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANT	ATTC	
	-	<b>3</b> that the medical expenses for past medical expenses	CONNELL FOLEY, LLP		
Newark, New Jersey 07102	000. It was par	<b>4</b> approximately, slightly in excess of \$300,000. It was	Newark, New Jersey 07102		
6 BY: JEFFREY L. O'HARA, ESQ. MATTHEW W. BAUER, ESQ. 5 of my opening statement.			: JEFFREY L. O HARA, ESQ. MATTHEW W. BAUER, ESQ.	BY:	
<b>6</b> I intend to say something about it in		Lintand to say compating about it in my als			
8 THE COURT: You can say something a	ng about it in you		- and-		
9 505 Main Street 8 Closing.			- and-		
10 BY: SCOTT HAWORTH, EŠQ. 9 MIK. U HAKA. I NAUS III.		<ul><li>7 THE COURT: You can say something about it i</li><li>8 closing.</li></ul>	HAWORTH BARBER & GERSTMAN, LLC 505 Main Street		
	~	<ul><li>7 THE COURT: You can say something about it i</li><li>8 closing.</li></ul>	HAWORTH BARBER & GERSTMAN, LLC 505 Main Street Hackensack, New Jersey 07601 BY: SCOTT HAWORTH, ESQ.		
•	final instruction	<ul> <li>7 THE COURT: You can say something about it i</li> <li>8 closing.</li> <li>9 MR. O'HARA: That's fine.</li> </ul>	HAWORTH BARBER & GERSTMAN, LLC 505 Main Street Hackensack, New Jersey 07601 BY: SCOTT HAWORTH, ESQ.		
		<ul> <li>7 THE COURT: You can say something about it i</li> <li>8 closing.</li> <li>9 MR. O'HARA: That's fine.</li> </ul>	HAWORTH BARBER & GERSTMAN, LLC 505 Main Street Hackensack, New Jersey 07601 BY: SCOTT HAWORTH, ESQ.		
13 important	it and you don'	<ul> <li>7 THE COURT: You can say something about it i</li> <li>8 closing.</li> <li>9 MR. O'HARA: That's fine.</li> <li>10 THE COURT: I can still keep the final instruction in the parties have stipulated on it and you</li> </ul>	HAWORTH BARBER & GERSTMAN, LLC 505 Main Street Hackensack, New Jersey 07601 BY: SCOTT HAWORTH, ESQ.		
14 MR O'HARA: Understood	it and you don'	<ul> <li>THE COURT: You can say something about it i</li> <li>closing.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: That's fine.</li> <li>THE COURT: I can still keep the final instruination of the parties have stipulated on it and you</li> <li>need to consider it because the amount is really</li> </ul>	HAWORTH BARBER & GERSTMAN, LLC 505 Main Street Hackensack, New Jersey 07601 BY: SCOTT HAWORTH, ESQ.		
15 MR MORELLI: Your Honor Lunderst	it and you don'	<ul> <li>THE COURT: You can say something about it i</li> <li>closing.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: That's fine.</li> <li>THE COURT: I can still keep the final instruction</li> <li>which says: The parties have stipulated on it and you</li> <li>need to consider it because the amount is really</li> <li>important.</li> </ul>	HAWORTH BARBER & GERSTMAN, LLC 505 Main Street Hackensack, New Jersey 07601 BY: SCOTT HAWORTH, ESQ.		
16	it and you don' t is really not	<ul> <li>THE COURT: You can say something about it is</li> <li>closing.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: That's fine.</li> <li>THE COURT: I can still keep the final instruction of the parties have stipulated on it and you need to consider it because the amount is really important.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: Understood.</li> </ul>	HAWORTH BARBER & GERSTMAN, LLC 505 Main Street Hackensack, New Jersey 07601 BY: SCOTT HAWORTH, ESQ.		
17	it and you don' t is really not erstand the reason	<ul> <li>7 THE COURT: You can say something about it is</li> <li>8 closing.</li> <li>9 MR. O'HARA: That's fine.</li> <li>10 THE COURT: I can still keep the final instruction in the court of the says: The parties have stipulated on it and you need to consider it because the amount is really important.</li> <li>14 MR. O'HARA: Understood.</li> <li>15 MR. MORELLI: Your Honor, I understand the</li> </ul>	HAWORTH BARBER & GERSTMAN, LLC 505 Main Street Hackensack, New Jersey 07601 BY: SCOTT HAWORTH, ESQ.		
	it and you don' t is really not erstand the reason ng because,	<ul> <li>7 THE COURT: You can say something about it is</li> <li>8 closing.</li> <li>9 MR. O'HARA: That's fine.</li> <li>10 THE COURT: I can still keep the final instruction in the court of the says: The parties have stipulated on it and you is need to consider it because the amount is really important.</li> <li>14 MR. O'HARA: Understood.</li> <li>15 MR. MORELLI: Your Honor, I understand the is he wants to do it because it's misleading because</li> </ul>	HAWORTH BARBER & GERSTMAN, LLC 505 Main Street Hackensack, New Jersey 07601 BY: SCOTT HAWORTH, ESQ. RICHARD BARBER, ESQ.		
10	it and you don' t is really not erstand the reason ng because, ithout Medicare	<ul> <li>7 THE COURT: You can say something about it is</li> <li>8 closing.</li> <li>9 MR. O'HARA: That's fine.</li> <li>10 THE COURT: I can still keep the final instruction in the court of the parties have stipulated on it and you is need to consider it because the amount is really important.</li> <li>14 MR. O'HARA: Understood.</li> <li>15 MR. MORELLI: Your Honor, I understand the is he wants to do it because it's misleading because it's misleading because it's obviously, the cost of real medical care without Medical care witho</li></ul>	HAWORTH BARBER & GERSTMAN, LLC 505 Main Street Hackensack, New Jersey 07601 BY: SCOTT HAWORTH, ESQ. RICHARD BARBER, ESQ.		
19 10 that's not going to shange this area	it and you don' t is really not erstand the reason ng because, ithout Medicare	<ul> <li>THE COURT: You can say something about it is</li> <li>closing.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: That's fine.</li> <li>THE COURT: I can still keep the final instruction</li> <li>which says: The parties have stipulated on it and you</li> <li>need to consider it because the amount is really</li> <li>important.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: Understood.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Your Honor, I understand the</li> <li>he wants to do it because it's misleading because</li> <li>obviously, the cost of real medical care without Medicaid would have been like \$2 million but, tru</li> </ul>	HAWORTH BARBER & GERSTMAN, LLC 505 Main Street Hackensack, New Jersey 07601 BY: SCOTT HAWORTH, ESQ. RICHARD BARBER, ESQ.		
19 that's not going to change this case.	it and you don' t is really not erstand the reason ng because, ithout Medicare on but, trust me	<ul> <li>THE COURT: You can say something about it is</li> <li>closing.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: That's fine.</li> <li>THE COURT: I can still keep the final instruction</li> <li>which says: The parties have stipulated on it and you need to consider it because the amount is really important.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: Understood.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Your Honor, I understand the he wants to do it because it's misleading because for obviously, the cost of real medical care without Med and Medicaid would have been like \$2 million but, true that's not going to change this case.</li> </ul>	HAWORTH BARBER & GERSTMAN, LLC 505 Main Street Hackensack, New Jersey 07601 BY: SCOTT HAWORTH, ESQ. RICHARD BARBER, ESQ.		
2019 that's not going to change this case.20THE COURT: Okay. I'll leave it that	it and you don' t is really not erstand the reason g because, ithout Medicare on but, trust me that you can tal	<ul> <li>THE COURT: You can say something about it is closing.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: That's fine.</li> <li>THE COURT: I can still keep the final instruction of the course of the course</li></ul>	HAWORTH BARBER & GERSTMAN, LLC 505 Main Street Hackensack, New Jersey 07601 BY: SCOTT HAWORTH, ESQ. RICHARD BARBER, ESQ.		
202020THE COURT: Okay. I'll leave it that2121about it if you want to talk about it in the	it and you don' t is really not erstand the reason g because, ithout Medicare on but, trust me that you can tall he closing, bu	<ul> <li>THE COURT: You can say something about it is closing.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: That's fine.</li> <li>THE COURT: I can still keep the final instruction of the course of the course</li></ul>	HAWORTH BARBER & GERSTMAN, LLC 505 Main Street Hackensack, New Jersey 07601 BY: SCOTT HAWORTH, ESQ. RICHARD BARBER, ESQ.		
202020THE COURT: Okay. I'll leave it that2121about it if you want to talk about it in the222121	it and you don' t is really not erstand the reason ng because, ithout Medicare on but, trust me that you can tall he closing, bu pulated to and I	<ul> <li>THE COURT: You can say something about it is</li> <li>closing.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: That's fine.</li> <li>THE COURT: I can still keep the final instruction of the course of th</li></ul>	HAWORTH BARBER & GERSTMAN, LLC 505 Main Street Hackensack, New Jersey 07601 BY: SCOTT HAWORTH, ESQ. RICHARD BARBER, ESQ.		
202020THE COURT: Okay. I'll leave it that212121 about it if you want to talk about it in the2221 m going to say the amount has been stipulated by the amount has been stipul	it and you don' t is really not erstand the reason ng because, ithout Medicare on but, trust me that you can tall he closing, bu pulated to and I	<ul> <li>THE COURT: You can say something about it is closing.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: That's fine.</li> <li>THE COURT: I can still keep the final instruction of the course of the course</li></ul>	HAWORTH BARBER & GERSTMAN, LLC 505 Main Street Hackensack, New Jersey 07601 BY: SCOTT HAWORTH, ESQ. RICHARD BARBER, ESQ.		
202020THE COURT: Okay. I'll leave it that212121 about it if you want to talk about it in the2221 m going to say the amount has been stipulated by the amount has been stipul	it and you don' t is really not erstand the reason ng because, ithout Medicare on but, trust me that you can tall he closing, bu pulated to and I	<ul> <li>THE COURT: You can say something about it is</li> <li>closing.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: That's fine.</li> <li>THE COURT: I can still keep the final instruction in the course of the parties have stipulated on it and you in the says: The parties have stipulated on it and you ineed to consider it because the amount is really important.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: Understood.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Your Honor, I understand the he wants to do it because it's misleading because it obviously, the cost of real medical care without Medicaid would have been like \$2 million but, true is that's not going to change this case.</li> <li>THE COURT: Okay. I'll leave it that you can about it if you want to talk about it in the closing it is going to be objecting to way the amount has been stipulated to a don't think anybody is going to be objecting to way.</li> </ul>	HAWORTH BARBER & GERSTMAN, LLC 505 Main Street Hackensack, New Jersey 07601 BY: SCOTT HAWORTH, ESQ. RICHARD BARBER, ESQ.		
2020THE COURT: Okay. I'll leave it that2121about it if you want to talk about it in the222323	it and you don' t is really not erstand the reason ng because, ithout Medicare on but, trust me that you can tall he closing, bu pulated to and I	<ul> <li>THE COURT: You can say something about it is</li> <li>closing.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: That's fine.</li> <li>THE COURT: I can still keep the final instruction</li> <li>which says: The parties have stipulated on it and you need to consider it because the amount is really important.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: Understood.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Your Honor, I understand the he wants to do it because it's misleading because for obviously, the cost of real medical care without Meat and Medicaid would have been like \$2 million but, true that's not going to change this case.</li> <li>THE COURT: Okay. I'll leave it that you can don't think anybody is going to be objecting to ward the stipulated to ward to ward to be objecting to ward to ward to ward to be objecting to ward to ward to ward to be objecting to ward to ward the wards to ward to ward to be objecting to ward to ward to ward the wards the wards to ward to be objecting to ward to ward to ward to be objecting to ward to ward to ward to be objecting to ward to ward the wards the wards to be objecting to ward to ward to ward the wards the wards the wards to ward the wards the wards the wards to be objecting to ward to ward the wards the wa</li></ul>	HAWORTH BARBER & GERSTMAN, LLC 505 Main Street Hackensack, New Jersey 07601 BY: SCOTT HAWORTH, ESQ. RICHARD BARBER, ESQ.		
2019 that's hot going to charge this case.2120THE COURT: Okay. I'll leave it that2121 about it if you want to talk about it in the2221 m going to say the amount has been stipula2323 don't think anybody is going to be objectin2424 is25MR. O'HARA: Thank you.	it and you don' t is really not erstand the reason ng because, ithout Medicare on but, trust me that you can tall he closing, bu bulated to and I cting to what it	<ul> <li>THE COURT: You can say something about it is</li> <li>closing.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: That's fine.</li> <li>THE COURT: I can still keep the final instruction</li> <li>which says: The parties have stipulated on it and you need to consider it because the amount is really important.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: Understood.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Your Honor, I understand the he wants to do it because it's misleading because for obviously, the cost of real medical care without Meat and Medicaid would have been like \$2 million but, true that's not going to change this case.</li> <li>THE COURT: Okay. I'll leave it that you can don't think anybody is going to be objecting to ward the stipulated to ward to ward to be objecting to ward to ward to ward to be objecting to ward to ward to ward to be objecting to ward to ward the wards to ward to ward to be objecting to ward to ward to ward the wards the wards to ward to be objecting to ward to ward to ward to be objecting to ward to ward to ward to be objecting to ward to ward the wards the wards to be objecting to ward to ward to ward the wards the wards the wards to ward the wards the wards the wards to be objecting to ward to ward the wards the wa</li></ul>	HAWORTH BARBER & GERSTMAN, LLC 505 Main Street Hackensack, New Jersey 07601 BY: SCOTT HAWORTH, ESQ. RICHARD BARBER, ESQ. LISA M. DE CRESCENZO and LISA CASEY OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS		

LIV	e Nation		December 5, 201
Pro	ceedings Page 1661	Pro	oceedings Page 1663
1	THE COURT: since you've agreed to it.	1	case.
2	Income taxes: I thought it should come out. You	2	
3	guys put it back in.		Judge, is that it's an and/or simply because it can't be
4	MR. HAWORTH: We kept out		both based on the record. You have to award them one or the
5	THE COURT: You did?		other because one expert says one, the other expert says the
6	MR. HAWORTH: In terms of the jury being told there		other. Giving them both makes no sense based on the
	are no income tax concerns. I mean, that's the charge.	7	
7	THE COURT: Well, there are. If this goes to	8	
_	verdict, there are.	_	
	MR. MORELLI: Some of it.	9	
10	THE COURT: Some of it is.	10	
11		11	1 ,
12	MR. HAWORTH: Then just take it out so it's not		e so, I'm not exempting.
	confusing.	13	e ,
14	THE COURT: But it doesn't apply. It only applies	14	
	to part of it and that's why I said yesterday this is an		be "and"?
	issue. I raised the issue and I said if there's proposed	16	
17	language that I think it should come out, but if there's	17	5 0
	proposed language to address it, somebody tell me what you		e other.
	think it is.	19	5 6
20	MR. HAWORTH: I agree with you.	20	5
21	MR. MORELLI: And I agree with Scott, which then	21	
22	also means I agree with you, I think.		e "and/or."
23	THE COURT: We're ordering the transcript, right?	23	
24	On page 18, I'm working off yours.	24	8
25	MR. HAWORTH: Give me a minute to get to it, Judge.	25	THE COURT: If the jury listens to all of my words
	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER		LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
Pro	ceedings Page 1662	Pro	oceedings Page 1664
Pro 1	-		-
1	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the		with that degree of care, I will be amazed.
1	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses.	1	with that degree of care, I will be amazed. MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.
1 2	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses. MR. HAWORTH: That's not my page 18. What charge	1 2	<ul> <li>with that degree of care, I will be amazed.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.</li> </ul>
1 2 3	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses.	1 2 3	<ul> <li>with that degree of care, I will be amazed.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: It's the read back.</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses. MR. HAWORTH: That's not my page 18. What charge are you on, Judge? THE COURT: The one before 2325.	1 2 3 4	<ul> <li>with that degree of care, I will be amazed.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: It's the read back.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Maybe yes, maybe no.</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses. MR. HAWORTH: That's not my page 18. What charge are you on, Judge? THE COURT: The one before 2325. MR. SIROTKIN: 2301, Scott. I have the copy that	1 2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>with that degree of care, I will be amazed.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: It's the read back.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Maybe yes, maybe no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Now, the next thing I wanted to talk</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses. MR. HAWORTH: That's not my page 18. What charge are you on, Judge? THE COURT: The one before 2325. MR. SIROTKIN: 2301, Scott. I have the copy that the Judge sent last night.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>with that degree of care, I will be amazed.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: It's the read back.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Maybe yes, maybe no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Now, the next thing I wanted to talk about was why you added things to the mitigation charge that</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses. MR. HAWORTH: That's not my page 18. What charge are you on, Judge? THE COURT: The one before 2325. MR. SIROTKIN: 2301, Scott. I have the copy that the Judge sent last night. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah, but I know mine.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>with that degree of care, I will be amazed.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: It's the read back.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Maybe yes, maybe no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Now, the next thing I wanted to talk</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses. MR. HAWORTH: That's not my page 18. What charge are you on, Judge? THE COURT: The one before 2325. MR. SIROTKIN: 2301, Scott. I have the copy that the Judge sent last night. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah, but I know mine. THE COURT: All right.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>with that degree of care, I will be amazed.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: It's the read back.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Maybe yes, maybe no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Now, the next thing I wanted to talk</li> <li>about was why you added things to the mitigation charge that</li> <li>were not argued yesterday or two days ago, whenever that</li> <li>was.</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses. MR. HAWORTH: That's not my page 18. What charge are you on, Judge? THE COURT: The one before 2325. MR. SIROTKIN: 2301, Scott. I have the copy that the Judge sent last night. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah, but I know mine. THE COURT: All right. MR. HAWORTH: 2301, Judge.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>with that degree of care, I will be amazed.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: It's the read back.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Maybe yes, maybe no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Now, the next thing I wanted to talk</li> <li>about was why you added things to the mitigation charge that</li> <li>were not argued yesterday or two days ago, whenever that</li> <li>was.</li> <li>Specifically and I don't think it's a big deal,</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses. MR. HAWORTH: That's not my page 18. What charge are you on, Judge? THE COURT: The one before 2325. MR. SIROTKIN: 2301, Scott. I have the copy that the Judge sent last night. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah, but I know mine. THE COURT: All right. MR. HAWORTH: 2301, Judge. THE COURT: All right.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>with that degree of care, I will be amazed.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: It's the read back.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Maybe yes, maybe no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Now, the next thing I wanted to talk</li> <li>about was why you added things to the mitigation charge that</li> <li>were not argued yesterday or two days ago, whenever that</li> <li>Was.</li> <li>Specifically and I don't think it's a big deal,</li> <li>but I want to raise it. I personally don't think it's a big</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses. MR. HAWORTH: That's not my page 18. What charge are you on, Judge? THE COURT: The one before 2325. MR. SIROTKIN: 2301, Scott. I have the copy that the Judge sent last night. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah, but I know mine. THE COURT: All right. MR. HAWORTH: 2301, Judge. THE COURT: All right. The next category, "future rehabilitation	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>with that degree of care, I will be amazed.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: It's the read back.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Maybe yes, maybe no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Now, the next thing I wanted to talk</li> <li>about was why you added things to the mitigation charge that</li> <li>were not argued yesterday or two days ago, whenever that</li> <li>was.</li> <li>Specifically and I don't think it's a big deal,</li> <li>but I want to raise it. I personally don't think it's a big</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses. MR. HAWORTH: That's not my page 18. What charge are you on, Judge? THE COURT: The one before 2325. MR. SIROTKIN: 2301, Scott. I have the copy that the Judge sent last night. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah, but I know mine. THE COURT: All right. MR. HAWORTH: 2301, Judge. THE COURT: All right. The next category, "future rehabilitation expenses," where that section is.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>with that degree of care, I will be amazed.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: It's the read back.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Maybe yes, maybe no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Now, the next thing I wanted to talk</li> <li>about was why you added things to the mitigation charge that</li> <li>were not argued yesterday or two days ago, whenever that</li> <li>was.</li> <li>Specifically and I don't think it's a big deal,</li> <li>but I want to raise it. I personally don't think it's a big</li> <li>deal, but I don't know if Mr. Morelli has had a chance to a look at this.</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses. MR. HAWORTH: That's not my page 18. What charge are you on, Judge? THE COURT: The one before 2325. MR. SIROTKIN: 2301, Scott. I have the copy that the Judge sent last night. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah, but I know mine. THE COURT: All right. MR. HAWORTH: 2301, Judge. THE COURT: All right. The next category, "future rehabilitation expenses," where that section is. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>with that degree of care, I will be amazed.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: It's the read back.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Maybe yes, maybe no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Now, the next thing I wanted to talk</li> <li>about was why you added things to the mitigation charge that</li> <li>were not argued yesterday or two days ago, whenever that</li> <li>was.</li> <li>Specifically and I don't think it's a big deal,</li> <li>but I want to raise it. I personally don't think it's a big</li> <li>deal, but I don't know if Mr. Morelli has had a chance to</li> <li>look at this.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Frankly, I felt I was cleaning it up</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses. MR. HAWORTH: That's not my page 18. What charge are you on, Judge? THE COURT: The one before 2325. MR. SIROTKIN: 2301, Scott. I have the copy that the Judge sent last night. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah, but I know mine. THE COURT: All right. MR. HAWORTH: 2301, Judge. THE COURT: All right. The next category, "future rehabilitation expenses," where that section is. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. The "and/or." I don't believe	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>with that degree of care, I will be amazed.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: It's the read back.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Maybe yes, maybe no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Now, the next thing I wanted to talk</li> <li>about was why you added things to the mitigation charge that</li> <li>were not argued yesterday or two days ago, whenever that</li> <li>was.</li> <li>Specifically and I don't think it's a big deal,</li> <li>but I want to raise it. I personally don't think it's a big</li> <li>deal, but I don't know if Mr. Morelli has had a chance to</li> <li>look at this.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Frankly, I felt I was cleaning it up</li> <li>to conform to the evidence the way it went in and that's why</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses. MR. HAWORTH: That's not my page 18. What charge are you on, Judge? THE COURT: The one before 2325. MR. SIROTKIN: 2301, Scott. I have the copy that the Judge sent last night. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah, but I know mine. THE COURT: All right. MR. HAWORTH: 2301, Judge. THE COURT: All right. The next category, "future rehabilitation expenses," where that section is. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. The "and/or." I don't believe in and/or's. The verdict sheet says if they award none,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>with that degree of care, I will be amazed.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: It's the read back.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Maybe yes, maybe no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Now, the next thing I wanted to talk</li> <li>about was why you added things to the mitigation charge that</li> <li>were not argued yesterday or two days ago, whenever that</li> <li>Was.</li> <li>Specifically and I don't think it's a big deal,</li> <li>but I want to raise it. I personally don't think it's a big</li> <li>deal, but I don't know if Mr. Morelli has had a chance to</li> <li>look at this.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Frankly, I felt I was cleaning it up</li> <li>to conform to the evidence the way it went in and that's why</li> <li>I changed it, because originally it says "craniotomy." It's</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses. MR. HAWORTH: That's not my page 18. What charge are you on, Judge? THE COURT: The one before 2325. MR. SIROTKIN: 2301, Scott. I have the copy that the Judge sent last night. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah, but I know mine. THE COURT: All right. MR. HAWORTH: 2301, Judge. THE COURT: All right. The next category, "future rehabilitation expenses," where that section is. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. The "and/or." I don't believe in and/or's. The verdict sheet says if they award none, they can award none but this is just a list of things that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>with that degree of care, I will be amazed.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: It's the read back.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Maybe yes, maybe no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Now, the next thing I wanted to talk</li> <li>about was why you added things to the mitigation charge that</li> <li>were not argued yesterday or two days ago, whenever that</li> <li>was.</li> <li>Specifically and I don't think it's a big deal,</li> <li>but I want to raise it. I personally don't think it's a big</li> <li>deal, but I don't know if Mr. Morelli has had a chance to</li> <li>look at this.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Frankly, I felt I was cleaning it up</li> <li>to conform to the evidence the way it went in and that's why</li> <li>I changed it, because originally it says "craniotomy." It's</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses. MR. HAWORTH: That's not my page 18. What charge are you on, Judge? THE COURT: The one before 2325. MR. SIROTKIN: 2301, Scott. I have the copy that the Judge sent last night. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah, but I know mine. THE COURT: All right. MR. HAWORTH: 2301, Judge. THE COURT: All right. The next category, "future rehabilitation expenses," where that section is. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. The "and/or." I don't believe in and/or's. The verdict sheet says if they award none, they can award none but this is just a list of things that they may consider.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>with that degree of care, I will be amazed.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: It's the read back.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Maybe yes, maybe no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Now, the next thing I wanted to talk</li> <li>about was why you added things to the mitigation charge that</li> <li>were not argued yesterday or two days ago, whenever that</li> <li>was.</li> <li>Specifically and I don't think it's a big deal,</li> <li>but I want to raise it. I personally don't think it's a big</li> <li>deal, but I don't know if Mr. Morelli has had a chance to</li> <li>look at this.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Frankly, I felt I was cleaning it up</li> <li>to conform to the evidence the way it went in and that's why</li> <li>I changed it, because originally it says "craniotomy." It's</li> <li>rcanioplasty. It said "psychotherapy," but it wasn't</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses. MR. HAWORTH: That's not my page 18. What charge are you on, Judge? THE COURT: The one before 2325. MR. SIROTKIN: 2301, Scott. I have the copy that the Judge sent last night. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah, but I know mine. THE COURT: All right. MR. HAWORTH: 2301, Judge. THE COURT: All right. The next category, "future rehabilitation expenses," where that section is. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. The "and/or." I don't believe in and/or's. The verdict sheet says if they award none, they can award none but this is just a list of things that they may consider. MR. HAWORTH: I understand. I'll tell you why I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>with that degree of care, I will be amazed.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: It's the read back.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Maybe yes, maybe no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Now, the next thing I wanted to talk</li> <li>about was why you added things to the mitigation charge that</li> <li>were not argued yesterday or two days ago, whenever that</li> <li>was.</li> <li>Specifically and I don't think it's a big deal,</li> <li>but I want to raise it. I personally don't think it's a big</li> <li>deal, but I don't know if Mr. Morelli has had a chance to</li> <li>look at this.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Frankly, I felt I was cleaning it up</li> <li>to conform to the evidence the way it went in and that's why</li> <li>I changed it, because originally it says "craniotomy." It's</li> <li>rcranioplasty. It said "psychotherapy," but it wasn't</li> <li>psychotherapy; it was various types of psychological</li> <li>therapies and cognitive therapies, and I felt it was</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses. MR. HAWORTH: That's not my page 18. What charge are you on, Judge? THE COURT: The one before 2325. MR. SIROTKIN: 2301, Scott. I have the copy that the Judge sent last night. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah, but I know mine. THE COURT: All right. MR. HAWORTH: 2301, Judge. THE COURT: All right. The next category, "future rehabilitation expenses," where that section is. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. The "and/or." I don't believe in and/or's. The verdict sheet says if they award none, they can award none but this is just a list of things that they may consider. MR. HAWORTH: I understand. I'll tell you why I said it because Kushner said neuropsychological, Provder	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>with that degree of care, I will be amazed.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: It's the read back.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Maybe yes, maybe no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Now, the next thing I wanted to talk</li> <li>about was why you added things to the mitigation charge that</li> <li>were not argued yesterday or two days ago, whenever that</li> <li>was.</li> <li>Specifically and I don't think it's a big deal,</li> <li>but I want to raise it. I personally don't think it's a big</li> <li>deal, but I don't know if Mr. Morelli has had a chance to</li> <li>look at this.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Frankly, I felt I was cleaning it up</li> <li>to conform to the evidence the way it went in and that's why</li> <li>I changed it, because originally it says "craniotomy." It's</li> <li>reranioplasty. It said "psychotherapy," but it wasn't</li> <li>psychotherapy; it was various types of psychological</li> <li>therapies and cognitive therapies, and I felt it was</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses. MR. HAWORTH: That's not my page 18. What charge are you on, Judge? THE COURT: The one before 2325. MR. SIROTKIN: 2301, Scott. I have the copy that the Judge sent last night. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah, but I know mine. THE COURT: All right. MR. HAWORTH: 2301, Judge. THE COURT: All right. The next category, "future rehabilitation expenses," where that section is. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. The "and/or." I don't believe in and/or's. The verdict sheet says if they award none, they can award none but this is just a list of things that they may consider. MR. HAWORTH: I understand. I'll tell you why I said it because Kushner said neuropsychological, Provder said psychological. So, by definition the and/or fits.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>with that degree of care, I will be amazed.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: It's the read back.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Maybe yes, maybe no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Now, the next thing I wanted to talk</li> <li>about was why you added things to the mitigation charge that</li> <li>were not argued yesterday or two days ago, whenever that</li> <li>was.</li> <li>Specifically and I don't think it's a big deal,</li> <li>but I want to raise it. I personally don't think it's a big</li> <li>deal, but I don't know if Mr. Morelli has had a chance to</li> <li>look at this.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Frankly, I felt I was cleaning it up</li> <li>to conform to the evidence the way it went in and that's why</li> <li>I changed it, because originally it says "craniotomy." It's</li> <li>reanioplasty. It said "psychotherapy," but it wasn't</li> <li>psychotherapy; it was various types of psychological</li> <li>therapies and cognitive therapies, and I felt it was</li> <li>appropriately to accurately reflect the record.</li> <li>THE COURT: You have a problem with that?</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses. MR. HAWORTH: That's not my page 18. What charge are you on, Judge? THE COURT: The one before 2325. MR. SIROTKIN: 2301, Scott. I have the copy that the Judge sent last night. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah, but I know mine. THE COURT: All right. MR. HAWORTH: 2301, Judge. THE COURT: All right. The next category, "future rehabilitation expenses," where that section is. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. The "and/or." I don't believe in and/or's. The verdict sheet says if they award none, they can award none but this is just a list of things that they may consider. MR. HAWORTH: I understand. I'll tell you why I said it because Kushner said neuropsychological, Provder said psychological. So, by definition, if you find that the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>with that degree of care, I will be amazed.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: It's the read back.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Maybe yes, maybe no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Now, the next thing I wanted to talk</li> <li>about was why you added things to the mitigation charge that</li> <li>were not argued yesterday or two days ago, whenever that</li> <li>was.</li> <li>Specifically and I don't think it's a big deal,</li> <li>but I want to raise it. I personally don't think it's a big</li> <li>deal, but I don't know if Mr. Morelli has had a chance to</li> <li>look at this.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Frankly, I felt I was cleaning it up</li> <li>to conform to the evidence the way it went in and that's why</li> <li>I changed it, because originally it says "craniotomy." It's</li> <li>reanioplasty. It said "psychotherapy," but it wasn't</li> <li>psychotherapy; it was various types of psychological</li> <li>therapies and cognitive therapies, and I felt it was</li> <li>appropriately to accurately reflect the record.</li> <li>THE COURT: You have a problem with that?</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Judge, I really I don't think this</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses. MR. HAWORTH: That's not my page 18. What charge are you on, Judge? THE COURT: The one before 2325. MR. SIROTKIN: 2301, Scott. I have the copy that the Judge sent last night. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah, but I know mine. THE COURT: All right. MR. HAWORTH: 2301, Judge. THE COURT: All right. The next category, "future rehabilitation expenses," where that section is. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. The "and/or." I don't believe in and/or's. The verdict sheet says if they award none, they can award none but this is just a list of things that they may consider. MR. HAWORTH: I understand. I'll tell you why I said it because Kushner said neuropsychological, Provder said psychological. So, by definition the and/or fits. THE COURT: By definition, if you find that the amount is none, you'd write the word none. It's better.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>with that degree of care, I will be amazed.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: It's the read back.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Maybe yes, maybe no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Now, the next thing I wanted to talk</li> <li>about was why you added things to the mitigation charge that</li> <li>were not argued yesterday or two days ago, whenever that</li> <li>was.</li> <li>Specifically and I don't think it's a big deal,</li> <li>but I want to raise it. I personally don't think it's a big</li> <li>deal, but I don't know if Mr. Morelli has had a chance to</li> <li>look at this.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Frankly, I felt I was cleaning it up</li> <li>to conform to the evidence the way it went in and that's why</li> <li>I changed it, because originally it says "craniotomy." It's</li> <li>rcanioplasty. It said "psychotherapy," but it wasn't</li> <li>psychotherapy; it was various types of psychological</li> <li>therapies and cognitive therapies, and I felt it was</li> <li>appropriately to accurately reflect the record.</li> <li>THE COURT: You have a problem with that?</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Judge, I really I don't think this</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses. MR. HAWORTH: That's not my page 18. What charge are you on, Judge? THE COURT: The one before 2325. MR. SIROTKIN: 2301, Scott. I have the copy that the Judge sent last night. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah, but I know mine. THE COURT: All right. MR. HAWORTH: 2301, Judge. THE COURT: All right. The next category, "future rehabilitation expenses," where that section is. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. The "and/or." I don't believe in and/or's. The verdict sheet says if they award none, they can award none but this is just a list of things that they may consider. MR. HAWORTH: I understand. I'll tell you why I said it because Kushner said neuropsychological, Provder said psychological. So, by definition the and/or fits. THE COURT: By definition, if you find that the amount is none, you'd write the word none. It's better. They don't have to award any of it. Well, they have to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>with that degree of care, I will be amazed.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: It's the read back.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Maybe yes, maybe no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Now, the next thing I wanted to talk</li> <li>about was why you added things to the mitigation charge that</li> <li>were not argued yesterday or two days ago, whenever that</li> <li>was.</li> <li>Specifically and I don't think it's a big deal,</li> <li>but I want to raise it. I personally don't think it's a big</li> <li>deal, but I don't know if Mr. Morelli has had a chance to</li> <li>look at this.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Frankly, I felt I was cleaning it up</li> <li>to conform to the evidence the way it went in and that's why</li> <li>I changed it, because originally it says "craniotomy." It's</li> <li>cranioplasty. It said "psychotherapy," but it wasn't</li> <li>psychotherapy; it was various types of psychological</li> <li>therapies and cognitive therapies, and I felt it was</li> <li>appropriately to accurately reflect the record.</li> <li>THE COURT: You have a problem with that?</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Judge, I really I don't think this</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses. MR. HAWORTH: That's not my page 18. What charge are you on, Judge? THE COURT: The one before 2325. MR. SIROTKIN: 2301, Scott. I have the copy that the Judge sent last night. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah, but I know mine. THE COURT: All right. MR. HAWORTH: 2301, Judge. THE COURT: All right. The next category, "future rehabilitation expenses," where that section is. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. The "and/or." I don't believe in and/or's. The verdict sheet says if they award none, they can award none but this is just a list of things that they may consider. MR. HAWORTH: I understand. I'll tell you why I said it because Kushner said neuropsychological, Provder said psychological. So, by definition the and/or fits. THE COURT: By definition, if you find that the amount is none, you'd write the word none. It's better.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>with that degree of care, I will be amazed.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: It's the read back.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Maybe yes, maybe no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Now, the next thing I wanted to talk</li> <li>about was why you added things to the mitigation charge that</li> <li>were not argued yesterday or two days ago, whenever that</li> <li>was.</li> <li>Specifically and I don't think it's a big deal,</li> <li>but I want to raise it. I personally don't think it's a big</li> <li>deal, but I don't know if Mr. Morelli has had a chance to</li> <li>look at this.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Frankly, I felt I was cleaning it up</li> <li>to conform to the evidence the way it went in and that's why</li> <li>I changed it, because originally it says "craniotomy." It's</li> <li>cranioplasty. It said "psychotherapy," but it wasn't</li> <li>psychotherapy; it was various types of psychological</li> <li>therapies and cognitive therapies, and I felt it was</li> <li>appropriately to accurately reflect the record.</li> <li>THE COURT: You have a problem with that?</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Judge, I really I don't think this</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the next category will be future rehabilitation expenses. MR. HAWORTH: That's not my page 18. What charge are you on, Judge? THE COURT: The one before 2325. MR. SIROTKIN: 2301, Scott. I have the copy that the Judge sent last night. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah, but I know mine. THE COURT: All right. MR. HAWORTH: 2301, Judge. THE COURT: All right. The next category, "future rehabilitation expenses," where that section is. MR. HAWORTH: Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. The "and/or." I don't believe in and/or's. The verdict sheet says if they award none, they can award none but this is just a list of things that they may consider. MR. HAWORTH: I understand. I'll tell you why I said it because Kushner said neuropsychological, Provder said psychological. So, by definition the and/or fits. THE COURT: By definition, if you find that the amount is none, you'd write the word none. It's better. They don't have to award any of it. Well, they have to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>with that degree of care, I will be amazed.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Yeah.</li> <li>THE COURT: It's the read back.</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Maybe yes, maybe no.</li> <li>THE COURT: Now, the next thing I wanted to talk</li> <li>about was why you added things to the mitigation charge that</li> <li>were not argued yesterday or two days ago, whenever that</li> <li>was.</li> <li>Specifically and I don't think it's a big deal,</li> <li>but I want to raise it. I personally don't think it's a big</li> <li>deal, but I don't know if Mr. Morelli has had a chance to</li> <li>look at this.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Frankly, I felt I was cleaning it up</li> <li>to conform to the evidence the way it went in and that's why</li> <li>I changed it, because originally it says "craniotomy." It's</li> <li>cranioplasty. It said "psychotherapy," but it wasn't</li> <li>psychotherapy; it was various types of psychological</li> <li>therapies and cognitive therapies, and I felt it was</li> <li>appropriately to accurately reflect the record.</li> <li>THE COURT: You have a problem with that?</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: Judge, I really I don't think this</li> </ul>

Pro	ceedings Page 1665	Proceed	dings Page 1667
1	don't have a problem.	1 rec	cord at the beginning of the case, and I'm not going to
2	MR. MORELLI: It's a very long record, no one is		aste the Court's time with it now, but I would like to
	going to remember exactly what word has been used and Scott		corporate that earlier argument into this argument because
4	and I have both been wrong in terms of what was said.		e Grayson case is clear in the defense view, Judge, that
5	MR. HAWORTH: I was wrong the other day.		pertains to topics like athletes, concert violinists,
6	THE COURT: The thing of more significance on this		pera singers.
	and again slightly more, would have greatly alleviated, you	7	I would respectfully submit, Judge, that the
8	want to put in his symptoms and I think it should be his		purt's ruling on this issue swallows the exception because
9	pain or		the one hand the Court charges PJI 2:,290 which is
10	MR. HAWORTH: I changed it because pain, it's		posolutely appropriate for this case, and then, in our
11	not the case isn't really about physical pain. It's		binion, Judge, the Court just undoes it with charging
	about a variety of symptoms which are cognitive, they're	<b>12</b> 2:2	291.
13	emotional, they're physical and those are symptoms of what	13	Here, there's no training to be a web designer.
14	happened to him.	14 The	he one course he took was in community college and he
15	THE COURT: But what I'm saying, talking about pain	<b>15</b> fai	iled that course.
16	or minimizing the effect of the injury.	16	In the cases that the charge relies upon, Judge,
17	MR. HAWORTH: That's different. Those are two		ere's a long history of training and then you have
18	different things.		stimony from the opera singing teacher and there's not
19	Minimizing the effect of injury is symptoms to me		ly a history of training, there's a history of performing.
	and that is accurate.	20	This case, what you have is an aspirational career
21	Pain, I just don't think the word "pain" is		the testimony of plaintiff's own vocational
	accurate.		habilitation expert.
23	MR. MORELLI: There's testimony in the case about pain and there's testimony from Dr. Ambrose that she doesn't	23 24 ovc	You have no documentary evidence of a single cent er being earned doing web design, not to mention web
	doubt that he has pain.		esign is not a ball player, it's not an athlete, it's not
25	doubt that he has pain.	25 uc.	sign is not a ball player, it's not all atmete, it's not
	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	LI	ISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
Pro	Coordings Born 1666	_	
	ceedings Page 1666	Proceed	dings Page 1668
	-		-
1	THE COURT: But, but in fairness, but part of		opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is.
1	-	1 an 2	opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is. It's like anyone else who might be good at their
1 2	THE COURT: But, but in fairness, but part of this I don't know why I am arguing against you on this	1 an 2 3 car	opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is.
1 2 3	THE COURT: But, but in fairness, but part of this I don't know why I am arguing against you on this because	1 an 2 3 car 4 the	opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is. It's like anyone else who might be good at their reer and may not be good at their career, but they have
1 2 3 4	THE COURT: But, but in fairness, but part of this I don't know why I am arguing against you on this because MR. MORELLI: I don't either. THE COURT: but part of this also is suffering. MR. MORELLI: Of course.	1 an 2 3 car 4 the 5 isn 6	opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is. It's like anyone else who might be good at their reer and may not be good at their career, but they have e requisite training and here that requisite training just n't present. There's no documentary proof which is required and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	THE COURT: But, but in fairness, but part of this I don't know why I am arguing against you on this because MR. MORELLI: I don't either. THE COURT: but part of this also is suffering. MR. MORELLI: Of course. THE COURT: Right. So it's not just physical pain,	1 an 2 3 car 4 the 5 isn 6 7 I w	opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is. It's like anyone else who might be good at their reer and may not be good at their career, but they have e requisite training and here that requisite training just n't present. There's no documentary proof which is required and want to note one case which is one additional one that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	THE COURT: But, but in fairness, but part of this I don't know why I am arguing against you on this because MR. MORELLI: I don't either. THE COURT: but part of this also is suffering. MR. MORELLI: Of course. THE COURT: Right. So it's not just physical pain, it's suffering.	<ol> <li>an</li> <li>car</li> <li>car</li> <li>the</li> <li>isn</li> <li>7 I w</li> <li>did</li> </ol>	opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is. It's like anyone else who might be good at their reer and may not be good at their career, but they have e requisite training and here that requisite training just n't present. There's no documentary proof which is required and want to note one case which is one additional one that dn't come up earlier, which is the Navaja case, 148 AD2d
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	THE COURT: But, but in fairness, but part of this I don't know why I am arguing against you on this because MR. MORELLI: I don't either. THE COURT: but part of this also is suffering. MR. MORELLI: Of course. THE COURT: Right. So it's not just physical pain, it's suffering. MR. MORELLI: It's physical, emotional.	1 an 2 3 car 4 the 5 isn 6 7 I w 8 did 9 429	opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is. It's like anyone else who might be good at their reer and may not be good at their career, but they have e requisite training and here that requisite training just n't present. There's no documentary proof which is required and want to note one case which is one additional one that dn't come up earlier, which is the Navaja case, 148 AD2d 9, in which the plaintiff sought to recover for a future
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: But, but in fairness, but part of this I don't know why I am arguing against you on this because MR. MORELLI: I don't either. THE COURT: but part of this also is suffering. MR. MORELLI: Of course. THE COURT: Right. So it's not just physical pain, it's suffering. MR. MORELLI: It's physical, emotional. THE COURT: I think if I limit it to his injuries,	1 an 2 3 car 4 the 5 isn 6 7 I w 8 did 9 429 10 car	opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is. It's like anyone else who might be good at their reer and may not be good at their career, but they have e requisite training and here that requisite training just n't present. There's no documentary proof which is required and want to note one case which is one additional one that dn't come up earlier, which is the Navaja case, 148 AD2d 19, in which the plaintiff sought to recover for a future reer as a medical lab technician. She had taken several
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT: But, but in fairness, but part of this I don't know why I am arguing against you on this because MR. MORELLI: I don't either. THE COURT: but part of this also is suffering. MR. MORELLI: Of course. THE COURT: Right. So it's not just physical pain, it's suffering. MR. MORELLI: It's physical, emotional. THE COURT: I think if I limit it to his injuries, it covers everything.	1 an 2 3 car 4 the 5 isn 6 7 I w 8 did 9 422 10 car 11 con	opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is. It's like anyone else who might be good at their reer and may not be good at their career, but they have e requisite training and here that requisite training just n't present. There's no documentary proof which is required and want to note one case which is one additional one that dn't come up earlier, which is the Navaja case, 148 AD2d 9, in which the plaintiff sought to recover for a future reer as a medical lab technician. She had taken several burses toward that degree and then dropped out.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE COURT: But, but in fairness, but part of this I don't know why I am arguing against you on this because MR. MORELLI: I don't either. THE COURT: but part of this also is suffering. MR. MORELLI: Of course. THE COURT: Right. So it's not just physical pain, it's suffering. MR. MORELLI: It's physical, emotional. THE COURT: I think if I limit it to his injuries, it covers everything. MR. HAWORTH: Okay.	1 an 2 3 car 4 the 5 isn 6 7 I w 8 did 9 429 10 car 11 con 12	opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is. It's like anyone else who might be good at their reer and may not be good at their career, but they have e requisite training and here that requisite training just n't present. There's no documentary proof which is required and want to note one case which is one additional one that dn't come up earlier, which is the Navaja case, 148 AD2d 9, in which the plaintiff sought to recover for a future reer as a medical lab technician. She had taken several burses toward that degree and then dropped out. Here, we only have one and that course was failed
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: But, but in fairness, but part of this I don't know why I am arguing against you on this because MR. MORELLI: I don't either. THE COURT: but part of this also is suffering. MR. MORELLI: Of course. THE COURT: Right. So it's not just physical pain, it's suffering. MR. MORELLI: It's physical, emotional. THE COURT: I think if I limit it to his injuries, it covers everything. MR. HAWORTH: Okay. THE COURT: So, I'm going to modify that language.	1 an 2 3 car 4 the 5 isn 6 7 I w 8 did 9 429 10 car 11 cou 12 13 and	a opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is. It's like anyone else who might be good at their reer and may not be good at their career, but they have e requisite training and here that requisite training just n't present. There's no documentary proof which is required and want to note one case which is one additional one that dn't come up earlier, which is the Navaja case, 148 AD2d (9, in which the plaintiff sought to recover for a future reer as a medical lab technician. She had taken several burses toward that degree and then dropped out. Here, we only have one and that course was failed d I don't want to repeat myself. I'll rely on the balance
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: But, but in fairness, but part of this I don't know why I am arguing against you on this because MR. MORELLI: I don't either. THE COURT: but part of this also is suffering. MR. MORELLI: Of course. THE COURT: Right. So it's not just physical pain, it's suffering. MR. MORELLI: It's physical, emotional. THE COURT: I think if I limit it to his injuries, it covers everything. MR. HAWORTH: Okay. THE COURT: So, I'm going to modify that language. MR. HAWORTH: Thank you.	1 an 2 3 car 4 the 5 isn 6 7 I w 8 did 9 429 10 car 11 con 12 13 and 14 of r	a opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is. It's like anyone else who might be good at their reer and may not be good at their career, but they have e requisite training and here that requisite training just n't present. There's no documentary proof which is required and want to note one case which is one additional one that dn't come up earlier, which is the Navaja case, 148 AD2d 49, in which the plaintiff sought to recover for a future reer as a medical lab technician. She had taken several purses toward that degree and then dropped out. Here, we only have one and that course was failed d I don't want to repeat myself. I'll rely on the balance my argument that was made early on in the case when we
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: But, but in fairness, but part of this I don't know why I am arguing against you on this because MR. MORELLI: I don't either. THE COURT: but part of this also is suffering. MR. MORELLI: Of course. THE COURT: Right. So it's not just physical pain, it's suffering. MR. MORELLI: It's physical, emotional. THE COURT: I think if I limit it to his injuries, it covers everything. MR. HAWORTH: Okay. THE COURT: So, I'm going to modify that language. MR. HAWORTH: Thank you. THE COURT: Does anyone have anything else they	1 an 2 3 car 4 the 5 isn 6 7 I w 8 did 9 429 10 car 11 con 12 13 and 14 of r 15 tall	a opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is. It's like anyone else who might be good at their reer and may not be good at their career, but they have e requisite training and here that requisite training just n't present. There's no documentary proof which is required and want to note one case which is one additional one that dn't come up earlier, which is the Navaja case, 148 AD2d 19, in which the plaintiff sought to recover for a future reer as a medical lab technician. She had taken several purses toward that degree and then dropped out. Here, we only have one and that course was failed d I don't want to repeat myself. I'll rely on the balance my argument that was made early on in the case when we lked about this very same topic.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: But, but in fairness, but part of this I don't know why I am arguing against you on this because MR. MORELLI: I don't either. THE COURT: but part of this also is suffering. MR. MORELLI: Of course. THE COURT: Right. So it's not just physical pain, it's suffering. MR. MORELLI: It's physical, emotional. THE COURT: I think if I limit it to his injuries, it covers everything. MR. HAWORTH: Okay. THE COURT: So, I'm going to modify that language. MR. HAWORTH: Thank you. THE COURT: Does anyone have anything else they want to put in terms of a record on jury charges that has	1 an 2 3 car 4 the 5 isn 6 7 I w 8 did 9 429 10 car 11 cou 12 13 anc 14 of r 15 tall 16	a opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is. It's like anyone else who might be good at their reer and may not be good at their career, but they have e requisite training and here that requisite training just n't present. There's no documentary proof which is required and want to note one case which is one additional one that dn't come up earlier, which is the Navaja case, 148 AD2d (9, in which the plaintiff sought to recover for a future reer as a medical lab technician. She had taken several burses toward that degree and then dropped out. Here, we only have one and that course was failed d I don't want to repeat myself. I'll rely on the balance my argument that was made early on in the case when we lked about this very same topic. THE COURT: Mr. Morelli.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: But, but in fairness, but part of this I don't know why I am arguing against you on this because MR. MORELLI: I don't either. THE COURT: but part of this also is suffering. MR. MORELLI: Of course. THE COURT: Right. So it's not just physical pain, it's suffering. MR. MORELLI: It's physical, emotional. THE COURT: I think if I limit it to his injuries, it covers everything. MR. HAWORTH: Okay. THE COURT: So, I'm going to modify that language. MR. HAWORTH: Thank you. THE COURT: Does anyone have anything else they want to put in terms of a record on jury charges that has not already been put in?	1 an 2 3 car 4 the 5 isn 6 7 I w 8 did 9 429 10 car 11 cou 12 13 and 14 of r 15 tall 16 17	a opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is. It's like anyone else who might be good at their reer and may not be good at their career, but they have e requisite training and here that requisite training just n't present. There's no documentary proof which is required and want to note one case which is one additional one that dn't come up earlier, which is the Navaja case, 148 AD2d (9, in which the plaintiff sought to recover for a future reer as a medical lab technician. She had taken several burses toward that degree and then dropped out. Here, we only have one and that course was failed d I don't want to repeat myself. I'll rely on the balance my argument that was made early on in the case when we lked about this very same topic. THE COURT: Mr. Morelli. MR. SIROTKIN: Your Honor, we've argued this
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: But, but in fairness, but part of this I don't know why I am arguing against you on this because MR. MORELLI: I don't either. THE COURT: but part of this also is suffering. MR. MORELLI: Of course. THE COURT: Right. So it's not just physical pain, it's suffering. MR. MORELLI: It's physical, emotional. THE COURT: I think if I limit it to his injuries, it covers everything. MR. HAWORTH: Okay. THE COURT: So, I'm going to modify that language. MR. HAWORTH: Thank you. THE COURT: Does anyone have anything else they want to put in terms of a record on jury charges that has not already been put in? MR. HAWORTH: Yes, with respect to the special	1 an 2 3 car 4 the 5 isn 6 7 I w 8 did 9 429 10 car 11 con 12 13 and 14 of r 15 tall 16 17 18 bef	a opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is. It's like anyone else who might be good at their reer and may not be good at their career, but they have e requisite training and here that requisite training just n't present. There's no documentary proof which is required and want to note one case which is one additional one that dn't come up earlier, which is the Navaja case, 148 AD2d 9, in which the plaintiff sought to recover for a future reer as a medical lab technician. She had taken several burses toward that degree and then dropped out. Here, we only have one and that course was failed d I don't want to repeat myself. I'll rely on the balance my argument that was made early on in the case when we lked about this very same topic. THE COURT: Mr. Morelli. MR. SIROTKIN: Your Honor, we've argued this fore, but again, we completely disagree. Mark's special
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: But, but in fairness, but part of this I don't know why I am arguing against you on this because MR. MORELLI: I don't either. THE COURT: but part of this also is suffering. MR. MORELLI: Of course. THE COURT: Right. So it's not just physical pain, it's suffering. MR. MORELLI: It's physical, emotional. THE COURT: I think if I limit it to his injuries, it covers everything. MR. HAWORTH: Okay. THE COURT: So, I'm going to modify that language. MR. HAWORTH: Thank you. THE COURT: Does anyone have anything else they want to put in terms of a record on jury charges that has not already been put in? MR. HAWORTH: Yes, with respect to the special abilities charge, I just want to make sure I have it in	1 an 2 3 car 4 the 5 isn 6 7 I w 8 did 9 429 10 car 11 con 12 13 and 14 of r 15 tall 16 17 18 bef	a opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is. It's like anyone else who might be good at their reer and may not be good at their career, but they have e requisite training and here that requisite training just n't present. There's no documentary proof which is required and want to note one case which is one additional one that dn't come up earlier, which is the Navaja case, 148 AD2d 9, in which the plaintiff sought to recover for a future reer as a medical lab technician. She had taken several burses toward that degree and then dropped out. Here, we only have one and that course was failed d I don't want to repeat myself. I'll rely on the balance my argument that was made early on in the case when we lked about this very same topic. THE COURT: Mr. Morelli. MR. SIROTKIN: Your Honor, we've argued this fore, but again, we completely disagree. Mark's special tents and abilities have been evidenced throughout the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE COURT: But, but in fairness, but part of this I don't know why I am arguing against you on this because MR. MORELLI: I don't either. THE COURT: but part of this also is suffering. MR. MORELLI: Of course. THE COURT: Right. So it's not just physical pain, it's suffering. MR. MORELLI: It's physical, emotional. THE COURT: I think if I limit it to his injuries, it covers everything. MR. HAWORTH: Okay. THE COURT: So, I'm going to modify that language. MR. HAWORTH: Thank you. THE COURT: Does anyone have anything else they want to put in terms of a record on jury charges that has not already been put in? MR. HAWORTH: Yes, with respect to the special abilities charge, I just want to make sure I have it in front of me. PJI 2:291.	1       an         2       acar         4       the         5       isn         6       7         7       I was did         9       429         10       car         11       cou         12       anc         13       anc         14       of r         15       tall         16       17         18       bef         19       tale	<ul> <li>a opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is. It's like anyone else who might be good at their reer and may not be good at their career, but they have e requisite training and here that requisite training just h't present. There's no documentary proof which is required and want to note one case which is one additional one that dn't come up earlier, which is the Navaja case, 148 AD2d 99, in which the plaintiff sought to recover for a future reer as a medical lab technician. She had taken several burses toward that degree and then dropped out. Here, we only have one and that course was failed d I don't want to repeat myself. I'll rely on the balance my argument that was made early on in the case when we lked about this very same topic. THE COURT: Mr. Morelli. MR. SIROTKIN: Your Honor, we've argued this fore, but again, we completely disagree. Mark's special lents and abilities have been evidenced throughout the cord.</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: But, but in fairness, but part of this I don't know why I am arguing against you on this because MR. MORELLI: I don't either. THE COURT: but part of this also is suffering. MR. MORELLI: Of course. THE COURT: Right. So it's not just physical pain, it's suffering. MR. MORELLI: It's physical, emotional. THE COURT: I think if I limit it to his injuries, it covers everything. MR. HAWORTH: Okay. THE COURT: So, I'm going to modify that language. MR. HAWORTH: Thank you. THE COURT: Does anyone have anything else they want to put in terms of a record on jury charges that has not already been put in? MR. HAWORTH: Yes, with respect to the special abilities charge, I just want to make sure I have it in	1       an         2       acar         4       the         5       isn         6       7         7       I w         8       did         9       429         10       car         11       con         12       13         13       and         14       of r         15       tall         16       17         18       bef         19       tale         20       recc         21	a opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is. It's like anyone else who might be good at their reer and may not be good at their career, but they have e requisite training and here that requisite training just n't present. There's no documentary proof which is required and want to note one case which is one additional one that dn't come up earlier, which is the Navaja case, 148 AD2d 99, in which the plaintiff sought to recover for a future reer as a medical lab technician. She had taken several burses toward that degree and then dropped out. Here, we only have one and that course was failed d I don't want to repeat myself. I'll rely on the balance my argument that was made early on in the case when we lked about this very same topic. THE COURT: Mr. Morelli. MR. SIROTKIN: Your Honor, we've argued this fore, but again, we completely disagree. Mark's special lents and abilities have been evidenced throughout the cord. Testimony from his brother spoke about how Mark not
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: But, but in fairness, but part of this I don't know why I am arguing against you on this because MR. MORELLI: I don't either. THE COURT: but part of this also is suffering. MR. MORELLI: Of course. THE COURT: Right. So it's not just physical pain, it's suffering. MR. MORELLI: It's physical, emotional. THE COURT: I think if I limit it to his injuries, it covers everything. MR. HAWORTH: Okay. THE COURT: So, I'm going to modify that language. MR. HAWORTH: Thank you. THE COURT: Does anyone have anything else they want to put in terms of a record on jury charges that has not already been put in? MR. HAWORTH: Yes, with respect to the special abilities charge, I just want to make sure I have it in front of me. PJI 2:291. It's the defense position, Judge, and we did brief the subject, and I want to mark the brief as a Court Exhibit	1 an 2 3 car 4 the 5 isn 6 7 I w 8 did 9 429 10 car 11 cou 12 13 and 14 of r 15 tall 16 17 18 bef 19 tale 20 rec 21 22 only	<ul> <li>a opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is. It's like anyone else who might be good at their reer and may not be good at their career, but they have e requisite training and here that requisite training just h't present. There's no documentary proof which is required and want to note one case which is one additional one that dn't come up earlier, which is the Navaja case, 148 AD2d 99, in which the plaintiff sought to recover for a future reer as a medical lab technician. She had taken several burses toward that degree and then dropped out. Here, we only have one and that course was failed d I don't want to repeat myself. I'll rely on the balance my argument that was made early on in the case when we lked about this very same topic. THE COURT: Mr. Morelli. MR. SIROTKIN: Your Honor, we've argued this fore, but again, we completely disagree. Mark's special lents and abilities have been evidenced throughout the cord.</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE COURT: But, but in fairness, but part of this I don't know why I am arguing against you on this because MR. MORELLI: I don't either. THE COURT: but part of this also is suffering. MR. MORELLI: Of course. THE COURT: Right. So it's not just physical pain, it's suffering. MR. MORELLI: It's physical, emotional. THE COURT: I think if I limit it to his injuries, it covers everything. MR. HAWORTH: Okay. THE COURT: So, I'm going to modify that language. MR. HAWORTH: Thank you. THE COURT: Does anyone have anything else they want to put in terms of a record on jury charges that has not already been put in? MR. HAWORTH: Yes, with respect to the special abilities charge, I just want to make sure I have it in front of me. PJI 2:291. It's the defense position, Judge, and we did brief the subject, and I want to mark the brief as a Court Exhibit after we do this so the record is preserved. You know, Judge, that charge is based upon the	1       an         2       a car         4       the         5       isn         6       7         7       I was         8       did         9       429         10       car         11       con         12       13         13       anc         14       of r         15       tall         16       17         18       bef         19       tale         20       rec         21       22         23       weld	a opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is. It's like anyone else who might be good at their reer and may not be good at their career, but they have e requisite training and here that requisite training just n't present. There's no documentary proof which is required and want to note one case which is one additional one that dn't come up earlier, which is the Navaja case, 148 AD2d (9, in which the plaintiff sought to recover for a future reer as a medical lab technician. She had taken several burses toward that degree and then dropped out. Here, we only have one and that course was failed d I don't want to repeat myself. I'll rely on the balance my argument that was made early on in the case when we lked about this very same topic. THE COURT: Mr. Morelli. MR. SIROTKIN: Your Honor, we've argued this fore, but again, we completely disagree. Mark's special ents and abilities have been evidenced throughout the cord. Testimony from his brother spoke about how Mark not ly did web design, he taught web design classes and taught
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE COURT: But, but in fairness, but part of this I don't know why I am arguing against you on this because MR. MORELLI: I don't either. THE COURT: but part of this also is suffering. MR. MORELLI: Of course. THE COURT: Right. So it's not just physical pain, it's suffering. MR. MORELLI: It's physical, emotional. THE COURT: I think if I limit it to his injuries, it covers everything. MR. HAWORTH: Okay. THE COURT: So, I'm going to modify that language. MR. HAWORTH: Thank you. THE COURT: Does anyone have anything else they want to put in terms of a record on jury charges that has not already been put in? MR. HAWORTH: Yes, with respect to the special abilities charge, I just want to make sure I have it in front of me. PJI 2:291. It's the defense position, Judge, and we did brief the subject, and I want to mark the brief as a Court Exhibit after we do this so the record is preserved.	1       an         2       a car         4       the         5       isn         6       7         7       I was         8       did         9       429         10       car         11       con         12       13         13       anc         14       of r         15       tall         16       17         18       bef         19       tale         20       rec         21       22         23       weld	a opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is. It's like anyone else who might be good at their reer and may not be good at their career, but they have e requisite training and here that requisite training just n't present. There's no documentary proof which is required and want to note one case which is one additional one that dn't come up earlier, which is the Navaja case, 148 AD2d 9, in which the plaintiff sought to recover for a future reer as a medical lab technician. She had taken several burses toward that degree and then dropped out. Here, we only have one and that course was failed d I don't want to repeat myself. I'll rely on the balance my argument that was made early on in the case when we lked about this very same topic. THE COURT: Mr. Morelli. MR. SIROTKIN: Your Honor, we've argued this fore, but again, we completely disagree. Mark's special lents and abilities have been evidenced throughout the cord. Testimony from his brother spoke about how Mark not ly did web design, he taught web design classes and taught b design to some of his clients. He's done web design and b development and server maintenance for a number of large
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE COURT: But, but in fairness, but part of this I don't know why I am arguing against you on this because MR. MORELLI: I don't either. THE COURT: but part of this also is suffering. MR. MORELLI: Of course. THE COURT: Right. So it's not just physical pain, it's suffering. MR. MORELLI: It's physical, emotional. THE COURT: I think if I limit it to his injuries, it covers everything. MR. HAWORTH: Okay. THE COURT: So, I'm going to modify that language. MR. HAWORTH: Thank you. THE COURT: Does anyone have anything else they want to put in terms of a record on jury charges that has not already been put in? MR. HAWORTH: Yes, with respect to the special abilities charge, I just want to make sure I have it in front of me. PJI 2:291. It's the defense position, Judge, and we did brief the subject, and I want to mark the brief as a Court Exhibit after we do this so the record is preserved. You know, Judge, that charge is based upon the	1 an 2 3 car 4 the 5 isn 6 7 I w 8 did 9 429 10 car 11 cou 12 13 and 14 of r 15 tall 16 17 18 bef 19 tala 20 rec 21 22 onl 23 wel 24 wel 25 cliou	a opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is. It's like anyone else who might be good at their reer and may not be good at their career, but they have e requisite training and here that requisite training just n't present. There's no documentary proof which is required and want to note one case which is one additional one that dn't come up earlier, which is the Navaja case, 148 AD2d 9, in which the plaintiff sought to recover for a future reer as a medical lab technician. She had taken several burses toward that degree and then dropped out. Here, we only have one and that course was failed d I don't want to repeat myself. I'll rely on the balance my argument that was made early on in the case when we lked about this very same topic. THE COURT: Mr. Morelli. MR. SIROTKIN: Your Honor, we've argued this fore, but again, we completely disagree. Mark's special lents and abilities have been evidenced throughout the cord. Testimony from his brother spoke about how Mark not ly did web design, he taught web design classes and taught b design to some of his clients. He's done web design and b development and server maintenance for a number of large

	ez v. e Nation		December 5, 2019
		Pro	
Proof Proventies Prove	ceedings Page 1669 Mark testified about it himself about the type of web design work that he did. This is not a regular job, with all due respect. Web design, the type of work Mark was doing, is a burgeoning field and his talents were special. So, to keep it brief, we think that the charge	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	verdict sheet, I basically took everything you had in your verdict sheet and I put those things into the charges and I categorized them under the categories that I believe they would fall under in terms of categories of 2:301. So, everything that you wanted is in there. It's just broken down a little differently and it's not turning what should be a relatively easy verdict sheet into what would be something which would be completely onerous and I've asked everybody repeatedly if there is a special reason why any of these categories need to be divided out for some reason that they don't fit together in a particular category or they need to be segregated from something because, remember at the beginning I was talking about just doing medical expenses and having all of this stuff in there. I considered your arguments and I reconsidered my position and I agree it needed to be done with a greater
17	Exhibits and that's it.		degree of specificity, and that is the reason I did it the
18 19	MR. SIROTKIN: Nothing from plaintiff? THE COURT: Responsive briefs as well should be		way I did it as well as the reason why I incorporated all of the stuff you're asking for in the verdict sheet.
20	marked to be part of the record. We can do that later.	20	
21	With regard to the verdict sheet, I have indicated		further level of specificity that anybody has ever raised to
22	earlier that I submit that I have adhered to both the		me.
23	language in spirit, 2:301, and the sample verdict sheet is	23	11
24 25	in there. I think it needs to be broken down to the three	24 25	amount of time and thought the Court put into this issue. THE COURT: It's my job.
25		25	
	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER		LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
Pro	ceedings Page 1670	Pro	Decedings Page 1672
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. HAWORTH: Yes, your Honor. Just very, very briefly. The defense position is that given that the Court does not want the level of detail that we'll mark as a Court exhibit and submit for the record. It's the defense position having separate categories for future rehabilitation services, future custodial care is confusing and that simply having one question pertaining to future medical expenses will be clearer. That is the defense position. I understand what the Court is doing. I wanted to preserve the record. THE COURT: Let me be clear how I put this verdict sheet together. I divided, when I was doing the charges in the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	My position is what I stated, and we leave it at that. THE COURT: Got it. Finally, are there any outstanding applications to the extent that I hadn't put it on the record. You're anchoring motion is denied. I don't agree with your position on that and I think that if that sort of change to juris prudence in this state were to be made, it needs to be made on a higher level than mine. MR. O'HARA: Thank you. In addition to the anchoring application, there is a number of prophylactic objections to strategy or tactics in the plaintiff's closing. So, we've highlighted those because while Mr. Morelli has free reign to do what he believes is appropriate, in the event certain things happen, we wanted to make sure the Court understands we will be objecting to those particular items. So, the golden rule, any of the personal allegations and a laundry list of things that may not happen; but, to the extent that they do, we wanted to give you the opportunity to give word to caution to both sides to make sure if there's a line nobody tows, let alone jumps over that line.
	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER		LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

Pro	ceedings Page 1673	Proc	ceedings	Page 1675
5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	or not, but no side-bar, okay. Maybe because if we're going if we're going to sum up in this case and have 15 side-bars, we're never going to get done and I think it is totally inappropriate. If you stand up and make an objection, it's reserved for appeal, that's all you need to do. MR. O'HARA: I have no problem with not going side-bar during either side's closing. MR. MORELLI: You can go after. MR. O'HARA: I also have no problem your Honor has a rule that suggested we must timely object during the opening and closing. THE COURT: Correct. MR. O'HARA: There will be a laundry list of things for both sides that may warrant an objection and so to Mr. Morelli's point, I have no objection if he has no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	not thinking of the rig well. MR. O'HARA: THE COURT: 1 mine, okay. MR. O'HARA: THE COURT: 1 the circumstances. MR. SIROTKIN THE COURT: 1 MR. SIROTKIN their economist Debra D discussion the other d recognition of Mr. Prov the plaintiff would nee reflect a reduction for THE COURT: 1 MR. SIROTKIN to defense counsel and record. MR. MORELL Scott. MR. O'HARA: THE COURT: 1	It's your case, your trial. It's not Understood. Do what you feel is appropriate under N: One additional thing, your Honor. Yes. N: Plaintiff's Exhibit 40 which is wyer's handwritten chart. We had a lay with the Court and parties in yder's error with neurosurgery care ed. We would amend her chart to r that cost.
Pro		Proc		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. O'HARA: I'm comfortable with objecting. Mr. Morelli's concern was breaking up the flow. So, I will object, but there are items that are clearly improper, don't constitute fair comment under any circumstances. So, if the Court is directing that we simply say the word "objection" I'm fine with that. I don't need to go side-bar for the basis. THE COURT: Okay. Here's how we'll handle. If I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. O'HARA: deposition reads of Mr. rest. THE COURT: ' MR. O'HARA: into evidence and then th there is no rebuttal ar THE COURT: ' various issues and ap Is there anything the record because if withdrawn? Anything that's MR. HAWORT MR. MORELL the read, is he reading MR. HAWORT MR. MORELL MR. HAWORT Ines or something. MR. SIROTKIN your side couldn't agre THE COURT: '	We then need to offer Defendant's U ne defense will rest. We understand nd we'll go right into closing. We've done a lot of conferencing about plications and everything else. Is else that needs to be resolved on there's not, I'll consider them outstanding? TH: I don't think so. I: I don't think so. With reference to g it all?

	e Nation	December 5, 201
Pro	ceedings Page 1677	77 Testimony of Scolaro - Read by Mr. Sirotkin Page 1679
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. O'HARA: We consent to Mr. Sirotkin reading both the plaintiff and defense reads. THE COURT: You're going to read. You're going to rest. You're going to rest. We have introduced the document. Do we need to take a few minutes before the jury comes in before you sum up? MR. O'HARA: I'm fine. You want us to begin with the goal of being done by the lunch break, and I intend to comply with your request. THE COURT: We have to pick the jury after closings or after the charges? MR. MORELLI: After charges. MR. HAWORTH: Do you want me to mark the Court exhibits now or later? You asked if there's anything outstanding. THE COURT: We can do it later. MR. SIROTKIN: Before I read, will the Court inform the jury what deposition, the date and whatever it is from	<ul> <li>g 1 "Question. Why?</li> <li>2 "Answer: When I did sponsorship agreement with</li> <li>3 Best Buy, they needed a structure. Part of the agreement4 a lot of times sponsors will provide their own activation</li> <li>5 areas.</li> <li>6 "In this case we told Best Buy that, you know, we</li> <li>7 should do some type of, you know, more modified better setup</li> <li>8 like that, and I knew Mark through another sponsor, Tattoo</li> <li>9 Lou's, and Mark had put together their activation area.</li> <li>10 "So Mark was beginning his own company. I believe</li> <li>11 it was called First Up Media, and I was trying to help Mark</li> <li>12 by giving him some business.</li> <li>13 "Question: Okay. And was there a particular skill</li> <li>14 set that you looked upon Mark that he could fulfill the</li> <li>15 services with Best Buy?</li> <li>16 "Answer: It was mostly printing of the vinyl</li> <li>17 banners that would go on, but he also he had</li> <li>18 physically he had knowledge on how to build those</li> <li>19 structures, so I said he would be a great person for Best</li> </ul>
	or should I do that?	<b>20</b> Buy. This would be a great person for you to know because
21	MR. MORELLI: That it's the same as testimony.	7. <b>21</b> he could handle the entire project."
22	THE COURT: You can do it.	<b>22</b> Page 14, line 6.
23	Come to order.	<b>23</b> "Question: A relationship between Live Nation and <b>24</b> Post Pure was important?
24 25	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: Good morning, everybody.	<ul><li>24 Best Buy was important?</li><li>25 "Answer: To me?</li></ul>
2.5		
	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
Tes	timony of Scolaro - Read by Mr. Sirotkin Page 1678	Testimony of Scolaro - Read by Mr. Sirotkin         Page 1680
4	Counsel. MR. SIROTKIN: Your Honor, I'm going to read the examination before trial testimony, portions of it, the	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	examination before trial of Robert Scolaro from July 29, 2015. "Question: Mr. Scolaro, what is your position with Live Nation? "Answer: Regional vice president of sponsorships. "Question: And in June of 2013? "Answer: I was vice president of sponsorships for New York and Philadelphia." Page 10, line 12: "Question: Let me show you this agreement, Plaintiff's Exhibit 25. "Have you ever seen that before? "Answer: Yes. That is a sponsorship agreement between Live Nation and Best Buy. "Question: How do you know? "Answer: I sold the sponsorship to Best Buy and then I was involved in working with our attorneys to generate this agreement and get it executed." Page 12, line 7: "Question: Did you recommend Mr. Perez to Best Buy? "Answer: Yes.	<ul> <li>5 "Answer: I mean, he already had that relationship.</li> <li>6 The deal was done. I didn't need Mark to get the deal done.</li> <li>7 We did need a vendor. You know, Best Buy needed a vendor to</li> <li>8 build out their space. It had nothing do with me at that</li> <li>9 point."</li> <li>10 Page 20, line 12:</li> <li>11 "Question: Tattoo Lou's was there for how many</li> <li>12 years prior to two was it there in 2013; if you recall?</li> <li>13 "Answer: Yes.</li> <li>14 "Question: How many years prior to 2013?</li> <li>15 "Answer: I believe 2012 was the first year.</li> <li>16 "Question: Okay.</li> <li>17 "Answer: I think it was our second year.</li> <li>18 "Question: In 2012, who constructed it; if you</li> <li>19 recall?</li> <li>20 "Answer: I don't recall who constructed it and I</li> <li>21 definitely wasn't there when it was done. I don't know if</li> <li>21 you want my guess.</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	2015. "Question: Mr. Scolaro, what is your position with Live Nation? "Answer: Regional vice president of sponsorships. "Question: And in June of 2013? "Answer: I was vice president of sponsorships for New York and Philadelphia." Page 10, line 12: "Question: Let me show you this agreement, Plaintiff's Exhibit 25. "Have you ever seen that before? "Answer: Yes. That is a sponsorship agreement between Live Nation and Best Buy. "Question: How do you know? "Answer: I sold the sponsorship to Best Buy and then I was involved in working with our attorneys to generate this agreement and get it executed." Page 12, line 7: "Question: Did you recommend Mr. Perez to Best Buy?	<ul> <li>5 "Answer: I mean, he already had that relationship.</li> <li>6 The deal was done. I didn't need Mark to get the deal done.</li> <li>7 We did need a vendor. You know, Best Buy needed a vendor to</li> <li>8 build out their space. It had nothing do with me at that</li> <li>9 point."</li> <li>10 Page 20, line 12:</li> <li>11 "Question: Tattoo Lou's was there for how many</li> <li>12 years prior to two was it there in 2013; if you recall?</li> <li>13 "Answer: Yes.</li> <li>14 "Question: How many years prior to 2013?</li> <li>15 "Answer: I believe 2012 was the first year.</li> <li>16 "Question: Okay.</li> <li>17 "Answer: I think it was our second year.</li> <li>18 "Question: In 2012, who constructed it; if you</li> <li>19 recall?</li> <li>20 "Answer: I don't recall who constructed it and I</li> <li>21 definitely wasn't there when it was done. I don't know if</li> <li>22 you want my guess.</li> <li>23 "Question: Don't guess.</li> <li>24 "Answer: Okay. Yeah.</li> </ul>

Tes	timony of Scolaro - Read by Mr. Sirotkin Page 1681	Testimony of Scolaro - Read by Mr. Sirotkin Page 168
1	had something do with Tattoo Lou's booth in 2012?	1 MR. SIROTKIN: Page 40 line 5:
2	"Answer: Something to do as far as design or	<ol> <li>MR. SIROTKIN: Page 40 line 5:</li> <li>"Answer: I sell sponsorship."</li> </ol>
	construction or yeah. I mean, he was the marketing	3 Page 48 line 9:
	director for Tattoo Lou's, so I believe he designed	4 "Question: Who was assembled the structure, th
	everything, and I believe he was involved in physically	<b>5</b> first tier of the structure, if you know?
	putting it together. I don't know if it was just this	6 "Answer: I don't know. It was probably our union
	section or going up vertically. I don't know."	7 laborers.
8	Page 22, line 17.	8 "Answer: I don't know.
9	"Question: So, it's your understanding Mark Perez	<b>9</b> "Question: You just don't know?
10	had nothing do with the actual construct of Tattoo Lou's in	
11	2012?	<b>11</b> "Question: Just for clarification, so the first
12	"Answer: Yes.	<b>12</b> tier is operating for the first several shows, and why
13	"Question: Which is a year before the accident?	<b>13</b> wasn't the second tier already installed? Was there a
14	"Answer: Yes.	<b>14</b> delay, an approval or a design process?
15	"Question: And to what extent was he involved in	<b>15</b> "Answer: So, the decisions out of Best Buy
16	the construction of Tattoo Lou's?	<b>16</b> marketing were coming out of Minnesota. The folks I was
17	"Answer: I don't know because I wasn't there when	e ș e
	it was physically constructed. I believe all the way up to	
	physically working on it himself with our union folks, I	-
	believe was the case."	<b>20</b> Minnesota felt it was lacking in permanent branding, which
21 22	Page 24, line 5: "Question: Now, looking at 2012, what is your	<ul><li>21 is when they came to us and said, do you know anybody who</li><li>22 can help make this better? And I introduced them to Mark</li></ul>
	recollection of who participated in the construction of	
	Tattoo Lou's booth in 2012?	<b>24</b> and Mark were working on displays like that."
25	Answer: Yeah, I wasn't there.	<b>25</b> Answer, on line 25:
	This work. Tour, Twush't utere.	
	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER
	Page 1682	Page 168 Page 168
1	Page 1682 "Question: All right.	1       "So over the course of the beginning of June that's
1 2	"Question: All right. "What about 2013, who constructed Tattoo Lou's?	<ul><li>1 "So over the course of the beginning of June that's</li><li>2 when they were working together, you know, to hire Mark and</li></ul>
2 3	"Question: All right. "What about 2013, who constructed Tattoo Lou's? Answer: I was never there when they physically did	<ol> <li>"So over the course of the beginning of June that's</li> <li>when they were working together, you know, to hire Mark and</li> <li>design and get approvals. I believe Best Buy was slow to</li> </ol>
2 3 4	"Question: All right. "What about 2013, who constructed Tattoo Lou's? Answer: I was never there when they physically did it. That wasn't part of my job. That was my coordinator's	<ol> <li>"So over the course of the beginning of June that's</li> <li>when they were working together, you know, to hire Mark and</li> <li>design and get approvals. I believe Best Buy was slow to</li> <li>approve certain things and Mark was pushing them, because</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5	"Question: All right. "What about 2013, who constructed Tattoo Lou's? Answer: I was never there when they physically did it. That wasn't part of my job. That was my coordinator's job to make sure it was done properly."	<ol> <li>"So over the course of the beginning of June that's</li> <li>when they were working together, you know, to hire Mark and</li> <li>design and get approvals. I believe Best Buy was slow to</li> <li>approve certain things and Mark was pushing them, because</li> <li>they wanted the full set up, for as many shows as possible."</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6	"Question: All right. "What about 2013, who constructed Tattoo Lou's? Answer: I was never there when they physically did it. That wasn't part of my job. That was my coordinator's job to make sure it was done properly." Page 25, line 7:	<ol> <li>"So over the course of the beginning of June that's</li> <li>when they were working together, you know, to hire Mark and</li> <li>design and get approvals. I believe Best Buy was slow to</li> <li>approve certain things and Mark was pushing them, because</li> <li>they wanted the full set up, for as many shows as possible."</li> <li>Page 50, line 24:</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7	"Question: All right. "What about 2013, who constructed Tattoo Lou's? Answer: I was never there when they physically did it. That wasn't part of my job. That was my coordinator's job to make sure it was done properly." Page 25, line 7: "Question: In 2013, do you know who constructed	<ol> <li>"So over the course of the beginning of June that's</li> <li>when they were working together, you know, to hire Mark and</li> <li>design and get approvals. I believe Best Buy was slow to</li> <li>approve certain things and Mark was pushing them, because</li> <li>they wanted the full set up, for as many shows as possible."</li> <li>Page 50, line 24:</li> <li>"Question: You looked upon Mark because he had a</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"Question: All right. "What about 2013, who constructed Tattoo Lou's? Answer: I was never there when they physically did it. That wasn't part of my job. That was my coordinator's job to make sure it was done properly." Page 25, line 7: "Question: In 2013, do you know who constructed Tattoo Lou's booth?	<ol> <li>"So over the course of the beginning of June that's</li> <li>when they were working together, you know, to hire Mark and</li> <li>design and get approvals. I believe Best Buy was slow to</li> <li>approve certain things and Mark was pushing them, because</li> <li>they wanted the full set up, for as many shows as possible."</li> <li>Page 50, line 24:</li> <li>"Question: You looked upon Mark because he had a</li> <li>certain talent to address Best Buy's needs?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"Question: All right. "What about 2013, who constructed Tattoo Lou's? Answer: I was never there when they physically did it. That wasn't part of my job. That was my coordinator's job to make sure it was done properly." Page 25, line 7: "Question: In 2013, do you know who constructed Tattoo Lou's booth? "Answer: I don't.	<ol> <li>"So over the course of the beginning of June that's</li> <li>when they were working together, you know, to hire Mark and</li> <li>design and get approvals. I believe Best Buy was slow to</li> <li>approve certain things and Mark was pushing them, because</li> <li>they wanted the full set up, for as many shows as possible."</li> <li>Page 50, line 24:</li> <li>"Question: You looked upon Mark because he had a</li> <li>certain talent to address Best Buy's needs?</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"Question: All right. "What about 2013, who constructed Tattoo Lou's? Answer: I was never there when they physically did it. That wasn't part of my job. That was my coordinator's job to make sure it was done properly." Page 25, line 7: "Question: In 2013, do you know who constructed Tattoo Lou's booth? "Answer: I don't. "Question: You just don't recall?	<ol> <li>"So over the course of the beginning of June that's</li> <li>when they were working together, you know, to hire Mark and</li> <li>design and get approvals. I believe Best Buy was slow to</li> <li>approve certain things and Mark was pushing them, because</li> <li>they wanted the full set up, for as many shows as possible."</li> <li>Page 50, line 24:</li> <li>"Question: You looked upon Mark because he had a</li> <li>certain talent to address Best Buy's needs?</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Question: And how would you describe that talent?"</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	"Question: All right. "What about 2013, who constructed Tattoo Lou's? Answer: I was never there when they physically did it. That wasn't part of my job. That was my coordinator's job to make sure it was done properly." Page 25, line 7: "Question: In 2013, do you know who constructed Tattoo Lou's booth? "Answer: I don't. "Question: You just don't recall? "Answer: Yeah, I wasn't there."	<ol> <li>"So over the course of the beginning of June that's</li> <li>when they were working together, you know, to hire Mark and</li> <li>design and get approvals. I believe Best Buy was slow to</li> <li>approve certain things and Mark was pushing them, because</li> <li>they wanted the full set up, for as many shows as possible."</li> <li>Page 50, line 24:</li> <li>"Question: You looked upon Mark because he had a</li> <li>certain talent to address Best Buy's needs?</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Question: And how would you describe that talent?</li> <li>"Answer: Well, based on his experience with Tattoo</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	"Question: All right. "What about 2013, who constructed Tattoo Lou's? Answer: I was never there when they physically did it. That wasn't part of my job. That was my coordinator's job to make sure it was done properly." Page 25, line 7: "Question: In 2013, do you know who constructed Tattoo Lou's booth? "Answer: I don't. "Question: You just don't recall?	<ol> <li>"So over the course of the beginning of June that's</li> <li>when they were working together, you know, to hire Mark and</li> <li>design and get approvals. I believe Best Buy was slow to</li> <li>approve certain things and Mark was pushing them, because</li> <li>they wanted the full set up, for as many shows as possible."</li> <li>Page 50, line 24:</li> <li>"Question: You looked upon Mark because he had a</li> <li>certain talent to address Best Buy's needs?</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Question: And how would you describe that talent?"</li> <li>"Answer: Well, based on his experience with Tattoo</li> <li>Lou's, the structure was sound. It was approved by out</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	"Question: All right. "What about 2013, who constructed Tattoo Lou's? Answer: I was never there when they physically did it. That wasn't part of my job. That was my coordinator's job to make sure it was done properly." Page 25, line 7: "Question: In 2013, do you know who constructed Tattoo Lou's booth? "Answer: I don't. "Question: You just don't recall? "Answer: Yeah, I wasn't there."	<ol> <li>"So over the course of the beginning of June that's</li> <li>when they were working together, you know, to hire Mark and</li> <li>design and get approvals. I believe Best Buy was slow to</li> <li>approve certain things and Mark was pushing them, because</li> <li>they wanted the full set up, for as many shows as possible."</li> <li>Page 50, line 24:</li> <li>"Question: You looked upon Mark because he had a</li> <li>certain talent to address Best Buy's needs?</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Question: And how would you describe that talent?"</li> <li>"Answer: Well, based on his experience with Tattoo</li> <li>Lou's, the structure was sound. It was approved by ou</li> <li>general manager, and I knew Mark was a good designer, and he</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"Question: All right. "What about 2013, who constructed Tattoo Lou's? Answer: I was never there when they physically did it. That wasn't part of my job. That was my coordinator's job to make sure it was done properly." Page 25, line 7: "Question: In 2013, do you know who constructed Tattoo Lou's booth? "Answer: I don't. "Question: You just don't recall? "Answer: Yeah, I wasn't there."	<ul> <li>"So over the course of the beginning of June that's</li> <li>when they were working together, you know, to hire Mark and</li> <li>design and get approvals. I believe Best Buy was slow to</li> <li>approve certain things and Mark was pushing them, because</li> <li>they wanted the full set up, for as many shows as possible."</li> <li>Page 50, line 24:</li> <li>"Question: You looked upon Mark because he had a</li> <li>certain talent to address Best Buy's needs?</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Question: And how would you describe that talent?</li> <li>"Answer: Well, based on his experience with Tattoo</li> <li>Lou's, the structure was sound. It was approved by ou</li> <li>general manager, and I knew Mark was a good designer, and he</li> <li>was getting into the business of creating these signs, so I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"Question: All right. "What about 2013, who constructed Tattoo Lou's? Answer: I was never there when they physically did it. That wasn't part of my job. That was my coordinator's job to make sure it was done properly." Page 25, line 7: "Question: In 2013, do you know who constructed Tattoo Lou's booth? "Answer: I don't. "Question: You just don't recall? "Answer: Yeah, I wasn't there."	<ol> <li>"So over the course of the beginning of June that's</li> <li>when they were working together, you know, to hire Mark and</li> <li>design and get approvals. I believe Best Buy was slow to</li> <li>approve certain things and Mark was pushing them, because</li> <li>they wanted the full set up, for as many shows as possible."</li> <li>Page 50, line 24:</li> <li>"Question: You looked upon Mark because he had a</li> <li>certain talent to address Best Buy's needs?</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Question: And how would you describe that talent?"</li> <li>"Answer: Well, based on his experience with Tattoo</li> <li>Lou's, the structure was sound. It was approved by ou</li> <li>general manager, and I knew Mark was a good designer, and he</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	"Question: All right. "What about 2013, who constructed Tattoo Lou's? Answer: I was never there when they physically did it. That wasn't part of my job. That was my coordinator's job to make sure it was done properly." Page 25, line 7: "Question: In 2013, do you know who constructed Tattoo Lou's booth? "Answer: I don't. "Question: You just don't recall? "Answer: Yeah, I wasn't there."	<ul> <li>"So over the course of the beginning of June that's</li> <li>when they were working together, you know, to hire Mark and</li> <li>design and get approvals. I believe Best Buy was slow to</li> <li>approve certain things and Mark was pushing them, because</li> <li>they wanted the full set up, for as many shows as possible."</li> <li>Page 50, line 24:</li> <li>"Question: You looked upon Mark because he had a</li> <li>certain talent to address Best Buy's needs?</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Question: And how would you describe that talent?</li> <li>"Answer: Well, based on his experience with Tattoo</li> <li>Lou's, the structure was sound. It was approved by ou</li> <li>general manager, and I knew Mark was a good designer, and he</li> <li>wanted to help him grow his business.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"Question: All right. "What about 2013, who constructed Tattoo Lou's? Answer: I was never there when they physically did it. That wasn't part of my job. That was my coordinator's job to make sure it was done properly." Page 25, line 7: "Question: In 2013, do you know who constructed Tattoo Lou's booth? "Answer: I don't. "Question: You just don't recall? "Answer: Yeah, I wasn't there."	<ul> <li>"So over the course of the beginning of June that's</li> <li>when they were working together, you know, to hire Mark and</li> <li>design and get approvals. I believe Best Buy was slow to</li> <li>approve certain things and Mark was pushing them, because</li> <li>they wanted the full set up, for as many shows as possible."</li> <li>Page 50, line 24:</li> <li>"Question: You looked upon Mark because he had a</li> <li>certain talent to address Best Buy's needs?</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Question: And how would you describe that talent?"</li> <li>"Answer: Well, based on his experience with Tattoo</li> <li>Lou's, the structure was sound. It was approved by ou</li> <li>general manager, and I knew Mark was a good designer, and he</li> <li>wanted to help him grow his business.</li> <li>"Question: From a marketing point of the view, he</li> <li>had a certain for you, you, yourself, have a certain</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"Question: All right. "What about 2013, who constructed Tattoo Lou's? Answer: I was never there when they physically did it. That wasn't part of my job. That was my coordinator's job to make sure it was done properly." Page 25, line 7: "Question: In 2013, do you know who constructed Tattoo Lou's booth? "Answer: I don't. "Question: You just don't recall? "Answer: Yeah, I wasn't there."	<ul> <li>"So over the course of the beginning of June that's</li> <li>when they were working together, you know, to hire Mark and</li> <li>design and get approvals. I believe Best Buy was slow to</li> <li>approve certain things and Mark was pushing them, because</li> <li>they wanted the full set up, for as many shows as possible."</li> <li>Page 50, line 24:</li> <li>"Question: You looked upon Mark because he had a</li> <li>certain talent to address Best Buy's needs?</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Question: And how would you describe that talent?"</li> <li>"Answer: Well, based on his experience with Tattoo</li> <li>Lou's, the structure was sound. It was approved by ou</li> <li>general manager, and I knew Mark was a good designer, and he</li> <li>wanted to help him grow his business.</li> <li>"Question: From a marketing point of the view, he</li> <li>had a certain for you, you, yourself, have a certain</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"Question: All right. "What about 2013, who constructed Tattoo Lou's? Answer: I was never there when they physically did it. That wasn't part of my job. That was my coordinator's job to make sure it was done properly." Page 25, line 7: "Question: In 2013, do you know who constructed Tattoo Lou's booth? "Answer: I don't. "Question: You just don't recall? "Answer: Yeah, I wasn't there."	<ul> <li>"So over the course of the beginning of June that's</li> <li>when they were working together, you know, to hire Mark and</li> <li>design and get approvals. I believe Best Buy was slow to</li> <li>approve certain things and Mark was pushing them, because</li> <li>they wanted the full set up, for as many shows as possible."</li> <li>Page 50, line 24:</li> <li>"Question: You looked upon Mark because he had a</li> <li>certain talent to address Best Buy's needs?</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Question: And how would you describe that talent?"</li> <li>"Answer: Well, based on his experience with Tattoo</li> <li>Lou's, the structure was sound. It was approved by ou</li> <li>general manager, and I knew Mark was a good designer, and he</li> <li>wanted to help him grow his business.</li> <li>"Question: From a marketing point of the view, he</li> <li>thad a certain for you, you, yourself, have a certain</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Question: From a marketing point of the view, he</li> <li>thad a certain for you, you, yourself, have a certain</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"Question: All right. "What about 2013, who constructed Tattoo Lou's? Answer: I was never there when they physically did it. That wasn't part of my job. That was my coordinator's job to make sure it was done properly." Page 25, line 7: "Question: In 2013, do you know who constructed Tattoo Lou's booth? "Answer: I don't. "Question: You just don't recall? "Answer: Yeah, I wasn't there."	<ul> <li>"So over the course of the beginning of June that's</li> <li>when they were working together, you know, to hire Mark and</li> <li>design and get approvals. I believe Best Buy was slow to</li> <li>approve certain things and Mark was pushing them, because</li> <li>they wanted the full set up, for as many shows as possible."</li> <li>Page 50, line 24:</li> <li>"Question: You looked upon Mark because he had a</li> <li>certain talent to address Best Buy's needs?</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Question: And how would you describe that talent?</li> <li>"Answer: Well, based on his experience with Tattoo</li> <li>Lou's, the structure was sound. It was approved by ou</li> <li>general manager, and I knew Mark was a good designer, and he</li> <li>wanted to help him grow his business.</li> <li>"Question: From a marketing point of the view, he</li> <li>had a certain for you, you, yourself, have a certain</li> <li>marketing background?</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"Question: All right. "What about 2013, who constructed Tattoo Lou's? Answer: I was never there when they physically did it. That wasn't part of my job. That was my coordinator's job to make sure it was done properly." Page 25, line 7: "Question: In 2013, do you know who constructed Tattoo Lou's booth? "Answer: I don't. "Question: You just don't recall? "Answer: Yeah, I wasn't there."	<ul> <li>"So over the course of the beginning of June that's</li> <li>when they were working together, you know, to hire Mark and</li> <li>design and get approvals. I believe Best Buy was slow to</li> <li>approve certain things and Mark was pushing them, because</li> <li>they wanted the full set up, for as many shows as possible."</li> <li>Page 50, line 24:</li> <li>"Question: You looked upon Mark because he had a</li> <li>certain talent to address Best Buy's needs?</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Question: And how would you describe that talent?</li> <li>"Answer: Well, based on his experience with Tattoo</li> <li>Lou's, the structure was sound. It was approved by ou</li> <li>general manager, and I knew Mark was a good designer, and he</li> <li>was getting into the business of creating these signs, so I</li> <li>wanted to help him grow his business.</li> <li>"Question: From a marketing point of the view, he</li> <li>had a certain for you, you, yourself, have a certain</li> <li>marketing background?</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"Question: All right. "What about 2013, who constructed Tattoo Lou's? Answer: I was never there when they physically did it. That wasn't part of my job. That was my coordinator's job to make sure it was done properly." Page 25, line 7: "Question: In 2013, do you know who constructed Tattoo Lou's booth? "Answer: I don't. "Question: You just don't recall? "Answer: Yeah, I wasn't there."	<ul> <li>"So over the course of the beginning of June that's</li> <li>when they were working together, you know, to hire Mark and</li> <li>design and get approvals. I believe Best Buy was slow to</li> <li>approve certain things and Mark was pushing them, because</li> <li>they wanted the full set up, for as many shows as possible."</li> <li>Page 50, line 24:</li> <li>"Question: You looked upon Mark because he had a</li> <li>certain talent to address Best Buy's needs?</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Question: And how would you describe that talent?"</li> <li>"Answer: Well, based on his experience with Tattoo</li> <li>Lou's, the structure was sound. It was approved by ou</li> <li>general manager, and I knew Mark was a good designer, and he</li> <li>wanted to help him grow his business.</li> <li>"Question: From a marketing point of the view, he</li> <li>had a certain for you, you, yourself, have a certain</li> <li>marketing background?</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"And you considered Mark had a certain talent for</li> <li>that?</li> <li>"Answer: Sure. I think Mark was a really talented</li> <li>guy, or is."</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"Question: All right. "What about 2013, who constructed Tattoo Lou's? Answer: I was never there when they physically did it. That wasn't part of my job. That was my coordinator's job to make sure it was done properly." Page 25, line 7: "Question: In 2013, do you know who constructed Tattoo Lou's booth? "Answer: I don't. "Question: You just don't recall? "Answer: Yeah, I wasn't there."	<ul> <li>"So over the course of the beginning of June that's</li> <li>when they were working together, you know, to hire Mark and</li> <li>design and get approvals. I believe Best Buy was slow to</li> <li>approve certain things and Mark was pushing them, because</li> <li>they wanted the full set up, for as many shows as possible."</li> <li>Page 50, line 24:</li> <li>"Question: You looked upon Mark because he had a</li> <li>certain talent to address Best Buy's needs?</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Question: And how would you describe that talent?"</li> <li>"Answer: Well, based on his experience with Tattoo</li> <li>Lou's, the structure was sound. It was approved by ou</li> <li>general manager, and I knew Mark was a good designer, and he</li> <li>was getting into the business of creating these signs, so I</li> <li>wanted to help him grow his business.</li> <li>"Question: From a marketing point of the view, he</li> <li>had a certain for you, you, yourself, have a certain</li> <li>marketing background?</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"And you considered Mark had a certain talent for</li> <li>that?</li> <li>Thank you. That's all, your Honor.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"Question: All right. "What about 2013, who constructed Tattoo Lou's? Answer: I was never there when they physically did it. That wasn't part of my job. That was my coordinator's job to make sure it was done properly." Page 25, line 7: "Question: In 2013, do you know who constructed Tattoo Lou's booth? "Answer: I don't. "Question: You just don't recall? "Answer: Yeah, I wasn't there."	<ul> <li>"So over the course of the beginning of June that's</li> <li>when they were working together, you know, to hire Mark and</li> <li>design and get approvals. I believe Best Buy was slow to</li> <li>approve certain things and Mark was pushing them, because</li> <li>they wanted the full set up, for as many shows as possible."</li> <li>Page 50, line 24:</li> <li>"Question: You looked upon Mark because he had a</li> <li>certain talent to address Best Buy's needs?</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Question: And how would you describe that talent?"</li> <li>"Answer: Well, based on his experience with Tattoo</li> <li>Lou's, the structure was sound. It was approved by ou</li> <li>general manager, and I knew Mark was a good designer, and he</li> <li>wanted to help him grow his business.</li> <li>"Question: From a marketing point of the view, he</li> <li>had a certain for you, you, yourself, have a certain</li> <li>marketing background?</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"Answer: Yes.</li> <li>"And you considered Mark had a certain talent for</li> <li>that?</li> <li>"Answer: Sure. I think Mark was a really talented</li> <li>guy, or is."</li> </ul>

	e Nation	December 5, 201
Pro	ceedings Page 1685	Summation by Defense (O'Hara) Page 1687
1	MR. B. MORELLI: At this time, the plaintiff would	<b>1</b> passion, in the manner in which the case was tried. The
	rest.	<ul><li>2 judge also plays a role, a very important role. No matter</li></ul>
3	THE COURT: Okay.	3 what, he tells you what the law is. But in the jury system,
4	MR. O'HARA: Yes, your Honor. The defense moves	4 you folks are the most important part. All right? This is
		5 a civil system for which, without you, we can't resolve
	official certification of the DMV record of Mark Perez that	6 disputes like this, and so for that, we thank you for taking
	expires on January 5, 2020.	7 the time to sit with us, to listen to all the evidence.
7		
8	THE COURT: Okay. That's without objection.	
9	I just need to see you very quickly.	<b>9</b> November 8th, we were asked to give opening statements, and
10	(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion took place	<b>10</b> I told you that an opening statement is a promise. It's a
	at the bench between the Court and counsel.)	<b>11</b> pact. It's an agreement between the advocate on behalf
12	THE COURT: Ladies and Gentlemen, the proof in the	<b>12</b> of the client and the jury, that I'm going to prove, on
	case is now closed. The next thing that's going to happen	<b>13</b> behalf of Live Nation, every single thing that I told you.
	is counsel is going to give you their summations.	14 Did Live Nation keep its promise? I submit to you,
15	Mr. O'Hara informs first of all, I told you in	<b>15</b> absolutely, unequivocally, we did.
	the beginning that the order of these things is	<b>16</b> There has not been a dispute, and there never will
	pre-determined. Mr. O'Hara will be giving the summation on	<b>17</b> be a dispute about Live Nation's responsibility for the
	behalf of defense first. He informs me that the summation,	<b>18</b> injuries that are fairly and reasonably caused by this
	give or take, is going to be in the hour vicinity. My	<b>19</b> accident. Live Nation is responsible for that. There never
	question to you is, do you want to take a couple of minutes	<b>20</b> has been a time that Live Nation has or ever will suggest
21	before we do that? Because I prefer it not be interrupted.	<b>21</b> that the injuries to Mr. Perez's skull; that the injury that
22	Yes? Okay. Let's take a few minutes.	<b>22</b> required four surgeries; that the fractures that he
23	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting.	<b>23</b> sustained; and that the treatment that we now have heard
24	(Whereupon, the jury was excused from the	<b>24</b> about. There's never been a dispute about that.
25	courtroom.)	<b>25</b> There has also never been a dispute that the total
	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER
C	nmation by Defense (Olllers)	Summation by Defence (Oll Jere)
Sur	nmation by Defense (O'Hara) Page 1686	Summation by Defense (O'Hara) Page 1688
Sur 1	nmation by Defense (O'Hara) Page 1686	1 medical expenses for which it's not even before you
	* * * * * * (Whereupon, a recess was taken.)	<ul> <li>1 medical expenses for which it's not even before you</li> <li>2 for his care and treatment for the past six and a half</li> </ul>
1	* * * * * (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) * * * * *	<ul> <li>1 medical expenses for which it's not even before you</li> <li>2 for his care and treatment for the past six and a half</li> <li>3 years, is \$315,007.15. Without question, Live Nation is</li> </ul>
1 2	* * * * * (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) * * * * * THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering.	<ol> <li>medical expenses for which it's not even before you</li> <li>for his care and treatment for the past six and a half</li> <li>years, is \$315,007.15. Without question, Live Nation is</li> <li>responsible for that, and Live Nation should be responsible</li> </ol>
1 2 3	* * * * * (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) * * * * * THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering. (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.)	<ul> <li>1 medical expenses for which it's not even before you</li> <li>2 for his care and treatment for the past six and a half</li> <li>3 years, is \$315,007.15. Without question, Live Nation is</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4	* * * * * (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) * * * * THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering. (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Mr. O'Hara.	<ol> <li>medical expenses for which it's not even before you</li> <li>for his care and treatment for the past six and a half</li> <li>years, is \$315,007.15. Without question, Live Nation is</li> <li>responsible for that, and Live Nation should be responsible</li> <li>for that.</li> <li>So what is the case about? Why are we here? That</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5	* * * * * (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) * * * * * THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering. (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Mr. O'Hara. MR. O'HARA: Thank you, your Honor.	<ol> <li>medical expenses for which it's not even before you</li> <li>for his care and treatment for the past six and a half</li> <li>years, is \$315,007.15. Without question, Live Nation is</li> <li>responsible for that, and Live Nation should be responsible</li> <li>for that.</li> <li>So what is the case about? Why are we here? That</li> <li>was the first question that the plaintiff asked to you in</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>* * * * *</li> <li>(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)</li> <li>* * * * *</li> <li>THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering.</li> <li>(Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.)</li> <li>THE COURT: Mr. O'Hara.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: Thank you, your Honor.</li> <li>Counsel, the Perez Family, Ladies and Gentlemen of</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>medical expenses for which it's not even before you</li> <li>for his care and treatment for the past six and a half</li> <li>years, is \$315,007.15. Without question, Live Nation is</li> <li>responsible for that, and Live Nation should be responsible</li> <li>for that.</li> <li>So what is the case about? Why are we here? That</li> <li>was the first question that the plaintiff asked to you in</li> <li>their opening statement. Any question now?</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	* * * * * (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) * * * * * THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering. (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Mr. O'Hara. MR. O'HARA: Thank you, your Honor. Counsel, the Perez Family, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury:	<ol> <li>medical expenses for which it's not even before you</li> <li>for his care and treatment for the past six and a half</li> <li>years, is \$315,007.15. Without question, Live Nation is</li> <li>responsible for that, and Live Nation should be responsible</li> <li>for that.</li> <li>So what is the case about? Why are we here? That</li> <li>was the first question that the plaintiff asked to you in</li> <li>their opening statement. Any question now?</li> <li>"I want a lot of money."</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>* * * * *</li> <li>(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)</li> <li>* * * * *</li> <li>THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering.</li> <li>(Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.)</li> <li>THE COURT: Mr. O'Hara.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: Thank you, your Honor.</li> <li>Counsel, the Perez Family, Ladies and Gentlemen of</li> <li>the Jury:</li> <li>Are you still here (indicating)? Are you still</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>medical expenses for which it's not even before you</li> <li>for his care and treatment for the past six and a half</li> <li>years, is \$315,007.15. Without question, Live Nation is</li> <li>responsible for that, and Live Nation should be responsible</li> <li>for that.</li> <li>So what is the case about? Why are we here? That</li> <li>was the first question that the plaintiff asked to you in</li> <li>their opening statement. Any question now?</li> <li>"I want a lot of money."</li> <li>You remember it. You remember it from the first</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	* * * * * (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) * * * * * THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering. (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Mr. O'Hara. MR. O'HARA: Thank you, your Honor. Counsel, the Perez Family, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury: Are you still here (indicating)? Are you still exactly where you promised you would be? Have you kept an	<ul> <li>medical expenses for which it's not even before you</li> <li>for his care and treatment for the past six and a half</li> <li>years, is \$315,007.15. Without question, Live Nation is</li> <li>responsible for that, and Live Nation should be responsible</li> <li>for that.</li> <li>So what is the case about? Why are we here? That</li> <li>was the first question that the plaintiff asked to you in</li> <li>their opening statement. Any question now?</li> <li>"I want a lot of money."</li> <li>You remember it. You remember it from the first</li> <li>days that we met.</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>* * * * *</li> <li>(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)</li> <li>* * * *</li> <li>THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering. (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Mr. O'Hara. MR. O'HARA: Thank you, your Honor. Counsel, the Perez Family, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury: Are you still here (indicating)? Are you still exactly where you promised you would be? Have you kept an open mind the entire time? Because now is the time that you</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>medical expenses for which it's not even before you</li> <li>for his care and treatment for the past six and a half</li> <li>years, is \$315,007.15. Without question, Live Nation is</li> <li>responsible for that, and Live Nation should be responsible</li> <li>for that.</li> <li>So what is the case about? Why are we here? That</li> <li>was the first question that the plaintiff asked to you in</li> <li>their opening statement. Any question now?</li> <li>"I want a lot of money."</li> <li>You remember it. You remember it from the first</li> <li>days that we met.</li> <li>Well, one of the things that the judge will do,</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>* * * * * *</li> <li>(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)</li> <li>* * * * *</li> <li>THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering.</li> <li>(Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.)</li> <li>THE COURT: Mr. O'Hara.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: Thank you, your Honor.</li> <li>Counsel, the Perez Family, Ladies and Gentlemen of</li> <li>the Jury:</li> <li>Are you still here (indicating)? Are you still</li> <li>exactly where you promised you would be? Have you kept an</li> <li>open mind the entire time? Because now is the time that you</li> <li>get the opportunity to do the job that you commit to do.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>medical expenses for which it's not even before you</li> <li>for his care and treatment for the past six and a half</li> <li>years, is \$315,007.15. Without question, Live Nation is</li> <li>responsible for that, and Live Nation should be responsible</li> <li>for that.</li> <li>So what is the case about? Why are we here? That</li> <li>was the first question that the plaintiff asked to you in</li> <li>their opening statement. Any question now?</li> <li>"I want a lot of money."</li> <li>You remember it. You remember it from the first</li> <li>days that we met.</li> <li>Well, one of the things that the judge will do,</li> <li>after we are done with our closing arguments, is he will</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>* * * * *</li> <li>(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)</li> <li>* * * *</li> <li>THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering.</li> <li>(Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.)</li> <li>THE COURT: Mr. O'Hara.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: Thank you, your Honor.</li> <li>Counsel, the Perez Family, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury:</li> <li>Are you still here (indicating)? Are you still exactly where you promised you would be? Have you kept an open mind the entire time? Because now is the time that you get the opportunity to do the job that you commit to do. Not an easy job. You now have the benefit of literally four</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>medical expenses for which it's not even before you</li> <li>for his care and treatment for the past six and a half</li> <li>years, is \$315,007.15. Without question, Live Nation is</li> <li>responsible for that, and Live Nation should be responsible</li> <li>for that.</li> <li>So what is the case about? Why are we here? That</li> <li>was the first question that the plaintiff asked to you in</li> <li>their opening statement. Any question now?</li> <li>"I want a lot of money."</li> <li>You remember it. You remember it from the first</li> <li>days that we met.</li> <li>Well, one of the things that the judge will do,</li> <li>after we are done with our closing arguments, is he will</li> <li>tell you the law, and when he gets to the question of</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>* * * * *</li> <li>(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)</li> <li>* * * * *</li> <li>THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering. (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Mr. O'Hara. MR. O'HARA: Thank you, your Honor. Counsel, the Perez Family, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury: Are you still here (indicating)? Are you still exactly where you promised you would be? Have you kept an open mind the entire time? Because now is the time that you get the opportunity to do the job that you commit to do. Not an easy job. You now have the benefit of literally four weeks of testimony and evidence.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>medical expenses for which it's not even before you</li> <li>for his care and treatment for the past six and a half</li> <li>years, is \$315,007.15. Without question, Live Nation is</li> <li>responsible for that, and Live Nation should be responsible</li> <li>for that.</li> <li>So what is the case about? Why are we here? That</li> <li>was the first question that the plaintiff asked to you in</li> <li>their opening statement. Any question now?</li> <li>"I want a lot of money."</li> <li>You remember it. You remember it from the first</li> <li>days that we met.</li> <li>Well, one of the things that the judge will do,</li> <li>after we are done with our closing arguments, is he will</li> <li>tell you the law, and when he gets to the question of</li> <li>damages he is going to tell you and you must accept the</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>* * * * *</li> <li>(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)</li> <li>* * * *</li> <li>THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering. (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Mr. O'Hara. MR. O'HARA: Thank you, your Honor. Counsel, the Perez Family, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury: Are you still here (indicating)? Are you still exactly where you promised you would be? Have you kept an open mind the entire time? Because now is the time that you get the opportunity to do the job that you commit to do. Not an easy job. You now have the benefit of literally four weeks of testimony and evidence. We told you at the beginning of this case, this was</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>medical expenses for which it's not even before you</li> <li>for his care and treatment for the past six and a half</li> <li>years, is \$315,007.15. Without question, Live Nation is</li> <li>responsible for that, and Live Nation should be responsible</li> <li>for that.</li> <li>So what is the case about? Why are we here? That</li> <li>was the first question that the plaintiff asked to you in</li> <li>their opening statement. Any question now?</li> <li>"I want a lot of money."</li> <li>You remember it. You remember it from the first</li> <li>days that we met.</li> <li>Well, one of the things that the judge will do,</li> <li>after we are done with our closing arguments, is he will</li> <li>tell you the law, and when he gets to the question of</li> <li>damages he is going to tell you and you must accept the</li> <li>law as he tells you that you are to render a verdict for</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>* * * * * *</li> <li>(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)</li> <li>* * * * *</li> <li>THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering. (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Mr. O'Hara. MR. O'HARA: Thank you, your Honor. Counsel, the Perez Family, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury: Are you still here (indicating)? Are you still exactly where you promised you would be? Have you kept an open mind the entire time? Because now is the time that you get the opportunity to do the job that you commit to do. Not an easy job. You now have the benefit of literally four weeks of testimony and evidence. We told you at the beginning of this case, this was going to be a very difficult case; that we would agree on</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>medical expenses for which it's not even before you</li> <li>for his care and treatment for the past six and a half</li> <li>years, is \$315,007.15. Without question, Live Nation is</li> <li>responsible for that, and Live Nation should be responsible</li> <li>for that.</li> <li>So what is the case about? Why are we here? That</li> <li>was the first question that the plaintiff asked to you in</li> <li>their opening statement. Any question now?</li> <li>"I want a lot of money."</li> <li>You remember it. You remember it from the first</li> <li>days that we met.</li> <li>Well, one of the things that the judge will do,</li> <li>after we are done with our closing arguments, is he will</li> <li>tell you the law, and when he gets to the question of</li> <li>damages he is going to tell you and you must accept the</li> <li>law as he tells you that you are to render a verdict for</li> <li>a sum of money that will justly and fairly compensate the</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>* * * * * *</li> <li>(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)</li> <li>* * * * *</li> <li>THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering. (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Mr. O'Hara. MR. O'HARA: Thank you, your Honor. Counsel, the Perez Family, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury: Are you still here (indicating)? Are you still exactly where you promised you would be? Have you kept an open mind the entire time? Because now is the time that you get the opportunity to do the job that you commit to do. Not an easy job. You now have the benefit of literally four weeks of testimony and evidence. We told you at the beginning of this case, this was going to be a very difficult case; that we would agree on some topics, but some significant issues and differences</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>medical expenses for which it's not even before you</li> <li>for his care and treatment for the past six and a half</li> <li>years, is \$315,007.15. Without question, Live Nation is</li> <li>responsible for that, and Live Nation should be responsible</li> <li>for that.</li> <li>So what is the case about? Why are we here? That</li> <li>was the first question that the plaintiff asked to you in</li> <li>their opening statement. Any question now?</li> <li>"I want a lot of money."</li> <li>You remember it. You remember it from the first</li> <li>days that we met.</li> <li>Well, one of the things that the judge will do,</li> <li>after we are done with our closing arguments, is he will</li> <li>tell you the law, and when he gets to the question of</li> <li>damages he is going to tell you and you must accept the</li> <li>law as he tells you that you are to render a verdict for</li> <li>a sum of money that will justly and fairly compensate the</li> <li>plaintiff. Justly and fairly. You remember, that's exactly</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>* * * * * *</li> <li>(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)</li> <li>* * * * *</li> <li>THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering. (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Mr. O'Hara. MR. O'HARA: Thank you, your Honor. Counsel, the Perez Family, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury: Are you still here (indicating)? Are you still exactly where you promised you would be? Have you kept an open mind the entire time? Because now is the time that you get the opportunity to do the job that you commit to do. Not an easy job. You now have the benefit of literally four weeks of testimony and evidence. We told you at the beginning of this case, this was going to be a very difficult case; that we would agree on some topics, but some significant issues and differences existed between us, and we needed your help. And for that,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 medical expenses for which it's not even before you</li> <li>2 for his care and treatment for the past six and a half</li> <li>3 years, is \$315,007.15. Without question, Live Nation is</li> <li>4 responsible for that, and Live Nation should be responsible</li> <li>5 for that.</li> <li>6 So what is the case about? Why are we here? That</li> <li>7 was the first question that the plaintiff asked to you in</li> <li>8 their opening statement. Any question now?</li> <li>9 "I want a lot of money."</li> <li>10 You remember it. You remember it from the first</li> <li>11 days that we met.</li> <li>12 Well, one of the things that the judge will do,</li> <li>13 after we are done with our closing arguments, is he will</li> <li>14 tell you the law, and when he gets to the question of</li> <li>15 damages he is going to tell you and you must accept the</li> <li>16 law as he tells you that you are to render a verdict for</li> <li>17 a sum of money that will justly and fairly compensate the</li> <li>18 plaintiff. Justly and fairly. You remember, that's exactly</li> <li>19 what I said to you, every single time we have spoken. Be</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>* * * * * *</li> <li>(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)</li> <li>* * * * *</li> <li>THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering. (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Mr. O'Hara. MR. O'HARA: Thank you, your Honor. Counsel, the Perez Family, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury: Are you still here (indicating)? Are you still exactly where you promised you would be? Have you kept an open mind the entire time? Because now is the time that you get the opportunity to do the job that you commit to do. Not an easy job. You now have the benefit of literally four weeks of testimony and evidence. We told you at the beginning of this case, this was going to be a very difficult case; that we would agree on some topics, but some significant issues and differences existed between us, and we needed your help. And for that, we thank you.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>medical expenses for which it's not even before you</li> <li>for his care and treatment for the past six and a half</li> <li>years, is \$315,007.15. Without question, Live Nation is</li> <li>responsible for that, and Live Nation should be responsible</li> <li>for that.</li> <li>So what is the case about? Why are we here? That</li> <li>was the first question that the plaintiff asked to you in</li> <li>their opening statement. Any question now?</li> <li>"I want a lot of money."</li> <li>You remember it. You remember it from the first</li> <li>days that we met.</li> <li>Well, one of the things that the judge will do,</li> <li>after we are done with our closing arguments, is he will</li> <li>tell you the law, and when he gets to the question of</li> <li>damages he is going to tell you and you must accept the</li> <li>law as he tells you that you are to render a verdict for</li> <li>a sum of money that will justly and fairly compensate the</li> <li>plaintiff. Justly and fairly. You remember, that's exactly</li> <li>what I said to you, every single time we have spoken. Be</li> <li>fair, be just, be reasonable. Because the Perez family</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>* * * * * *</li> <li>(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)</li> <li>* * * * *</li> <li>THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering. (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Mr. O'Hara. MR. O'HARA: Thank you, your Honor. Counsel, the Perez Family, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury:</li> <li>Are you still here (indicating)? Are you still exactly where you promised you would be? Have you kept an open mind the entire time? Because now is the time that you get the opportunity to do the job that you commit to do. Not an easy job. You now have the benefit of literally four weeks of testimony and evidence.</li> <li>We told you at the beginning of this case, this was going to be a very difficult case; that we would agree on some topics, but some significant issues and differences existed between us, and we needed your help. And for that, we thank you.</li> <li>This is the hardest job of all of us. Lawyers, we</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>medical expenses for which it's not even before you</li> <li>for his care and treatment for the past six and a half</li> <li>years, is \$315,007.15. Without question, Live Nation is</li> <li>responsible for that, and Live Nation should be responsible</li> <li>for that.</li> <li>So what is the case about? Why are we here? That</li> <li>was the first question that the plaintiff asked to you in</li> <li>their opening statement. Any question now?</li> <li>"I want a lot of money."</li> <li>You remember it. You remember it from the first</li> <li>days that we met.</li> <li>Well, one of the things that the judge will do,</li> <li>after we are done with our closing arguments, is he will</li> <li>tell you the law, and when he gets to the question of</li> <li>damages he is going to tell you and you must accept the</li> <li>law as he tells you that you are to render a verdict for</li> <li>a sum of money that will justly and fairly compensate the</li> <li>plaintiff. Justly and fairly. You remember, that's exactly</li> <li>what I said to you, every single time we have spoken. Be</li> <li>fair, be just, be reasonable. Because the Perez family</li> <li>MR. SIROTKIN: Objection.</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>* * * * * *</li> <li>(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)</li> <li>* * * * *</li> <li>THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering. (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Mr. O'Hara. MR. O'HARA: Thank you, your Honor. Counsel, the Perez Family, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury: Are you still here (indicating)? Are you still exactly where you promised you would be? Have you kept an open mind the entire time? Because now is the time that you get the opportunity to do the job that you commit to do. Not an easy job. You now have the benefit of literally four weeks of testimony and evidence. We told you at the beginning of this case, this was going to be a very difficult case; that we would agree on some topics, but some significant issues and differences existed between us, and we needed your help. And for that, we thank you. This is the hardest job of all of us. Lawyers, we play a role. It's an honor and privilege to stand in the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>medical expenses for which it's not even before you</li> <li>for his care and treatment for the past six and a half</li> <li>years, is \$315,007.15. Without question, Live Nation is</li> <li>responsible for that, and Live Nation should be responsible</li> <li>for that.</li> <li>So what is the case about? Why are we here? That</li> <li>was the first question that the plaintiff asked to you in</li> <li>their opening statement. Any question now?</li> <li>"I want a lot of money."</li> <li>You remember it. You remember it from the first</li> <li>days that we met.</li> <li>Well, one of the things that the judge will do,</li> <li>after we are done with our closing arguments, is he will</li> <li>tell you the law, and when he gets to the question of</li> <li>damages he is going to tell you and you must accept the</li> <li>law as he tells you that you are to render a verdict for</li> <li>a sum of money that will justly and fairly compensate the</li> <li>plaintiff. Justly and fairly. You remember, that's exactly</li> <li>what I said to you, every single time we have spoken. Be</li> <li>fair, be just, be reasonable. Because the Perez family</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Objection, your Honor.</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>* * * * * *</li> <li>(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)</li> <li>* * * * *</li> <li>THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering. (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Mr. O'Hara. MR. O'HARA: Thank you, your Honor. Counsel, the Perez Family, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury: Are you still here (indicating)? Are you still exactly where you promised you would be? Have you kept an open mind the entire time? Because now is the time that you get the opportunity to do the job that you commit to do. Not an easy job. You now have the benefit of literally four weeks of testimony and evidence. We told you at the beginning of this case, this was going to be a very difficult case; that we would agree on some topics, but some significant issues and differences existed between us, and we needed your help. And for that, we thank you. This is the hardest job of all of us. Lawyers, we play a role. It's an honor and privilege to stand in the shoes of Live Nation and advocate on their behalf, but this</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>medical expenses for which it's not even before you</li> <li>for his care and treatment for the past six and a half</li> <li>years, is \$315,007.15. Without question, Live Nation is</li> <li>responsible for that, and Live Nation should be responsible</li> <li>for that.</li> <li>So what is the case about? Why are we here? That</li> <li>was the first question that the plaintiff asked to you in</li> <li>their opening statement. Any question now?</li> <li>"I want a lot of money."</li> <li>You remember it. You remember it from the first</li> <li>days that we met.</li> <li>Well, one of the things that the judge will do,</li> <li>after we are done with our closing arguments, is he will</li> <li>tell you the law, and when he gets to the question of</li> <li>damages he is going to tell you and you must accept the</li> <li>law as he tells you that you are to render a verdict for</li> <li>a sum of money that will justly and fairly compensate the</li> <li>plaintiff. Justly and fairly. You remember, that's exactly</li> <li>what I said to you, every single time we have spoken. Be</li> <li>fair, be just, be reasonable. Because the Perez family</li> <li>MR. SIROTKIN: Objection.</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Objection, your Honor.</li> <li>THE COURT: Overruled.</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>* * * * * *</li> <li>(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)</li> <li>* * * * *</li> <li>THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering. (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Mr. O'Hara. MR. O'HARA: Thank you, your Honor. Counsel, the Perez Family, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury: Are you still here (indicating)? Are you still exactly where you promised you would be? Have you kept an open mind the entire time? Because now is the time that you get the opportunity to do the job that you commit to do. Not an easy job. You now have the benefit of literally four weeks of testimony and evidence.</li> <li>We told you at the beginning of this case, this was going to be a very difficult case; that we would agree on some topics, but some significant issues and differences existed between us, and we needed your help. And for that, we thank you.</li> <li>This is the hardest job of all of us. Lawyers, we play a role. It's an honor and privilege to stand in the shoes of Live Nation and advocate on their behalf, but this is not my case. We may be passionate about our positions,</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>medical expenses for which it's not even before you</li> <li>for his care and treatment for the past six and a half</li> <li>years, is \$315,007.15. Without question, Live Nation is</li> <li>responsible for that, and Live Nation should be responsible</li> <li>for that.</li> <li>So what is the case about? Why are we here? That</li> <li>was the first question that the plaintiff asked to you in</li> <li>their opening statement. Any question now?</li> <li>"I want a lot of money."</li> <li>You remember it. You remember it from the first</li> <li>days that we met.</li> <li>Well, one of the things that the judge will do,</li> <li>after we are done with our closing arguments, is he will</li> <li>tell you the law, and when he gets to the question of</li> <li>damages he is going to tell you and you must accept the</li> <li>law as he tells you that you are to render a verdict for</li> <li>a sum of money that will justly and fairly compensate the</li> <li>plaintiff. Justly and fairly. You remember, that's exactly</li> <li>what I said to you, every single time we have spoken. Be</li> <li>fair, be just, be reasonable. Because the Perez family</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Objection.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: Because the Perez family deserves</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>* * * * * *</li> <li>(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)</li> <li>* * * * *</li> <li>THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering. (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.) THE COURT: Mr. O'Hara. MR. O'HARA: Thank you, your Honor. Counsel, the Perez Family, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury: Are you still here (indicating)? Are you still exactly where you promised you would be? Have you kept an open mind the entire time? Because now is the time that you get the opportunity to do the job that you commit to do. Not an easy job. You now have the benefit of literally four weeks of testimony and evidence. We told you at the beginning of this case, this was going to be a very difficult case; that we would agree on some topics, but some significant issues and differences existed between us, and we needed your help. And for that, we thank you. This is the hardest job of all of us. Lawyers, we play a role. It's an honor and privilege to stand in the shoes of Live Nation and advocate on their behalf, but this</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>medical expenses for which it's not even before you</li> <li>for his care and treatment for the past six and a half</li> <li>years, is \$315,007.15. Without question, Live Nation is</li> <li>responsible for that, and Live Nation should be responsible</li> <li>for that.</li> <li>So what is the case about? Why are we here? That</li> <li>was the first question that the plaintiff asked to you in</li> <li>their opening statement. Any question now?</li> <li>"I want a lot of money."</li> <li>You remember it. You remember it from the first</li> <li>days that we met.</li> <li>Well, one of the things that the judge will do,</li> <li>after we are done with our closing arguments, is he will</li> <li>tell you the law, and when he gets to the question of</li> <li>damages he is going to tell you and you must accept the</li> <li>law as he tells you that you are to render a verdict for</li> <li>a sum of money that will justly and fairly compensate the</li> <li>plaintiff. Justly and fairly. You remember, that's exactly</li> <li>what I said to you, every single time we have spoken. Be</li> <li>fair, be just, be reasonable. Because the Perez family</li> <li>MR. SIROTKIN: Objection.</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Objection, your Honor.</li> <li>THE COURT: Overruled.</li> </ul>

Perez v. Live Nation	December 5, 2019
Summation by Defense (O'Hara) Page	e 1689 Summation by Defense (O'Hara) Page 1691
<ul> <li>1 Nation. Each side. No more and no less.</li> <li>2 Now, there are certain facts that are clear, It</li> <li>3 upon the evidence that has been presented, and I'm</li> <li>4 going to identify some of them, understanding you have</li> <li>5 here for four weeks. And we know. We watch you just</li> <li>6 you watch us. We watch your facial expressions, we</li> <li>7 your body language, and we know you have taken the time</li> <li>8 of your lives, and you have been attentive for the destination of your lives, and you have been attentive for the destination of your lives, and you have been attentive for the destination of your lives, and you have been attentive for the destination of your lives, and you have been attentive for the destination of your lives, and you have been attentive for the destination of your lives, and you have been attentive for the destination of your lives, and you have been attentive for the destination of your lives, and you have been attentive for the destination. So I'm going summarize what I think is the imperiod evidence for you to consider, but it's not to mining things that I don't talk about. The entire trial is</li> <li>12 something that you need to take into consideration whe</li> <li>13 decide what you think is fair, what you think is reason</li> <li>14 and what you think is just.</li> <li>15 So there's no question, after the happening of accident, Mr. Perez needed life-saving surgery, and he is</li> <li>17 number of procedures that didn't go as well as the physicians had hoped. There was a procedure to rem</li> <li>19 section of his skull to save his life, and we have rescion of his skull to save his life, and we have rescion of his skull to save his life, and we have rescion of his skull to save his life, and we have rescion of his skull to save his life.</li> <li>22 period, or the period in which there was swelling</li> <li>23 required medical attention, that it could be put backed attention that it could be put backed attention thore doesn't always work. It's not is no</li></ul>	a just been3 got behind the wheel of a vehicle and he drove on multiple 4 occasions. He drove as far as New York City, back and 5 forth, stopping at stop lights, navigating traffic, finding 
LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER
Summation by Defense (O'Hara) Page	e 1690 Summation by Defense (O'Hara) Page 1692
<ul> <li>perfect. This is not television. You don't script</li> <li>and he had problems with the skull being placed in d</li> <li>the second procedure, and there were infections, and</li> <li>had to remove it in a third procedure and place an it</li> <li>and he has problems with the insert. And ultimate</li> <li>present, he needs another cranioplasty. It's uncontrow</li> <li>that he needs it, we all know he needs it.</li> <li>And you have heard it from every single me</li> <li>provider that was asked the question: There is no</li> <li>medical reason why he hasn't had that, and it's dang</li> <li>not to have. It's dangerous not to take those steps</li> <li>help, to make yourself better. It's dangerous not to fa</li> <li>the advice of doctors. But for reasons that we d</li> <li>understand, and we may never understand, the family, a</li> <li>particular Mr. Perez, has not undergone that proce</li> <li>But what has he done, which is without quest</li> <li>over the past six and a half years? Well, we know</li> <li>during the course of the aftermath 2014, 2015, 201</li> <li>tried to go back to work, and that's admitted by the I</li> <li>give him work-related tasks, both his brother an</li> <li>father, trying to give him things that can keep hi</li> <li>occupied, and candidly, to continue to have him engaged</li> <li>their family, in their professional lives, and to aba</li> </ul>	uring they hsert, ely, at rerted2 daily, until it got to point that he worked out three days a 3 week, and that every physician that has seen him has said he 4 has good muscle tone, and he has good strength. When 5 Dr. Gordon talked about the removal of his shirt no, I'm 6 sorry. When Dr. Greenwald talked about the removal of his 7 shirt, what did he say? The young man is in shape. 8 We know that it is without question that the 9 ability to go to a gym and to lift weights, to maintain your 10 tone on both sides of your body, requires the ability to do 11 those exercises. Not only weight training, but yoga, and 12 using an elliptical machine. Cardiovascular exercise. 13 Things that are consistent with the attempts to back to the 14 things that he truly loved, because we saw the picture of 15 him, and I'm sure you will see the pre-accident pictures. 16 He was in good shape.17But what's the dispute about, then? Why did we all 18 this time out of your lives? Why do we bring all of these 19 experts? We know that there is a significant question as to 20 what his current shortcomings or injuries are; what he needs 21 for the future to provide for him; and what is fair, what is 22 reasonable for you to evaluate, when you are going to decide 23 what the appropriate damages are.
LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER

Live Nation	December 5, 2019
Summation by Defense (O'Hara) Page	693Summation by Defense (O'Hara)Page 1695
<ul> <li>whatever we want? You've got to be kidding me. You have</li> <li>be kidding me to suggest that the physicians that we brown</li> <li>before you are anything other than the best physicians</li> <li>4 the world. We are talking about Barry Jordan, Perester and the world. We are talking about Barry Jordan, Perester and the world were talking about Barry Jordan, Perester and the medical director of a hospital.</li> <li>rextensive history. Someone that's credentials are bey reproach. Not a gentlement that's going to come in here</li> <li>9 say something because some lawyer tells him to say it</li> <li>10 gentleman who is going to come in here and tell you what</li> <li>11 really truly thinks. The suggestion that somehow, some with that he met with us, and he did what we were going to</li> <li>13 is incredible. It's unbelievable. But you know that. That is not a man whose character, whis integrity, whose honor can be influenced by anything of than fair, reasonable, objective</li> <li>17 facts.</li> <li>18 What did Barry Jordan tell you, after having sing Mr. Perez not once, but twice? He had good physics</li> <li>20 strength. He had good mobility recovery in 2015, and wide array of patients that Dr. Jordan has seen during</li> <li>21 course of his career, he recovered relatively well, comp</li> <li>25 to others. Very well, is the phrase that he used.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>to</li> <li>1 reason for that test is to see whether people are going to</li> <li>2 be candid and forthright in responding to the questions,</li> <li>3 because it's one bone, and it's enervated by one nerve, and</li> <li>4 that nerve, if you touch one side and you touch the other</li> <li>5 side, the feeling is the same. But there was more than</li> <li>6 that. There was diagnostic testing that made no sense to</li> <li>7 Dr. Jordan. He specifically said, findings on physical</li> <li>8 examination were difficult to medically explain.</li> <li>9 Now, are we ever going to call this young man a</li> <li>10 name? No. Why? It's not right. It's not my job so call</li> <li>11 him names. My job is to give you what we believe to be a</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>c</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>b</li> <li>c</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>c</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>c</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>c</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>c</li> <li>a</li> <li>c</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li> <li>b</li></ul>
LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER
LISA A. CASET - SENIOR COURT REPORTER	LISA A. CASET - SENION COUNT REFORTER
Summation by Defense (O'Hara)Page1But there was more to Dr. Jordan's testing, a2this is if you remember nothing we are lawyers.3try and tell you all these fancy words we learned in4school, and we want to persuade. At the end of the day,5never once heard anybody give any opinion, other th6medical opinion; a well-founded opinion, based upon t7objective evaluation of what they saw in test results8what they saw when they examined Mr. Perez, and not only9they give that you opinion, but in this case, every si10doctor that came here was in the same standing.11I will work through the list of the plaintiff's12experts. They are all hired by the lawyers. There isr13single treating physician that actually was asked to com14here to testify to you about, Hey, how is he doing over15last year, or the last second year, or the third year. W16Dr. Jordan told you that there are inconsistencies in17medical records that are consistent with the inconsisten18that he found on examination, that there were mult19neurologic assessments by different doctors over the20six years that suggested that his function was good, and21found a number of items that he called non-physiolog22And how did he describe that to you? The bi23doesn't work that way. The brain doesn't work that way.24When you have a tuning fork and you touch it on one side	<ul> <li>Summation by Defense (O'Hara)</li> <li>Page 1696</li> <li>1 Dr. Greenwald, and that's also what Dr. Gordon, and that's</li> <li>2 also what Dr. Schwartz admitted, when pressed on those</li> <li>3 questions, that there are some things that just don't jibe;</li> <li>4 okay? There are things that just don't match, which is</li> <li>5 consistent with the findings that existed with his treating</li> <li>6 physicians in the record, like Dr. Bruno, where doctor</li> <li>7 Bruno's records, which were referenced by all of the</li> <li>8 experts, showed normal neurologic assessments throughout the</li> <li>9 course of the time that he was being treated.</li> <li>10 What did Dr. Ambrose say? Keeping in mind, who is</li> <li>11 she? Mount Sinai School of Medicine, Albert Einstein School</li> <li>12 of Medicine, board certified in brain injury medicine. A</li> <li>14 rare and hard certification to achieve. Not only did</li> <li>15 Dr. Ambrose tell you, very similarly, that she felt that he</li> <li>16 had made a good recovery, there were medically inconsistent</li> <li>17 findings of sensory loss, and his gait. And what did</li> <li>18 everybody learn about gait? Gait is the way you walk, and</li> <li>19 it just doesn't make sense, based upon the injury complex</li> <li>he 20 that he has.</li> <li>21 Never once did she call him a name.</li> <li>22 She also talked about the testing that was done,</li> <li>and in particular, the Rey 15-Item test. Similar to what</li> <li>of 24 Dr. Jordan had said: He was embellishing. The findings and</li> </ul>
1 But there was more to Dr. Jordan's testing, a 2 this is if you remember nothing we are lawyers. 3 try and tell you all these fancy words we learned in 4 school, and we want to persuade. At the end of the day, 5 never once heard anybody give any opinion, other th 6 medical opinion; a well-founded opinion, based upon t 7 objective evaluation of what they saw in test results 8 what they saw when they examined Mr. Perez, and not only 9 they give that you opinion, but in this case, every si 10 doctor that came here was in the same standing. 11 I will work through the list of the plaintiff's 12 experts. They are all hired by the lawyers. There isr 13 single treating physician that actually was asked to com 14 here to testify to you about, Hey, how is he doing over 15 last year, or the last second year, or the third year. W 16 Dr. Jordan told you that there are inconsistencies in 17 medical records that are consistent with the inconsisten 18 that he found on examination, that there were mult 19 neurologic assessments by different doctors over the 20 six years that suggested that his function was good, an 21 found a number of items that he called non-physiolog 22 And how did he describe that to you? The bac 23 doesn't work that way. The brain doesn't work that way.	<ul> <li>Summation by Defense (O'Hara)</li> <li>Page 1696</li> <li>1 Dr. Greenwald, and that's also what Dr. Gordon, and that's</li> <li>2 also what Dr. Schwartz admitted, when pressed on those</li> <li>3 questions, that there are some things that just don't jibe;</li> <li>4 okay? There are things that just don't match, which is</li> <li>5 consistent with the findings that existed with his treating</li> <li>6 physicians in the record, like Dr. Bruno, where doctor</li> <li>7 Bruno's records, which were referenced by all of the</li> <li>8 experts, showed normal neurologic assessments throughout the</li> <li>9 course of the time that he was being treated.</li> <li>10 What did Dr. Ambrose say? Keeping in mind, who is</li> <li>11 she? Mount Sinai School of Medicine, Albert Einstein School</li> <li>12 of Medicine, board certified in brain injury medicine. A</li> <li>14 rare and hard certification to achieve. Not only did</li> <li>15 Dr. Ambrose tell you, very similarly, that she felt that he</li> <li>16 had made a good recovery, there were medically inconsistent</li> <li>17 findings of sensory loss, and his gait. And what did</li> <li>18 everybody learn about gait? Gait is the way you walk, and</li> <li>19 it just doesn't make sense, based upon the injury complex</li> <li>he 20 that he has.</li> <li>21 Never once did she call him a name.</li> <li>22 She also talked about the testing that was done,</li> <li>and in particular, the Rey 15-Item test. Similar to what</li> <li>of 24 Dr. Jordan had said: He was embellishing. The findings and</li> </ul>

-	rez v. ve Nation		December 5, 2019	
	mmation by Defense (O'Hara) Page 1697	Sun	nmation by Defense (O'Hara) Page 1699	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	based on a full and fair effort. That's impossible to not have been what is medically defined in the DSM-5 as malingering. Not a name. We are not trying to brand him with anything. We are respectful, beyond my ability to communicate, of this family. We are respectful of the fact that we have a difference of opinion, but that does not give me, on Live Nation's behalf, an excuse to call him a name. And I never, ever will. Ever. But you have to look at the fair and the objective evidence, and look at, does it make sense? And the answer, according to Dr. Jordan, as well as Dr. Ambrose: It doesn't. It doesn't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	can go throughout the world, and nobody will be able to find someone that says, Hey, Doyle doesn't have really good credentials. One of the things about people that are that smart is they are not that great in social interaction. They may not be comfortable, and they most certainly listen, I'm not going to make any bones of this, he had a really hard time following the instructions of the judge, and had to be told. But what did he say to you? What did he say to you that was critically important? That, Mr. Perez recovered better than 90 percent of my patients. 90 percent of my patients, with the similar injury complex, number one. And number two, and you heard from Dr. Schwartz, and we'll advance a consistent view, Dr. Schwartz is a well-credentialed physician. He didn't say that Mr. Perez is a candidate for this unique epilepsy-focused surgery. He didn't say that he is someone that is going to have that in the future, which is exactly what I said in opening statement, that he was going you needed to pay close attention to what he said, because if he stayed with what was in his report, he wasn't going to allege that the surgery was necessary. Why? There are two critical elements that do not exist today, no matter what anybody comes in here and tells you. Number one, does he have epilepsy? He may, but we LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER	
Su	mmation by Defense (O'Hara) Page 1698	Sun	nmation by Defense (O'Hara) Page 1700	ſ
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	historically. They are not making this up. There's no judgment in this. It's a fact, beyond dispute. It is not medically possible, based upon the injuries that were incurred. The other thing that Dr. Ambrose brought to your attention, from her physical examination in August of 2019, was he had no muscle weakness; again, no tone abnormalities; and no lower extremity meaning below the waist sensory disturbances; okay? All of that is inconsistent with the gait issue. All of that is inconsistent with the findings during the course of the care and treatment, independent of the lawsuit. Not calling him a name, but that's a fact, and Dr. Ambrose outlined for you the fact that it just doesn't make physiologic it just doesn't make medical sense.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>don't know. Number two, does he have intractable epilepsy?</li> <li>Refractory seizure disorder that is to the degree that hasn't been able to be controlled by proper medical excuse me, by proper medication testing? Absolutely not. And Dr. Schwartz told you the same thing, that in order to even determine whether you are going to proceed with this type of surgery, you have to be precise, and there's a battery of tests. None of them, in six and a half years, has been done. How can you come before you, and expect people such as yourselves to believe that he is going to need this surgery in the future, when he hasn't had one of the preliminary tests necessary to even determine if he is a candidate?</li> <li>And Dr. Doyle was lambasted. I wrote that word down this morning, because it's three syllables. He was lambasted for the idea that he would follow his Hippocratic oath, proceed with his true belief that the reduction of suffering is what he was put here to do, and how dare he consider becoming Mr. Perez's doctor. Keeping in mind, there's not a lot of people in the world that have his credentials, and he was essentially there was an attempt to eviscerate him for that.</li> <li>But let me ask you something, Ladies and Gentlemen: How is that consistent with what Dr. Fayer did? Dr. Fayer was hired by the same legal reason as Dr. Doyle, and what</li> </ul>	
	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER		LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER	]

Live Nation	December 5, 2019
Summation by Defense (O'Hara) Page 1701	Summation by Defense (O'Hara) Page 1703
<ul> <li>did Dr. Fayer tell you, the first two times that he saw</li> <li>Mr. Perez? It was forensic. It was not treatment. So how</li> <li>a can you be hyper-critical of Dr. Doyle and not think that</li> <li>that equally applies to Dr. Fayer?</li> <li>I would submit to you that there's absolutely</li> <li>nothing wrong with Dr. Doyle saying, I've got a unique set</li> <li>of credentials. I've got a unique skill set. If I can help</li> <li>this young man, I'm going make myself available. And I</li> <li>would submit to you that the same applies to Dr. Fayer. You</li> <li>are not going to hear me say, How dare he offer to become</li> <li>this young man's physician. No. That's not right. He has</li> <li>a skill set, and if the Perez family believes that he's</li> <li>worthy of caring for him, and he met them through</li> <li>litigation, how is that bad? Why is that bad?</li> <li>(Whereupon, an exhibit was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: Now, what did you learn from Dr. Barr?</li> <li>He focused on the one topic that was of critical importance,</li> <li>just to highlight the testing, and to show the disparity in</li> <li>the testing over the course of years, that there shouldn't</li> <li>be this variability. You can't go up, you can't come to a</li> <li>level, shoot up through the sky, and then come back down.</li> <li>Yet the testing that was done for Mr. Perez, both the</li> <li>15-Item test, which is the Rey 15-Item test, and the test of</li> <li>memory malingering we did didn't make that word up. You</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>various experts, draft reports, did you hear anything about</li> <li>anybody asking these people to change something of</li> <li>substance? Really? It's a bad idea to identify a</li> <li>typographical error?</li> <li>The plaintiff tried to excoriate the witness</li> <li>because the driver's license was from the state of</li> <li>Connecticut, instead of the state of New York. A mistake.</li> <li>An honest, innocuous mistake. A mistake of no substance.</li> <li>We didn't catch it. We have no idea who that young man was,</li> <li>what he was doing there, because there has been different</li> <li>events throughout the course of this case, and the doctor</li> <li>made a mistake, and as a routine standard operating</li> <li>procedure, they provided us with draft reports. And every</li> <li>single one said, They didn't ask us to, and we didn't make</li> <li>any substantive changes.</li> <li>Do you really think we are that stupid? Do you</li> <li>really think that we'll tell a witness, Take out this really</li> <li>important concession, Don't you dare tell the other side</li> <li>that? Because this lawyer, who is talented, experienced</li> <li>we have heard, all of us heard in voir dire about how</li> <li>experienced he is we really think he is not going pick it</li> <li>up? Really? So we are going to tell this expert, Hey, take</li> <li>this out and write it different? No. It's not believable.</li> <li>What else were those experts questioned about?</li> </ul>
LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER
Summation by Defense (O'Hara) Page 1702	Summation by Defense (O'Hara) Page 1704
<ul> <li>1 embellishing without intent? That's what it is. You want</li> <li>2 to call that there as something subconsciously in the mind</li> <li>3 that suggests, Hey, when someone is involved in a lawsuit,</li> <li>4 and they are asked whether it hurts, tell them it's a little</li> <li>5 bit more than it actually is? That's human nature. To</li> <li>6 suggest that there's not a secondary influence, that the</li> <li>7 idea of being involved in a lawsuit doesn't affect people's</li> <li>8 thinking, even if it's subconsciously, that's not</li> <li>9 believable. We know that.</li> <li>10 Dr. Barr specifically said that Mr. Perez, based</li> <li>11 upon these test results which are intended to evaluate</li> <li>12 effort, has not, or was not, giving full effort, which is</li> <li>13 consistent with what Dr. Jordan and Dr. Ambrose said. And</li> <li>14 keep in mind, it was consistent with the multiple</li> <li>15 concessions by Dr. Gordon. Dr. Gordon was asked questions</li> <li>16 about his findings, which shot through the roof when he did</li> <li>17 the testing, but it was straddled by others whose test</li> <li>18 results weren't the same.</li> <li>19 But Dr. Gordon was here for one reason and one</li> <li>20 reason only: Litigation. Same as all the other doctors,</li> <li>21 but he could not and did not give a valid explanation for</li> <li>22 the variability, which is why he conceded so much on cross</li> <li>23 examination.</li> <li>24 Now, with respect to and this is important.</li> <li>25 With respect to the reviews, the reports prepared by the LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 Compensation for their time. Compensation for their time.</li> <li>2 The judge will give you a charge about that. Do you think</li> <li>3 that Dr. Greenwald, Dr. Gordon, Dr. Fayer, Dr. Lubliner,</li> <li>4 Dr. Lipton, Dr. Schwartz, Mr. Provder and Dr. Dwyer came</li> <li>5 here of their own free will? They just did it as a</li> <li>6 courtesy? It's ridiculous. It's not believable. It's not</li> <li>7 believable. And it's normal. Why? You can't take a</li> <li>8 doctor, with the credentials of Dr. Doyle, and ask him to</li> <li>9 review a case, and ask him to come to court and testify, as</li> <li>10 opposed to be in the hospital, care for the patients that he</li> <li>11 cares for. You can't ask him to do that without</li> <li>12 compensating for the time he spends.</li> <li>13 I've already talked but about the difference</li> <li>14 between forensic and treating physicians, and you now know</li> <li>15 every single person in this case was a forensic physician,</li> <li>16 except Dr. Fayer, it changed. But one of the things about</li> <li>17 Dr. Fayer, and it's the only time listen, you heard this,</li> <li>18 It's my style; right? It's my style. Well, when Dr. Fayer</li> <li>19 lashed out at me, do you remember what I was talking to him</li> <li>20 about? And I literally did this (indicating): Doctor,</li> <li>21 relax. I can hear you fine. You don't have to yell. What</li> <li>22 he was he being questioned on when I asked him that</li> <li>23 question? He was being questioned on his submission of the</li> <li>24 forensic fees that he would customarily submit to counsel.</li> <li>25 Because it's involved in litigation, he submitted it to a</li> <li>LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER</li> </ul>

Perez v. Live Nation	December 5, 2019
Summation by Defense (O'Hara) Page 1705	Summation - Mr. O'Hara Page 1707
<ul> <li>third party payer. That ain't right. That's why he got so</li> <li>upset.</li> <li>Now, there are essentially three pieces and you</li> <li>will have the verdict sheet we worked to create, that will</li> <li>identify the different subject matters that you are going to</li> <li>have to evaluate the damages, and come to a conclusion as to</li> <li>what you believe is a fair and reasonable and just award.</li> <li>And I'm going to work through just a few of them, because</li> <li>there really the most important ones; right? So you have</li> <li>the economic loss, past and future; you have the life care</li> <li>plan, to take care of him into the future; and then you have</li> <li>pain and suffering. The judge will explain to you all the</li> <li>different facets that go into those different components.</li> <li>(Whereupon, an exhibit was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: With respect to the economic loss,</li> <li>what did the defense present? First, who did we present?</li> <li>Did we bring someone in here that was unqualified? Did we</li> <li>bring someone in here that we intended to be unfair in the</li> <li>manner in which we reviewed and took a position on his</li> <li>conomic loss? We brought in a triple-degreed economist</li> <li>from the Wharton Business School at the University of</li> <li>Pennsylvania. We brought in a man that has an extensive</li> <li>work history in actuarials and the valuation of economic</li> <li>put somebody before you that wasn't legitimate, that wasn't</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. O'HARA: (Continuing) Why? What did the defense</li> <li>present with respect to the economic loss claim?</li> <li>We presented three different scenarios, but what</li> <li>did we base the economic loss on?</li> <li>What the man was doing for a living, what he had</li> <li>been doing reasonably up to that point and did we take his</li> <li>two year average, his three year average? His five or ten</li> <li>year average to drive that economic number down? Which</li> <li>frankly, we could. We could.</li> <li>Because you can look at one year that's a spike and</li> <li>one year that's a significant drop and say wait a minute,</li> <li>there's not a fair approximation of what the future would</li> <li>likely hold when you're seeing this.</li> <li>But the defense took the position and we'll take</li> <li>the position throughout this case, give that young man the</li> <li>benefit of the doubt. The best year that he had when you</li> <li>add up all of his income was \$33,799, okay.</li> <li>If we look back 2012, he didn't make that much.</li> <li>If you look back to 2011, it starts to drop even</li> <li>less, but we based our analysis on the highest year of wages</li> <li>prior to the accident.</li> <li>There is no question, and I will talk a little bit</li> <li>about this, but there's no question that if you base the</li> <li>2013 loss, the 2014 loss on what he was actually doing, the</li> </ul>
LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
Page 1706  1 credible, because that's unfair and we want to make sure 2 and that's why I opened the way that I did. We don't 3 dispute everything, but we dispute what is a fair and 4 reasonable and just evaluation of the damages in this case. 5 (Continued on the next page.) 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER	<ul> <li>Summation - Mr. O'Hara Page 1708</li> <li>1 But what did you hear from Mr. Provder?</li> <li>2 Listen, Mr. Provder is a nice man, but there's no</li> <li>3 such thing as PhD eligible. There isn't. You either have</li> <li>4 completed your PhD or you haven't. That's it. To his</li> <li>5 credit, he admitted that.</li> <li>6 What did Mr. Provder do? He picked a</li> <li>7 classification that, using his word, was aspirational. Hey,</li> <li>8 listen, that's wonderful. We all hope that in the future we</li> <li>9 achieve great things beyond even our own wildest dreams, but</li> <li>10 in this case your job is to determine more likely than not</li> <li>11 based upon the credible evidence in front of you, what's the</li> <li>12 likelihood that he was going to be making \$84,910 in 2014?</li> <li>13 That he was going to be a web designer when he had</li> <li>14 never done it before?</li> <li>15 That when you look at his education, training and</li> <li>16 experience, he had never been engaged in an</li> <li>17 employment-related setting where he was paid any money by</li> <li>18 anybody to design web sites and to the extent it's important</li> <li>19 and I mean this respectfully. It's not an easy thing to</li> <li>20 talk when you're trying to be respectful of the family and</li> <li>21 point out shortcomings, but I didn't make this up.</li> <li>22 I'm not suggesting anything other than the young</li> <li>23 man went to college for a period of time, withdrew or was</li> <li>24 unsuccessful in completely approximately half of the classes</li> <li>25 and the one class Mr. Provder told you, the one class that</li> <li>LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER</li> </ul>

Summation - Mr. O'Hara         Page 1791           1         Core remotely related activities, and a core remotely related activities, and a core causes me - 6.7 year           3         So, is it credible, is it believable to come before           4         Thy you assume a six and a - excuses me - 6.7 year           5         six and a haff years as well as for the rest of his fire           6         the oper or aspiration that maybe he might pursue that.           7         Keeping in mind one of the things that was brought           8         out about Mr. Perz and his true aspirations. What dides           9         vant do?           10         Talked about going to Puerto Ricc and opening a treat stansing on the higher year he care money in his           12         treation and formation oncy in his           13         actually making on the higher year he care money in his           14         tractic line and you compare it to the job classification           14         tractic line and you compare it to the job classification           14         tractic line and you compare it to the job classification           15         heredible and you job is to weigh the credible and you you job is to weigh the credible and you you job is to weigh the credible and you you gob is to weigh the credible and you you gob is to weigh the credible and you you gob is to weigh the credible and you you gob is to weigh the credible and you you gob is to weigh the credible a	Perez v. Live Nation	December 5, 2019
<ul> <li>2 failed.</li> <li>2 induction which is the uncontroverted testimony of both</li> <li>3 solves in this case, it's 14, 496,230.</li> <li>4 what does Live Nation believe is fair? What does is and a half were asys and to do? Do that. That's what the</li> <li>6 withere exays. I didrit - it's not an argument. It's what</li> <li>7 Greenwald and Dr. Ambrose specifically note is the</li> <li>6 withere exays. I didrit - it's not an argument. It's what</li> <li>7 Dr. Greenwald and Dr. Ambrose specifically note is the</li> <li>9 want to do?</li> <li>10 Now, while we presented evidence on a nine year</li> <li>11 retars the difference between what he was</li> <li>12 the end of the day, were willing to accept. If you accept</li> <li>13 what the two experts said and the fact that it might</li> <li>14 actually making on the highest year he carred money in his</li> <li>14 actually making on the highest year he carred money in his</li> <li>14 actually making on the highest year he carred money in his</li> <li>15 that was picked by Mr. Provder, it's two and a half times</li> <li>16 lingher.</li> <li>17 Ladies and Gentlemen, that's incredible. It's</li> <li>18 ubbelievable, and your job is to weigh the credible and</li> <li>19 believable, and your job is to weigh the credible and</li> <li>10 Now we come to the life care plan, the future needs</li> <li>20 for Mr. Perez.</li> <li>21 what you should base it on, why shouldn't you compensate high</li> <li>23 and reasonable and justy determined future meedsa</li> <li>24 morthed shout the future issue with</li> <li>24 will ke, but there's a disput as to what this is, okay.</li> <li>25 respect to Social Security benefits as well as how it</li> <li>24 nord the about and it's not an easy thing to</li> <li>24 to the fact to have through a lot about thow to do this</li> <li>34 nowing the Perez family is standing there and it's not an easy thing to</li> <li>25 the if expectancy tables that we all are subject</li> <li>34 thow that the dist's onor if solve the believate</li> <li>34 orde</li></ul>	Summation - Mr. O'Hara Page 1709	Summation - Mr. O'Hara Page 1711
<ul> <li>25 respect to Social Security benefits as well as how it LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER</li> <li>25 We have presented to you through Kim Kushner what LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER</li> <li>26 Summation - Mr. O'Hara Page 1710</li> <li>27 relates to the life care plan and it's not an easy thing to 2 talk about, and I have thought a lot about how to do this 3 knowing the Perez family is standing there and it's not easy 4 to do.</li> <li>3 The life expectancy tables that we all are subject 6 to are simply statistics, and it's not intended to say I 7 guarantee you this is when you're going to die. It's not.</li> <li>8 But the fact is your job is to weigh the believable 9 and credible evidence and do what you think is right and in 10 this case, the plaintiff presented evidence in their case by 11 Dr. Ambrose that Mr. Perez has a statistically reduced life 12 expectancy excuse me, Dr. Greenwald and Dr. Ambrose 13 agreed.</li> <li>14 So, what did we do economically?</li> <li>15 We said, look, if he has a full life expectancy, 16 because for whatever reason you decide you don't want to 17 accept the uncontroverted medical testimony of both Dr. 18 Greenwald and Dr. Ambrose, if you don't want to accept the 19 I don't know that I'm going to say that that's a reasonable 20 thing to do, but that's your prerogative.</li> <li>11 Jron that be conomics including the value of the loss of the 23 Social Security benefits that he would have earmed had hat 44 worked a full life and lived a full life, the total economic 24 or that because to fail the and live a full life, the total economic 24 or that because to sto get somebody in here that 24 worked a full life and lived a full life, the total economic 24 or that beal but it, but have a</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 failed.</li> <li>3 So, is it credible, is it believable to come before</li> <li>4 you and say you should base his economic loss for the past</li> <li>5 six and a half years as well as for the rest of his life on</li> <li>6 the hope or aspiration that maybe he might pursue that.</li> <li>7 Keeping in mind one of the things that was brought</li> <li>8 out about Mr. Perez and his true aspirations. What did he</li> <li>9 want to do?</li> <li>10 Talked about going to Puerto Rico and opening a</li> <li>11 restaurant. Right? We didn't tell that's his testimony.</li> <li>12 When you look at the difference between what he was</li> <li>13 actually making on the highest year he earned money in his</li> <li>14 entire life and you compare it to the job classification</li> <li>15 that was picked by Mr. Provder, it's two and a half times</li> <li>16 higher.</li> <li>17 Ladies and Gentlemen, that's incredible. It's</li> <li>18 unbelievable, and your job is to weigh the credible and</li> <li>19 believable evidence and come up with what you believe is</li> <li>20 fair; because, if aspirations and hobbies or desires are</li> <li>21 what you should base it on, why shouldn't you compensate him</li> <li>22 for being a commercial airline pilot? Because he did like</li> <li>23 to fly a plane.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 reduction which is the uncontroverted testimony of both</li> <li>3 sides in this case, it's \$1,496,230.</li> <li>4 What does Live Nation believe is fair? What does</li> <li>5 Live Nation ask you to do? Do that. That's what the</li> <li>6 evidence says. I didn't it's not an argument. It's what</li> <li>7 Dr. Greenwald and Dr. Ambrose specifically note is the</li> <li>8 statistical likelihood which, by definition, is more likely</li> <li>9 than not.</li> <li>10 Now, while we presented evidence on a nine year</li> <li>11 reduction, I'm not going to talk to you about it because at</li> <li>12 the end of the day, we're willing to accept, if you accept</li> <li>13 what the two experts said and the fact that it might</li> <li>14 actually be statistically shorter based upon other</li> <li>15 information that's available. That's for another day.</li> <li>16 You don't have to remember these numbers. These</li> <li>17 boards are in evidence so you can have them when you go into</li> <li>18 deliberate.</li> <li>19 Now we come to the life care plan, the future needs</li> <li>20 for Mr. Perez.</li> <li>21 Live Nation is responsible to take care of the fair</li> <li>22 and reasonable and justly determined future medical needs of</li> <li>23 this young man. It's not disputed, never has been and never</li> </ul>
Summation - Mr. O'HaraPage 1710Summation - Mr. O'HaraPage 17121 relates to the life care plan and it's not an easy thing to 2 talk about, and I have thought a lot about how to do this 3 knowing the Perez family is standing there and it's not easy 4 to do.1 we believe to be, based on the review of Dr. Ambrose, a 2 reasonable future life care plan.3 knowing the Perez family is standing there and it's not intended to say I 7 guarantee you this is when you're going to die. It's not. 8 But the fact is your job is to weigh the believable 9 and credible evidence and do what you think is right and in 10 this case, the plaintiff presented evidence in their case by 11 Dr. Ambrose that Mr. Perez has a statistically reduced life 12 expectancy excuse me, Dr. Greenwald and Dr. Ambrose 13 agreed.Summation - Mr. O'HaraPage 171210Wh exit to fact is your job is to weigh the believable 9 and credible evidence and do what you think is right and in 10 this case, the plaintiff presented evidence in their case by 11 Dr. Ambrose that Mr. Perez has a statistically reduced life 12 expectancy excuse me, Dr. Greenwald and Dr. Ambrose, if you don't want to accept the uncontroverted medical testimony of both Dr. 13 Greenwald and Dr. Ambrose, if you don't want to accept that 14 Gon't know that I'm going to say that that's a reasonable 20 thing to do, but that's your prerogative. 21Summation - Mr. O'HaraPage 171221If you give him a full life expectancy and the 24 worked a full life and lived a full life, the total economicsSummation - Mr. O'HaraPage 171223The whole purpose was to get somebody in here that 24 had the ability not only to talk about it, but have a		<b>25</b> We have presented to you through Kim Kushner what
<ul> <li>1 relates to the life care plan and it's not an easy thing to 2 talk about, and I have thought a lot about how to do this 3 knowing the Perez family is standing there and it's not easy 4 to do.</li> <li>1 we believe to be, based on the review of Dr. Ambrose, a</li> <li>2 reasonable future life care plan.</li> <li>3 Dr. Ambrose outlined all the items she believes he</li> <li>4 needs including a good percentage of things that he's not</li> <li>5 The life expectancy tables that we all are subject</li> <li>6 to are simply statistics, and it's not intended to say I</li> <li>7 guarantee you this is when you're going to die. It's not.</li> <li>8 But the fact is your job is to weigh the believable</li> <li>9 and credible evidence and do what you think is right and in</li> <li>10 this case, the plaintiff presented evidence in their case by</li> <li>11 Dr. Ambrose that Mr. Perez has a statistically reduced life</li> <li>12 expectancy excuse me, Dr. Greenwald and Dr. Ambrose</li> <li>13 greed.</li> <li>14 So, what did we do economically?</li> <li>15 We said, look, if he has a full life expectancy,</li> <li>16 because for whatever reason you decide you don't want to accept tha,</li> <li>17 accept the uncontroverted medical testimony of both Dr.</li> <li>18 Greenwald and Dr. Ambrose, if you don't want to accept that,</li> <li>19 I don't know that I'm going to say that that's a reasonable</li> <li>20 thing to do, but that's your prerogative.</li> <li>21 If you give him a full life expectancy and the</li> <li>22 total economics including the value of the loss of the</li> <li>23 Social Security benefits that he would have earned had he</li> <li>24 worked a full life and lived a full life, the total economics</li> </ul>	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
<ul> <li>2 talk about, and I have thought a lot about how to do this</li> <li>3 knowing the Perez family is standing there and it's not easy</li> <li>4 to do.</li> <li>5 The life expectancy tables that we all are subject</li> <li>6 to are simply statistics, and it's not intended to say I</li> <li>7 guarantee you this is when you're going to die. It's not.</li> <li>8 But the fact is your job is to weigh the believable</li> <li>9 and credible evidence and do what you think is right and in</li> <li>10 this case, the plaintiff presented evidence in their case by</li> <li>11 Dr. Ambrose that Mr. Perez has a statistically reduced life</li> <li>12 expectancy excuse me, Dr. Greenwald and Dr. Ambrose</li> <li>13 agreed.</li> <li>14 So, what did we do economically?</li> <li>15 We said, look, if he has a full life expectancy, if you don't want to accept the uncontroverted medical testimony of both Dr.</li> <li>18 Greenwald and Dr. Ambrose, if you don't want to accept thing to do, but that's your prerogative.</li> <li>21 I fyou give him a full life expectancy and the</li> <li>22 total economics including the value of the loss of the</li> <li>23 Social Security benefits that he would have earned had he</li> <li>24 worked a full life and lived a full life, the total economic</li> </ul>		
LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	<ul> <li>2 talk about, and I have thought a lot about how to do this</li> <li>3 knowing the Perez family is standing there and it's not easy</li> <li>4 to do.</li> <li>5 The life expectancy tables that we all are subject</li> <li>6 to are simply statistics, and it's not intended to say I</li> <li>7 guarantee you this is when you're going to die. It's not.</li> <li>8 But the fact is your job is to weigh the believable</li> <li>9 and credible evidence and do what you think is right and in</li> <li>10 this case, the plaintiff presented evidence in their case by</li> <li>11 Dr. Ambrose that Mr. Perez has a statistically reduced life</li> <li>12 expectancy excuse me, Dr. Greenwald and Dr. Ambrose</li> <li>13 agreed.</li> <li>14 So, what did we do economically?</li> <li>15 We said, look, if he has a full life expectancy,</li> <li>16 because for whatever reason you decide you don't want to</li> <li>17 accept the uncontroverted medical testimony of both Dr.</li> <li>18 Greenwald and Dr. Ambrose, if you don't want to accept that,</li> <li>19 I don't know that I'm going to say that that's a reasonable</li> <li>20 thing to do, but that's your prerogative.</li> <li>21 If you give him a full life expectancy and the</li> <li>22 total economics including the value of the loss of the</li> <li>23 Social Security benefits that he would have earned had he</li> <li>24 worked a full life and lived a full life, the total economic</li> <li>25 loss, past and future for Mr. Perez is \$1,657,643.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 reasonable future life care plan.</li> <li>3 Dr. Ambrose outlined all the items she believes he</li> <li>4 needs including a good percentage of things that he's not</li> <li>5 getting now.</li> <li>6 So, we're actually presenting to you evidence of</li> <li>7 better care and treatment of more comprehensive support for</li> <li>8 him than his own treating physicians have been providing for</li> <li>9 him for the last six and a half years.</li> <li>10 Why? We told you and I told you at the beginning I</li> <li>11 was not going to break my pact with you and I was not going</li> <li>12 to ask an expert to say, no, no treaters aren't suggesting</li> <li>13 he needs this level of therapeutic support. We don't have</li> <li>14 to agree to that because he's not getting it. No. It's</li> <li>15 fair, reasonable and frankly he should have. He should</li> <li>16 have.</li> <li>17 So, where are the differences based upon and</li> <li>18 keeping in mind, right, Kim Kushner has a bachelor and a</li> <li>19 master of science in nursing. She's a certified registered</li> <li>20 nurse practitioner and certified night nurse, life care</li> <li>21 planner. She can actually not only talk about it, she can</li> <li>22 do it.</li> <li>23 The whole purpose was to get somebody in here that</li> <li>24 had the ability not only to talk about it, but have a</li> <li>25 foundation that you're comfortable is fair.</li> </ul>

	ez v. e Nation		December 5, 2019
Su	nmation - Mr. O'Hara Page 1713	Sum	nmation - Mr. O'Hara Page 1715
5 66 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Some of this has changed, which is why when the plaintiff stands up, you're going to see numbers that are different, okay. Plaintiff's numbers have changed. Why? This is really important. So, during the course of this case, you've heard about the different people who write reports at different times and how important is it to be accurate and focused on detail, because you're going to come before a jury one day and talk about it. And Mr. Provder wrote a report in June of 2015 and suggested that this young man needed neurosurgical consultation 48 times a year. Mr. Provder suggested that this young man needed reaurosurgical 24 times a year. Then when he wrote the report in 2018, he changed the number of craniofacial surgical consultation but then when we pushed him on it and this is important, right. People make mistakes. It's part of human nature, but here's the thing. Did he say it to you on direct examination? Did he tell you did they bring out in this case I made a mistake, it's about 3/4 of a million dollar mistake? Nope.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Does that help with going to a friend's house or family or to whatever task he decides to pursue? No. So, there will be transportation needs for a man that has an active driver's license, that has driven, by his own admission, up through 2016 and that still has an active driver's license. Not one thing about his driving privileges has been restricted by the State of New York and not one thing about his care and treatment of all of these people despite the legal obligation to report it, no one has told DMV, hey you can't drive. So, to the extent that Mr. Perez, next year or the year after or the year after, recovers and begins to regain some of the freedoms that he claims to have lost, you need to take that into consideration when you're evaluating. What do you do about transportation? We submit that what we've proposed is reasonable because the only question is whether or not we have been able to prove that he's driving right now. Right now. I'm going to tell you, can't prove it. Can't prove it. Don't know. Why? We don't watch him every day. Right. You have to take Mr. Perez at his word but there's no question that Mr. Perez had independence and has the ability to engage in normal tasks extensively and that changed.
	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER		LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Why? Because what we presented and what we challenged their proofs on resulted in them withdrawing it which is why we now have new numbers. What are the two sticking points that are the most and you heard from Kim Kushner. Some things we agree with Mr. Provder, some things we disagree with Mr. Provder. There's some things we have on our list that he doesn't and some things he has that we don't, but at the end of day, the two core points of the dispute are transportation expenses and home health aide support. The difference is astronomical in the costs for his future care. The transportation costs that have been	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	nmation - Mr. O'HaraPage 1716What does that mean? There's a fair likelihoodit's going to change again. There's a fair likelihood ashis condition evolves and as he goes through the therapieswe have outlined that we believe are in his best interestthat are consistent with the treatment and review ofmultiple doctors and he begins to regain function, he'sgoing to be able to drive. He is.What's the other item that is of significantdispute? Home care to the tune of \$127,000 a year.Now, one of the things about the testimony in thecase was about the care and treatment that he's received forthe past six and a half years.Did you hear about one medical provider at allinvolved in his care that said he needs 24-hour a dayseven-day a week care? No. No. It hasn't been providedfor six and a half years.Does he need help? We agree he needs help.Does he need somebody sitting next to him 24 hoursa day, seven days a week? How can that be reasonable whenthe man lived alone in 2016 and 2017. By his own brother'stestimony there are hours, sometimes it's a couple of hours,sometimes not.At the end of day, he's able to function alone andthe idea that he needs 12-hour a day, seven-day a week care
	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER		LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1 fl 2 u 3 tr 4 5 p: 6 m 7 rc 8	hation - Mr. O'Hara Page 1717 lies in the face of all of the care and treatment he's had p to this point. It's not prescribed by any of his reaters. So, we put forth what we believe to be a fair roposal recognizing he has been living sometimes with his nom and dad, sometimes with his brother and his mother's a egistered nurse. That is a fact. We didn't present that in terms of trying to take	Summation - Mr. O'HaraPage 17191What does that mean? What does the duty to2mitigate damages mean?3You heard when I asked Mr. Perez the question: I4asked when he went to the doctor, how you were doing and why5you were there. You give truthful information because one
2 u 3 tr 4 5 pr 6 m 7 ro 8	p to this point. It's not prescribed by any of his reaters. So, we put forth what we believe to be a fair roposal recognizing he has been living sometimes with his nom and dad, sometimes with his brother and his mother's a egistered nurse. That is a fact.	<ul> <li>2 mitigate damages mean?</li> <li>3 You heard when I asked Mr. Perez the question: I</li> <li>4 asked when he went to the doctor, how you were doing and why</li> </ul>
10       e.         11       p.         12       li         13       w         14	dvantage of it. That's fact. That's a fact. So, her xperience and her background allows for the ability to rovide some support for him in close proximity where he ves, depending on whether he's living with his parents or whether he's living with his brother. Now, in terms of assessing the believability of whether or not at present he's doing absolutely nothing, you ave to weigh the evidence that was presented on a number of opics. We talked a little bit about the Hot Yoga and Dr. oyle told you he may have hyperventilated. Hot Yoga is Hot Yoga. I'm not a Hot Yoga guy, but the fact is that is an intensive activity for which he had an adverse physical eaction. He needs to temper his activities. He's a workout guy. How do we know that? Not from what Live Nation tells you. You heard from the testimony of Dr. Lubliner that	<ul> <li>6 of the reasons you're there is you want to get better and</li> <li>7 the plaintiff can't not proceed with treatment to his</li> <li>8 detriment and then blame the defense for his inability to</li> <li>9 get better.</li> <li>10 It's a tough issue, but in this case it's</li> <li>11 uncontroverted that there's no medical reason why he hasn't</li> <li>12 had the cranioplasty. If he has the cranioplasty from the</li> <li>13 various physicians who have offered to work with his family,</li> <li>14 whether it be Dr. Schwartz, Dr. Doyle or the preeminent</li> <li>15 physicians at Johns Hopkins University, if he has the</li> <li>16 procedure not only is it going to help him physically, it's</li> <li>17 going to help him emotionally because he told you a really</li> <li>18 moving story about encountering a young person in the store</li> <li>19 and the kid saying something about the way he looked and the</li> <li>20 kid said something about wearing a helmet and it made him</li> <li>21 feel bad and that is completely reasonable.</li> <li>22 I don't know if I would be able walk around society</li> <li>23 wearing a helmet, but in this case all the doctors who</li> <li>24 treated him, the doctors reviewing his case in this</li> <li>25 litigation on behalf of plaintiff and the doctors on behalf</li> </ul>
	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
Summ	nation - Mr. O'Hara Page 1718	Summation - Mr. O'Hara Page 1720
2 N 3 4 p 5 6 H 7 D 8 1 9 10 v 11 w 12 c 13 u 14 w 15 y 16 m 17 18 tc 19 tc 20 w 21 P 22 23 ir	n October 20, 2015, he weighed 161 pounds. On lovember 15th strike that. On September 15, 2016, he weighed 171 pounds. He ut on ten pounds. Then on January 18, 2018, he weighed 173 pounds. Ie added another two pounds, and by the time he saw or. Lubliner, his workout regime allowed for him to get to 95 pounds. Now, you know from his history and you know by the ery testimony in the pictures that were put up, he likes to vorkout. It's laudable. It's a nice thing, but that is onsistent with someone that goes into a gym that inderstands physicality and understands what muscles are and that needs to be done in terms of exercise regimen to keep our body in good fitness and to make a determination on how hany sets, how many reps, what machine I'm going to use. Am I go to go use free weights to move from place o place to place to determine what body part you're going o work on. He was doing it every day and as of the time he vas seeing Dr. Lubliner he was down to three days a week. Pretty good exercise regimen. Now, you'll hear from the Judge as part of the jury instruction that one of the things that the defense has the urden of proving is the duty to mitigate one's damages, kay.	<ul> <li>1 of defense all said the same thing, he needs that surgery.</li> <li>2 He needs it and in the meantime he needs to take protections</li> <li>3 to take care of himself; and, along the way, if there are</li> <li>4 things that he can do to improve his condition, he's</li> <li>5 supposed to go and do them.</li> <li>6 What do we know about the psychotherapy that was</li> <li>7 prescribed by Dr. Fayer.</li> <li>8 Dr. Fayer came before you and said he needs it once</li> <li>9 a week. Once a week since the first time he saw him in</li> <li>10 January of 2018. It's almost January of 2020. We're</li> <li>11 literally two years and has he been doing what Dr. Fayer,</li> <li>12 who is now the treating physician responsible for him, told</li> <li>13 him?</li> <li>14 Has he been doing it? Nope. What's the reason?</li> <li>15 255 miles, two hours to drive to New York City. Yet</li> <li>16 Dr. Fayer had no choice but to concede there are multiple</li> <li>17 psychotherapist is between Islip and New York City that at a</li> <li>18 minimum he could consider going to to try and take steps to</li> <li>19 make himself feel a little bit better. He hasn't done any</li> <li>20 of that.</li> <li>21 In evaluating what I think is one of the most</li> <li>22 sensitive issues in this case, really important, the life</li> <li>23 expectancy issue and how it affects future life care needs.</li> <li>24 It's impossible not to feel empathy and compassion</li> <li>25 for this family. They are a good family. There's no better</li> </ul>

	ez v. e Nation	December 5,	2019
	nmation - Mr. O'Hara Page 1721	Summation - Mr. O'Hara Page	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	example of that then the way they've rallied around each other to try and stay close to their brother and that's really, really nice; and, as much as we all have that feeling of I want to do something right by him, the Judge is going to tell you, and we've said this at the outset, and you promised you would do this: In reaching your verdict, you are not to be affected by any outside consideration. That includes sympathy. Why? How can you be fair, just and objective if you're heart drives you? How can you do that? This is about weighing the credible evidence and determining what is objectively reasonable based upon all of the evidence that you decide is credible and so from our perspective, from Live Nation's perspective, we'll accept what the plaintiff's expert said about a 6.7 years life expectancy reduction statistically because that's more likely than not. And if you decide that for whatever reason you're not comfortable with that conclusion, even though that's the only evidence you have in front of you, we've also given you what we've outlined as the fair and reasonable and objectively just a life care plan that we believe you should	<ul> <li>Pain and suffering: There's no formula, no mage</li> <li>What something feels to one person is unique to them.</li> <li>the toughest job you have.</li> <li>What's the conscious pain and suffering awae</li> <li>past, present, and future worth?</li> <li>You heard during voir dire there's going to be</li> <li>number that's coming from the plaintiff. I don't know we</li> <li>it is either. I guarantee you it's going to take your</li> <li>breathe away. I guarantee you.</li> <li>What does Live Nation believe is fair?</li> <li>If you award the life care plan that we've</li> <li>outlined, if you award the full value of the economic 1</li> <li>we've outlined taking into consideration reasonable</li> <li>consumption costs that we all have to incur:</li> <li>You have to wear clothes. You have to put gat</li> <li>your car. There's things we all have to do. You don't</li> <li>get a gross amount of money.</li> <li>If you take that into consideration, we've tal</li> <li>care of every life need that is reasonably anticipated</li> <li>this young man based upon the testimony that was present</li> <li>by experts beyond reproach.</li> <li>So, what would I suggest that you do for consci</li> <li>pain and suffering? Well, what if that's not enough</li> </ul>	gic. It's ard, be a what r loss ole is in just ken for nted ious gh?
24 25	award to Mr. Perez, well beyond what he's doing presently. Well beyond what he's doing presently but he	<ul><li>24 Double it. Match it. Give him \$5 million for the consci</li><li>25 pain and suffering so that to the extent there's anyth</li></ul>	
	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
Sur	nmation - Mr. O'Hara Page 1722	Summation - Mr. O'Hara Page	1724
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	deserves it. He deserves it and Live Nation is committed as much now as it was when I first met you. You do the right thing by that young man; and, the fact that he may not have gotten it up to this point, if you believe he's going to do it, fund it by the way that you structure the verdict that you render. So, that brings me to a discussion of how Live Nation believes you should calculate what the economic loss is separate from pain and suffering because you'll hear the verdict form allows for pain and suffering consideration and when you add up the economic loss, and the life care plan for Mr. Perez, assuming a 6.7 year reduction, it comes to \$4,766,000. If you do the same addition, without any change in his life expectancy, based upon the evidence we presented, it comes to \$5.857 million, okay. So, if you decide that it is reasonable, based on the decrease in his life expectancy, to award the plan that takes that into consideration, we would agree with you that that's what the evidence shows, but if you decide to do something different and for purposes of my discussion, I figured it would make it easier. If you take the full numbers between full life expectancy and decreased, the average is \$5,017,000. If you believe that's fair, give it to him. Give it to him.	<ul> <li>unanticipated in here, there's money available to him</li> <li>provide for and it is an amount of money that if you is</li> <li>those two together is \$10 million plus.</li> <li>We're responsible for the past medical expenses</li> <li>I submit to you, Ladies and Gentlemen, I don't say to</li> <li>easily. It's not easy to stand up here and say what of</li> <li>think this is worth, but, you know, based upon the way with a dire went, you're going to get a number, and I guarantee</li> <li>it ain't going to be what I just said. Guarantee you, with is why we're here.</li> <li>So, in conclusion, thank you, so much. Thank you for taking the time out of your life. You didn't have for taking process. You did it. You have things in you life to work around and you did it.</li> <li>It's an honor to be part of a system where them people like you who understand how important this is, I important what you're about to do is. Be fair, be just promised.</li> <li>Stay right here. Stay right here until you get into that room because I'm not going to have a chance to anything after I sit down.</li> <li>On behalf of Live Nation, it's been a privileget speak with you.</li> </ul>	had and that do I voir you hich you e to. gh a your re's how and you et say
	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	

December 5, 2019
Summation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1727
<ol> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: But let me say to you that at the</li> <li>beginning of this trial, we heard certain comments about</li> <li>subjective and objective, and I don't know where that went.</li> <li>You know, it sort of got lost somewhere. I thought that</li> <li>that's what the defense was talking about, subjective,</li> <li>objective.</li> <li>I guess we learned during the trial that subjective</li> <li>complaints are very important. All the objective evidence</li> <li>in this case comes from the plaintiffs. Nobody wants to</li> <li>talk about, from Worldwide Live Nation, Live Nation</li> <li>Worldwide, about really what happened to this young man. To</li> <li>me, he is a boy. But this young man. And I'm going to show</li> <li>you what happened to him, and I'm going to have you recall</li> <li>the testimony in this case, and how absolutely devastated</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>15 this young man was, and how it's an absolute miracle that he</li> <li>16 is walking and talking. I think that one of the most</li> <li>17 powerful things that you will recall is what he told you,</li> <li>18 and I'm going to bring some of that to you, also, and I</li> <li>19 appreciate the patience while I do that.</li> <li>20 I think you know that Mark Perez is not easily</li> <li>21 deterred, or he would be dead. I'm not easily deterred,</li> <li>22 either. I think you know that. So let's talk about this</li> <li>23 case.</li> <li>24 (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>25 MR. B. MORELLI: There was a big argument in the</li> <li>LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Summation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli)</li> <li>Page 1728</li> <li>1 office about who was going to get billing, whether we were</li> <li>2 going to do it in alphabetical order or not, so don't think</li> <li>3 that one person is more important than the other. But</li> <li>4 that's my legal team.</li> <li>5 (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>6 MR. B. MORELLI: Now, this is before. This is</li> <li>7 before, and that's what Mark Perez looked like before the</li> <li>8 accident. That's him after. Now, I know that, you know, it</li> <li>9 has actually been beaten to death, people say a picture is</li> <li>10 worth a thousand words, and this is very telling, because</li> <li>11 that doesn't look like the person he was, because it's not.</li> <li>12 It's just not.</li> <li>13 When I talk about before, I talk about a bright</li> <li>14 young man. And this is testimony in the case, not from</li> <li>15 Morelli. Fearless, adventurous. Because we know what he</li> <li>16 was doing. He was trying to get his pilot's license. He</li> <li>17 was flying a small plane. I wouldn't be in that plane, but</li> <li>18 that's what he likes. He was ambitious. He was a leader.</li> <li>19 You heard his brother. Talented. An entrepreneur. He had</li> <li>20 started his own business. And understand that all of this</li> <li>21 that he was doing, he was still just turned 30 years old.</li> <li>22 He was about six months into his 30th year when this</li> <li>23 accident happened, in June of 2013. He was, more</li> <li>24 importantly, independent. He had a total zest for life.</li> </ul>

	December 5, 2019
Summation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1729	Summation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1731
<ul> <li>Now, I couldn't tell you that. His brother Justin</li> <li>told you that. You judge the credibility of the witnesses.</li> <li>You judge. Witnesses are not judged by how many degrees</li> <li>they have. Somebody can be a laborer and be credible, and</li> <li>someone could have five degrees from Harvard and be</li> <li>incredible. That's up to you. That's not up to the</li> <li>degrees. So I say to Justin Perez, everybody would love to</li> <li>have a brother like that. Told you straight.</li> <li>And what about after? Shattered. Now, you heard</li> <li>that from a number of people, and we are going to get into</li> <li>it. Trapped in a mind that has been altered. That's what</li> <li>the case is about. That's one of the things that this case</li> <li>is about. Trapped in a mind that has been altered.</li> <li>Diminished. No longer optimistic, but instead, defeated.</li> <li>And I'm going to bring you the words, specifically, of his</li> <li>brother who talks about that and tells you what he thinks</li> <li>about? He is worried about? What is he worried</li> <li>about? He is worried about everything. He worried about,</li> <li>can I ever get at least somewhat better? Can I ever get</li> <li>somewhat better? He is worried about the future. Will I</li> <li>meet somebody? And will they be able to stay with me? Will</li> <li>they stay with me, in my diminished state? He is depressed.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 testifying. It's not only what you say, its how you say it.</li> <li>2 We look at people all the time in our everyday life and we</li> <li>3 say, I didn't believe him. I didn't believe him. Why do we</li> <li>4 say that? It's not only because maybe what they said was</li> <li>5 not credible, but the way they say it has to be also</li> <li>6 something that we judge, and we do it every day. That's</li> <li>7 what we call our God-given common sense. That's our</li> <li>8 instinct.</li> <li>9 (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>10 MR. B. MORELLI: I say to you that there's no</li> <li>11 defense to this case. It's a non defense from Live Nation</li> <li>12 Worldwide, who we have now heard is being magnanimous. Give</li> <li>13 him more. Treat him even better. That's a nice family.</li> <li>14 You know? I'm not going to call him names. Really?</li> <li>15 You could call a duck a dog, but it doesn't make it</li> <li>16 a dog. So you could use whatever words you want, but you</li> <li>17 are still saying what you are saying. And I'm going talk</li> <li>18 about that.</li> <li>19 Sowing the seeds of doubt. The defendants have no</li> <li>20 evidence that Mark Perez is not severely injured or severely</li> <li>21 impaired. No evidence. And there has been no evidence in</li> <li>22 this case. It has all been throwing things out there, and</li> <li>23 seeing if you hold onto them, whether or not it came from</li> <li>24 the testimony. Doesn't matter. And I'll show you</li> </ul>
<ul><li>25 is now dependent, and that drives him crazy. It's so</li></ul>	<b>25</b> specifically what I mean. This isn't just about me. It's
LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER
Summation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1730	Summation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1732
<ol> <li>upsetting to him.</li> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: So, I made this slide, The look of</li> <li>no, and I got that term from his brother, Justin, who</li> <li>described to you in his testimony when and what he saw with</li> <li>reference to his brother. That's Justin Perez.</li> <li>"After the first seizure, Mark had a look of loss</li> <li>and defeat on his face."</li> <li>That's his testimony. That's the exact testimony</li> <li>in the case, and he said:         <ul> <li>"It is a look of loss and defeat, and the one thing</li> <li>about my brother that I always loved was that he was not</li> <li>someone that would ever give up. He was just a tremendously</li> <li>liked individual, and just, you know, wouldn't take no in</li> <li>anything in his life. And that day, that was the look of</li> <li>no."</li> <li>Very telling. Very hard to even describe that,</li> <li>solet's talk a little bit about the defense of</li> <li>Live Nation Worldwide and what their lawyers were</li> <li>promulgating in this case, and I know that, you know, it's</li> <li>easy, after you hear all of the testimony in the case, and</li> <li>you are watch all the witnesses, and you're judging the</li> <li>witnesses, are they telling you straight, because it's very</li> <li>important for you to look at the witnesses, see how they're</li> <li>LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	<ul> <li>about the testimony in the case. The defendants are only</li> <li>here to sow the seeds of doubt. That's what this is all</li> <li>about. If we put some doubt in your mind, this is what the</li> <li>lawyers are thinking, the lawyers for Live Nation Worldwide.</li> <li>This is what they are thinking: We are going to sow the</li> <li>seeds of doubt. Now, why would you do that? Why would you</li> <li>do that? If you say to a jury, I'm not going to call this</li> <li>young man names, this is a nice family, then what's the</li> <li>reason for all of this? What's the reason for all of it?</li> <li>It's to sow the seeds the doubt.</li> <li>It's actually to, what I say, distract, distort and</li> <li>confuse, and that's their job in this case. That's what</li> <li>they figured out. They said, Hey, all of this evidence is</li> <li>against us; okay? Mark Perez is really injured. Luckily,</li> <li>he is walking and talking. Luckily. God said that was</li> <li>okay. Not the lawyers for the Live Nation Worldwide</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Objection.</li> <li>THE COURT: Sustained.</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: that's for sure.</li> <li>THE COURT: Sustained.</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: So, yes. It's very magnanimous of</li> <li>you.</li> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: And what kind of talk is this?</li> <li>You know, we have a situation I'm going talk about Mark</li> <li>LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER</li> </ul>

Liv	e Nation		December 5, 201
Sur	nmation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1733	Sur	nmation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1735
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	before and after the accident, but what about what did Justin Perez say? He was just physically and mentally super capable, and that's a photo of him when he was a DJ, when he was a very young man. And look, you know, you don't have to be a college graduate to be someone who is magnetic. Someone who people like to be around. Someone who makes people feel good. There are a number of people who have dropped out of college, who make a lot of money and have been unbelievably successful in their life. But what the defense wants to do here is pigeon hole this young man at 33,000 a year for the rest of his life. Keep that in your mind while I talk to you about the real evidence in this case. On almost every avenue, in work, social life, growing up, he was essentially like my older brother. And actually, he is the younger brother. But because of the way he was, and because of the way people liked him, and because he was such a leader, and because he had this personality, he was very, very social. Excellent at connecting with people. He had a magnetism, and you can't teach that. You can't learn that. But what they want you to believe is not any of this. They did want you to believe he's a drop out. He was physically built for athletic purposes. He was a gymnast, a break dancer, a wrestler, ice hockey	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	And luckily for them, the mother is an RN, and you heard that when he had this raging infection, she had to put a PICC line in his arm for 12 weeks to give him antibiotics three, four times a day. So maybe his brother, to save some money for Live Nation Worldwide, because they want to take responsibility for it you know, we are going to take responsibility for it. But only certain things. So let's go to what this case is really about from the, what I call the get go. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: This is from the scene of the accident. This is what Mark Perez remembers from the scene of the accident. I was knocked off of the truss and I fell down. After being forced off, I hit the ground head first, and I remember blood and things coming out of my mouth and ears, and I tried to put the my hands in it, and put it back in my head, and I knew this was how I was going to die. That's what I thought. Well, you didn't hear a whole lot about that in the case from anybody but Mark, because there's nobody who witnessed that happening. But when we talk about conscious pain and suffering in this case and I'm going to be talking about conscious pain and suffering in this case,
	player. That's who he was. Did you hear any testimony in		because there is no formula for that, there's nobody with
	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER		LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER
Sur	nmation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1734	Sur	nmation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1736
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	this case that he does all these thing now? That he can do them? We are not making this case anything it isn't. It was Mark Perez who said, I go to the gym. They didn't bring it out. If he was faking, people, he didn't have to tell him that. It was Mark Perez who said, I tried driving. They did didn't figure that out. He told these people, who came in and want you to believe that he is trying to foo- them. Why would you do that? It's inconsistent. It makes no sense. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: What were Mark's goals in life? And we have to turn to Justin again for that, because he is the one who knows him. He grew up with him. They were tight when they were younger, and they are even tighter now, because he needs his brother to take care of him. Now, does Live Nation Worldwide want to pay for taking care of this young man 24-7? No. Let his family do it. They are doing good. They are doing good at it. Let them keep doing it, so we get a discount. We don't have to pay for it, if the family is so good at it. But unfortunately, the mother and the father are both 70 years old. Now, that doesn't mean that they are going to die soon. Hopefully, you know, but it ain't forever, so yeah, they'll be getting a discount. For six and a half years	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	was going to die. That's the terror that he felt at the time. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: What happened to him? He was
25	while we are waiting for this case. Yeah.	25	admitted to Nassau University Medical Center, and you know
	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER		LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER

Min-U-Script®

Liv	e Nation	December 5, 2019
Sur	nmation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1737	Summation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1739
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the date of the accident: June 26th. So let's talk about what happened there. Admitted to the surgical intensive care unit. Had a traumatic brain injury. A fractured skull. Multiple facial fractures. This is from the hospital records. Subdural hematoma, which is a brain bleed. Multiple hemorrhages. Seven fractured ribs. Spinal fractures. Punctured, collapsed lung. They had to then, as a result of all of this, they had to put Mark in medically-induced coma, and they did that to save his life. That's what had to be done. Then they had to do an emergency craniectomy, and they had to cut his head open, and they had to take off a piece of his skull, as you have heard, and we'll get into it in more detail. They then had to have life support, so they had to put him on a ventilator so that he could keep breathing and live. And there he is in the hospital. With a feeding tube. A chest tube. A trach tube. You don't hear much about that. We hear a heck of a lot about malingering, which I'm going to talk about. See him lying there? That's your malingerer, right there. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: So Mark in the hospital, Justin hears Mark say, Dad, I think I'm dying. Justin says, one of the main neurosurgeons there, he said, I will be straight with you. He has about a ten percent chance of pulling	<ul> <li>this had not been done, Mark would have died. So his</li> <li>life-saving surgery, involving opening up the side of his</li> <li>head with a big skin incision and removing a large piece of</li> <li>bone, and they did that because the pressure had built up in</li> <li>his head at such a great degree that if it kept going, he</li> <li>would die, so they had to alleviate the pressure by cutting</li> <li>his head open, taking off that piece of skull, so that the</li> <li>brain had more room.</li> <li>So the best thing to do, he says, is actually take</li> <li>the bone off, and this operation, they stored it in his</li> <li>abdomen, because it's sterile there, and at some point they</li> <li>put it back, or they would attempt to put it back. That</li> <li>didn't work out so good.</li> <li>Now, you are dealing with surgeons. You, know</li> <li>brain surgeons especially, which Dr. Schwartz is, they speak</li> <li>in a certain way, because they see things we don't see.</li> <li>They see it. They see things that we don't want to see,</li> <li>probably. And so when he says in this operation they stored</li> <li>it in his abdomen, it's not like he was like carrying around</li> <li>a pouch. It's not like, Where do you store away these</li> <li>winter clothes? All right, well, let's put them in the</li> <li>second closet; you know? This wasn't any storing going on.</li> <li>They cut open his abdomen. Another surgery. They put the</li> <li>skull in there. They sewed him up, and then when they</li> </ul>
	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER
Sur	nmation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1738	Summation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1740
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	through the night. That was the extent of the injuries that only the person with these incredible credentials, Dr. Barr, the only person in the whole case who said it was a mild traumatic brain injury, just from reading records. And in a little while I'll show you, on my cross examination, what he said. The open one. And this, you should believe, and make a verdict on. What did Mark Perez say about being in the hospital? I was in a lot of pain, and I think if it wasn't for my family being there, I wouldn't be here, and I thank God for them, because I couldn't speak and tell people how I felt at all, and that's because he had a breathing tube in his throat. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: Dr. Schwartz came in, and what I did for all of you, because it's been a long trial, I brought you photographs of all of the expert witnesses in the case so that you would have an opportunity to remember who they were when they testified. So that was Dr. Schwartz, and he came in and he explained about the first surgery and he said, when they did this craniectomy, it had to be done, because what had developed was a very large collection of blood on the right side of the brain call a subdural hematoma, and he said that basically, if	<ul> <li>they had to open him up again and do another surgery.</li> <li>And these surgeries are done under general</li> <li>anesthesia. This isn't like, Oh yeah, let's have another</li> <li>surgery; you know? And brain surgeries, nonetheless, we are</li> <li>talking about.</li> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: It's not you know, I was</li> <li>thinking about, that it sounds, like, so easy. You know,</li> <li>there's no reason why Mark shouldn't go have a fifth</li> <li>surgery. There's no reason. It's like it's like, you</li> <li>know, if you were It's easy. It's like going to the</li> <li>deli; you know? You give me a pastrami on rye. You give me</li> <li>a pastrami, the worst thing that's going to happen to you,</li> <li>you get a little mustard on you, or maybe you get a little</li> <li>indigestion. This is brain surgery, and you are talking</li> <li>about it like, Oh, yeah. He refused to go to the store.</li> <li>And he has a family that went all the way to</li> <li>Maryland to Johns Hopkins to find out about this surgery,</li> <li>and they told him we might have to do it in two or three</li> <li>different surgeries. They did that before they came to</li> <li>court. Months before. And that's how he wound up at</li> <li>Dr. Schwartz. Yeah, I wanted Dr. Schwartz to testify in</li> <li>this case. Yeah, I thought he was qualified. Yes, we</li> </ul>
	······································	r in the internet of the four do but in the

LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER

LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER

	e Ination emotion hy Digintiff (D. Marolli) Dago 1741		December 5, 2013
Sun	nmation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1741		Page 1743
1	condition, because you need to know.		interpret it the way that you did, Mr. O'Hara. I really
2	(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)	2	didn't, and because he did not I didn't interpret that as
3	MR. B. MORELLI: And so Southside Hospital, and		any sort of blame for the defendants. There was nothing
4	this is, as you remember from my opening statement, that's		that was accompanying that, that the defendant's were using
	Mark. That's what he looked like when he is wearing the		dilatory tactics, or anything else.
	helmet, and that's him trying to get better. Admitted to	6	But, in any event, I think that the issue has now
	the brain injury unit, comprehensive rehab. And by the way,	7	passed.
	doing physical therapy, doing occupational therapy, speech	8	MR. HAWORTH: Thank you.
	therapy, recreational therapy, neuropsychology.	9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	And all of this, by the way, is part of the	10	(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
11	conscious pain and suffering for the past. This all	11	* * * * *
	happened already. This isn't fun and games, this is hard.	12	(Continued on the next page.)
13	(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)	13	
14	MR. B. MORELLI: Physical therapy for traumatic	14	
15	brain injury. He wasn't finished. He then went to	15	
	St. Charles rehab for balance, coordination, neuromuscular	16	
	re-education. He had to learn how to do things. His brain	17	
	was damaged; okay? The circuitry wasn't working right. And	18	
	improving his hand strength and dexterity for activities of	19	
	daily living. That's the reason that he's able to get	20	
	dressed by himself. Speech therapy. To control his	21	
	breathing. Finding words. Rate of speech.	22	
23	I think it's a good time, Judge.	23	
24	THE COURT: Okay.	24	
25	Mr. Morelli is he not going to finish in one	25	
	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER		LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER
Sun	nmation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1742	Sun	nmation - Mr. Morelli Page 1744
	nmation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1742 sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I	Sun 1	nmation - Mr. Morelli Page 1744 (Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.)
1	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break.		Ŭ
1	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I	1 2 3	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do
1 2	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the prospective jurors was excused from	1 2 3 4	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do these things now. These are all this is all of the
1 2 3	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the prospective jurors was excused from the courtroom.)	1 2 3 4 5	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do these things now. These are all this is all of the places that there were fractures of the eye socket and
1 2 3 4	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the prospective jurors was excused from	1 2 3 4 5 6	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do these things now. These are all this is all of the places that there were fractures of the eye socket and around his skull. That's the way his face was fractured
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the prospective jurors was excused from the courtroom.) MR. HAWORTH: There was no objection, but it is an absolutely improper comment that, We have been waiting 6.6,	1 2 3 4 5 6	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do these things now. These are all this is all of the places that there were fractures of the eye socket and around his skull. That's the way his face was fractured when it fell to the ground.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the prospective jurors was excused from the courtroom.) MR. HAWORTH: There was no objection, but it is an absolutely improper comment that, We have been waiting 6.6, six and a half years for this case. Mr. Morelli knows it's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do these things now. These are all this is all of the places that there were fractures of the eye socket and around his skull. That's the way his face was fractured when it fell to the ground. So, he had the surgery to remove the skull from his
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the prospective jurors was excused from the courtroom.) MR. HAWORTH: There was no objection, but it is an absolutely improper comment that, We have been waiting 6.6, six and a half years for this case. Mr. Morelli knows it's not appropriate to suggest that somehow some way, Live	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do these things now. These are all this is all of the places that there were fractures of the eye socket and around his skull. That's the way his face was fractured when it fell to the ground. So, he had the surgery to remove the skull from his abdomen and they describe it there.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the prospective jurors was excused from the courtroom.) MR. HAWORTH: There was no objection, but it is an absolutely improper comment that, We have been waiting 6.6, six and a half years for this case. Mr. Morelli knows it's not appropriate to suggest that somehow some way, Live Nation is responsible for that. The time that a case takes	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do these things now. These are all this is all of the places that there were fractures of the eye socket and around his skull. That's the way his face was fractured when it fell to the ground. So, he had the surgery to remove the skull from his abdomen and they describe it there. The patient is a 30-year-old male previously with a
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the prospective jurors was excused from the courtroom.) MR. HAWORTH: There was no objection, but it is an absolutely improper comment that, We have been waiting 6.6, six and a half years for this case. Mr. Morelli knows it's not appropriate to suggest that somehow some way, Live Nation is responsible for that. The time that a case takes to get from incident to trial cannot be considered against	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do these things now. These are all this is all of the places that there were fractures of the eye socket and around his skull. That's the way his face was fractured when it fell to the ground. So, he had the surgery to remove the skull from his abdomen and they describe it there. The patient is a 30-year-old male previously with a decompressive craniectomy at Nassau University, by myself,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the prospective jurors was excused from the courtroom.) MR. HAWORTH: There was no objection, but it is an absolutely improper comment that, We have been waiting 6.6, six and a half years for this case. Mr. Morelli knows it's not appropriate to suggest that somehow some way, Live Nation is responsible for that. The time that a case takes to get from incident to trial cannot be considered against anyone, and that is not appropriate to say. I did not	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do these things now. These are all this is all of the places that there were fractures of the eye socket and around his skull. That's the way his face was fractured when it fell to the ground. So, he had the surgery to remove the skull from his abdomen and they describe it there. The patient is a 30-year-old male previously with a decompressive craniectomy at Nassau University, by myself, which means it's the same surgeon.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the prospective jurors was excused from the courtroom.) MR. HAWORTH: There was no objection, but it is an absolutely improper comment that, We have been waiting 6.6, six and a half years for this case. Mr. Morelli knows it's not appropriate to suggest that somehow some way, Live Nation is responsible for that. The time that a case takes to get from incident to trial cannot be considered against anyone, and that is not appropriate to say. I did not object because I had just previously objected, but I would	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do these things now. These are all this is all of the places that there were fractures of the eye socket and around his skull. That's the way his face was fractured when it fell to the ground. So, he had the surgery to remove the skull from his abdomen and they describe it there. The patient is a 30-year-old male previously with a decompressive craniectomy at Nassau University, by myself, which means it's the same surgeon. Now, for explantation of bone of the calvaria.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the prospective jurors was excused from the courtroom.) MR. HAWORTH: There was no objection, but it is an absolutely improper comment that, We have been waiting 6.6, six and a half years for this case. Mr. Morelli knows it's not appropriate to suggest that somehow some way, Live Nation is responsible for that. The time that a case takes to get from incident to trial cannot be considered against anyone, and that is not appropriate to say. I did not object because I had just previously objected, but I would ask that the Court remind Mr. Morelli, that's not	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do these things now. These are all this is all of the places that there were fractures of the eye socket and around his skull. That's the way his face was fractured when it fell to the ground. So, he had the surgery to remove the skull from his abdomen and they describe it there. The patient is a 30-year-old male previously with a decompressive craniectomy at Nassau University, by myself, which means it's the same surgeon. Now, for explantation of bone of the calvaria. Explantation, taking it out of the abdomen.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the prospective jurors was excused from the courtroom.) MR. HAWORTH: There was no objection, but it is an absolutely improper comment that, We have been waiting 6.6, six and a half years for this case. Mr. Morelli knows it's not appropriate to suggest that somehow some way, Live Nation is responsible for that. The time that a case takes to get from incident to trial cannot be considered against anyone, and that is not appropriate to say. I did not object because I had just previously objected, but I would ask that the Court remind Mr. Morelli, that's not permissible.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do these things now. These are all this is all of the places that there were fractures of the eye socket and around his skull. That's the way his face was fractured when it fell to the ground. So, he had the surgery to remove the skull from his abdomen and they describe it there. The patient is a 30-year-old male previously with a decompressive craniectomy at Nassau University, by myself, which means it's the same surgeon. Now, for explantation of bone of the calvaria. Explantation, taking it out of the abdomen. Explanting it and then putting it on his head and
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the prospective jurors was excused from the courtroom.) MR. HAWORTH: There was no objection, but it is an absolutely improper comment that, We have been waiting 6.6, six and a half years for this case. Mr. Morelli knows it's not appropriate to suggest that somehow some way, Live Nation is responsible for that. The time that a case takes to get from incident to trial cannot be considered against anyone, and that is not appropriate to say. I did not object because I had just previously objected, but I would ask that the Court remind Mr. Morelli, that's not permissible. MR. B. MORELLI: First of all, I don't think he is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do these things now. These are all this is all of the places that there were fractures of the eye socket and around his skull. That's the way his face was fractured when it fell to the ground. So, he had the surgery to remove the skull from his abdomen and they describe it there. The patient is a 30-year-old male previously with a decompressive craniectomy at Nassau University, by myself, which means it's the same surgeon. Now, for explantation of bone of the calvaria. Explantation, taking it out of the abdomen. Explanting it and then putting it on his head and they talk about the repair and the placement of the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the prospective jurors was excused from the courtroom.) MR. HAWORTH: There was no objection, but it is an absolutely improper comment that, We have been waiting 6.6, six and a half years for this case. Mr. Morelli knows it's not appropriate to suggest that somehow some way, Live Nation is responsible for that. The time that a case takes to get from incident to trial cannot be considered against anyone, and that is not appropriate to say. I did not object because I had just previously objected, but I would ask that the Court remind Mr. Morelli, that's not permissible. MR. B. MORELLI: First of all, I don't think he is right. Second of all, you should understand, what you do	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do these things now. These are all this is all of the places that there were fractures of the eye socket and around his skull. That's the way his face was fractured when it fell to the ground. So, he had the surgery to remove the skull from his abdomen and they describe it there. The patient is a 30-year-old male previously with a decompressive craniectomy at Nassau University, by myself, which means it's the same surgeon. Now, for explantation of bone of the calvaria. Explantation, taking it out of the abdomen. Explanting it and then putting it on his head and they talk about the repair and the placement of the cranioplasty of the bone flap back onto his head.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the prospective jurors was excused from the courtroom.) MR. HAWORTH: There was no objection, but it is an absolutely improper comment that, We have been waiting 6.6, six and a half years for this case. Mr. Morelli knows it's not appropriate to suggest that somehow some way, Live Nation is responsible for that. The time that a case takes to get from incident to trial cannot be considered against anyone, and that is not appropriate to say. I did not object because I had just previously objected, but I would ask that the Court remind Mr. Morelli, that's not permissible. MR. B. MORELLI: First of all, I don't think he is right. Second of all, you should understand, what you do not know, is that during jury selection I told every one of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do these things now. These are all this is all of the places that there were fractures of the eye socket and around his skull. That's the way his face was fractured when it fell to the ground. So, he had the surgery to remove the skull from his abdomen and they describe it there. The patient is a 30-year-old male previously with a decompressive craniectomy at Nassau University, by myself, which means it's the same surgeon. Now, for explantation of bone of the calvaria. Explantation, taking it out of the abdomen. Explanting it and then putting it on his head and they talk about the repair and the placement of the cranioplasty of the bone flap back onto his head. So, it was a surgery to remove the skull from the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the prospective jurors was excused from the courtroom.) MR. HAWORTH: There was no objection, but it is an absolutely improper comment that, We have been waiting 6.6, six and a half years for this case. Mr. Morelli knows it's not appropriate to suggest that somehow some way, Live Nation is responsible for that. The time that a case takes to get from incident to trial cannot be considered against anyone, and that is not appropriate to say. I did not object because I had just previously objected, but I would ask that the Court remind Mr. Morelli, that's not permissible. MR. B. MORELLI: First of all, I don't think he is right. Second of all, you should understand, what you do not know, is that during jury selection I told every one of these jurors that they cannot even consider how long this	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do these things now. These are all this is all of the places that there were fractures of the eye socket and around his skull. That's the way his face was fractured when it fell to the ground. So, he had the surgery to remove the skull from his abdomen and they describe it there. The patient is a 30-year-old male previously with a decompressive craniectomy at Nassau University, by myself, which means it's the same surgeon. Now, for explantation of bone of the calvaria. Explantation, taking it out of the abdomen. Explanting it and then putting it on his head and they talk about the repair and the placement of the cranioplasty of the bone flap back onto his head. So, it was a surgery to remove the skull from the abdomen and a surgery to replace the bone flap back onto the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the prospective jurors was excused from the courtroom.) MR. HAWORTH: There was no objection, but it is an absolutely improper comment that, We have been waiting 6.6, six and a half years for this case. Mr. Morelli knows it's not appropriate to suggest that somehow some way, Live Nation is responsible for that. The time that a case takes to get from incident to trial cannot be considered against anyone, and that is not appropriate to say. I did not object because I had just previously objected, but I would ask that the Court remind Mr. Morelli, that's not permissible. MR. B. MORELLI: First of all, I don't think he is right. Second of all, you should understand, what you do not know, is that during jury selection I told every one of these jurors that they cannot even consider how long this case has taken, because it's no one's fault; okay? I told	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do these things now. These are all this is all of the places that there were fractures of the eye socket and around his skull. That's the way his face was fractured when it fell to the ground. So, he had the surgery to remove the skull from his abdomen and they describe it there. The patient is a 30-year-old male previously with a decompressive craniectomy at Nassau University, by myself, which means it's the same surgeon. Now, for explantation of bone of the calvaria. Explantation, taking it out of the abdomen. Explanting it and then putting it on his head and they talk about the repair and the placement of the cranioplasty of the bone flap back onto his head. So, it was a surgery to remove the skull from the abdomen and a surgery to replace the bone flap back onto the skull.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the prospective jurors was excused from the courtroom.) MR. HAWORTH: There was no objection, but it is an absolutely improper comment that, We have been waiting 6.6, six and a half years for this case. Mr. Morelli knows it's not appropriate to suggest that somehow some way, Live Nation is responsible for that. The time that a case takes to get from incident to trial cannot be considered against anyone, and that is not appropriate to say. I did not object because I had just previously objected, but I would ask that the Court remind Mr. Morelli, that's not permissible. MR. B. MORELLI: First of all, I don't think he is right. Second of all, you should understand, what you do not know, is that during jury selection I told every one of these jurors that they cannot even consider how long this case has taken, because it's no one's fault; okay? I told every one single juror that, so I don't know what he's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do these things now. These are all this is all of the places that there were fractures of the eye socket and around his skull. That's the way his face was fractured when it fell to the ground. So, he had the surgery to remove the skull from his abdomen and they describe it there. The patient is a 30-year-old male previously with a decompressive craniectomy at Nassau University, by myself, which means it's the same surgeon. Now, for explantation of bone of the calvaria. Explantation, taking it out of the abdomen. Explanting it and then putting it on his head and they talk about the repair and the placement of the cranioplasty of the bone flap back onto his head. So, it was a surgery to remove the skull from the abdomen and a surgery to replace the bone flap back onto the skull. And it talks about in that that a total of 27
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the prospective jurors was excused from the courtroom.) MR. HAWORTH: There was no objection, but it is an absolutely improper comment that, We have been waiting 6.6, six and a half years for this case. Mr. Morelli knows it's not appropriate to suggest that somehow some way, Live Nation is responsible for that. The time that a case takes to get from incident to trial cannot be considered against anyone, and that is not appropriate to say. I did not object because I had just previously objected, but I would ask that the Court remind Mr. Morelli, that's not permissible. MR. B. MORELLI: First of all, I don't think he is right. Second of all, you should understand, what you do not know, is that during jury selection I told every one of these jurors that they cannot even consider how long this case has taken, because it's no one's fault; okay? I told every one single juror that, so I don't know what he's talking about, and it wasn't intended for that purpose to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do these things now. These are all this is all of the places that there were fractures of the eye socket and around his skull. That's the way his face was fractured when it fell to the ground. So, he had the surgery to remove the skull from his abdomen and they describe it there. The patient is a 30-year-old male previously with a decompressive craniectomy at Nassau University, by myself, which means it's the same surgeon. Now, for explantation of bone of the calvaria. Explantation, taking it out of the abdomen. Explanting it and then putting it on his head and they talk about the repair and the placement of the cranioplasty of the bone flap back onto his head. So, it was a surgery to remove the skull from the abdomen and a surgery to replace the bone flap back onto the skull. And it talks about in that that a total of 27 screws were placed in his skull. This is a replica of that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the prospective jurors was excused from the courtroom.) MR. HAWORTH: There was no objection, but it is an absolutely improper comment that, We have been waiting 6.6, six and a half years for this case. Mr. Morelli knows it's not appropriate to suggest that somehow some way, Live Nation is responsible for that. The time that a case takes to get from incident to trial cannot be considered against anyone, and that is not appropriate to say. I did not object because I had just previously objected, but I would ask that the Court remind Mr. Morelli, that's not permissible. MR. B. MORELLI: First of all, I don't think he is right. Second of all, you should understand, what you do not know, is that during jury selection I told every one of these jurors that they cannot even consider how long this case has taken, because it's no one's fault; okay? I told every one single juror that, so I don't know what he's talking about, and it wasn't intended for that purpose to begin with.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do these things now. These are all this is all of the places that there were fractures of the eye socket and around his skull. That's the way his face was fractured when it fell to the ground. So, he had the surgery to remove the skull from his abdomen and they describe it there. The patient is a 30-year-old male previously with a decompressive craniectomy at Nassau University, by myself, which means it's the same surgeon. Now, for explantation of bone of the calvaria. Explantation, taking it out of the abdomen. Explanting it and then putting it on his head and they talk about the repair and the placement of the cranioplasty of the bone flap back onto his head. So, it was a surgery to remove the skull from the abdomen and a surgery to replace the bone flap back onto the skull. And it talks about in that that a total of 27 screws were placed in his skull. This is a replica of that surgery, post surgery.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the prospective jurors was excused from the courtroom.) MR. HAWORTH: There was no objection, but it is an absolutely improper comment that, We have been waiting 6.6, six and a half years for this case. Mr. Morelli knows it's not appropriate to suggest that somehow some way, Live Nation is responsible for that. The time that a case takes to get from incident to trial cannot be considered against anyone, and that is not appropriate to say. I did not object because I had just previously objected, but I would ask that the Court remind Mr. Morelli, that's not permissible. MR. B. MORELLI: First of all, I don't think he is right. Second of all, you should understand, what you do not know, is that during jury selection I told every one of these jurors that they cannot even consider how long this case has taken, because it's no one's fault; okay? I told every one single juror that, so I don't know what he's talking about, and it wasn't intended for that purpose to begin with. THE COURT: Well let me back up. A, there was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do these things now. These are all this is all of the places that there were fractures of the eye socket and around his skull. That's the way his face was fractured when it fell to the ground. So, he had the surgery to remove the skull from his abdomen and they describe it there. The patient is a 30-year-old male previously with a decompressive craniectomy at Nassau University, by myself, which means it's the same surgeon. Now, for explantation of bone of the calvaria. Explantation, taking it out of the abdomen. Explanting it and then putting it on his head and they talk about the repair and the placement of the cranioplasty of the bone flap back onto his head. So, it was a surgery to remove the skull from the abdomen and a surgery to replace the bone flap back onto the skull. And it talks about in that that a total of 27 screws were placed in his skull. This is a replica of that surgery, post surgery. So, not only were there 27 screws placed, but these
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the prospective jurors was excused from the courtroom.) MR. HAWORTH: There was no objection, but it is an absolutely improper comment that, We have been waiting 6.6, six and a half years for this case. Mr. Morelli knows it's not appropriate to suggest that somehow some way, Live Nation is responsible for that. The time that a case takes to get from incident to trial cannot be considered against anyone, and that is not appropriate to say. I did not object because I had just previously objected, but I would ask that the Court remind Mr. Morelli, that's not permissible. MR. B. MORELLI: First of all, I don't think he is right. Second of all, you should understand, what you do not know, is that during jury selection I told every one of these jurors that they cannot even consider how long this case has taken, because it's no one's fault; okay? I told every one single juror that, so I don't know what he's talking about, and it wasn't intended for that purpose to begin with.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do these things now. These are all this is all of the places that there were fractures of the eye socket and around his skull. That's the way his face was fractured when it fell to the ground. So, he had the surgery to remove the skull from his abdomen and they describe it there. The patient is a 30-year-old male previously with a decompressive craniectomy at Nassau University, by myself, which means it's the same surgeon. Now, for explantation of bone of the calvaria. Explantation, taking it out of the abdomen. Explanting it and then putting it on his head and they talk about the repair and the placement of the cranioplasty of the bone flap back onto his head. So, it was a surgery to remove the skull from the abdomen and a surgery to replace the bone flap back onto the skull. And it talks about in that that a total of 27 screws were placed in his skull. This is a replica of that surgery, post surgery.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the prospective jurors was excused from the courtroom.) MR. HAWORTH: There was no objection, but it is an absolutely improper comment that, We have been waiting 6.6, six and a half years for this case. Mr. Morelli knows it's not appropriate to suggest that somehow some way, Live Nation is responsible for that. The time that a case takes to get from incident to trial cannot be considered against anyone, and that is not appropriate to say. I did not object because I had just previously objected, but I would ask that the Court remind Mr. Morelli, that's not permissible. MR. B. MORELLI: First of all, I don't think he is right. Second of all, you should understand, what you do not know, is that during jury selection I told every one of these jurors that they cannot even consider how long this case has taken, because it's no one's fault; okay? I told every one single juror that, so I don't know what he's talking about, and it wasn't intended for that purpose to begin with. THE COURT: Well let me back up. A, there was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do these things now. These are all this is all of the places that there were fractures of the eye socket and around his skull. That's the way his face was fractured when it fell to the ground. So, he had the surgery to remove the skull from his abdomen and they describe it there. The patient is a 30-year-old male previously with a decompressive craniectomy at Nassau University, by myself, which means it's the same surgeon. Now, for explantation of bone of the calvaria. Explantation, taking it out of the abdomen. Explanting it and then putting it on his head and they talk about the repair and the placement of the cranioplasty of the bone flap back onto his head. So, it was a surgery to remove the skull from the abdomen and a surgery to replace the bone flap back onto the skull. And it talks about in that that a total of 27 screws were placed in his skull. This is a replica of that surgery, post surgery. So, not only were there 27 screws placed, but these

Sun		0	
1	mation - Mr. Morelli Page 1745	Su	mmation - Mr. Morelli Page 1747
1	so this is really what happened, and when you see the lines	1	. That's why the whole family went to Maryland. They went to
	here, you're seeing that it was segmented. It wasn't all in		Johns Hopkins, months ago, months, to see maybe they had an
	one piece. It wasn't so a piece that they took out of the		answer. He's had four brain surgeries. He wants the next
	abdomen with the surgery and then they just put it back on.		one to be the last one, if possible.
	It was complicated and that's the reason that he had one	5	
	of the reasons why he had a problem with this being the last		next to the next to last one or the next to the next to the
7	surgery that he needed to keep it in place.		next last one.
8	So, he had brain surgery number three and that was	8	
	a cranioplasty revision surgery with titanium mesh due to	-	you about this? This Live Nation Worldwide, these generous
10	deterioration. I think that's self-explanatory.		people. Give him more. They tell you that they want a
11	Plastic surgeon had to be called in because there		discount because he hasn't had the surgery and it would make
	was a problem. So, they called in a plastic surgeon		him better.
13	emergently needed for wound closure. Emergently.	13	
14	So, this wasn't simple, you know, take it out, put		testimony that it's going to make him better? Where? I
	it on, it's all good. He needed a revision surgery and with		searched for it. I can't find it. Nobody knows the answer.
	titanium mesh.	16	1
17	So, it was no longer using his own skull being	17	
	replaced. It was using a foreign object, titanium mesh		Let's hear what Mark Perez thinks about it: "I think about
	which is used in these cases for wound closure and that was		this next surgery a lot, and to be completely honest, I
20	brain surgery number three.		don't know how many more brain surgeries I'm going to live
21	You'll see that he needed not only two surgeries,		. through."
	he had two different surgeons doing surgery number three,	22	
	but because that didn't work out so well, and it talks here		Is that what an unreasonable person would think or a
	about all that had to be done, the whole procedure is right		reasonable person? Because if I heard reasonable one more
25	here, and I'm not going to bore you with it but, certainly,	25	time from the defense lawyer in this case, reasonable.
	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER		LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
C		-	
Sui		CIII	mmation Mr Moralli Daga 1749
	Imation - Mr. Morelli Page 1746		mmation - Mr. Morelli Page 1748
1	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez.		Reasonable. Reasonable.
2	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery	1	. Reasonable. Reasonable. Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I
2 3	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four	1 2 3	Reasonable. Reasonable. Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of
2 3 4	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four was May 2015, another cranioplasty revision surgery due to	1 2 3 4	Reasonable. Reasonable. Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of this case, not Dr, Barr. Dr. Ambrose. Insulting to me and
2 3 4	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four was May 2015, another cranioplasty revision surgery due to infection.	1 2 3 4	Reasonable. Reasonable. Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of this case, not Dr, Barr. Dr. Ambrose. Insulting to me and I think insulting to you. Insulting.
2 3 4 5 6	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four was May 2015, another cranioplasty revision surgery due to infection. Now, you've heard that he's had numerous	1 2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Reasonable. Reasonable.</li> <li>Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of this case, not Dr, Barr. Dr. Ambrose. Insulting to me and I think insulting to you. Insulting.</li> <li>So, what are they doing here? Sowing the seeds of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four was May 2015, another cranioplasty revision surgery due to infection.	1 2 3 4 5 6	Reasonable. Reasonable. Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of this case, not Dr, Barr. Dr. Ambrose. Insulting to me and I think insulting to you. Insulting.
2 3 4 5 6 7	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four was May 2015, another cranioplasty revision surgery due to infection. Now, you've heard that he's had numerous	1 2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Reasonable. Reasonable.</li> <li>Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of this case, not Dr, Barr. Dr. Ambrose. Insulting to me and I think insulting to you. Insulting.</li> <li>So, what are they doing here? Sowing the seeds of doubt. Let's talk about Dr. Ambrose.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four was May 2015, another cranioplasty revision surgery due to infection. Now, you've heard that he's had numerous infections. These are complications of the surgery.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Reasonable. Reasonable.</li> <li>Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I</li> <li>leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of</li> <li>this case, not Dr, Barr. Dr. Ambrose. Insulting to me and</li> <li>I think insulting to you. Insulting.</li> <li>So, what are they doing here? Sowing the seeds of</li> <li>doubt. Let's talk about Dr. Ambrose.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four was May 2015, another cranioplasty revision surgery due to infection. Now, you've heard that he's had numerous infections. These are complications of the surgery. Obviously, everyone always hopes when they go in for surgery	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Reasonable. Reasonable.</li> <li>Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of this case, not Dr, Barr. Dr. Ambrose. Insulting to me and I think insulting to you. Insulting.</li> <li>So, what are they doing here? Sowing the seeds of doubt. Let's talk about Dr. Ambrose.</li> <li>There she is so you can remember what she looks</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four was May 2015, another cranioplasty revision surgery due to infection. Now, you've heard that he's had numerous infections. These are complications of the surgery. Obviously, everyone always hopes when they go in for surgery that there's no complications and often there isn't, but when there is, it's more serious than what it normally would have been, more serious.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Reasonable. Reasonable.</li> <li>Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I</li> <li>leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of</li> <li>this case, not Dr, Barr. Dr. Ambrose. Insulting to me and</li> <li>I think insulting to you. Insulting.</li> <li>So, what are they doing here? Sowing the seeds of</li> <li>doubt. Let's talk about Dr. Ambrose.</li> <li>There she is so you can remember what she looks</li> <li>like and I want you to remember what she looked like when I</li> <li>was cross-examining her. Said to her:</li> <li>"Question: Do you doubt that he has seizures? Yes</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four was May 2015, another cranioplasty revision surgery due to infection. Now, you've heard that he's had numerous infections. These are complications of the surgery. Obviously, everyone always hopes when they go in for surgery that there's no complications and often there isn't, but when there is, it's more serious than what it normally would	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Reasonable. Reasonable.</li> <li>Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of this case, not Dr, Barr. Dr. Ambrose. Insulting to me and I think insulting to you. Insulting.</li> <li>So, what are they doing here? Sowing the seeds of doubt. Let's talk about Dr. Ambrose.</li> <li>There she is so you can remember what she looks like and I want you to remember what she looked like when I was cross-examining her. Said to her:</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four was May 2015, another cranioplasty revision surgery due to infection. Now, you've heard that he's had numerous infections. These are complications of the surgery. Obviously, everyone always hopes when they go in for surgery that there's no complications and often there isn't, but when there is, it's more serious than what it normally would have been, more serious. So, a plastic surgeon had to be brought in to close the wound. Two surgeons, again, doing this surgery.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Reasonable. Reasonable.</li> <li>Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I</li> <li>leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of</li> <li>this case, not Dr, Barr. Dr. Ambrose. Insulting to me and</li> <li>I think insulting to you. Insulting.</li> <li>So, what are they doing here? Sowing the seeds of</li> <li>doubt. Let's talk about Dr. Ambrose.</li> <li>There she is so you can remember what she looks</li> <li>like and I want you to remember what she looked like when I</li> <li>was cross-examining her. Said to her:</li> <li>"Question: Do you doubt that he has seizures? Yes</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four was May 2015, another cranioplasty revision surgery due to infection. Now, you've heard that he's had numerous infections. These are complications of the surgery. Obviously, everyone always hopes when they go in for surgery that there's no complications and often there isn't, but when there is, it's more serious than what it normally would have been, more serious. So, a plastic surgeon had to be brought in to close	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Reasonable. Reasonable.</li> <li>Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I</li> <li>leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of</li> <li>this case, not Dr, Barr. Dr. Ambrose. Insulting to me and</li> <li>I think insulting to you. Insulting.</li> <li>So, what are they doing here? Sowing the seeds of</li> <li>doubt. Let's talk about Dr. Ambrose.</li> <li>There she is so you can remember what she looks</li> <li>like and I want you to remember what she looked like when I</li> <li>was cross-examining her. Said to her:</li> <li>"Question: Do you doubt that he has seizures? Yes</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four was May 2015, another cranioplasty revision surgery due to infection. Now, you've heard that he's had numerous infections. These are complications of the surgery. Obviously, everyone always hopes when they go in for surgery that there's no complications and often there isn't, but when there is, it's more serious than what it normally would have been, more serious. So, a plastic surgeon had to be brought in to close the wound. Two surgeons, again, doing this surgery.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Reasonable. Reasonable.</li> <li>Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I</li> <li>leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of</li> <li>this case, not Dr, Barr. Dr. Ambrose. Insulting to me and</li> <li>I think insulting to you. Insulting.</li> <li>So, what are they doing here? Sowing the seeds of</li> <li>doubt. Let's talk about Dr. Ambrose.</li> <li>There she is so you can remember what she looks</li> <li>like and I want you to remember what she looked like when I</li> <li>was cross-examining her. Said to her:</li> <li>"Question: Do you doubt that he has seizures? Yes</li> <li>or no?"</li> <li>This is that person with all those credentials, you</li> <li>know, brain injury expert and everything.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four was May 2015, another cranioplasty revision surgery due to infection. Now, you've heard that he's had numerous infections. These are complications of the surgery. Obviously, everyone always hopes when they go in for surgery that there's no complications and often there isn't, but when there is, it's more serious than what it normally would have been, more serious. So, a plastic surgeon had to be brought in to close the wound. Two surgeons, again, doing this surgery. What we often don't see and this is right from the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Reasonable. Reasonable.</li> <li>Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I</li> <li>leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of</li> <li>this case, not Dr, Barr. Dr. Ambrose. Insulting to me and</li> <li>I think insulting to you. Insulting.</li> <li>So, what are they doing here? Sowing the seeds of</li> <li>doubt. Let's talk about Dr. Ambrose.</li> <li>There she is so you can remember what she looks</li> <li>like and I want you to remember what she looked like when I</li> <li>was cross-examining her. Said to her:</li> <li>"Question: Do you doubt that he has seizures? Yes</li> <li>or no?"</li> <li>This is that person with all those credentials, you</li> <li>know, brain injury expert and everything.</li> <li>"Yes or no? Do you doubt it?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four was May 2015, another cranioplasty revision surgery due to infection. Now, you've heard that he's had numerous infections. These are complications of the surgery. Obviously, everyone always hopes when they go in for surgery that there's no complications and often there isn't, but when there is, it's more serious than what it normally would have been, more serious. So, a plastic surgeon had to be brought in to close the wound. Two surgeons, again, doing this surgery. What we often don't see and this is right from the hospital records of the operation itself, and you see two	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Reasonable. Reasonable.</li> <li>Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of this case, not Dr, Barr. Dr. Ambrose. Insulting to me and I think insulting to you. Insulting.</li> <li>So, what are they doing here? Sowing the seeds of doubt. Let's talk about Dr. Ambrose.</li> <li>There she is so you can remember what she looks like and I want you to remember what she looked like when I was cross-examining her. Said to her:</li> <li>"Question: Do you doubt that he has seizures? Yes or no?"</li> <li>This is that person with all those credentials, you know, brain injury expert and everything.</li> <li>"Yes or no? Do you doubt it?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four was May 2015, another cranioplasty revision surgery due to infection. Now, you've heard that he's had numerous infections. These are complications of the surgery. Obviously, everyone always hopes when they go in for surgery that there's no complications and often there isn't, but when there is, it's more serious than what it normally would have been, more serious. So, a plastic surgeon had to be brought in to close the wound. Two surgeons, again, doing this surgery. What we often don't see and this is right from the hospital records of the operation itself, and you see two operative reports there, two surgeons involved. It says	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Reasonable. Reasonable.</li> <li>Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of this case, not Dr, Barr. Dr. Ambrose. Insulting to me and I think insulting to you. Insulting.</li> <li>So, what are they doing here? Sowing the seeds of doubt. Let's talk about Dr. Ambrose.</li> <li>There she is so you can remember what she looks like and I want you to remember what she looked like when I was cross-examining her. Said to her:</li> <li>"Question: Do you doubt that he has seizures? Yes or no?"</li> <li>This is that person with all those credentials, you know, brain injury expert and everything.</li> <li>"Yes or no? Do you doubt it?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four was May 2015, another cranioplasty revision surgery due to infection. Now, you've heard that he's had numerous infections. These are complications of the surgery. Obviously, everyone always hopes when they go in for surgery that there's no complications and often there isn't, but when there is, it's more serious than what it normally would have been, more serious. So, a plastic surgeon had to be brought in to close the wound. Two surgeons, again, doing this surgery. What we often don't see and this is right from the hospital records of the operation itself, and you see two operative reports there, two surgeons involved. It says exactly what the problem was.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Reasonable. Reasonable.</li> <li>Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of this case, not Dr, Barr. Dr. Ambrose. Insulting to me and I think insulting to you. Insulting.</li> <li>So, what are they doing here? Sowing the seeds of doubt. Let's talk about Dr. Ambrose.</li> <li>There she is so you can remember what she looks like and I want you to remember what she looked like when I was cross-examining her. Said to her:</li> <li>"Question: Do you doubt that he has seizures? Yes or no?"</li> <li>This is that person with all those credentials, you know, brain injury expert and everything.</li> <li>"Yes or no? Do you doubt it?</li> <li>"I'm not sure."</li> <li>Well, obviously, you're not testifying as to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four was May 2015, another cranioplasty revision surgery due to infection. Now, you've heard that he's had numerous infections. These are complications of the surgery. Obviously, everyone always hopes when they go in for surgery that there's no complications and often there isn't, but when there is, it's more serious than what it normally would have been, more serious. So, a plastic surgeon had to be brought in to close the wound. Two surgeons, again, doing this surgery. What we often don't see and this is right from the hospital records of the operation itself, and you see two operative reports there, two surgeons involved. It says exactly what the problem was. Now, if you talk about a picture telling the story. There's your malingerer. It's really interesting because	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Reasonable. Reasonable.</li> <li>Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I</li> <li>leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of</li> <li>this case, not Dr, Barr. Dr. Ambrose. Insulting to me and</li> <li>I think insulting to you. Insulting.</li> <li>So, what are they doing here? Sowing the seeds of</li> <li>doubt. Let's talk about Dr. Ambrose.</li> <li>There she is so you can remember what she looks</li> <li>like and I want you to remember what she looked like when I</li> <li>was cross-examining her. Said to her:</li> <li>"Question: Do you doubt that he has seizures? Yes</li> <li>or no?"</li> <li>This is that person with all those credentials, you</li> <li>know, brain injury expert and everything.</li> <li>"Yes or no? Do you doubt it?</li> <li>"I'm not sure."</li> <li>Well, obviously, you're not testifying as to</li> <li>whether he has seizures or not because you're not sure,</li> <li>right? So, I had to ask her:</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four was May 2015, another cranioplasty revision surgery due to infection. Now, you've heard that he's had numerous infections. These are complications of the surgery. Obviously, everyone always hopes when they go in for surgery that there's no complications and often there isn't, but when there is, it's more serious than what it normally would have been, more serious. So, a plastic surgeon had to be brought in to close the wound. Two surgeons, again, doing this surgery. What we often don't see and this is right from the hospital records of the operation itself, and you see two operative reports there, two surgeons involved. It says exactly what the problem was. Now, if you talk about a picture telling the story. There's your malingerer. It's really interesting because this is what Mark Perez says in reference to knowing that he	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Reasonable. Reasonable.</li> <li>Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of this case, not Dr, Barr. Dr. Ambrose. Insulting to me and I think insulting to you. Insulting.</li> <li>So, what are they doing here? Sowing the seeds of doubt. Let's talk about Dr. Ambrose.</li> <li>There she is so you can remember what she looks like and I want you to remember what she looked like when I was cross-examining her. Said to her:</li> <li>"Question: Do you doubt that he has seizures? Yes or no?"</li> <li>This is that person with all those credentials, you know, brain injury expert and everything.</li> <li>"Yes or no? Do you doubt it?</li> <li>"I'm not sure."</li> <li>Well, obviously, you're not testifying as to whether he has seizures or not because you're not sure, right? So, I had to ask her:</li> <li>"Do you doubt that he has headaches?" Because</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four was May 2015, another cranioplasty revision surgery due to infection. Now, you've heard that he's had numerous infections. These are complications of the surgery. Obviously, everyone always hopes when they go in for surgery that there's no complications and often there isn't, but when there is, it's more serious than what it normally would have been, more serious. So, a plastic surgeon had to be brought in to close the wound. Two surgeons, again, doing this surgery. What we often don't see and this is right from the hospital records of the operation itself, and you see two operative reports there, two surgeons involved. It says exactly what the problem was. Now, if you talk about a picture telling the story. There's your malingerer. It's really interesting because this is what Mark Perez says in reference to knowing that he needs a fifth surgery and he needs a fifth surgery because	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Reasonable. Reasonable.</li> <li>Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of this case, not Dr, Barr. Dr. Ambrose. Insulting to me and I think insulting to you. Insulting.</li> <li>So, what are they doing here? Sowing the seeds of doubt. Let's talk about Dr. Ambrose.</li> <li>There she is so you can remember what she looks like and I want you to remember what she looked like when I was cross-examining her. Said to her:</li> <li>"Question: Do you doubt that he has seizures? Yes or no?"</li> <li>This is that person with all those credentials, you know, brain injury expert and everything.</li> <li>"Yes or no? Do you doubt it?</li> <li>"I'm not sure."</li> <li>Well, obviously, you're not testifying as to whether he has seizures or not because you're not sure, right? So, I had to ask her:</li> <li>"Do you doubt that he has headaches?" Because that's what he told her.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four was May 2015, another cranioplasty revision surgery due to infection. Now, you've heard that he's had numerous infections. These are complications of the surgery. Obviously, everyone always hopes when they go in for surgery that there's no complications and often there isn't, but when there is, it's more serious than what it normally would have been, more serious. So, a plastic surgeon had to be brought in to close the wound. Two surgeons, again, doing this surgery. What we often don't see and this is right from the hospital records of the operation itself, and you see two operative reports there, two surgeons involved. It says exactly what the problem was. Now, if you talk about a picture telling the story. There's your malingerer. It's really interesting because this is what Mark Perez says in reference to knowing that he needs a fifth surgery and he needs a fifth surgery because this is exactly how he is now.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Reasonable. Reasonable.</li> <li>Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of this case, not Dr, Barr. Dr. Ambrose. Insulting to me and I think insulting to you. Insulting.</li> <li>So, what are they doing here? Sowing the seeds of doubt. Let's talk about Dr. Ambrose.</li> <li>There she is so you can remember what she looks like and I want you to remember what she looked like when I was cross-examining her. Said to her:</li> <li>"Question: Do you doubt that he has seizures? Yes or no?"</li> <li>This is that person with all those credentials, you know, brain injury expert and everything.</li> <li>"Yes or no? Do you doubt it?</li> <li>"I'm not sure."</li> <li>Well, obviously, you're not testifying as to whether he has seizures or not because you're not sure, right? So, I had to ask her:</li> <li>"Do you doubt that he has headaches?" Because that's what he told her.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four was May 2015, another cranioplasty revision surgery due to infection. Now, you've heard that he's had numerous infections. These are complications of the surgery. Obviously, everyone always hopes when they go in for surgery that there's no complications and often there isn't, but when there is, it's more serious than what it normally would have been, more serious. So, a plastic surgeon had to be brought in to close the wound. Two surgeons, again, doing this surgery. What we often don't see and this is right from the hospital records of the operation itself, and you see two operative reports there, two surgeons involved. It says exactly what the problem was. Now, if you talk about a picture telling the story. There's your malingerer. It's really interesting because this is what Mark Perez says in reference to knowing that he needs a fifth surgery and he needs a fifth surgery because this is exactly how he is now. This doesn't have to be interpreted. This is it.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Reasonable. Reasonable.</li> <li>Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I</li> <li>leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of</li> <li>this case, not Dr, Barr. Dr. Ambrose. Insulting to me and</li> <li>I think insulting to you. Insulting.</li> <li>So, what are they doing here? Sowing the seeds of</li> <li>doubt. Let's talk about Dr. Ambrose.</li> <li>There she is so you can remember what she looks</li> <li>like and I want you to remember what she looked like when I</li> <li>was cross-examining her. Said to her:</li> <li>"Question: Do you doubt that he has seizures? Yes</li> <li>or no?"</li> <li>This is that person with all those credentials, you</li> <li>know, brain injury expert and everything.</li> <li>"Yes or no? Do you doubt it?</li> <li>"I'm not sure."</li> <li>Well, obviously, you're not testifying as to</li> <li>whether he has seizures or not because you're not sure,</li> <li>right? So, I had to ask her:</li> <li>"Do you doubt that he has pain in his right eye?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four was May 2015, another cranioplasty revision surgery due to infection. Now, you've heard that he's had numerous infections. These are complications of the surgery. Obviously, everyone always hopes when they go in for surgery that there's no complications and often there isn't, but when there is, it's more serious than what it normally would have been, more serious. So, a plastic surgeon had to be brought in to close the wound. Two surgeons, again, doing this surgery. What we often don't see and this is right from the hospital records of the operation itself, and you see two operative reports there, two surgeons involved. It says exactly what the problem was. Now, if you talk about a picture telling the story. There's your malingerer. It's really interesting because this is what Mark Perez says in reference to knowing that he needs a fifth surgery and he needs a fifth surgery because this is exactly how he is now.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Reasonable. Reasonable.</li> <li>Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I</li> <li>leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of</li> <li>this case, not Dr, Barr. Dr. Ambrose. Insulting to me and</li> <li>I think insulting to you. Insulting.</li> <li>So, what are they doing here? Sowing the seeds of</li> <li>doubt. Let's talk about Dr. Ambrose.</li> <li>There she is so you can remember what she looks</li> <li>like and I want you to remember what she looked like when I</li> <li>was cross-examining her. Said to her:</li> <li>"Question: Do you doubt that he has seizures? Yes</li> <li>or no?"</li> <li>This is that person with all those credentials, you</li> <li>know, brain injury expert and everything.</li> <li>"Yes or no? Do you doubt it?</li> <li>"I'm not sure."</li> <li>Well, obviously, you're not testifying as to</li> <li>whether he has seizures or not because you're not sure,</li> <li>right? So, I had to ask her:</li> <li>"Do you doubt that he has pain in his right eye?</li> <li>"No.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it was a serious day again for Mark Perez. So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four was May 2015, another cranioplasty revision surgery due to infection. Now, you've heard that he's had numerous infections. These are complications of the surgery. Obviously, everyone always hopes when they go in for surgery that there's no complications and often there isn't, but when there is, it's more serious than what it normally would have been, more serious. So, a plastic surgeon had to be brought in to close the wound. Two surgeons, again, doing this surgery. What we often don't see and this is right from the hospital records of the operation itself, and you see two operative reports there, two surgeons involved. It says exactly what the problem was. Now, if you talk about a picture telling the story. There's your malingerer. It's really interesting because this is what Mark Perez says in reference to knowing that he needs a fifth surgery and he needs a fifth surgery because this is exactly how he is now. This doesn't have to be interpreted. This is it. Don't need to ask a question about it. That's the way he is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Reasonable. Reasonable.</li> <li>Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of this case, not Dr, Barr. Dr. Ambrose. Insulting to me and I think insulting to you. Insulting.</li> <li>So, what are they doing here? Sowing the seeds of doubt. Let's talk about Dr. Ambrose.</li> <li>There she is so you can remember what she looks like and I want you to remember what she looked like when I was cross-examining her. Said to her:</li> <li>"Question: Do you doubt that he has seizures? Yes or no?"</li> <li>This is that person with all those credentials, you know, brain injury expert and everything.</li> <li>"Yes or no? Do you doubt it?</li> <li>"I'm not sure."</li> <li>Well, obviously, you're not testifying as to whether he has seizures or not because you're not sure, right? So, I had to ask her:</li> <li>"Do you doubt that he has headaches?" Because that's what he told her.</li> <li>"No.</li> <li>"Do you doubt that he has pain in his right eye? "No.</li> </ul>

Sur	nmation - Mr. Morelli Page 1749	Summation - Mr. Morelli Page 1751		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	"No. "He has a problem with his memory. Do you doubt that? "No. "He reports difficulty with concentration or trying to plan. Do you doubt that? "No."	<ul><li>3 Insulting.</li><li>4 "He can use a computer but often for</li></ul>	word I called it. orgets passwords ternet.	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	But I want you to know that this guy who unfortunately has a problem, difficulty with concentration or trying to plan and Dr. Ambrose doesn't disagree with that, he's been planning since 2013, 2014, 2015, while he's having brain surgery, he's planning to malinger. That's what he's doing. That's what they're telling you. He's planning it. Why else would they tell you this? Oh, we're not going to call him any names. We only use a nice word this time. Embellish. If you look up that word, it has absolutely nothing to do with any of this because they don't want to tell you what they really mean. They mean that this boy is a faker. That's what they think. How many times do you think I asked that question? How many times did I ask those experts? Wasn't afraid to ask that. Is he a faker? Well, I can't say I can't really say that. I don't want to say that. I can't assume that.	<ul> <li>10 "No.</li> <li>11 "He worries about the future. Do</li> <li>12 "No."</li> <li>13 Then what are you saying? What the saying? Say it already.</li> <li>15 I know what you're saying. You're saying of doubt. That's what you're doing. On</li> <li>17 another.</li> <li>18 Dr. Jordan. You remember him.</li> <li>19 said to him: "Are you saying that when</li> <li>20 statement, you didn't make the statement</li> <li>21 whether malingering requires intent?"</li> </ul>	you doubt that? the heck are you sowing the seeds ne witness after There he is. I n you made that it understanding ' Intent. y what his intent If you're saying	
	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT	REPORTER	
Sur	nmation - Mr. Morelli Page 1750	Summation - Mr. Morelli	Page 1752	
1	I don't know. I rather use embellishing.	1 means. I don't know what his intent v		
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	You could use whatever you want. I want to know what you're testifying to. Well, we can't figure out his cognitive problems. Okay. Then why are you in Court? Why are you getting paid to testify if you can't figure out his cognitive problems? That's what they said. Every one of them said the same thing: We can't figure out the cognitive deficits of Mark Perez. Okay. Dr. Greenwald figured it out. Dr. Gordon figured it out. Dr. Fayer figured it out. Dr. Schwartz figured it out. But they can't figure it out and whose fault is it that they can't figure it out? (Pointing.) Right. Isn't that the implication? That it's Mark's fault. They can't figure it out because he's a malingerer. So, what do they want you to do with it? What did do they want you to do with it?	<ul> <li>3 a name because he doesn't want to upset</li> <li>4 Court. Wonder who that would be, okay,</li> <li>5 discount. So, take some mud, throw it on</li> <li>6 it sticks.</li> <li>7 But they haven't told you what to d</li> <li>8 told you what to do because they don't l</li> <li>9 "You don't know if this poor effort</li> <li>10 was intentional. Is that a correct state</li> <li>11 "It's hard for me to answer that.</li> <li>12 don't think it was physiological."</li> <li>13 I don't know what the heck that</li> <li>14 So I ask him: "I didn't ask you th</li> <li>15 whether it was intentional." I kept goin</li> <li>16 me to make that assumption. I don't wa</li> <li>17 assumption."</li> <li>18 I'm sure you don't.</li> </ul>	want to call him anybody in the but they want a the wall. See if o. They haven't have a defense. ton your testing ment?" I mean, I I means. that I asked you and to make that	

Perez v. Live Nat	tion	December 5, 2019
Summatic	on - Mr. Morelli Page 1753	Summation - Mr. Morelli Page 1755
3 4 peop 5 emb 6 7 Are 1 8 defin 9 and 6 10 Why 11 12 13 durin 14 fool 15 16 can'n 17 18 that 19 case 20 21 of th 22 Dr. C 23 look 24	I tried to use another term. What did he say? "I t say he was trying to fool me." So, I guess if that's true, he had nothing to say was going to help you make a determination in this e. So, let's talk about this malingering because one he lawyers in the case for Live Nation Worldwide asked Gordon about malingering and there's Dr. Gordon. He's ced better. The cross-examination: "Will you agree with me, Doctor, that the DSM	<ul> <li>So, I don't know what his testimony could possibly</li> <li>mean to you.</li> <li>Here's what Dr. Gordon says about malingering and</li> <li>this is very important for me to talk to you about with</li> <li>reference to what this really is because you've heard so</li> <li>much about it and I know that it's important that we don't</li> <li>confuse the issues in this case because the issues are quite</li> <li>clear and if there's confusion that does enure to Mark's</li> <li>benefit.</li> <li>So, when I saw that his scores were essentially the</li> <li>same over this three year period.</li> <li>Now, remember that Dr. Gordon tested mark 22 tests,</li> <li>2015, 2018. "I felt that the change in the TOMM score was a</li> <li>replication of his overall distress because you can view</li> <li>malingering as either a state or a trait." Okay.</li> <li>So, now this is very important because if it's one,</li> <li>it could vary and it's understandable, but if it's a trait,</li> <li>if it's something you're doing all the time it wouldn't.</li> <li>So, if it's a state, it means it's something that</li> <li>characteristics of the person. If it's a trait and it's an</li> <li>enduring characteristic of the person, okay.</li> <li>So, basically, clearly it wasn't a trait because</li> </ul>
	nes malingering, in part, as the intentional production	<b>25</b> TOMM and to me there were fluctuations that basically
LIS	SA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
1       of fa         2       3         4       5         5       defin         6       inter         7       8         8       You         9       there         10       Don'         11       rease         12       can         13       14         14       beca         15       to a         16       17         17       that         18       give         19       20         20       where         21       unde         22       23         24       what         25       that	Dr. Mr. Morelli Page 1754 alse or exaggerated symptoms?" Dr. Gordon says: "Yes." So, now, I asked Dr. Jordan about it: "Do you agree with me," I said, "that the nition of malingering in your field actually requires nt?" I want to know. You have the right to know what they're saying. a have the right to know. Don't just throw stuff out e. Don't just try to muddy up a case that has no mud. 't do that. Say what you're saying and say what is the on. Say it was intentional if that's what you think. I deal with the straight talk once in a while. Here's his answer: "Hard for me to answer that use it almost sounds like a legal definition as opposed medical. I don't know." What the heck does that mean? Really? What does mean? Is this the person who you want to rely on to this boy less than he deserves? Are you kidding me? So I say I'm not done. "Are you saying that nyou made that statement you didn't make that statement erstanding requires intent?" "I don't know what his intent was." I guess he doesn't know. I guess he doesn't know t malingering means and I guess he doesn't mean it in way. SA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	Summation - Mr. MorelliPage 17561indicated that he was distressed.2I'm going to talk about that even more because3you're going to see that's the explanation from somebody who4actually has tested him, spent hours with him on two5different occasions three years apart and is giving you his6testimony.7It's not somebody who read records, never met him8and made a decision to come in here and affect this case.9So, let's now deal with the next doctor in the10case. I say that they're all sowing the seeds of doubt and11that's Dr. Doyle.12Now, it was probably very telling to you, as it was13telling to me, that counsel spent time trying to clear up14Dr. Doyle, make him look better, explain to you why it15looked so bad, but I think you remember his testimony and16how he acted and how he looked and the last thing he said to17me was "Oh, sorry, wasn't paying attention to you."18That's the last thing he said to me. That's after19he was inappropriate with the Judge, and what's the answer20to that? That he's a rookie? That ain't an answer.21So, let's talk about what he really did.22He started deceiving in August of 2019 when he met23with Mark, his brother and my associate, David. They were24all at this meeting and he was telling Mark he was acting as25his doctor.

	ez v. e Nation		December 5, 2019
	nmation - Mr. Morelli Page 1757		Page 1759
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Now, when I was listening to the closing of counsel, I was listening to this explanation that he was being this great guy, this good doctor, Hippocratic oath. I even heard about when he was having this meeting that he was getting paid money to work Live Nation Worldwide. That's who he was working for, the lawyers of Live Nation Worldwide, and I'm going to refer you to something that I think might be very telling for you. In this case, the lawyers for the defense have relied heavily on Dr. Ambrose. So, let's find out what Dr. Ambrose thinks about the Hippocratic oath that Dr. Doyle was telling these gentlemen, you know, I'm like a real doctor. He admitted he was selling how great NYU was and how he is the best in the world and he's done 25,000 surgeries and if he ever wanted, if Mark ever wanted to come to him as a doctor, oh, that would be great and he could probably help him. I don't know what he was going to help him with if he then said he didn't have epilepsy. I don't know what he's going to help him with because that is what he does. So, he's ingratiating himself to Mark and the other two young men and not telling him straight. So, what did Dr. Ambrose say about this? Well, Dr. Ambrose said because when I was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	not cool, was unethical. He was trying to deceive. So, he says and now I'm questioning him and I don't know, I thought I was asking straightforward questions. He says to me: We're like the same. We're the same. Like you and me, we're the same, he says to me. We're both trying to help our clients. Well, Doctor, you don't have a client. Oh, I know what you mean. Your client, the lawyers, and I'm trying to help my client, the injured but we're the same. We're the same, but he said what he really meant because he's working for his client. You're not trying to be a doctor. You're not a doctor when you come in and testify that now you don't think he has epilepsy or you're not sure. (Continued on next page.)
	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER		LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
Sur	nmation - Mr. Morelli Page 1758	Sun	nmation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1760
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	cross-examining her on her report I said oh, so you told them that you were doing a medical examination for the defendants. Did you tell them that? I did. You told them for a reason? She said: Yeah, because it's ethical to do that. She said that. Ethical. And I asked her point blank: Is it unethical if you weren't doing that, if you were to make the person who is the injured person, the victim, the plaintiff in this case, to think that you were there to help him, that would be unethical. She said, and that's just what this guy did, just what he did. And says: Did it help Mark? Well, he's getting paid \$20,000 to diminish Mark to you, and the interesting thing is that I thought I was in dentistry trying to get out of him that he was actually getting paid and the reason that I asked him that question, you should understand, is because of what it was talked about in the meeting that he said certain things about getting paid, so I questioned him about it. That's the reason why I wanted to know. I could care less if he's getting 5,000, 10, 20, 30, 50. Doesn't matter to me. My point was that what he was doing that day was	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: There's Dr. Barr, and he is an interesting guy. He is the only witness to conclude that Mark Perez has a mild traumatic brain injury, the only one in the whole case, and I said to him, When you said that you reach all of your conclusions based on a review of the records, you didn't meet Mark; you didn't have an interview with him; you never tested him, so all you can rely on were the records, so I want to know, who was it that you read? What was it? What record was it? What doctor was it that you read, that he had a mild traumatic brain injury? And what did he say to me? I saw no other examiner in this case who came to that conclusion. Really? So now you just say that, and that's okay? You came to that conclusion why? To look good to the lawyers? But then he said what he really meant. Look what he said: I'm asked to form a legal opinion about the relationship of an accident and an outcome, and I need to know, what are the attorneys interested in. By the way, I didn't tell him to say that. That wasn't in my question. That's what he says. Because you know what he was doing. He is trying to help the lawyers
	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER		LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER

Su	nmation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1761	Summation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1763
1	for Live Nation Worldwide. Live Nation Worldwide, they want	1 someone says, but how they say it and how they look when
	a discount. They want a discount, so they are hiring all	2 they say it. I would say to you, remember Dr. Ambrose.
	these people with these credentials; okay? And they think	<b>3</b> Remember Dr. Doyle. Remember, okay, exactly how they looked
4	that credentials alone are going to sway your judgment. I	4 on my questions. Dr. Ambrose wouldn't say for sure, Mark
5	disagree with that.	<b>5</b> has traumatic epilepsy. She changed her opinion about
6	And then he admitted, I didn't meet with Mark to	6 unemployability.
7		7 Now, I know that you know, it's easy to, you know,
	that what he said is most of the time, he does, when he is	<b>8</b> stand up in front of you and try to clear up all the
	working in his field. Because it's important to get the	<b>9</b> problems in the case, but to say that the only changes in
	complete picture.	<b>10</b> the draft reports are typing errors or spelling errors is
11	(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)	11 just not accurate, and not true.
12	MR. B. MORELLI: So what I say to you is, this	<b>12</b> She changed her opinion about employability.
	whole term that we have been listening to, world-renowned	<b>13</b> Dr. Jordan doesn't know the extent of Mark's cognitive
	experts, world-renowned experts. When you have a lawsuit,	14 deficits. He does only two tests, and he says that Mark
	it isn't about world-renown experts. It's about evidence. $\Gamma$	<b>15</b> lacks effort. Dr. Doyle changed his opinion in court about
	Evidence. Where is the beef? Where is the evidence in the	<b>16</b> Mark having epilepsy. You know what he said in his report?
	case; okay? It's great, and I'm sure it's terrific that you are so absolutely enamored with the credentials of these	<ul><li>17 He said he believed Mark had epilepsy, and that's when he</li><li>18 was talking to the boys. You know, I'm the world's expert</li></ul>
19	people, okay, but when you look at their testimony, it	<b>19</b> in this? I could be your doctor? I could make you better?
	doesn't look so good. You can't conflate the two. You	<b>20</b> If he wasn't talking about epilepsy, and he didn't think
	can't make credibility and credentials the same thing. They	<b>21</b> Mark had epilepsy, why is he telling Mark these things?
22	both start with a C, there's no question about that, but	22 That's all he does. He might be a genius, but he ain't
23	they are not the same.	<b>23</b> telling you straight. So now, in his report he says that
24	You think because somebody went to a certain	<b>24</b> he comes into court, changed his opinion. I don't know.
25	college or university, or has a certain degree, that that	<b>25</b> That's not a typo.
	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER
C	mention by Disintiff (D. Maralli)	Cummetien by Disintiff (D. Marelli)
	nmation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1762	Summation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1764
1	person is more credible than you or me? No. That's	1 (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
1 2	person is more credible than you or me? No. That's ridiculous. That's ridiculous.	<ul> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Now, I was very careful to listen</li> </ul>
1 2 3	person is more credible than you or me? No. That's ridiculous. That's ridiculous. Swearing to tell the truth. Listen to the judge	<ol> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Now, I was very careful to listen</li> <li>closely to these stray comments that have been made by the</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4	person is more credible than you or me? No. That's ridiculous. That's ridiculous. Swearing to tell the truth. Listen to the judge when the judge tells you the charge of falsus in uno,	<ol> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Now, I was very careful to listen</li> <li>closely to these stray comments that have been made by the</li> <li>defense lawyers in this case representing Live Nation</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5	person is more credible than you or me? No. That's ridiculous. That's ridiculous. Swearing to tell the truth. Listen to the judge when the judge tells you the charge of falsus in uno, because you are allowed you are the judges of the facts.	<ol> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Now, I was very careful to listen</li> <li>closely to these stray comments that have been made by the</li> <li>defense lawyers in this case representing Live Nation</li> <li>Worldwide. They don't have proof. They just have a lot of</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6	person is more credible than you or me? No. That's ridiculous. That's ridiculous. Swearing to tell the truth. Listen to the judge when the judge tells you the charge of falsus in uno, because you are allowed you are the judges of the facts. We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges	<ol> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Now, I was very careful to listen</li> <li>closely to these stray comments that have been made by the</li> <li>defense lawyers in this case representing Live Nation</li> <li>Worldwide. They don't have proof. They just have a lot of</li> <li>talk. Talk a lot, prove a little.</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	person is more credible than you or me? No. That's ridiculous. That's ridiculous. Swearing to tell the truth. Listen to the judge when the judge tells you the charge of falsus in uno, because you are allowed you are the judges of the facts. We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges of the facts. Not us. Not the judge. He can't invade your	<ol> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Now, I was very careful to listen</li> <li>closely to these stray comments that have been made by the</li> <li>defense lawyers in this case representing Live Nation</li> <li>Worldwide. They don't have proof. They just have a lot of</li> <li>talk. Talk a lot, prove a little.</li> <li>Subjective and objective. That was, like, the main</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	person is more credible than you or me? No. That's ridiculous. That's ridiculous. Swearing to tell the truth. Listen to the judge when the judge tells you the charge of falsus in uno, because you are allowed you are the judges of the facts. We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges of the facts. Not us. Not the judge. He can't invade your province on that. You are the judges of the facts. Nobody	<ol> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Now, I was very careful to listen</li> <li>closely to these stray comments that have been made by the</li> <li>defense lawyers in this case representing Live Nation</li> <li>Worldwide. They don't have proof. They just have a lot of</li> <li>talk. Talk a lot, prove a little.</li> <li>Subjective and objective. That was, like, the main</li> <li>topic of the beginning. Subjective, objective. Then they</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	person is more credible than you or me? No. That's ridiculous. That's ridiculous. Swearing to tell the truth. Listen to the judge when the judge tells you the charge of falsus in uno, because you are allowed you are the judges of the facts. We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges of the facts. Not us. Not the judge. He can't invade your	<ol> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Now, I was very careful to listen</li> <li>closely to these stray comments that have been made by the</li> <li>defense lawyers in this case representing Live Nation</li> <li>Worldwide. They don't have proof. They just have a lot of</li> <li>talk. Talk a lot, prove a little.</li> <li>Subjective and objective. That was, like, the main</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	person is more credible than you or me? No. That's ridiculous. That's ridiculous. Swearing to tell the truth. Listen to the judge when the judge tells you the charge of falsus in uno, because you are allowed you are the judges of the facts. We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges of the facts. Not us. Not the judge. He can't invade your province on that. You are the judges of the facts. Nobody gets involved with that but you. Only you. And when you do that, if you think that somebody didn't tell you something truthfully, you don't have to take it into consideration.	<ol> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Now, I was very careful to listen</li> <li>closely to these stray comments that have been made by the</li> <li>defense lawyers in this case representing Live Nation</li> <li>Worldwide. They don't have proof. They just have a lot of</li> <li>talk. Talk a lot, prove a little.</li> <li>Subjective and objective. That was, like, the main</li> <li>topic of the beginning. Subjective, objective. Then they</li> <li>realize all the objective proof is with us. Then maybe they</li> <li>stopped talking about it.</li> <li>Maybe he is not taking his meds. Remember that?</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	person is more credible than you or me? No. That's ridiculous. That's ridiculous. Swearing to tell the truth. Listen to the judge when the judge tells you the charge of falsus in uno, because you are allowed you are the judges of the facts. We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges of the facts. Not us. Not the judge. He can't invade your province on that. You are the judges of the facts. Nobody gets involved with that but you. Only you. And when you do that, if you think that somebody didn't tell you something	<ol> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Now, I was very careful to listen</li> <li>closely to these stray comments that have been made by the</li> <li>defense lawyers in this case representing Live Nation</li> <li>Worldwide. They don't have proof. They just have a lot of</li> <li>talk. Talk a lot, prove a little.</li> <li>Subjective and objective. That was, like, the main</li> <li>topic of the beginning. Subjective, objective. Then they</li> <li>realize all the objective proof is with us. Then maybe they</li> <li>stopped talking about it.</li> <li>Maybe he is not taking his meds. Remember that?</li> <li>Some person in this case, with absolutely no proof, said,</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	person is more credible than you or me? No. That's ridiculous. That's ridiculous. Swearing to tell the truth. Listen to the judge when the judge tells you the charge of falsus in uno, because you are allowed you are the judges of the facts. We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges of the facts. Not us. Not the judge. He can't invade your province on that. You are the judges of the facts. Nobody gets involved with that but you. Only you. And when you do that, if you think that somebody didn't tell you something truthfully, you don't have to take it into consideration. You can take part of it into consideration or none of it into consideration. That's up to you. Not me. I know what	<ol> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Now, I was very careful to listen</li> <li>closely to these stray comments that have been made by the</li> <li>defense lawyers in this case representing Live Nation</li> <li>Worldwide. They don't have proof. They just have a lot of</li> <li>talk. Talk a lot, prove a little.</li> <li>Subjective and objective. That was, like, the main</li> <li>topic of the beginning. Subjective, objective. Then they</li> <li>realize all the objective proof is with us. Then maybe they</li> <li>stopped talking about it.</li> <li>Maybe he is not taking his meds. Remember that?</li> <li>Some person in this case, with absolutely no proof, said,</li> <li>Maybe he's not taking his meds. Just throw it out there.</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	person is more credible than you or me? No. That's ridiculous. That's ridiculous. Swearing to tell the truth. Listen to the judge when the judge tells you the charge of falsus in uno, because you are allowed you are the judges of the facts. We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges of the facts. Not us. Not the judge. He can't invade your province on that. You are the judges of the facts. Nobody gets involved with that but you. Only you. And when you do that, if you think that somebody didn't tell you something truthfully, you don't have to take it into consideration. You can take part of it into consideration or none of it into consideration. That's up to you. Not me. I know what I think.	<ol> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Now, I was very careful to listen</li> <li>closely to these stray comments that have been made by the</li> <li>defense lawyers in this case representing Live Nation</li> <li>Worldwide. They don't have proof. They just have a lot of</li> <li>talk. Talk a lot, prove a little.</li> <li>Subjective and objective. That was, like, the main</li> <li>topic of the beginning. Subjective, objective. Then they</li> <li>realize all the objective proof is with us. Then maybe they</li> <li>stopped talking about it.</li> <li>Maybe he is not taking his meds. Remember that?</li> <li>Some person in this case, with absolutely no proof, said,</li> <li>Maybe he's not taking his meds. Just throw it out there.</li> <li>Maybe you will grab onto it. Oh, okay. Maybe the reason</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	person is more credible than you or me? No. That's ridiculous. That's ridiculous. Swearing to tell the truth. Listen to the judge when the judge tells you the charge of falsus in uno, because you are allowed you are the judges of the facts. We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges of the facts. Not us. Not the judge. He can't invade your province on that. You are the judges of the facts. Nobody gets involved with that but you. Only you. And when you do that, if you think that somebody didn't tell you something truthfully, you don't have to take it into consideration. You can take part of it into consideration or none of it into consideration. That's up to you. Not me. I know what I think. Defendant Live Nation Worldwide's witnesses are	<ol> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Now, I was very careful to listen</li> <li>closely to these stray comments that have been made by the</li> <li>defense lawyers in this case representing Live Nation</li> <li>Worldwide. They don't have proof. They just have a lot of</li> <li>talk. Talk a lot, prove a little.</li> <li>Subjective and objective. That was, like, the main</li> <li>topic of the beginning. Subjective, objective. Then they</li> <li>realize all the objective proof is with us. Then maybe they</li> <li>stopped talking about it.</li> <li>Maybe he is not taking his meds. Remember that?</li> <li>Some person in this case, with absolutely no proof, said,</li> <li>Maybe he's not taking his meds. Just throw it out there.</li> <li>Maybe you will grab onto it. Oh, okay. Maybe the reason</li> <li>that that's happening is he is not taking his meds. Okay.</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	person is more credible than you or me? No. That's ridiculous. That's ridiculous. Swearing to tell the truth. Listen to the judge when the judge tells you the charge of falsus in uno, because you are allowed you are the judges of the facts. We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges of the facts. Not us. Not the judge. He can't invade your province on that. You are the judges of the facts. Nobody gets involved with that but you. Only you. And when you do that, if you think that somebody didn't tell you something truthfully, you don't have to take it into consideration. You can take part of it into consideration or none of it into consideration. That's up to you. Not me. I know what I think. Defendant Live Nation Worldwide's witnesses are talking out of two sides of their mouths. You can't say, I	<ol> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Now, I was very careful to listen</li> <li>closely to these stray comments that have been made by the</li> <li>defense lawyers in this case representing Live Nation</li> <li>Worldwide. They don't have proof. They just have a lot of</li> <li>talk. Talk a lot, prove a little.</li> <li>Subjective and objective. That was, like, the main</li> <li>topic of the beginning. Subjective, objective. Then they</li> <li>realize all the objective proof is with us. Then maybe they</li> <li>stopped talking about it.</li> <li>Maybe he is not taking his meds. Remember that?</li> <li>Some person in this case, with absolutely no proof, said,</li> <li>Maybe he's not taking his meds. Just throw it out there.</li> <li>Maybe you will grab onto it. Oh, okay. Maybe the reason</li> <li>that that's happening is he is not taking his meds. Okay.</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	person is more credible than you or me? No. That's ridiculous. That's ridiculous. Swearing to tell the truth. Listen to the judge when the judge tells you the charge of falsus in uno, because you are allowed you are the judges of the facts. We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges of the facts. Not us. Not the judge. He can't invade your province on that. You are the judges of the facts. Nobody gets involved with that but you. Only you. And when you do that, if you think that somebody didn't tell you something truthfully, you don't have to take it into consideration. You can take part of it into consideration or none of it into consideration. That's up to you. Not me. I know what I think. Defendant Live Nation Worldwide's witnesses are talking out of two sides of their mouths. You can't say, I don't want to say something bad, and then try to say to you,	<ol> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Now, I was very careful to listen</li> <li>closely to these stray comments that have been made by the</li> <li>defense lawyers in this case representing Live Nation</li> <li>Worldwide. They don't have proof. They just have a lot of</li> <li>talk. Talk a lot, prove a little.</li> <li>Subjective and objective. That was, like, the main</li> <li>topic of the beginning. Subjective, objective. Then they</li> <li>realize all the objective proof is with us. Then maybe they</li> <li>stopped talking about it.</li> <li>Maybe he is not taking his meds. Remember that?</li> <li>Some person in this case, with absolutely no proof, said,</li> <li>Maybe he's not taking his meds. Just throw it out there.</li> <li>Maybe he doesn't have epilepsy. Really? Okay.</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	person is more credible than you or me? No. That's ridiculous. That's ridiculous. Swearing to tell the truth. Listen to the judge when the judge tells you the charge of falsus in uno, because you are allowed you are the judges of the facts. We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges of the facts. We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges of the facts. Not us. Not the judge. He can't invade your province on that. You are the judges of the facts. Nobody gets involved with that but you. Only you. And when you do that, if you think that somebody didn't tell you something truthfully, you don't have to take it into consideration. You can take part of it into consideration or none of it into consideration. That's up to you. Not me. I know what I think. Defendant Live Nation Worldwide's witnesses are talking out of two sides of their mouths. You can't say, I don't want to say something bad, and then try to say to you, in a very nice way, that he's trying to fool you. Because	<ol> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Now, I was very careful to listen</li> <li>closely to these stray comments that have been made by the</li> <li>defense lawyers in this case representing Live Nation</li> <li>Worldwide. They don't have proof. They just have a lot of</li> <li>talk. Talk a lot, prove a little.</li> <li>Subjective and objective. That was, like, the main</li> <li>topic of the beginning. Subjective, objective. Then they</li> <li>realize all the objective proof is with us. Then maybe they</li> <li>stopped talking about it.</li> <li>Maybe he is not taking his meds. Remember that?</li> <li>Some person in this case, with absolutely no proof, said,</li> <li>Maybe he's not taking his meds. Just throw it out there.</li> <li>Maybe he doesn't have epilepsy. Really? Okay.</li> <li>And by the way, that's still their position. Maybe he has</li> </ol>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	person is more credible than you or me? No. That's ridiculous. That's ridiculous. Swearing to tell the truth. Listen to the judge when the judge tells you the charge of falsus in uno, because you are allowed you are the judges of the facts. We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges of the facts. Not us. Not the judge. He can't invade your province on that. You are the judges of the facts. Nobody gets involved with that but you. Only you. And when you do that, if you think that somebody didn't tell you something truthfully, you don't have to take it into consideration. You can take part of it into consideration or none of it into consideration. That's up to you. Not me. I know what I think. Defendant Live Nation Worldwide's witnesses are talking out of two sides of their mouths. You can't say, I don't want to say something bad, and then try to say to you, in a very nice way, that he's trying to fool you. Because that's what this case is. There's no defense to this case,	<ul> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Now, I was very careful to listen</li> <li>closely to these stray comments that have been made by the</li> <li>defense lawyers in this case representing Live Nation</li> <li>Worldwide. They don't have proof. They just have a lot of</li> <li>talk. Talk a lot, prove a little.</li> <li>Subjective and objective. That was, like, the main</li> <li>topic of the beginning. Subjective, objective. Then they</li> <li>realize all the objective proof is with us. Then maybe they</li> <li>stopped talking about it.</li> <li>Maybe he is not taking his meds. Remember that?</li> <li>Some person in this case, with absolutely no proof, said,</li> <li>Maybe he's not taking his meds. Just throw it out there.</li> <li>Maybe he doesn't have epilepsy. Really? Okay.</li> <li>And by the way, that's still their position. Maybe he has</li> <li>got it, may be he doesn't. They don't care, but they don't</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	person is more credible than you or me? No. That's ridiculous. That's ridiculous. Swearing to tell the truth. Listen to the judge when the judge tells you the charge of falsus in uno, because you are allowed you are the judges of the facts. We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges of the facts. Not us. Not the judge. He can't invade your province on that. You are the judges of the facts. Nobody gets involved with that but you. Only you. And when you do that, if you think that somebody didn't tell you something truthfully, you don't have to take it into consideration. You can take part of it into consideration or none of it into consideration. That's up to you. Not me. I know what I think. Defendant Live Nation Worldwide's witnesses are talking out of two sides of their mouths. You can't say, I don't want to say something bad, and then try to say to you, in a very nice way, that he's trying to fool you. Because that's what this case is. There's no defense to this case, there's no defense to this case. None, zero. There's no	<ul> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Now, I was very careful to listen</li> <li>closely to these stray comments that have been made by the</li> <li>defense lawyers in this case representing Live Nation</li> <li>Worldwide. They don't have proof. They just have a lot of</li> <li>talk. Talk a lot, prove a little.</li> <li>Subjective and objective. That was, like, the main</li> <li>topic of the beginning. Subjective, objective. Then they</li> <li>realize all the objective proof is with us. Then maybe they</li> <li>stopped talking about it.</li> <li>Maybe he is not taking his meds. Remember that?</li> <li>Some person in this case, with absolutely no proof, said,</li> <li>Maybe he's not taking his meds. Just throw it out there.</li> <li>Maybe he doesn't have epilepsy. Really? Okay.</li> <li>And by the way, that's still their position. Maybe he has</li> <li>got it, may be he doesn't. They don't care, but they don't</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	person is more credible than you or me? No. That's ridiculous. That's ridiculous. Swearing to tell the truth. Listen to the judge when the judge tells you the charge of falsus in uno, because you are allowed you are the judges of the facts. We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges of the facts. Not us. Not the judge. He can't invade your province on that. You are the judges of the facts. Nobody gets involved with that but you. Only you. And when you do that, if you think that somebody didn't tell you something truthfully, you don't have to take it into consideration. You can take part of it into consideration or none of it into consideration. That's up to you. Not me. I know what I think. Defendant Live Nation Worldwide's witnesses are talking out of two sides of their mouths. You can't say, I don't want to say something bad, and then try to say to you, in a very nice way, that he's trying to fool you. Because that's what this case is. There's no defense to this case, there's no defense to this case. None, zero. There's no evidence. This kid is planning and plotting since	<ul> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Now, I was very careful to listen</li> <li>closely to these stray comments that have been made by the</li> <li>defense lawyers in this case representing Live Nation</li> <li>Worldwide. They don't have proof. They just have a lot of</li> <li>talk. Talk a lot, prove a little.</li> <li>Subjective and objective. That was, like, the main</li> <li>topic of the beginning. Subjective, objective. Then they</li> <li>realize all the objective proof is with us. Then maybe they</li> <li>stopped talking about it.</li> <li>Maybe he is not taking his meds. Remember that?</li> <li>Some person in this case, with absolutely no proof, said,</li> <li>Maybe he's not taking his meds. Just throw it out there.</li> <li>Maybe you will grab onto it. Oh, okay. Maybe the reason</li> <li>that that's happening is he is not taking his meds. Okay.</li> <li>No proof.</li> <li>Maybe he doesn't have epilepsy. Really? Okay.</li> <li>And by the way, that's still their position. Maybe he has</li> <li>got it, may be he doesn't. They don't care, but they don't</li> <li>want to pay for it. They don't want to pay for it. No</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	person is more credible than you or me? No. That's ridiculous. That's ridiculous. Swearing to tell the truth. Listen to the judge when the judge tells you the charge of falsus in uno, because you are allowed you are the judges of the facts. We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges of the facts. We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges of the facts. Not us. Not the judge. He can't invade your province on that. You are the judges of the facts. Nobody gets involved with that but you. Only you. And when you do that, if you think that somebody didn't tell you something truthfully, you don't have to take it into consideration. You can take part of it into consideration or none of it into consideration. That's up to you. Not me. I know what I think. Defendant Live Nation Worldwide's witnesses are talking out of two sides of their mouths. You can't say, I don't want to say something bad, and then try to say to you, in a very nice way, that he's trying to fool you. Because that's what this case is. There's no defense to this case, there's no defense to this case. None, zero. There's no evidence. This kid is planning and plotting since 2013-1415? He is having brain surgeries? It's craziness.	<ul> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Now, I was very careful to listen</li> <li>closely to these stray comments that have been made by the</li> <li>defense lawyers in this case representing Live Nation</li> <li>Worldwide. They don't have proof. They just have a lot of</li> <li>talk. Talk a lot, prove a little.</li> <li>Subjective and objective. That was, like, the main</li> <li>topic of the beginning. Subjective, objective. Then they</li> <li>realize all the objective proof is with us. Then maybe they</li> <li>stopped talking about it.</li> <li>Maybe he is not taking his meds. Remember that?</li> <li>Some person in this case, with absolutely no proof, said,</li> <li>Maybe he's not taking his meds. Just throw it out there.</li> <li>Maybe he doesn't have epilepsy. Really? Okay.</li> <li>And by the way, that's still their position. Maybe he has</li> <li>got it, may be he doesn't. They don't want to pay for it. No</li> <li>objective prove. Proof of seizures.</li> <li>He could be a doorman. I love that. I love that.</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	person is more credible than you or me? No. That's ridiculous. That's ridiculous. Swearing to tell the truth. Listen to the judge when the judge tells you the charge of falsus in uno, because you are allowed you are the judges of the facts. We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges of the facts. We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges of the facts. Not us. Not the judge. He can't invade your province on that. You are the judges of the facts. Nobody gets involved with that but you. Only you. And when you do that, if you think that somebody didn't tell you something truthfully, you don't have to take it into consideration. You can take part of it into consideration or none of it into consideration. That's up to you. Not me. I know what I think. Defendant Live Nation Worldwide's witnesses are talking out of two sides of their mouths. You can't say, I don't want to say something bad, and then try to say to you, in a very nice way, that he's trying to fool you. Because that's what this case is. There's no defense to this case, there's no defense to this case. None, zero. There's no evidence. This kid is planning and plotting since 2013-1415? He is having brain surgeries? It's craziness. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)	<ul> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Now, I was very careful to listen</li> <li>closely to these stray comments that have been made by the</li> <li>defense lawyers in this case representing Live Nation</li> <li>Worldwide. They don't have proof. They just have a lot of</li> <li>talk. Talk a lot, prove a little.</li> <li>Subjective and objective. That was, like, the main</li> <li>topic of the beginning. Subjective, objective. Then they</li> <li>realize all the objective proof is with us. Then maybe they</li> <li>stopped talking about it.</li> <li>Maybe he is not taking his meds. Remember that?</li> <li>Some person in this case, with absolutely no proof, said,</li> <li>Maybe he's not taking his meds. Just throw it out there.</li> <li>Maybe he doesn't have epilepsy. Really? Okay.</li> <li>No proof.</li> <li>Maybe he doesn't have epilepsy. Really? Okay.</li> <li>And by the way, that's still their position. Maybe he has</li> <li>got it, may be he doesn't. They don't care, but they don't</li> <li>want to pay for it. They don't want to pay for it. No</li> <li>objective prove. Proof of seizures.</li> <li>He could be a doorman. I love that. I love that.</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	person is more credible than you or me? No. That's ridiculous. That's ridiculous. Swearing to tell the truth. Listen to the judge when the judge tells you the charge of falsus in uno, because you are allowed you are the judges of the facts. We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges of the facts. We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges of the facts. Not us. Not the judge. He can't invade your province on that. You are the judges of the facts. Nobody gets involved with that but you. Only you. And when you do that, if you think that somebody didn't tell you something truthfully, you don't have to take it into consideration. You can take part of it into consideration or none of it into consideration. That's up to you. Not me. I know what I think. Defendant Live Nation Worldwide's witnesses are talking out of two sides of their mouths. You can't say, I don't want to say something bad, and then try to say to you, in a very nice way, that he's trying to fool you. Because that's what this case is. There's no defense to this case, there's no defense to this case. None, zero. There's no evidence. This kid is planning and plotting since 2013-1415? He is having brain surgeries? It's craziness. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: So let's judge the demeanor, and	<ul> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Now, I was very careful to listen</li> <li>closely to these stray comments that have been made by the</li> <li>defense lawyers in this case representing Live Nation</li> <li>Worldwide. They don't have proof. They just have a lot of</li> <li>talk. Talk a lot, prove a little.</li> <li>Subjective and objective. That was, like, the main</li> <li>topic of the beginning. Subjective, objective. Then they</li> <li>realize all the objective proof is with us. Then maybe they</li> <li>stopped talking about it.</li> <li>Maybe he is not taking his meds. Remember that?</li> <li>Some person in this case, with absolutely no proof, said,</li> <li>Maybe he's not taking his meds. Just throw it out there.</li> <li>Maybe he doesn't have epilepsy. Really? Okay.</li> <li>And by the way, that's still their position. Maybe he has</li> <li>got it, may be he doesn't. They don't want to pay for it. No</li> <li>objective prove. Proof of seizures.</li> <li>He could be a doorman. I love that. I love that.</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	person is more credible than you or me? No. That's ridiculous. That's ridiculous. Swearing to tell the truth. Listen to the judge when the judge tells you the charge of falsus in uno, because you are allowed you are the judges of the facts. We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges of the facts. We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges of the facts. Not us. Not the judge. He can't invade your province on that. You are the judges of the facts. Nobody gets involved with that but you. Only you. And when you do that, if you think that somebody didn't tell you something truthfully, you don't have to take it into consideration. You can take part of it into consideration or none of it into consideration. That's up to you. Not me. I know what I think. Defendant Live Nation Worldwide's witnesses are talking out of two sides of their mouths. You can't say, I don't want to say something bad, and then try to say to you, in a very nice way, that he's trying to fool you. Because that's what this case is. There's no defense to this case, there's no defense to this case. None, zero. There's no evidence. This kid is planning and plotting since 2013-1415? He is having brain surgeries? It's craziness. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)	<ol> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Now, I was very careful to listen</li> <li>closely to these stray comments that have been made by the</li> <li>defense lawyers in this case representing Live Nation</li> <li>Worldwide. They don't have proof. They just have a lot of</li> <li>talk. Talk a lot, prove a little.</li> <li>Subjective and objective. That was, like, the main</li> <li>topic of the beginning. Subjective, objective. Then they</li> <li>realize all the objective proof is with us. Then maybe they</li> <li>stopped talking about it.</li> <li>Maybe he is not taking his meds. Remember that?</li> <li>Some person in this case, with absolutely no proof, said,</li> <li>Maybe he's not taking his meds. Just throw it out there.</li> <li>Maybe he doesn't have epilepsy. Really? Okay.</li> <li>No proof.</li> <li>Maybe he doesn't. They don't care, but they don't</li> <li>want to pay for it. They don't want to pay for it. No</li> <li>objective prove. Proof of seizures.</li> <li>He could be a doorman. I love that. I love that.</li> <li>I love it to death. I wake up in the middle of the night</li> </ol>

Summation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli)

Oui			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Give him the money. You know, all these charts were here. Give him the money. Give him that. Give it to him. They are generous. Live Nation Worldwide is generous. Give it to him. Give him some crumbs. There he is. Give it to him. This college drop out. This malingerer. Give him some crumbs. Go ahead. Dismiss him. Get him out of here, already. Live Nation Worldwide. Throw him some crumbs. \$10 million. Imagine what they think it's really worth, if they are telling you that? It's unbelievably insulting.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	That's what Dr. Gordon says. So, we then heard about Dr. Kristen Dams-O'Connor, and that was January 2016. "I'm going to ask you to read to the jury just the
	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER		LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER
Su	mmation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1766	Sun	nmation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1768
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the foggiest idea, but within these four hours, they have to prepare all his meals, make sure he takes his meds. Are they coming one hour in the morning, one in the afternoon, one a little later, and one late at night? Are the four hours at the end of the day? Are the four hours at the beginning of the day? Maybe the four hours are while he is being a doorman. That's even better. I love that. Oh, excuse me. This is my oh, what's your name again? That's my my caregiver.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"Answer: Yes. I agree with her, and it confirms my findings." (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: Dr. Kusnetz, now. "Dr. Gordon, you were also asked many questions about Sophir-Kusnetz. Do you remember that? "Answer: Yes, do I. "I'm going to ask you to look at her summary and recommendations. "Answer: Evidence of slowed processing speed, and an impulsive response style were apparent throughout the

Page 1765 Summation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli)

LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER

Page 1767

# Perez v.

Perez v. Live Nation	December 5, 2019
Summation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1769	Page 1771
<ol> <li>style because she could she Mark Perez. Not reading records</li> <li>years and years later, so you can get a discount for your</li> <li>client.</li> <li>Impulsive response style, would that be an example</li> <li>of somebody who would begin performing a task that they were</li> <li>asked to do before the instructions had been completed?</li> <li>That's what Dr. Gordon said. Consistent or inconsistent</li> <li>with what you found? Totally consistent. Dr. Kusnetz says</li> <li>his performance was also listen carefully most likely</li> <li>confounded by increasing levels of pain. Dr. Kusnetz said</li> <li>that. Well, she was unable to differentiate whether his</li> <li>performance was due to the brain injury or his chronic pain.</li> <li>And what have they been selling you all of this time? The</li> <li>opposite of that. Pain can't affect it. Depression can't</li> <li>affect it. Yeah, Dr. Barr. Let's sum it all up. You</li> <li>didn't change your opinion about anything? Oh, no. I</li> <li>didn't change my opinion.</li> <li>I think it's the right time, Judge.</li> <li>THE COURT: Very good.</li> <li>Ladies and Gentlemen, we are going to take a break</li> <li>for lunch. Just one thing I want to remind you, that the</li> <li>case hasn't been submitted to you yet for deliberations,</li> <li>which means you still can't do any of the research, any</li> <li>talking about the case amongst yourselves, any asking</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>where I thought that we were this morning, when at least</li> <li>somebody needed to make an objection when something was</li> <li>happening, and I heard none.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: Fair. We knew it was coming, Judge.</li> <li>We gave you a brief. We specifically said</li> <li>THE COURT: And what you specifically said was</li> <li>if I know you gave me a brief. I read your brief. I</li> <li>agree with some of it, not entirely all of it, but where we</li> <li>ended the discussion, I think even on the record, and before</li> <li>we started the summation was, we are not going to I left</li> <li>it to your discretion as to sidebars and things of that</li> <li>nature; I would ask for one if I felt that I needed one; but</li> <li>I think what was very clear was objections still need to be</li> <li>made.</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: Understood. Thank you, your Honor.</li> <li>(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)</li> <li>* * * * *</li> </ul>
LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER
Summation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1770	Summation - Mr. Morelli Page 1772
<ol> <li>pick back up. I'm going to have you report back downstairs</li> <li>at 2:00 and we can begin at 2:15, to finish up with</li> <li>Mr. Morelli, and I'll give you my instructions; all right?</li> <li>THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting.</li> <li>(Whereupon, the jury was excused from the</li> <li>courtroom.)</li> <li>THE COURT: Anything?</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: Yes, your Honor.</li> <li>So once again, we made the application beforehand.</li> <li>It is inappropriate for Mr. Morelli to suggest something is</li> <li>insulting to him. That is not a fair comment on the</li> <li>evidence. He has said that on multiple occasions. We made</li> <li>an application in anticipation of him doing that. We would</li> <li>ask that you admonish him to stop it.</li> <li>Specifically, he said, "I know what I think,"</li> <li>again, taking off on the comments that he said on multiple</li> <li>occasions about being personally insulted. That's</li> <li>inappropriate. He is not permitted to do that.</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Where is the law on it? Let me</li> <li>see the law on it.</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: I think everything has been fair</li> <li>comment.</li> <li>THE COURT: First of all, maybe I misunderstood LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.)</li> <li>MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) So, I want to come back</li> <li>to Dr. Ambrose who stated that she wanted to err on the side</li> <li>of overtreating Mark. She says she wants to err on the side</li> <li>of overtreating Mark, but she only wants to give him help</li> <li>and supervision four hours a day, seven days a week not 24/7</li> <li>and not by somebody with medical training.</li> <li>I submit to you that doesn't make any sense. It</li> <li>would make more sense if she said he needed nothing, than</li> <li>four hours a day because once you say that he needs</li> <li>something seven days a week, he needs to be able to take his</li> <li>medications. He has to remember. He has to be able to do</li> <li>the thing that he has to do.</li> <li>So, I submit to you it makes no sense. They say</li> <li>they want to give him the benefit of the doubt.</li> <li>Well, I haven't heard anything during this case</li> <li>where they're trying to give Mark the benefit of the doubt.</li> <li>I think they just want a discount which is what I've been</li> <li>telling you and I want you to keep your eye on the ball with</li> <li>reference to that.</li> <li>I spoke with Dr. Jordan after he talked about the</li> <li>test I gave MoCA and Rey and I said: "Doctor, now the tests</li> <li>such as MoCA and Rey and you have heard of the TOMM test</li> </ol>

Perez Live 1	z v. Nation		December 5, 2019
	nation - Mr. Morelli Page 17	73 Sı	Immation - Mr. Morelli Page 1775
2 3 4 7 6 in 7 a 8 9 ta 10 11 ju 12 ca 13 h 14 y 15 d 16 17 h 14 y 15 d 16 17 12 ca 13 h 14 y 15 12 ca 13 h 14 y 15 12 ca 13 h 14 14 y 15 16 17 12 12 13 h 14 14 y 15 16 17 12 12 13 h 14 14 y 15 16 17 17 18 16 17 17 17 18 19 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	<ul> <li>oo, have you not?" He says: "Yes." I said, "there's been a lot of studies about the COMM test, have there not?" He said "some, perhaps, not all of them. It is n in my area of expertise," and he said, "I have never idministered the TOMM test nor do I know how to Now, why is that an important slide, important estimony in the case? Because there's been testimony lor, Barr that the TOMM test and all of these tests they' ast like adding up numbers. They're very simple. Anybou an do it. You know, you add this up. You add that up an the says also and it was just the other day, he says We you know, a neurologist gives the test. Brain injur loctors give the test because it's very simple to do. Here's Dr. Jordan from Harvard Medical School w appens to be a neurologist with those good credentials a te doesn't know how to administer the TOMM test So, it's very important to weave the testimony ogether. So, you have a tapestry of exactly what t laintiffs have put forth here and what the defendants a rying to put forth here. It's very, very important. I'm not afraid of the evidence and I just want te able to bring it to you so that you see exactly what tf eal evidence is in this case.</li> </ul>	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7$	<ul> <li>that Mark Perez was unemployable.</li> <li>Now, we've heard from all the other witnesses that</li> <li>the defense has brought forward and I think they are now</li> <li>saying he's unemployable. So, they've sort of, you know,</li> <li>evolved their defenses, evolved, but she said in her draft</li> <li>report unemployable and it just happened that she brought</li> <li>the draft report with her or we wouldn't have known because</li> <li>she was reading off the draft report, and I was reading off</li> <li>the only report that I had and that's how we found out that</li> <li>the final report said can't return to his prior employment.</li> <li>I submit to you that's not the same thing. Okay.</li> <li>That's not a typo and that's not clarification. That's a</li> <li>big time change.</li> </ul>
	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER		LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
Summ	nation - Mr. Morelli Page 17	74 Su	Immation - Mr. Morelli Page 1776
1 2 tl 3 4 C 5 h 6 sr 7 b 8 tr 9 e 10 11 p 12 it 13 tl 14 15 p 16 c 17 d 18 s 19 20 V 21 22 a 23 T 24 tl	So, what's the defense? And I spent a lot of time hinking about what this defense is. Well, the first defense that we have is to deny One of the things that they're denying in this case is the e has traumatic epilepsy because they right now, I'm not ure, you know, if they feel definitively one way about but I'm telling you that the sense is that they're denying raumatic epilepsy and it doesn't matter that there's evidence of it. They want to deceive the effects of cognitive problems. That's very, very important to think about an t's one of the things that I harped on, you know, befor he lunch break that they've all said the same thing We can't figure out the extent of the cognitive problems that Mark is suffering from and I basically we ross-examining and I say Well, who's fault is this? Will lo you mean you can't figure it out? I think, witho aying it outright, they blamed Mark, okay. So, that's the deceiving part. We got the denia We got the deceiving and we've got the defaming. So, they went now, from C's to D's. Credentia and credibility to the D category. What are they doin they're claiming malingering and if I understand wh hey're putting forth in the case and I think I do, they' aying he's not making a full effort. LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	ae       1 $at$ 4 $ao$ 9 $at$ 6 $as$ 12 $at$ 16 $at$ 16 $at$ 16 $as$ 12 $as$ 21 $g?$ 22 $at$ 23	I want you to know that you just heard earlier today from the defense for Live Nation Worldwide that none of the changes were substantive. They were just changes that had to be made, you know, spelling, typing. So, the first report said Mark Perez is faking. Dr. Jordan's next report, that term disappears. He doesn't want to commit to malingering, but wants to use embellishing. He can't determine Mark's intent. Which I showed you earlier, so we don't have to beat it to death. But that's not the same. First you're saying he's faking and then you're backing off it and he also said in his entire career he's never used that term and he's like 30-plus years he is a doctor. He's never used the term before. He reserved that term "faking" for this young man right there. Then he pulled it because he probably had a conversation with somebody about it and they said you ain't putting that in there. What about Dr. Doyle? His report. Quote. Quote: "I do believe Mark Perez has epilepsy." That's from his report. That's a quote. This isn't like I'm trying to figure out what the testimony is. This is the testimony. In Court. You were there. You heard him. He might have epilepsy.

Pere Live	z v. Nation	December 5, 2019
Sumr	mation - Mr. Morelli Page 1777	Summation - Mr. Morelli Page 1779
2 ] 3 4 t 5 4 6 5 7 8 1 9 2 10 t 11 1 12 2 13 \ 14 2 15 16 17 4 18 f 19 6 20 § 21 2 23	court: He might have epilepsy. It's not the same thing. It's not the same thing. So, this is this whole deceiving thing that has been going on and I know you remember that he says that he has a medical and a legal opinion. You can't make this stuff up. Okay, because this wasn't me saying: Do you have a nedical opinion and legal opinion. He says he has a medical and legal opinion. Dr. Doyle has two opinions. I leave that to you as to what that means exactly. So, let's talk about Mark because Mark, he can't do anything that the defendant's lawyers, for Live Nation Worldwide, will say is okay. Because he's damned if he does and he's damned if he doesn't. Let's investigate that. Defendant says Mark lacks effort, but he actually keeps trying. He actually keeps trying. Tried Yoga. He failed. He had a seizure. Well, it might not have been an epileptic seizure because it was Hot Yoga. They're not giving into that. Tried the bike at the gym. He had a seizure. Failed again. Tried to live alone in his own apartment. Failed again. Had to go back home. He didn't have to tell anybody this. He wants to	<ol> <li>So, let's really look at what this case is really</li> <li>about, because images don't lie.</li> <li>That's his brain. (Indicating.) That's a CAT scan</li> <li>of Mark's brain and on this side over here is all the</li> <li>fractures of his skull and the bleeding outside the brain</li> <li>because that's the brain there.</li> <li>And this is when it first happened, okay.</li> <li>So, the brain hadn't changed that much at that time</li> <li>except for the blood, okay, and the fractures and you can</li> <li>see here that it's displaced.</li> <li>You could see it displaced here, separated, the</li> <li>bone and that's not, you know let's go back there.</li> <li>That's not subjective. That's objective.</li> <li>This is the CAT scan from the beginning.</li> <li>So, now, let's see about if you remember Dr. Lipton</li> <li>who came in. He's a neuroradiologist and he testified. He</li> <li>read the films in this case. He's the only person who</li> <li>testified about reading the films and showing you the actual</li> <li>films, the CAT scans.</li> <li>He took an MRI in the case. We'll talk about that</li> <li>in a minute and he told us about it, what we see on the CAT</li> <li>scans. Skull on the right side. Multiple fractures. Some</li> <li>of which are displaced, which I just showed you.</li> <li>Facial fractures around the orbit or eye socket and</li> </ol>
25	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
Sumr	mation - Mr. Morelli Page 1778	
2 3 3 4 5 1 6 1 7 8 1 9 10 1 11 12 6 13 14 y 15 16 y 17 1 18 6 19 1 20 1 21 2 23 6 24	be okay. He wants to be independent. He wants to be a 35, 36 year old grown man. That's what he wants to be. He doesn't want to be here with you. Don't mean it like that, but he wants to be in his life. Wants to have a good life. So, he keeps trying and they want to get credit for that. They want to bring him down. Tried to do his own laundry. He failed. He blew up the washing machine. Tried to do work that he used to do. He failed. He can't do it and it's frustrating and it's difficult. Defendant wants to penalize him rather than crediting him for his efforts. So, here's the interesting thing and you know when you do something, when you do something it speaks volumes. What did they put into evidence today and I want you to take a good look at it. They put into evidence that Mark Perez who told you that he tried driving has a valid driver's license. That's what they put into evidence because this is going to change your mind about something, that he has a valid driver's license. The doctor has now told him that he can't drive. So, he hasn't for a couple of years but that's not good enough. Put in a valid driver's license. Maybe the jury will think something about that when, in fact, he never hid it. He never hid it. LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	<ol> <li>Large hematoma, bleeding outside the brain on the</li> <li>right side.</li> <li>Now, when I was showing you the image and it was on</li> <li>the left, as he told you, that's the right side because it's</li> <li>turned around. So, when I was showing you that white, that</li> <li>bleeding outside the brain on the right side, that's what</li> <li>he's talking about.</li> <li>Diffuse swelling. What does that mean? It's all</li> <li>over. It's all over. Midline shift because the brain is so</li> <li>soft and when this bleeding occurs inside the skull, it</li> <li>squashes the brain and pushes it over to the other side.</li> <li>So, the midline shift is if you were looking at the</li> <li>brain, the brain, the midline of it, the way you would if</li> <li>you cut it in half because, don't forget, you're looking</li> <li>down on it.</li> <li>So, if you were looking down on it and you would</li> <li>say this is around the mid point, that mid point would be</li> <li>over here because that's called a midline shift and it</li> <li>actually means that it's been a severe trauma because that</li> <li>wouldn't happen otherwise because it pushes it over to the</li> <li>Side.</li> </ol>

Perez v. Live Nation	December 5, 2019
Summation - Mr. Morelli Page 1781	Summation - Mr. Morelli Page 1783
<ul> <li>just shows how much of the brain in this particular case was</li> <li>affected.</li> <li>It was, as the word says, massively affected.</li> <li>"After decompressive hemicraniectomy," that's the</li> <li>surgery that relieved the pressure, image shows end of the</li> <li>skull, open and opening in membrane where the brain has sort</li> <li>of squired out of that hole in order to relieve the</li> <li>pressure.</li> <li>"Answer: My opinion based on the imaging findings</li> <li>is that this is a severe traumatic brain injury simply</li> <li>because of the amount of swelling and midline shift or</li> <li>herniation in the brain is something that we would only see</li> <li>in someone with a severe traumatic brain injury."</li> <li>So, this is photo evidence of how severe this</li> <li>injury was. This isn't somebody making up that it's only</li> <li>mild. Okay. This is the real deal and there it is.</li> <li>This over here, no skull and you could see over</li> <li>here how the brain has moved this way so that there's more</li> <li>on this side because it's squirting out where there's no</li> <li>skull and this isn't, you know, subjective. This is the</li> <li>real film from that day and, obviously, it's a film of after</li> <li>the surgery because they've already removed the skull and</li> <li>had they not done this, had they not done this, (indicating)</li> <li>he would have died.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>He did MRI and says: "Defects in the surface of</li> <li>the brain which is called encephalomalacia because when you</li> <li>bruise the brain, it dies and it doesn't grow back."</li> <li>Encephalomalacia is actually dead brain tissue.</li> <li>Dead brain tissue. As he says: The brain doesn't</li> <li>regenerate. It doesn't grow back.</li> <li>So, you'll see when I show you the image that</li> <li>there's holes in Mark's brain; and, you're left with these</li> <li>sort of divots or empty spaces in the brain filled with</li> <li>fluid where the dead tissue gets reabsorbed over a period of</li> <li>months by the body.</li> <li>So, the fluid is in there first. Then the body</li> <li>absorbs the fluid and it leaves holes and you'll see in this</li> <li>film that there's a loss of brain tissue in one portion is</li> <li>very, very obvious and that's the one he references as the</li> <li>size of a grape and there's also bleeding in the brain.</li> <li>So, this was the situation that not only was there</li> <li>bleeding outside when he got hurt, there was bleeding inside</li> <li>the skull outside the brain.</li> <li>There was also bleeding inside the brain and if</li> <li>this doesn't sound so good for somebody to have, it's</li> <li>because it isn't so good for somebody to have.</li> <li>So, he has atrophy. The brain has a shriveled or</li> <li>shrunken appearance. So, everybody knows what atrophy is, I</li> </ul>
LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
<ul> <li>Summation - Mr. Morelli Page 1782</li> <li>1 this surgery.</li> <li>2 So, what does Dr. Lipton say? He talked about</li> <li>3 focal injury and diffuse injury. He said in this case we</li> <li>4 find both. The focal injury is important because,</li> <li>5 especially as is seen on the subsequent imaging studies he</li> <li>6 has done, that there is permanent damage and loss of brain</li> <li>7 tissue. Areas that are permanently affected.</li> <li>8 So, there's going to be loss of brain function now.</li> <li>9 The diffuse injury is actually probably even more</li> <li>10 important for his actual functioning because it limits the</li> <li>11 brain to do what it really does best, which is process</li> <li>12 information.</li> <li>13 So, his whole network is messed up and this is</li> <li>14 somebody this is what Dr. Lipton does. He does these</li> <li>15 films. He reports to the doctors who are doing surgery</li> <li>16 about what they have to do. This is his specialty,</li> <li>17 neuroradiology.</li> <li>18 So, now, Dr. Lipton did his own MRI and we're going</li> <li>19 to see that in a minute and he did that because, understand,</li> <li>20 we were just looking at CAT scans that were from way, way</li> <li>21 before this.</li> <li>22 This is 2017 already, right.</li> <li>23 So, what does he say? He did an MRI which is</li> <li>24 different from a CAT scan. We're going to look at it in a</li> <li>25 minute.</li> </ul>	Page 1784          1       assume you didn't use your leg for a long time, you would         2       loss the muscle tone of it and it would get smaller, it         3       would shrink, and that's atrophy.         4       Well, in Mark's case, that happened to his brain         5       and so, this atrophy we will see on the MRI image of         6       4/24/2017 there it is right there.         7       So, let's take a look at it.         8       This is where the skull is missing and this dark         9       spot right here, that's encephalomalacia. That is an         10       encephalomalacia. That's the loss of brain tissue.         11       (Continued on next page.)         12       13         14       15         16       17         18       19         20       21         23       24         25       LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

Liv	Live Nation December 5, 2019				
Sur	nmations by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1785	Sum	nmations by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1787		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. B. MORELLI: But if you look, if you look here	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of what happened to Mark Perez. This is what happened. One of the things that happened. You will see as we go through, there's no dispute as to any of this, because how could there be? (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: This is Dr. Greenwald, and you remember he told you that the brain is everything we are. The brain is everything, so it's our personality, it's our mood, our movement, our vision, our hearing, all wrapped up in that crazy-looking thing. And the frontal lobes is the largest of the lobes, and that's where the big problem was for Mark Perez, the frontal lobes. Now, what do the frontal lobes do? Well, this is some of the things they do. Very important for emotions, for behavior, for memory, and for what we call higher-level thinking. So when and the biggest damage that Mark had was to the frontal lobes, the biggest damage. And so he has all of these are problems with emotions and behavior, and you heard about behavioral dysregulation, meaning that you can't always know what he's going to do next. He can't know; right? So insight, judgment, all of this executive functioning, which means all these things that we have to do when we are planning and trying to figure out our lives, even on a daily basis, he can't do that like a normal person. He can't, because he is too damaged.		
	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER		LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER		
Sur	nmations by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1786	Sum	nmations by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1788		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	can, you know how severe it is. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: So just to summarize what Dr. Lipton says, there are injuries that are outside the brain, fractures to the skull. Two lawyers of membranes that protect the brain. Bleeding, in this case, was both outside of those, between those, and underneath those. So we have multiple layers of bleeding. Something that happens as a result of trauma. In addition to that, as we move from the outside, there's also multiple areas where there is injury to the surface of the brain. The brain impacts inside the skull. It compresses, and twists, and it tears. (Whereupon, an item was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: And you know, I know that he showed you this, but what is important to know, because I was watching closely when he showed you, that this brain is hard. A real brain, any of us, is soft, like jelly, and here there was tearing of the tissues of the brain. The brain was compressed and twisted out of shape, and what he is talking about here, that it now has a problem, because inside the skull is hard, and it's not smooth, so that when the brain has this problem, it impacts this skull and it tears it, and damages it. So this was the extent of what was going on with Mark Perez. The real world, the real case	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	And it's our personality. So it's not only all of that, and he also talks, now, is the temporal lobe, which is near the ear. It's also very important for movement, sensation and memory. Now, we know that he has, you know memory problems. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: So Dr. Lipton tells us: "So the areas that are commonly affected would be the types of things that I just described, things related to what we generally refer to as higher cognitive and emotional functioning." So the things I mentioned, such as the ability to pay attention, to regulate your sleep, to plan, to control your mood, personality, language function. And I submit to you that when someone is trying to sell you a bill of goods and there has been some salesmanship going on here, and they're trying to tell you that this young man has been doing all of this planning; you know? They don't want to say it straight up, but that's what they mean. It doesn't make any sense, because it's not the medicine in the case, and it's certainly not what's going on with Mark's brain. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: What are his deficits? Some of his deficits. I made it a very straightforward slide. Poor		
	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER		LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER		

LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER

LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER

# P L

Liv	Live Nation December 5, 2019			
Sur	nmations by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1789	Su	mmations by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1791	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	judgment. Memory loss, which includes a lot of different kinds of memory. Behavior dysregulation, which is what I just spoke about. Failure to plan. Attention. Processing speed. Visual perception. Intellectual function. Executive functions. Anxiety. Depression. These are all of the problems that Mark has. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: Now, he has Dr.^ Greenwald spoke to this, and said, he talked about it involving the two frontal lobes, the two temporal lobes, which are critical for who we are, for our cognition, memory, thinking, attention, concentration, personality, emotions. And he said a diffuse severe traumatic brain injury makes it that much likely that he will recover; okay? A severe brain injury is very difficult to come back from. A diffuse severe traumatic brain injury makes it that much more likely that he won't, and that's what he has to live with, and that's what he has to think about. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: Dr. Greenwald tells us that the frontal lobes, that are critical structures that make us who we are, devastated, he called it. Both of these temporal lobes, devastated. And we'll see more about that. Significant scarring and death of tissue in these critical	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>the noise. It sounded like The Exorcist. This odd, high-pitched screeching, wailing, uncontrolled noise came out of his throat. I thought he was choking at first on his dinner, and he was spitting up food, and it looked like his head was locked off to the left, and I noticed one of his left hands was curling up. After five minutes or so he came out of it, and he was really shaken up. The neurosurgeon came in and explained he had one during the operation, and one after. You know, that was a new level to this whole thing, with the way he was.</li> <li>That's what his brother saw at the time. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: So let's hear about what Dr. Greenwald has to say about this increased risk of seizures in the future, because he says, in Mark Perez's case, we have all the objective meaning nobody can deny it evidence of all this trauma, and we know that the number one cause of seizures in the United States is actually traumatic brain injury, like we see in Mark's case. 25 percent lifetime risk. So his whole life, even if he had not had a seizure up until today, he would continue to have that risk, over his lifetime.</li> </ul>	
25	lobes. He is the brain injury specialist.	25	short-term, after such a traumatic brain injury. Like I	
	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER		LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER	
Sur	nmations by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1790		mmations by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1792	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Immations by Plaintiff (B. Morelli)Page 1790(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: Now, this post traumatic epilepsy, let's talk about that, because that's a topic that has been talked about, and let's clear it up. Dr. Greenwald agrees that he has post-traumatic epilepsy; Dr.^ Schwartz agrees that he has post-traumatic epilepsy; and Dr. Jordan agrees that he has post-traumatic epilepsy; and Dr. Jordan agrees that he has post-traumatic epilepsy; and Dr. Jordan agrees that he has post-traumatic epilepsy. And the medical records, there was an EEG that showed it, there was a hospital record, and he had a seizure at yoga. And if you remember, each one of these doctors agree on post-traumatic epilepsy. Each one, and these are all of the proof. And you remember, and I'm not going to show you the video again, of him having the seizure. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: Now, this is the first seizure that Justin Perez viewed his brother having, and this is important, to note exactly what happened. The first seizure happened, actually, while he was being operated on. This is 2015, now, when he had an operation in 2015. He had one	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>said, it lasts over his whole life; okay? So and when you have this brain surgery; another surgery related to his brain injury; the taking out of the bone; putting the bone back in; the infections that he had, so certainly something that is more traumatizing to the body like surgery, when you need to be under anesthesia, where your body experiences pain, these lower the seizure threshold, and bring that seizure out. It is not that it wasn't sitting there already, but it sort of makes it clear that this gentlemen, meaning Mark, is going to have a seizure, and a seizure disorder. And that's what this case is really about. Can we take a short break, Judge? THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the jury was excused from the courtroom.)</li> <li>(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)</li> <li>* * * * *</li> <li>THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering. (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.)</li> </ul>	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	mmations by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1790 (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: Now, this post traumatic epilepsy, let's talk about that, because that's a topic that has been talked about, and let's clear it up. Dr. Greenwald agrees that he has post-traumatic epilepsy; Dr.^ Schwartz agrees that he has post-traumatic epilepsy; Dr. Fayer agrees that he has post-traumatic epilepsy; and Dr. Jordan agrees that he has post-traumatic epilepsy. And the medical records, there was an EEG that showed it, there was a hospital record, and he had a seizure at yoga. And if you remember, each one of these doctors agree on post-traumatic epilepsy. Each one, and these are all of the proof. And you remember, and I'm not going to show you the video again, of him having the seizure. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: Now, this is the first seizure that Justin Perez viewed his brother having, and this is important, to note exactly what happened. The first seizure happened, actually, while he was being operated on. This is 2015, now, when he had an operation in 2015. He had one while he was being operated on, and that was operation	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>said, it lasts over his whole life; okay? So and when you have this brain surgery; another surgery related to his brain injury; the taking out of the bone; putting the bone back in; the infections that he had, so certainly something that is more traumatizing to the body like surgery, when you need to be under anesthesia, where your body experiences pain, these lower the seizure threshold, and bring that seizure out. It is not that it wasn't sitting there already, but it sort of makes it clear that this gentlemen, meaning Mark, is going to have a seizure, and a seizure disorder. And that's what this case is really about. Can we take a short break, Judge? THE COURT: Sure. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the jury was excused from the courtroom.)</li> <li>(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)</li> <li>* * * *</li> <li>* THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering. (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.)</li> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> </ul>	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	mmations by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1790 (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: Now, this post traumatic epilepsy, let's talk about that, because that's a topic that has been talked about, and let's clear it up. Dr. Greenwald agrees that he has post-traumatic epilepsy; Dr.^ Schwartz agrees that he has post-traumatic epilepsy; Dr. Fayer agrees that he has post-traumatic epilepsy; and Dr. Jordan agrees that he has post-traumatic epilepsy. And the medical records, there was an EEG that showed it, there was a hospital record, and he had a seizure at yoga. And if you remember, each one of these doctors agree on post-traumatic epilepsy. Each one, and these are all of the proof. And you remember, and I'm not going to show you the video again, of him having the seizure. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: Now, this is the first seizure that Justin Perez viewed his brother having, and this is important, to note exactly what happened. The first seizure happened, actually, while he was being operated on. This is 2015, now, when he had an operation in 2015. He had one while he was being operated on, and that was operation number four. When he came out of the surgery, the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>said, it lasts over his whole life; okay? So and when you have this brain surgery; another surgery related to his brain injury; the taking out of the bone; putting the bone back in; the infections that he had, so certainly something that is more traumatizing to the body like surgery, when you need to be under anesthesia, where your body experiences pain, these lower the seizure threshold, and bring that seizure out. It is not that it wasn't sitting there already, but it sort of makes it clear that this gentlemen, meaning Mark, is going to have a seizure, and a seizure disorder. And that's what this case is really about. Can we take a short break, Judge? THE COURT: Sure. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the jury was excused from the courtroom.)</li> <li>(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)</li> <li>* * * * *</li> <li>THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering. (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.)</li> <li>(Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.)</li> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: So getting back to Dr. Schwartz,</li> </ul>	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	mmations by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1790 (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: Now, this post traumatic epilepsy, let's talk about that, because that's a topic that has been talked about, and let's clear it up. Dr. Greenwald agrees that he has post-traumatic epilepsy; Dr.^ Schwartz agrees that he has post-traumatic epilepsy; Dr. Fayer agrees that he has post-traumatic epilepsy; and Dr. Jordan agrees that he has post-traumatic epilepsy. And the medical records, there was an EEG that showed it, there was a hospital record, and he had a seizure at yoga. And if you remember, each one of these doctors agree on post-traumatic epilepsy. Each one, and these are all of the proof. And you remember, and I'm not going to show you the video again, of him having the seizure. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: Now, this is the first seizure that Justin Perez viewed his brother having, and this is important, to note exactly what happened. The first seizure happened, actually, while he was being operated on. This is 2015, now, when he had an operation in 2015. He had one while he was being operated on, and that was operation	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>said, it lasts over his whole life; okay? So and when you have this brain surgery; another surgery related to his brain injury; the taking out of the bone; putting the bone back in; the infections that he had, so certainly something that is more traumatizing to the body like surgery, when you need to be under anesthesia, where your body experiences pain, these lower the seizure threshold, and bring that seizure out. It is not that it wasn't sitting there already, but it sort of makes it clear that this gentlemen, meaning Mark, is going to have a seizure, and a seizure disorder. And that's what this case is really about. Can we take a short break, Judge? THE COURT: Sure. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the jury was excused from the courtroom.)</li> <li>(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)</li> <li>* * * * *</li> <li>THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering. (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.)</li> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: So getting back to Dr. Schwartz,</li> </ul>	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	mmations by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1790 (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: Now, this post traumatic epilepsy, let's talk about that, because that's a topic that has been talked about, and let's clear it up. Dr. Greenwald agrees that he has post-traumatic epilepsy; Dr.^ Schwartz agrees that he has post-traumatic epilepsy; Dr. Fayer agrees that he has post-traumatic epilepsy; and Dr. Jordan agrees that he has post-traumatic epilepsy. And the medical records, there was an EEG that showed it, there was a hospital record, and he had a seizure at yoga. And if you remember, each one of these doctors agree on post-traumatic epilepsy. Each one, and these are all of the proof. And you remember, and I'm not going to show you the video again, of him having the seizure. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: Now, this is the first seizure that Justin Perez viewed his brother having, and this is important, to note exactly what happened. The first seizure happened, actually, while he was being operated on. This is 2015, now, when he had an operation in 2015. He had one while he was being operated on, and that was operation number four. When he came out of the surgery, the neurosurgeon said he had one during the operation. Then	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>said, it lasts over his whole life; okay? So and when you have this brain surgery; another surgery related to his brain injury; the taking out of the bone; putting the bone back in; the infections that he had, so certainly something that is more traumatizing to the body like surgery, when you need to be under anesthesia, where your body experiences pain, these lower the seizure threshold, and bring that seizure out. It is not that it wasn't sitting there already, but it sort of makes it clear that this gentlemen, meaning Mark, is going to have a seizure, and a seizure disorder. And that's what this case is really about. Can we take a short break, Judge? THE COURT: Sure. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the jury was excused from the courtroom.)</li> <li>(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)</li> <li>* * * * *</li> <li>THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: So getting back to Dr. Schwartz, and I'll just highlight a couple of things here, he</li> </ul>	

ere	z v.
live	Nation

			December 5, 2019
Sun	nmations by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1793	Sur	mmations by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1795
1	on subjective complaints, as well as EEG. And you have to	1	happened with one of the ribs that broke, it punctured his
	also understand, if somebody has an EEG, unless they have a		lung, so that he had a hemothorax, and that is he had a
	seizure right while you are doing an EEG, it's not going to		pneumothorax. Pneumo means air. Hemo means blood. The
	come up.		ribs made a hole in the lung. Air and blood got in, for
5	So Dr. Schwartz has told us that he has this		which he had to have a chest tube, and that's why, when he
	intractable epilepsy. Now, what is important to know is		went to the hospital, he had a chest tube placed in him.
	that he also says that he will continue to have he will	7	
	continue to have seizures, and he says, although he agrees	8	
	that testing has to be done before he would ever operate on	_	medicine is tough enough to listen to, but let's talk about
	Mark, the difference is that he says he has traumatic		Mark. Let's hear what Dr. Fayer has to say. He has been
	epilepsy, and it is intractable, and he believes that there		seeing him for almost a couple of years now, and he is
	is, in the future, that possibility that if, in fact, these		not only is he a psychiatrist, and but he gets along with
	seizures continue, which he thinks he will, that he's going		Mark, and Mark likes him, and they talk, and he, I believe,
	to need surgery. So he says Mark will continue to have		is being helpful to Mark. Here is what Dr. Fayer thinks,
	seizures.		and Dr. Fayer spends time with him and speaks to him over a
16	(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)		period of time. He said, So pre-accident, on a scale of one
17	MR. B. MORELLI: Now, this slide here by Justin		to ten, I would say that he was a ten. As a person, his
	Perez is talking about Mark being on medication for		personality, that's who he was. He said, I could say after
	seizures, and he has taken him to fill the prescriptions		the accident, his self esteem is like a one. He is
	from the doctors that have prescribed, it and he says to his		shattered. He is shattered.
	knowledge, Mark has been on at least six different	21	
	medications for seizures, and here is the problem that Mark		suffering in this case. The past conscious pain and
	has: He hates the seizure medications, because they make		suffering, which is the biggest part of this case, is the
	him feel less able to do what he already feels he has		conscious pain and suffering. And I know that it's not
	limitations with, and he is more off kilter than usual. His		something that you could fit right in and say, Oh, this is
25	minitations with, and he is more off kneet than usual. This	25	sometining that you could intright in and say, On, this is
	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER		LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER
Sun	nmations by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1794	Sur	mmations by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1796
1	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk	1	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that
1 2	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the	1 2	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that this young man has had so many problems, and Mark Perez
1 2 3	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the medication does to him. But he has to take the medication,	1 2 3	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that this young man has had so many problems, and Mark Perez speaks to how he feels, and let's see what he says.
1 2 3 4	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the medication does to him. But he has to take the medication, and he needs his brother to make sure that he takes it.	1 2 3 4	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that this young man has had so many problems, and Mark Perez speaks to how he feels, and let's see what he says. I don't have a problem with trying or applying
1 2 3 4 5	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the medication does to him. But he has to take the medication, and he needs his brother to make sure that he takes it. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)	1 2 3 4 5	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that this young man has had so many problems, and Mark Perez speaks to how he feels, and let's see what he says. I don't have a problem with trying or applying myself, but I often get confused and frustrated with myself
1 2 3 4 5 6	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the medication does to him. But he has to take the medication, and he needs his brother to make sure that he takes it. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: This is Dr. Lubliner. There's no	1 2 3 4 5 6	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that this young man has had so many problems, and Mark Perez speaks to how he feels, and let's see what he says. I don't have a problem with trying or applying myself, but I often get confused and frustrated with myself because I can't stick with something long enough to finish
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the medication does to him. But he has to take the medication, and he needs his brother to make sure that he takes it. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: This is Dr. Lubliner. There's no dispute as to the orthopedic injuries in this case, but I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that this young man has had so many problems, and Mark Perez speaks to how he feels, and let's see what he says. I don't have a problem with trying or applying myself, but I often get confused and frustrated with myself because I can't stick with something long enough to finish it. So I get up and I walk away, I come back, and I keep on
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the medication does to him. But he has to take the medication, and he needs his brother to make sure that he takes it. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: This is Dr. Lubliner. There's no dispute as to the orthopedic injuries in this case, but I thought somewhat of Dr. Lubliner said is important.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that this young man has had so many problems, and Mark Perez speaks to how he feels, and let's see what he says. I don't have a problem with trying or applying myself, but I often get confused and frustrated with myself because I can't stick with something long enough to finish it. So I get up and I walk away, I come back, and I keep on trying, refusing to figure give up. But I know that it's
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the medication does to him. But he has to take the medication, and he needs his brother to make sure that he takes it. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: This is Dr. Lubliner. There's no dispute as to the orthopedic injuries in this case, but I thought somewhat of Dr. Lubliner said is important. Skull on the right side above the ear, the temporal	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that this young man has had so many problems, and Mark Perez speaks to how he feels, and let's see what he says. I don't have a problem with trying or applying myself, but I often get confused and frustrated with myself because I can't stick with something long enough to finish it. So I get up and I walk away, I come back, and I keep on trying, refusing to figure give up. But I know that it's not it's not as good as it used to be, and it makes me
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the medication does to him. But he has to take the medication, and he needs his brother to make sure that he takes it. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: This is Dr. Lubliner. There's no dispute as to the orthopedic injuries in this case, but I thought somewhat of Dr. Lubliner said is important. Skull on the right side above the ear, the temporal lobe, that was broken all the way down to the roof of the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that this young man has had so many problems, and Mark Perez speaks to how he feels, and let's see what he says. I don't have a problem with trying or applying myself, but I often get confused and frustrated with myself because I can't stick with something long enough to finish it. So I get up and I walk away, I come back, and I keep on trying, refusing to figure give up. But I know that it's not it's not as good as it used to be, and it makes me hate myself, because I know I can do better, but I can't.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the medication does to him. But he has to take the medication, and he needs his brother to make sure that he takes it. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: This is Dr. Lubliner. There's no dispute as to the orthopedic injuries in this case, but I thought somewhat of Dr. Lubliner said is important. Skull on the right side above the ear, the temporal lobe, that was broken all the way down to the roof of the orbit. That's here, above the eye, which is the eyeball.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that this young man has had so many problems, and Mark Perez speaks to how he feels, and let's see what he says. I don't have a problem with trying or applying myself, but I often get confused and frustrated with myself because I can't stick with something long enough to finish it. So I get up and I walk away, I come back, and I keep on trying, refusing to figure give up. But I know that it's not it's not as good as it used to be, and it makes me hate myself, because I know I can do better, but I can't. My brain has been damaged.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the medication does to him. But he has to take the medication, and he needs his brother to make sure that he takes it. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: This is Dr. Lubliner. There's no dispute as to the orthopedic injuries in this case, but I thought somewhat of Dr. Lubliner said is important. Skull on the right side above the ear, the temporal lobe, that was broken all the way down to the roof of the orbit. That's here, above the eye, which is the eyeball. Fracture of the right cheek bone that went all around to the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that this young man has had so many problems, and Mark Perez speaks to how he feels, and let's see what he says. I don't have a problem with trying or applying myself, but I often get confused and frustrated with myself because I can't stick with something long enough to finish it. So I get up and I walk away, I come back, and I keep on trying, refusing to figure give up. But I know that it's not it's not as good as it used to be, and it makes me hate myself, because I know I can do better, but I can't. My brain has been damaged. That's what Mark says, and I submit to you that the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the medication does to him. But he has to take the medication, and he needs his brother to make sure that he takes it. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: This is Dr. Lubliner. There's no dispute as to the orthopedic injuries in this case, but I thought somewhat of Dr. Lubliner said is important. Skull on the right side above the ear, the temporal lobe, that was broken all the way down to the roof of the orbit. That's here, above the eye, which is the eyeball. Fracture of the right cheek bone that went all around to the bottom of the eye. Then he says, he had a big force that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that this young man has had so many problems, and Mark Perez speaks to how he feels, and let's see what he says. I don't have a problem with trying or applying myself, but I often get confused and frustrated with myself because I can't stick with something long enough to finish it. So I get up and I walk away, I come back, and I keep on trying, refusing to figure give up. But I know that it's not it's not as good as it used to be, and it makes me hate myself, because I know I can do better, but I can't. My brain has been damaged. That's what Mark says, and I submit to you that the way he feels is very important for you to assess his
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the medication does to him. But he has to take the medication, and he needs his brother to make sure that he takes it. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: This is Dr. Lubliner. There's no dispute as to the orthopedic injuries in this case, but I thought somewhat of Dr. Lubliner said is important. Skull on the right side above the ear, the temporal lobe, that was broken all the way down to the roof of the orbit. That's here, above the eye, which is the eyeball. Fracture of the right cheek bone that went all around to the bottom of the eye. Then he says, he had a big force that his body had to absorb, and still had so much force that it	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that this young man has had so many problems, and Mark Perez speaks to how he feels, and let's see what he says. I don't have a problem with trying or applying myself, but I often get confused and frustrated with myself because I can't stick with something long enough to finish it. So I get up and I walk away, I come back, and I keep on trying, refusing to figure give up. But I know that it's not it's not as good as it used to be, and it makes me hate myself, because I know I can do better, but I can't. My brain has been damaged. That's what Mark says, and I submit to you that the way he feels is very important for you to assess his conscious pain and suffering.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the medication does to him. But he has to take the medication, and he needs his brother to make sure that he takes it. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: This is Dr. Lubliner. There's no dispute as to the orthopedic injuries in this case, but I thought somewhat of Dr. Lubliner said is important. Skull on the right side above the ear, the temporal lobe, that was broken all the way down to the roof of the orbit. That's here, above the eye, which is the eyeball. Fracture of the right cheek bone that went all around to the bottom of the eye. Then he says, he had a big force that his body had to absorb, and still had so much force that it made ten fractures and separations. So when he fell on his	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that this young man has had so many problems, and Mark Perez speaks to how he feels, and let's see what he says. I don't have a problem with trying or applying myself, but I often get confused and frustrated with myself because I can't stick with something long enough to finish it. So I get up and I walk away, I come back, and I keep on trying, refusing to figure give up. But I know that it's not it's not as good as it used to be, and it makes me hate myself, because I know I can do better, but I can't. My brain has been damaged. That's what Mark says, and I submit to you that the way he feels is very important for you to assess his conscious pain and suffering. Dr. Fayer says that he has gotten used to the fact
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the medication does to him. But he has to take the medication, and he needs his brother to make sure that he takes it. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: This is Dr. Lubliner. There's no dispute as to the orthopedic injuries in this case, but I thought somewhat of Dr. Lubliner said is important. Skull on the right side above the ear, the temporal lobe, that was broken all the way down to the roof of the orbit. That's here, above the eye, which is the eyeball. Fracture of the right cheek bone that went all around to the bottom of the eye. Then he says, he had a big force that his body had to absorb, and still had so much force that it made ten fractures and separations. So when he fell on his head on the concrete, okay, it fractured here. It wasn't	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that this young man has had so many problems, and Mark Perez speaks to how he feels, and let's see what he says. I don't have a problem with trying or applying myself, but I often get confused and frustrated with myself because I can't stick with something long enough to finish it. So I get up and I walk away, I come back, and I keep on trying, refusing to figure give up. But I know that it's not it's not as good as it used to be, and it makes me hate myself, because I know I can do better, but I can't. My brain has been damaged. That's what Mark says, and I submit to you that the way he feels is very important for you to assess his conscious pain and suffering. Dr. Fayer says that he has gotten used to the fact that he is now a very dependent person. He is disabled. He
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the medication does to him. But he has to take the medication, and he needs his brother to make sure that he takes it. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: This is Dr. Lubliner. There's no dispute as to the orthopedic injuries in this case, but I thought somewhat of Dr. Lubliner said is important. Skull on the right side above the ear, the temporal lobe, that was broken all the way down to the roof of the orbit. That's here, above the eye, which is the eyeball. Fracture of the right cheek bone that went all around to the bottom of the eye. Then he says, he had a big force that his body had to absorb, and still had so much force that it made ten fractures and separations. So when he fell on his head on the concrete, okay, it fractured here. It wasn't all around. It fractured his shoulder, it then went around,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that this young man has had so many problems, and Mark Perez speaks to how he feels, and let's see what he says. I don't have a problem with trying or applying myself, but I often get confused and frustrated with myself because I can't stick with something long enough to finish it. So I get up and I walk away, I come back, and I keep on trying, refusing to figure give up. But I know that it's not it's not as good as it used to be, and it makes me hate myself, because I know I can do better, but I can't. My brain has been damaged. That's what Mark says, and I submit to you that the way he feels is very important for you to assess his conscious pain and suffering. Dr. Fayer says that he has gotten used to the fact that he is now a very dependent person. He is disabled. He can't work. Social fears. Very self-conscious about his
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the medication does to him. But he has to take the medication, and he needs his brother to make sure that he takes it. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: This is Dr. Lubliner. There's no dispute as to the orthopedic injuries in this case, but I thought somewhat of Dr. Lubliner said is important. Skull on the right side above the ear, the temporal lobe, that was broken all the way down to the roof of the orbit. That's here, above the eye, which is the eyeball. Fracture of the right cheek bone that went all around to the bottom of the eye. Then he says, he had a big force that his body had to absorb, and still had so much force that it made ten fractures and separations. So when he fell on his head on the concrete, okay, it fractured here. It wasn't all around. It fractured his shoulder, it then went around, fractured seven ribs, went around to his back, fractured two	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that this young man has had so many problems, and Mark Perez speaks to how he feels, and let's see what he says. I don't have a problem with trying or applying myself, but I often get confused and frustrated with myself because I can't stick with something long enough to finish it. So I get up and I walk away, I come back, and I keep on trying, refusing to figure give up. But I know that it's not it's not as good as it used to be, and it makes me hate myself, because I know I can do better, but I can't. My brain has been damaged. That's what Mark says, and I submit to you that the way he feels is very important for you to assess his conscious pain and suffering. Dr. Fayer says that he has gotten used to the fact that he is now a very dependent person. He is disabled. He can't work. Social fears. Very self-conscious about his appearance. This is somebody who really cared about how he
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the medication does to him. But he has to take the medication, and he needs his brother to make sure that he takes it. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: This is Dr. Lubliner. There's no dispute as to the orthopedic injuries in this case, but I thought somewhat of Dr. Lubliner said is important. Skull on the right side above the ear, the temporal lobe, that was broken all the way down to the roof of the orbit. That's here, above the eye, which is the eyeball. Fracture of the right cheek bone that went all around to the bottom of the eye. Then he says, he had a big force that his body had to absorb, and still had so much force that it made ten fractures and separations. So when he fell on his head on the concrete, okay, it fractured here. It wasn't all around. It fractured his shoulder, it then went around, fractured seven ribs, went around to his back, fractured two bones in his back (indicating).	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that this young man has had so many problems, and Mark Perez speaks to how he feels, and let's see what he says. I don't have a problem with trying or applying myself, but I often get confused and frustrated with myself because I can't stick with something long enough to finish it. So I get up and I walk away, I come back, and I keep on trying, refusing to figure give up. But I know that it's not it's not as good as it used to be, and it makes me hate myself, because I know I can do better, but I can't. My brain has been damaged. That's what Mark says, and I submit to you that the way he feels is very important for you to assess his conscious pain and suffering. Dr. Fayer says that he has gotten used to the fact that he is now a very dependent person. He is disabled. He can't work. Social fears. Very self-conscious about his appearance. This is somebody who really cared about how he looked.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the medication does to him. But he has to take the medication, and he needs his brother to make sure that he takes it. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: This is Dr. Lubliner. There's no dispute as to the orthopedic injuries in this case, but I thought somewhat of Dr. Lubliner said is important. Skull on the right side above the ear, the temporal lobe, that was broken all the way down to the roof of the orbit. That's here, above the eye, which is the eyeball. Fracture of the right cheek bone that went all around to the bottom of the eye. Then he says, he had a big force that his body had to absorb, and still had so much force that it made ten fractures and separations. So when he fell on his head on the concrete, okay, it fractured here. It wasn't all around. It fractured his shoulder, it then went around, fractured seven ribs, went around to his back, fractured two bones in his back (indicating). That's the force of this. There's no dispute as to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that this young man has had so many problems, and Mark Perez speaks to how he feels, and let's see what he says. I don't have a problem with trying or applying myself, but I often get confused and frustrated with myself because I can't stick with something long enough to finish it. So I get up and I walk away, I come back, and I keep on trying, refusing to figure give up. But I know that it's not it's not as good as it used to be, and it makes me hate myself, because I know I can do better, but I can't. My brain has been damaged. That's what Mark says, and I submit to you that the way he feels is very important for you to assess his conscious pain and suffering. Dr. Fayer says that he has gotten used to the fact that he is now a very dependent person. He is disabled. He can't work. Social fears. Very self-conscious about his appearance. This is somebody who really cared about how he looked. Mark talks about when he put that helmet on and he
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the medication does to him. But he has to take the medication, and he needs his brother to make sure that he takes it. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: This is Dr. Lubliner. There's no dispute as to the orthopedic injuries in this case, but I thought somewhat of Dr. Lubliner said is important. Skull on the right side above the ear, the temporal lobe, that was broken all the way down to the roof of the orbit. That's here, above the eye, which is the eyeball. Fracture of the right cheek bone that went all around to the bottom of the eye. Then he says, he had a big force that his body had to absorb, and still had so much force that it made ten fractures and separations. So when he fell on his head on the concrete, okay, it fractured here. It wasn't all around. It fractured his shoulder, it then went around, fractured seven ribs, went around to his back, fractured two bones in his back (indicating). That's the force of this. There's no dispute as to this. If somebody only had the orthopedic injuries that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that this young man has had so many problems, and Mark Perez speaks to how he feels, and let's see what he says. I don't have a problem with trying or applying myself, but I often get confused and frustrated with myself because I can't stick with something long enough to finish it. So I get up and I walk away, I come back, and I keep on trying, refusing to figure give up. But I know that it's not it's not as good as it used to be, and it makes me hate myself, because I know I can do better, but I can't. My brain has been damaged. That's what Mark says, and I submit to you that the way he feels is very important for you to assess his conscious pain and suffering. Dr. Fayer says that he has gotten used to the fact that he is now a very dependent person. He is disabled. He can't work. Social fears. Very self-conscious about his appearance. This is somebody who really cared about how he looked. Mark talks about when he put that helmet on and he felt It make me feel worse about myself, and he went to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the medication does to him. But he has to take the medication, and he needs his brother to make sure that he takes it. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: This is Dr. Lubliner. There's no dispute as to the orthopedic injuries in this case, but I thought somewhat of Dr. Lubliner said is important. Skull on the right side above the ear, the temporal lobe, that was broken all the way down to the roof of the orbit. That's here, above the eye, which is the eyeball. Fracture of the right cheek bone that went all around to the bottom of the eye. Then he says, he had a big force that his body had to absorb, and still had so much force that it made ten fractures and separations. So when he fell on his head on the concrete, okay, it fractured here. It wasn't all around. It fractured his shoulder, it then went around, fractured seven ribs, went around to his back, fractured two bones in his back (indicating). That's the force of this. There's no dispute as to this. If somebody only had the orthopedic injuries that Mark has in had case, they would say, That's a big case.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that this young man has had so many problems, and Mark Perez speaks to how he feels, and let's see what he says. I don't have a problem with trying or applying myself, but I often get confused and frustrated with myself because I can't stick with something long enough to finish it. So I get up and I walk away, I come back, and I keep on trying, refusing to figure give up. But I know that it's not it's not as good as it used to be, and it makes me hate myself, because I know I can do better, but I can't. My brain has been damaged. That's what Mark says, and I submit to you that the way he feels is very important for you to assess his conscious pain and suffering. Dr. Fayer says that he has gotten used to the fact that he is now a very dependent person. He is disabled. He can't work. Social fears. Very self-conscious about his appearance. This is somebody who really cared about how he looked. Mark talks about when he put that helmet on and he felt It make me feel worse about myself, and he went to the store and, I knew I was wearing the helmet because my
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the medication does to him. But he has to take the medication, and he needs his brother to make sure that he takes it. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: This is Dr. Lubliner. There's no dispute as to the orthopedic injuries in this case, but I thought somewhat of Dr. Lubliner said is important. Skull on the right side above the ear, the temporal lobe, that was broken all the way down to the roof of the orbit. That's here, above the eye, which is the eyeball. Fracture of the right cheek bone that went all around to the bottom of the eye. Then he says, he had a big force that his body had to absorb, and still had so much force that it made ten fractures and separations. So when he fell on his head on the concrete, okay, it fractured here. It wasn't all around. It fractured his shoulder, it then went around, fractured seven ribs, went around to his back, fractured two bones in his back (indicating). That's the force of this. There's no dispute as to this. If somebody only had the orthopedic injuries that Mark has in had case, they would say, That's a big case. That's very serious. And that's the least of his problems.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that this young man has had so many problems, and Mark Perez speaks to how he feels, and let's see what he says. I don't have a problem with trying or applying myself, but I often get confused and frustrated with myself because I can't stick with something long enough to finish it. So I get up and I walk away, I come back, and I keep on trying, refusing to figure give up. But I know that it's not it's not as good as it used to be, and it makes me hate myself, because I know I can do better, but I can't. My brain has been damaged. That's what Mark says, and I submit to you that the way he feels is very important for you to assess his conscious pain and suffering. Dr. Fayer says that he has gotten used to the fact that he is now a very dependent person. He is disabled. He can't work. Social fears. Very self-conscious about his appearance. This is somebody who really cared about how he looked. Mark talks about when he put that helmet on and he felt It make me feel worse about myself, and he went to the store and, I knew I was wearing the helmet because my skull was damaged. It made me feel very disabled. I wanted
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the medication does to him. But he has to take the medication, and he needs his brother to make sure that he takes it. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: This is Dr. Lubliner. There's no dispute as to the orthopedic injuries in this case, but I thought somewhat of Dr. Lubliner said is important. Skull on the right side above the ear, the temporal lobe, that was broken all the way down to the roof of the orbit. That's here, above the eye, which is the eyeball. Fracture of the right cheek bone that went all around to the bottom of the eye. Then he says, he had a big force that his body had to absorb, and still had so much force that it made ten fractures and separations. So when he fell on his head on the concrete, okay, it fractured here. It wasn't all around. It fractured his shoulder, it then went around, fractured seven ribs, went around to his back, fractured two bones in his back (indicating). That's the force of this. There's no dispute as to this. If somebody only had the orthopedic injuries that Mark has in had case, they would say, That's a big case. That's very serious. And that's the least of his problems. The separated shoulder, six broken ribs. And the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that this young man has had so many problems, and Mark Perez speaks to how he feels, and let's see what he says. I don't have a problem with trying or applying myself, but I often get confused and frustrated with myself because I can't stick with something long enough to finish it. So I get up and I walk away, I come back, and I keep on trying, refusing to figure give up. But I know that it's not it's not as good as it used to be, and it makes me hate myself, because I know I can do better, but I can't. My brain has been damaged. That's what Mark says, and I submit to you that the way he feels is very important for you to assess his conscious pain and suffering. Dr. Fayer says that he has gotten used to the fact that he is now a very dependent person. He is disabled. He can't work. Social fears. Very self-conscious about his appearance. This is somebody who really cared about how he looked. Mark talks about when he put that helmet on and he felt It make me feel worse about myself, and he went to the store and, I knew I was wearing the helmet because my skull was damaged. It made me feel very disabled. I wanted the kid to look up to me. Because the kid asked him what
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the medication does to him. But he has to take the medication, and he needs his brother to make sure that he takes it. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: This is Dr. Lubliner. There's no dispute as to the orthopedic injuries in this case, but I thought somewhat of Dr. Lubliner said is important. Skull on the right side above the ear, the temporal lobe, that was broken all the way down to the roof of the orbit. That's here, above the eye, which is the eyeball. Fracture of the right cheek bone that went all around to the bottom of the eye. Then he says, he had a big force that his body had to absorb, and still had so much force that it made ten fractures and separations. So when he fell on his head on the concrete, okay, it fractured here. It wasn't all around. It fractured his shoulder, it then went around, fractured seven ribs, went around to his back, fractured two bones in his back (indicating). That's the force of this. There's no dispute as to this. If somebody only had the orthopedic injuries that Mark has in had case, they would say, That's a big case. That's very serious. And that's the least of his problems.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	how I figure it out. It's up to you. I submit to you that this young man has had so many problems, and Mark Perez speaks to how he feels, and let's see what he says. I don't have a problem with trying or applying myself, but I often get confused and frustrated with myself because I can't stick with something long enough to finish it. So I get up and I walk away, I come back, and I keep on trying, refusing to figure give up. But I know that it's not it's not as good as it used to be, and it makes me hate myself, because I know I can do better, but I can't. My brain has been damaged. That's what Mark says, and I submit to you that the way he feels is very important for you to assess his conscious pain and suffering. Dr. Fayer says that he has gotten used to the fact that he is now a very dependent person. He is disabled. He can't work. Social fears. Very self-conscious about his appearance. This is somebody who really cared about how he looked. Mark talks about when he put that helmet on and he felt It make me feel worse about myself, and he went to the store and, I knew I was wearing the helmet because my skull was damaged. It made me feel very disabled. I wanted

Summations by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1797	Summations by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1799		
<ul> <li>I'm sorry, but I'm disabled, buddy. And that hurt him.</li> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: He has gotten used to the fact</li> <li>that he is now dependent, so you know what kind of self</li> <li>esteem problem you would have with that, but what about his</li> <li>relationship with his girlfriend? His girlfriend Kristy.</li> <li>They were together since he was 22 years old, for eight</li> <li>years. They did everything together. Planned to get</li> <li>engaged. But look what Mark says about it. You could read</li> <li>it for yourself. You don't need me.</li> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: That's them together.</li> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: And this is what Mark says:</li> <li>"She broke up with me after I returned from the</li> <li>hospital, after this accident."</li> <li>I said: "Did you discuss, with any member of your</li> <li>family, about how you felt?"</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Then to talk about. I felt</li> <li>like a failure, and I know I shouldn't have felt that way."</li> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>I suggest; you decide. That's all I do, I suggest. It's</li> <li>your decision to make. Only yours. It's not mine; okay?</li> <li>Now, there's past pain and suffering and loss of</li> <li>enjoyment of life, and there's future pain and suffering and</li> <li>loss of enjoyment of life, and I'm going to tell you, with</li> <li>reference to his lost earnings, and the fact that he needs</li> <li>full-time care, here is the problem: Let's assume that you</li> <li>accept and you don't have to that he is going to live</li> <li>6.7 years less. And it's a statistic. He could die</li> <li>tomorrow, and he could live ten years longer than his life</li> <li>expectancy. Nobody knows that. But one of the thing on his</li> <li>mind, and one of the things that he is worried about is that</li> <li>he could die sooner, and that's mental and emotional</li> <li>conscious pain and suffering. But if you say, Well, I'm</li> <li>only going to award money based on the fact that he's going</li> <li>to live 6.7 years less time, and he outlives it, and</li> <li>outlives his life expectancy, you have short-changed him,</li> <li>and we can't come back. We can't come back. There's no</li> <li>other day. There's only this day.</li> <li>And I submit to you for the conscious pain and</li> <li>suffering, for the past, I think \$35 million is the correct</li> <li>number. I suggest. You decide. You know that if the</li> <li>lawyers for Live Nation Worldwide, the way they tried this</li> <li>case, told you 10 million, you can only imagine. And his</li> </ul>		
LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER		
Summations by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1798	Summations by Plaintiff (B. Morelli) Page 1800		
<ul> <li>interested in recommended it to him, and he said:</li> <li>"This poor group of women had helped me get on the</li> <li>ambulance covered with sweat and everything, and I went to</li> <li>the bathroom on myself.</li> <li>"So, did that affect you dating this person? Did</li> <li>it?</li> <li>"I think it scared her away from me.</li> <li>"What did you feel about the incident happening</li> <li>where it happened?</li> <li>"I was embarrassed, and it scared me."</li> <li>This is what Mark has lived through, and now Mark</li> <li>is trapped in his own body.</li> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: And this is what he says:</li> <li>"It's really hard for me. Sometimes I can't</li> <li>express how I feel, and it's very frustrating. My family</li> <li>tries so hard to make sure I'm safe, and make good choices,</li> <li>and a lot of time I don't see it that way, and they just</li> <li>want me to be safe, but I still feel trapped, and I know</li> <li>I've lost my independence, and I'm still trying to accept</li> <li>this, because I feel like I want to be normal, and I don't</li> <li>think I can."</li> <li>Now, I want you to understand that there's a very</li> <li>believe you should do in this case, and me telling you that</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>that's the future. I'm only talking about the past.</li> <li>Mark had professional goals. Let's talk about</li> <li>that.</li> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: He had professional goals. His</li> <li>plans, into the future, were to have his own ink company,</li> <li>and that's ink for tattoos, because you know he was working</li> <li>with this fellow, Tattoo Lou, who happened to have owned a</li> <li>lot of companies. "To partner out into business</li> <li>developments with my father and myself. To keep us all, you</li> <li>know, under the protective umbrella, to have this media</li> <li>conglomeration." He was a very ambitious young man.</li> <li>Some people aren't cut out for school. They are</li> <li>cut out for the world.</li> <li>(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: He was doing advanced programming,</li> <li>web design, negotiated deals, taught web design and</li> <li>programming, ran service for these companies. He was the</li> <li>director of the marketing, graphic design and installations.</li> <li>And these are some of the companies that he worked for:</li> <li>Macy's, Bed, Bath and Beyond, J.C. Penney, Victoria</li> <li>Classics, and a lot of bedding companies. That's what he</li> <li>was doing.</li> <li>Now, this is a very different picture from the</li> <li>picture that pigeon holed him at 33,000 a year. The</li> </ul>		

LIV	e Nation	December 5, 2019		
	Page 1801	Sun	nmation - Mr. Morelli Page 180	03
2 3 4 5 6	interesting thing is that if all of us were pigeon holed at what we accomplished by the time we were 29, a lot of us would be really limited. He had his whole life ahead of him, and he was just starting to break out. And let's talk about the fact that Mark is unemployable. I think you know that. He is permanently disabled, and he is not employable for the rest of his life,	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>lucky. A lot of us are lucky that, you know, he was luck he didn't die in 2013. But he has to be taken care of He'll need future care, custodial care 24/7. Transportation costs. Extensive medical care an expenses. Rehabilitation care. And he's unemployable There's no dispute about that. Now, please understand that the defense put into the future care and that the defense put into the future care.</li> </ul>	f. nd e.
	because he can't do the things that he has to do, and	8	evidence that he could get free transportation if he's goin	
	Dr. Fayer worked for the Social Security Department. He was		like to a hospital or something like that with	-
	dealing in this employability issue all of the time, and he		Access-A-Ride, but if he wants to go out to the store or h	
	has a problem, because he can't he can't persist in what he is doing, he has what would happen, to put that person		wants to go to a friend, he has to be kept to not spending more money. It's got to be free and there's no reason for	-
	in the work place, and if they have a psychiatric	12		Л
	difficulty, what would happen? Under pressure, they	14		5
	decompensate or deteriorate, and that's the problem with		just the way it is. There's no discounts here. This isn'	
16	Mark.	16	Target. There's no discounts.	
17	(Continued on the next page.)	17	So, let's talk about what I think is the smallest	
18 19			part of this case. This is the past lost earnings \$487,986 That's for his full life. That's for his full life.	).
20		20	Next to it we put up the reduced life and it's th	ie
21			same because it wouldn't reduce the past, right.	
22		22	The future, it does reduce, the future is	
23			\$5,201,902 for his full life expectancy which is 42 year	rs
24			and the reduced is \$4,666,052.	
25		25	You can have these numbers any time you want them	1.
	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER		LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
Sun	nmation - Mr. Morelli Page 1802	Sun	nmation - Mr. Morelli Page 180	04
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Inmation - Mr. Morelli Page 1802 MR. MORELLI: (Continued) So, we know that he can't live on his own. This is his brother talking to you. Just because he makes decisions that are dangerous sometimes, that's why he can't live on his own. Little things that could potentially be disastrous. He blew up the washing machine. Leaves the stove own. Might not take the right medications. Now, you can't expect that this family is going to spend the rest of their days, including his brother who's very dedicated to him, taking care of this boy. He doesn't have to do that. They're responsible for it. Live Nation Worldwide is responsible for it. So, they don't get a discount because he's got a loving family. If, God forbid, something happens to them, he's out of luck. This is what his mom says: He's not safe by himself, so if I'm walking with him, I have to hold his arm rather than having him hold my arm. I'll hold his arm so that he can cross the street safely because he would just walk right out in front of a car. He's not he doesn't seem to have safety awareness. I submit to you that the people who testified in this case on behalf of the plaintiff were very, very credible and this is the problem that Mark has. Now, you can't say well, hey, look, so far he hasn't killed himself and take credit for it. So, he was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	You don't have to memorize anything. For the total lost earnings, past and future, \$5,689,888. Now, you're not wedded to these numbers, you're not wedded to it. You're the jury, you decide. You're not wedded. Now, we said he will make around \$84,000. The say, oh, my God, \$84,000. \$33,000 and he's lucky we're giving him that. MR. O'HARA: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. MR. MORELLI: We're probably overstating it. We're probably overstating it. The defendant's expert, Dr. Friefelder. Mark's future lost earnings projection \$33,799. That's forever. Solely based on his tax returns, could be an overstatement. I'm not exaggerating. Could be an overstatement. That's what he said. So, now, let's look at the life care. Full life expectancy future medical: \$2,752,072. Future rehabilitation: \$307,707. Future custodial care: \$10,083,694 for a total o \$13,143,473.	ot ot ye re $\theta$ . of s
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. MORELLI: (Continued) So, we know that he can't live on his own. This is his brother talking to you. Just because he makes decisions that are dangerous sometimes, that's why he can't live on his own. Little things that could potentially be disastrous. He blew up the washing machine. Leaves the stove own. Might not take the right medications. Now, you can't expect that this family is going to spend the rest of their days, including his brother who's very dedicated to him, taking care of this boy. He doesn't have to do that. They're responsible for it. Live Nation Worldwide is responsible for it. So, they don't get a discount because he's got a loving family. If, God forbid, something happens to them, he's out of luck. This is what his mom says: He's not safe by himself, so if I'm walking with him, I have to hold his arm rather than having him hold my arm. I'll hold his arm so that he can cross the street safely because he would just walk right out in front of a car. He's not he doesn't seem to have safety awareness. I submit to you that the people who testified in this case on behalf of the plaintiff were very, very credible and this is the problem that Mark has. Now, you can't say well, hey, look, so far he	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	You don't have to memorize anything. For the total lost earnings, past and future, \$5,689,888. Now, you're not wedded to these numbers, you're not wedded to it. You're the jury, you decide. You're not wedded. Now, we said he will make around \$84,000. The say, oh, my God, \$84,000. \$33,000 and he's lucky we're giving him that. MR. O'HARA: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. MR. MORELLI: We're probably overstating it. We're probably overstating it. The defendant's expert, Dr. Friefelder. Mark's future lost earnings projection \$33,799. That's forever. Solely based on his tax returns, could b an overstatement. I'm not exaggerating. Could be an overstatement. That's what he said. So, now, let's look at the life care. Full life expectancy future medical: \$2,752,072. Future rehabilitation: \$307,707. Future custodial care: \$10,083,694 for a total o \$13,143,473. Now, you see how that changes if you reduce hi	ot ot ye re 0. of s

LIV	e Nation		December 5, 2015
Sun	nmation - Mr. Morelli Page 1805	Sur	mmation - Mr. Morelli Page 1807
1	different ways:	1	because you believe that he'll live, his life expectancy is
2	One, he's not going to live. Discount.		42. It's whatever you say it is.
3	Two and the first word was future medical.	3	J 1 J
4	Two, he should only get \$33,000, that's an		the economic loss in this case, he's entitled to it for his
5	overstatement. Discount.		full life expectancy because if he lives it, he can't come
6	And he doesn't need 24/7 care. Four hours a day.		back and I tell you for the future pain and suffering and
7	Discount.		loss of enjoyment of life, I think he's entitled to
8	That's what they're telling you.	8	\$50 million. That's my opinion.
9	So, when they say, hey, look, we're giving him	9	I suggest you decide. All of the others, future
10	more, we're giving him another visit to the doctor. We're	10	lost earnings. You know the numbers.
11	giving another this. No, you're not, because you're saying	11	Future medical expenses. You know the numbers.
	throw him a bone. Let's give him another visit to the	12	•
	doctor, but let's take 20 hours a day away.		You know the numbers. That's my suggestion. That's what I
14	Let's reduce his life expectancy and it was really		think this is all about. That's what I think it's worth.
	generous that they didn't use nine years, they only used		You're smarter than me.
	6.7. Here's a bone, Mark. Another bone for you. Only	16	
	bones.		
		17	
18	Mark is worried about his future and he is allowed	18	
	your consideration and to be awarded for his mental and		so take a break, please.
	emotional distress, which is huge in this case, huge. He's	20	
	worried now about dying early, especially after this trial.	21	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
22	MR. O'HARA: Objection.	22	today or Monday?
23	THE COURT: Sustained.	23	, e ,
24	MR. MORELLI: Parkinson's disease. He has a two to	24	and bring them back to deliberate.
25	three times increased risk of getting Parkinson's disease	25	MR. O'HARA: Yes, they can start deliberating.
			LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER		
Sur	ISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	Со	urt's Charge Page 1808
	nmation - Mr. Morelli Page 1806	Cor 1	urt's Charge Page 1808
	and getting it earlier.		urt's Charge Page 1808 THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there.
1 2	and getting it earlier. Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's and	1 2	urt's Charge Page 1808 THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there. MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until
1 2 3	and getting it earlier. Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's and getting it earlier. Seizures that he has to worry about for	1 2 3	urt's Charge Page 1808 THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there. MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until 4:45.
1 2 3 4	and getting it earlier. Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's and getting it earlier. Seizures that he has to worry about for the rest of his life.	1 2 3 4	urt's Charge Page 1808 THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there. MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until 4:45. MR. MORELLI: I agree.
1 2 3 4 5	and getting it earlier. Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's and getting it earlier. Seizures that he has to worry about for the rest of his life. And by the way, if he needs this surgery, it's not	1 2 3 4 5	urt's Charge Page 1808 THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there. MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until 4:45. MR. MORELLI: I agree. THE COURT: Okay. Do we want to do our jury
1 2 3 4 5 6	and getting it earlier. Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's and getting it earlier. Seizures that he has to worry about for the rest of his life. And by the way, if he needs this surgery, it's not one surgery because he gets the cranioplasty number five.	1 2 3 4 5 6	urt's Charge Page 1808 THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there. MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until 4:45. MR. MORELLI: I agree. THE COURT: Okay. Do we want to do our jury lottery in front of the jury or do it now?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	and getting it earlier. Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's and getting it earlier. Seizures that he has to worry about for the rest of his life. And by the way, if he needs this surgery, it's not one surgery because he gets the cranioplasty number five. If it doesn't work and he gets those infections again, there	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	urt's Charge Page 1808 THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there. MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until 4:45. MR. MORELLI: I agree. THE COURT: Okay. Do we want to do our jury lottery in front of the jury or do it now? MR. MORELLI: After you charge them we'll
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and getting it earlier. Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's and getting it earlier. Seizures that he has to worry about for the rest of his life. And by the way, if he needs this surgery, it's not one surgery because he gets the cranioplasty number five. If it doesn't work and he gets those infections again, there will be a number six. There could be a number seven, but we	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	urt's Charge Page 1808 THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there. MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until 4:45. MR. MORELLI: I agree. THE COURT: Okay. Do we want to do our jury lottery in front of the jury or do it now? MR. MORELLI: After you charge them we'll THE COURT: We don't have to tell them now.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and getting it earlier. Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's and getting it earlier. Seizures that he has to worry about for the rest of his life. And by the way, if he needs this surgery, it's not one surgery because he gets the cranioplasty number five. If it doesn't work and he gets those infections again, there will be a number six. There could be a number seven, but we can't tell you that because I'm not God. I don't know.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	urt's Charge Page 1808 THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there. MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until 4:45. MR. MORELLI: I agree. THE COURT: Okay. Do we want to do our jury lottery in front of the jury or do it now? MR. MORELLI: After you charge them we'll THE COURT: We don't have to tell them now. MR. HAWORTH: Why don't we do it and be done with
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and getting it earlier. Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's and getting it earlier. Seizures that he has to worry about for the rest of his life. And by the way, if he needs this surgery, it's not one surgery because he gets the cranioplasty number five. If it doesn't work and he gets those infections again, there will be a number six. There could be a number seven, but we can't tell you that because I'm not God. I don't know. But I can't come back. I can't come back to you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	urt's Charge Page 1808 THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there. MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until 4:45. MR. MORELLI: I agree. THE COURT: Okay. Do we want to do our jury lottery in front of the jury or do it now? MR. MORELLI: After you charge them we'll THE COURT: We don't have to tell them now. MR. HAWORTH: Why don't we do it and be done with it while they take a break.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and getting it earlier. Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's and getting it earlier. Seizures that he has to worry about for the rest of his life. And by the way, if he needs this surgery, it's not one surgery because he gets the cranioplasty number five. If it doesn't work and he gets those infections again, there will be a number six. There could be a number seven, but we can't tell you that because I'm not God. I don't know. But I can't come back. I can't come back to you and say Hey, look, look, at what really happened. Look what	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	urt's Charge Page 1808 THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there. MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until 4:45. MR. MORELLI: I agree. THE COURT: Okay. Do we want to do our jury lottery in front of the jury or do it now? MR. MORELLI: After you charge them we'll THE COURT: We don't have to tell them now. MR. HAWORTH: Why don't we do it and be done with it while they take a break. THE COURT: We'll pick the first two out of the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and getting it earlier. Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's and getting it earlier. Seizures that he has to worry about for the rest of his life. And by the way, if he needs this surgery, it's not one surgery because he gets the cranioplasty number five. If it doesn't work and he gets those infections again, there will be a number six. There could be a number seven, but we can't tell you that because I'm not God. I don't know. But I can't come back. I can't come back to you and say Hey, look, look, at what really happened. Look what happened to Mark.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	urt's Charge Page 1808 THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there. MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until 4:45. MR. MORELLI: I agree. THE COURT: Okay. Do we want to do our jury lottery in front of the jury or do it now? MR. MORELLI: After you charge them we'll THE COURT: We don't have to tell them now. MR. HAWORTH: Why don't we do it and be done with it while they take a break. THE COURT: We'll pick the first two out of the hopper who will be alternates.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and getting it earlier. Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's and getting it earlier. Seizures that he has to worry about for the rest of his life. And by the way, if he needs this surgery, it's not one surgery because he gets the cranioplasty number five. If it doesn't work and he gets those infections again, there will be a number six. There could be a number seven, but we can't tell you that because I'm not God. I don't know. But I can't come back. I can't come back to you and say Hey, look, look, at what really happened. Look what happened to Mark. Future surgeries. Constant pain. Who will care	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	urt's Charge Page 1808 THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there. MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until 4:45. MR. MORELLI: I agree. THE COURT: Okay. Do we want to do our jury lottery in front of the jury or do it now? MR. MORELLI: After you charge them we'll THE COURT: We don't have to tell them now. MR. HAWORTH: Why don't we do it and be done with it while they take a break. THE COURT: We'll pick the first two out of the hopper who will be alternates. The first two to come out will be alternates.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and getting it earlier. Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's and getting it earlier. Seizures that he has to worry about for the rest of his life. And by the way, if he needs this surgery, it's not one surgery because he gets the cranioplasty number five. If it doesn't work and he gets those infections again, there will be a number six. There could be a number seven, but we can't tell you that because I'm not God. I don't know. But I can't come back. I can't come back to you and say Hey, look, look, at what really happened. Look what happened to Mark. Future surgeries. Constant pain. Who will care for him in the future? This is what he's worried about.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	urt's Charge Page 1808 THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there. MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until 4:45. MR. MORELLI: I agree. THE COURT: Okay. Do we want to do our jury lottery in front of the jury or do it now? MR. MORELLI: After you charge them we'll THE COURT: We don't have to tell them now. MR. HAWORTH: Why don't we do it and be done with it while they take a break. THE COURT: We'll pick the first two out of the hopper who will be alternates. The first two to come out will be alternates. First alternate is?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and getting it earlier. Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's and getting it earlier. Seizures that he has to worry about for the rest of his life. And by the way, if he needs this surgery, it's not one surgery because he gets the cranioplasty number five. If it doesn't work and he gets those infections again, there will be a number six. There could be a number seven, but we can't tell you that because I'm not God. I don't know. But I can't come back. I can't come back to you and say Hey, look, look, at what really happened. Look what happened to Mark. Future surgeries. Constant pain. Who will care for him in the future? This is what he's worried about. These are all of the things that he's worried about and I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	urt's Charge Page 1808 THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there. MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until 4:45. MR. MORELLI: I agree. THE COURT: Okay. Do we want to do our jury lottery in front of the jury or do it now? MR. MORELLI: After you charge them we'll THE COURT: We don't have to tell them now. MR. HAWORTH: Why don't we do it and be done with it while they take a break. THE COURT: We'll pick the first two out of the hopper who will be alternates. The first two to come out will be alternates. First alternate is? COURT CLERK: Seven.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Immation - Mr. MorelliPage 1806and getting it earlier. Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's and getting it earlier. Seizures that he has to worry about for the rest of his life. And by the way, if he needs this surgery, it's not one surgery because he gets the cranioplasty number five. If it doesn't work and he gets those infections again, there will be a number six. There could be a number seven, but we can't tell you that because I'm not God. I don't know. But I can't come back. I can't come back to you and say Hey, look, look, at what really happened. Look what happened to Mark. Future surgeries. Constant pain. Who will care for him in the future? This is what he's worried about. These are all of the things that he's worried about and I submit to you and I'm going to show you this.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	urt's Charge Page 1808 THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there. MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until 4:45. MR. MORELLI: I agree. THE COURT: Okay. Do we want to do our jury lottery in front of the jury or do it now? MR. MORELLI: After you charge them we'll THE COURT: We don't have to tell them now. MR. HAWORTH: Why don't we do it and be done with it while they take a break. THE COURT: We'll pick the first two out of the hopper who will be alternates. The first two to come out will be alternates. First alternate is? COURT CLERK: Seven. THE COURT: Our second?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Immation - Mr. MorelliPage 1806and getting it earlier. Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's and getting it earlier. Seizures that he has to worry about for the rest of his life. And by the way, if he needs this surgery, it's not one surgery because he gets the cranioplasty number five. If it doesn't work and he gets those infections again, there will be a number six. There could be a number seven, but we can't tell you that because I'm not God. I don't know. But I can't come back. I can't come back to you and say Hey, look, look, at what really happened. Look what happened to Mark. Future surgeries. Constant pain. Who will care for him in the future? This is what he's worried about. These are all of the things that he's worried about and I submit to you and I'm going to show you this. You are going to get a verdict sheet and this is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	urt's Charge Page 1808 THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there. MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until 4:45. MR. MORELLI: I agree. THE COURT: Okay. Do we want to do our jury lottery in front of the jury or do it now? MR. MORELLI: After you charge them we'll THE COURT: We don't have to tell them now. MR. HAWORTH: Why don't we do it and be done with it while they take a break. THE COURT: We'll pick the first two out of the hopper who will be alternates. The first two to come out will be alternates. First alternate is? COURT CLERK: Seven. THE COURT CLERK: Five.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Immation - Mr. MorelliPage 1806and getting it earlier. Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's and getting it earlier. Seizures that he has to worry about for the rest of his life. And by the way, if he needs this surgery, it's not one surgery because he gets the cranioplasty number five. If it doesn't work and he gets those infections again, there will be a number six. There could be a number seven, but we can't tell you that because I'm not God. I don't know. But I can't come back. I can't come back to you and say Hey, look, look, at what really happened. Look what happened to Mark. Future surgeries. Constant pain. Who will care for him in the future? This is what he's worried about. These are all of the things that he's worried about and I submit to you and I'm going to show you this.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	urt's Charge Page 1808 THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there. MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until 4:45. MR. MORELLI: I agree. THE COURT: Okay. Do we want to do our jury lottery in front of the jury or do it now? MR. MORELLI: After you charge them we'll THE COURT: We don't have to tell them now. MR. HAWORTH: Why don't we do it and be done with it while they take a break. THE COURT: We'll pick the first two out of the hopper who will be alternates. The first two to come out will be alternates. First alternate is? COURT CLERK: Seven. THE COURT: Our second? COURT CLERK: Five.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Immation - Mr. MorelliPage 1806and getting it earlier. Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's and getting it earlier. Seizures that he has to worry about for the rest of his life. And by the way, if he needs this surgery, it's not one surgery because he gets the cranioplasty number five. If it doesn't work and he gets those infections again, there will be a number six. There could be a number seven, but we can't tell you that because I'm not God. I don't know. But I can't come back. I can't come back to you and say Hey, look, look, at what really happened. Look what happened to Mark. Future surgeries. Constant pain. Who will care for him in the future? This is what he's worried about. These are all of the things that he's worried about and I submit to you and I'm going to show you this. You are going to get a verdict sheet and this is	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	urt's Charge Page 1808 THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there. MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until 4:45. MR. MORELLI: I agree. THE COURT: Okay. Do we want to do our jury lottery in front of the jury or do it now? MR. MORELLI: After you charge them we'll THE COURT: We don't have to tell them now. MR. HAWORTH: Why don't we do it and be done with it while they take a break. THE COURT: We'll pick the first two out of the hopper who will be alternates. The first two to come out will be alternates. First alternate is? COURT CLERK: Seven. THE COURT CLERK: Five.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Immation - Mr. MorelliPage 1806and getting it earlier. Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's and getting it earlier. Seizures that he has to worry about for the rest of his life. And by the way, if he needs this surgery, it's not one surgery because he gets the cranioplasty number five. If it doesn't work and he gets those infections again, there will be a number six. There could be a number seven, but we can't tell you that because I'm not God. I don't know. But I can't come back. I can't come back to you and say Hey, look, look, at what really happened. Look what happened to Mark. Future surgeries. Constant pain. Who will care for him in the future? This is what he's worried about. These are all of the things that he's worried about and I submit to you and I'm going to show you this. You are going to get a verdict sheet and this is it. Okay. And this and while you're going through this	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	urt's Charge       Page 1808         THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there.       MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until         4:45.       MR. MORELLI: I agree.         THE COURT: Okay. Do we want to do our jury       lottery in front of the jury or do it now?         MR. MORELLI: After you charge them we'll       THE COURT: We don't have to tell them now.         MR. HAWORTH: Why don't we do it and be done with       it while they take a break.         THE COURT: We'll pick the first two out of the       hopper who will be alternates.         First alternate is?       COURT CLERK: Seven.         THE COURT: Our second?       COURT CLERK: Five.         THE COURT: Seven and five are our alternates and       I'll let them know after I do my charges.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and getting it earlier. Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's and getting it earlier. Seizures that he has to worry about for the rest of his life. And by the way, if he needs this surgery, it's not one surgery because he gets the cranioplasty number five. If it doesn't work and he gets those infections again, there will be a number six. There could be a number seven, but we can't tell you that because I'm not God. I don't know. But I can't come back. I can't come back to you and say Hey, look, look, at what really happened. Look what happened to Mark. Future surgeries. Constant pain. Who will care for him in the future? This is what he's worried about. These are all of the things that he's worried about and I submit to you and I'm going to show you this. You are going to get a verdict sheet and this is it. Okay. And this and while you're going through this verdict sheet, and you're getting to past pain and suffering and loss of enjoyment of life, you not only have to fill in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	urt's Charge       Page 1808         THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there.       MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until         4:45.       MR. MORELLI: I agree.         THE COURT: Okay. Do we want to do our jury         lottery in front of the jury or do it now?         MR. MORELLI: After you charge them we'll         THE COURT: We don't have to tell them now.         MR. HAWORTH: Why don't we do it and be done with         it while they take a break.         THE COURT: We'll pick the first two out of the         hopper who will be alternates.         First alternate is?         COURT CLERK: Seven.         THE COURT: Our second?         COURT CLERK: Five.         THE COURT: Seven and five are our alternates and         I'll let them know after I do my charges.         Anything else before we take a break?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Immation - Mr. MorelliPage 1806and getting it earlier.Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's andgetting it earlier. Seizures that he has to worry about forthe rest of his life.And by the way, if he needs this surgery, it's notone surgery because he gets the cranioplasty number five.If it doesn't work and he gets those infections again, therewill be a number six. There could be a number seven, but wecan't tell you that because I'm not God. I don't know.But I can't come back. I can't come back to youand say Hey, look, look, at what really happened. Look whathappened to Mark.Future surgeries. Constant pain. Who will carefor him in the future? This is what he's worried about.These are all of the things that he's worried about.You are going to get a verdict sheet and this isit. Okay. And this and while you're going through thisverdict sheet, and you're getting to past pain and sufferingand loss of enjoyment of life, you not only have to fill inthe number for that, but you also have to fill in, you have	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	urt's Charge       Page 1808         THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there.       MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until         4:45.       MR. MORELLI: I agree.         THE COURT: Okay. Do we want to do our jury       lottery in front of the jury or do it now?         MR. MORELLI: After you charge them we'll       THE COURT: We don't have to tell them now.         MR. HORELLI: After you charge them we'll       THE COURT: We don't have to tell them now.         MR. HAWORTH: Why don't we do it and be done with       it while they take a break.         THE COURT: We'll pick the first two out of the       hopper who will be alternates.         First alternate is?       COURT CLERK: Seven.         THE COURT: Our second?       COURT CLERK: Five.         THE COURT: Seven and five are our alternates and       I'll let them know after I do my charges.         Anything else before we take a break?       MR. O'HARA: No, your Honor.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Immation - Mr. MorelliPage 1806and getting it earlier.Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's andgetting it earlier. Seizures that he has to worry about forthe rest of his life.And by the way, if he needs this surgery, it's notone surgery because he gets the cranioplasty number five.If it doesn't work and he gets those infections again, therewill be a number six. There could be a number seven, but wecan't tell you that because I'm not God. I don't know.But I can't come back. I can't come back to youand say Hey, look, look, at what really happened. Look whathappened to Mark.Future surgeries. Constant pain. Who will carefor him in the future? This is what he's worried about.These are all of the things that he's worried about and Isubmit to you and I'm going to show you this.You are going to get a verdict sheet and this isit. Okay. And this and while you're going through thisverdict sheet, and you're getting to past pain and sufferingand loss of enjoyment of life, you not only have to fill inthe number for that, but you also have to fill in, you haveto figure out how long, what his past lost earnings are.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	urt's Charge       Page 1808         THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there.       MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until         4:45.       MR. MORELLI: I agree.         THE COURT: Okay. Do we want to do our jury       lottery in front of the jury or do it now?         MR. MORELLI: After you charge them we'll       THE COURT: We don't have to tell them now.         MR. HAWORTH: Why don't we do it and be done with       it while they take a break.         THE COURT: We'll pick the first two out of the       hopper who will be alternates.         First alternate is?       COURT CLERK: Seven.         THE COURT: Our second?       COURT CLERK: Five.         THE COURT: Seven and five are our alternates and       I'll let them know after I do my charges.         Anything else before we take a break?       MR. O'HARA: No, your Honor.         MR. SIROTKIN: No, your Honor.       MR. SIROTKIN: No, your Honor.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Immation - Mr. MorelliPage 1806and getting it earlier.Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's andgetting it earlier. Seizures that he has to worry about forthe rest of his life.And by the way, if he needs this surgery, it's notone surgery because he gets the cranioplasty number five.If it doesn't work and he gets those infections again, therewill be a number six. There could be a number seven, but wecan't tell you that because I'm not God. I don't know.But I can't come back. I can't come back to youand say Hey, look, look, at what really happened. Look whathappened to Mark.Future surgeries. Constant pain. Who will carefor him in the future? This is what he's worried about.These are all of the things that he's worried about and Isubmit to you and I'm going to show you this.You are going to get a verdict sheet and this isit. Okay. And this and while you're going through thisverdict sheet, and you're getting to past pain and sufferingand loss of enjoyment of life, you not only have to fill inthe number for that, but you also have to fill in, you haveto figure out how long, what his past lost earnings are.Okay. And then when you go to the future for the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	urt's ChargePage 1808THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there. MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until4:45.MR. MORELLI: I agree. THE COURT: Okay. Do we want to do our jurylottery in front of the jury or do it now? MR. MORELLI: After you charge them we'll THE COURT: We don't have to tell them now. MR. HAWORTH: Why don't we do it and be done with it while they take a break. THE COURT: We'll pick the first two out of the hopper who will be alternates. The first two to come out will be alternates. First alternate is? COURT CLERK: Seven. THE COURT: Our second? COURT CLERK: Five. THE COURT: Seven and five are our alternates and I'll let them know after I do my charges. Anything else before we take a break? MR. O'HARA: No, your Honor. (Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and getting it earlier. Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's and getting it earlier. Seizures that he has to worry about for the rest of his life. And by the way, if he needs this surgery, it's not one surgery because he gets the cranioplasty number five. If it doesn't work and he gets those infections again, there will be a number six. There could be a number seven, but we can't tell you that because I'm not God. I don't know. But I can't come back. I can't come back to you and say Hey, look, look, at what really happened. Look what happened to Mark. Future surgeries. Constant pain. Who will care for him in the future? This is what he's worried about. These are all of the things that he's worried about. Submit to you and I'm going to show you this. You are going to get a verdict sheet and this is it. Okay. And this and while you're going through this verdict sheet, and you're getting to past pain and suffering and loss of enjoyment of life, you not only have to fill in the number for that, but you also have to fill in, you have to figure out how long, what his past lost earnings are. Okay. And then when you go to the future for the future conscious pain and suffering. You have to fill in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	urt's Charge       Page 1808         THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there.       MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until         4:45.       MR. MORELLI: I agree.         THE COURT: Okay. Do we want to do our jury       lottery in front of the jury or do it now?         MR. MORELLI: After you charge them we'll       THE COURT: We don't have to tell them now.         MR. HAWORTH: Why don't we do it and be done with       it while they take a break.         THE COURT: We'll pick the first two out of the       hopper who will be alternates.         First alternate is?       COURT CLERK: Seven.         THE COURT: Our second?       COURT CLERK: Five.         THE COURT: Seven and five are our alternates and       I'll let them know after I do my charges.         Anything else before we take a break?       MR. O'HARA: No, your Honor.         MR. SIROTKIN: No, your Honor.       (Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.)         THE COURT: Okay, Ladies and Gentlemen, we've now
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Immation - Mr. MorelliPage 1806and getting it earlier.Two to three times risk of getting Alzheimer's andgetting it earlier. Seizures that he has to worry about forthe rest of his life.And by the way, if he needs this surgery, it's notone surgery because he gets the cranioplasty number five.If it doesn't work and he gets those infections again, therewill be a number six. There could be a number seven, but wecan't tell you that because I'm not God. I don't know.But I can't come back. I can't come back to youand say Hey, look, look, at what really happened. Look whathappened to Mark.Future surgeries. Constant pain. Who will carefor him in the future? This is what he's worried about.These are all of the things that he's worried about and Isubmit to you and I'm going to show you this.You are going to get a verdict sheet and this isit. Okay. And this and while you're going through thisverdict sheet, and you're getting to past pain and sufferingand loss of enjoyment of life, you not only have to fill inthe number for that, but you also have to fill in, you haveto figure out how long, what his past lost earnings are.Okay. And then when you go to the future for the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	urt's ChargePage 1808THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there. MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until4:45.MR. MORELLI: I agree. THE COURT: Okay. Do we want to do our jurylottery in front of the jury or do it now? MR. MORELLI: After you charge them we'll THE COURT: We don't have to tell them now. MR. HAWORTH: Why don't we do it and be done with it while they take a break. THE COURT: We'll pick the first two out of the hopper who will be alternates. The first two to come out will be alternates. First alternate is? COURT CLERK: Seven. THE COURT: Our second? COURT CLERK: Five. THE COURT: Seven and five are our alternates and I'll let them know after I do my charges. Anything else before we take a break? MR. O'HARA: No, your Honor. (Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.)

December 5, 2019

	ez v. e Nation		December 5, 2019
	rt's Charge Page 1809	Cou	rt's Charge Page 1811
	-		
	you as to the law that applies to this case.	1	However, you are not required to consider such a
2	After I'm finished, you're going to retire for your final deliberations.		witness to be totally unacceptable. You may accept so much
3	You've now heard all the evidence; and, through the		of the person's testimony as you deem to be true and disregard what you feel is false.
4	argument of the attorneys you've learned the conclusions	4 5	As the sole judges of the facts, you decide which
	that each party believes you should draw from the evidence.		of the witnesses you believe, what portion of their
7	You recall at the beginning of the trial I told you		testimony that you accept and how much weight you give to
	some things to keep in mind during the trial and briefly,	8	
	that you are bound to apply the law as I give it to you even	9	To say that a party has the burden of proof on an
	if you disagree with it.		issue means that considering all of the evidence in the
11	You're not to ask anyone else about the law.		case, the party's claim on that issue must be established by
12	You may not accept any advice about the law from		a fair preponderance of the credible evidence.
13	anyone other than me.	13	Now, the credible evidence means the testimony or
14	You must not conclude from any of my rulings or,		exhibits that you find worthy of belief.
	for that matter, from anything I have said during this trial	15	A preponderance means the greater part of the
	that I favor any party to this lawsuit and furthermore, in		evidence. It does not mean the greater number of witnesses
	reaching your decision, you may not drew any inference from		or the greater length of time taken by either side.
	an unanswered question nor may you consider testimony that	18	The phrase "preponderance of the evidence" refers
19	has been stricken from the record.	19	to the quality of the evidence, its weight and the effect
20	Finally, in deciding how much weight you choose to	20	that it has on your mind.
21	give to the testimony of a particular witness, remember that	21	In order for a party to prevail on an issue which
22	there is no magical formula. The same tests you use in your		it has the burden of proof, the evidence that supports its
	every day dealings are the tests you should apply in your		claim on that issue must appeal to you as more nearly
24	deliberations:		representing what happened than the evidence that is opposed
25	The interest or lack of interest in the witness in	25	to it.
	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER		LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
0			
	ut's Charge Page 1810	Cou	rt's Charge Page 1812
	rt's Charge Page 1810	Cou	rt's Charge Page 1812
	the outcome of the case.	1	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you
	the outcome of the case. The bias or prejudice, if there be any.	1 2	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you are unable to say that there's a preponderance on either
1 2 3	the outcome of the case. The bias or prejudice, if there be any. The age, the appearance, the manner in which the	1 2 3	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you are unable to say that there's a preponderance on either side, than you must decide the question against the party
1 2 3 4	the outcome of the case. The bias or prejudice, if there be any. The age, the appearance, the manner in which the witness gives testimony on the stand.	1 2 3 4	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you are unable to say that there's a preponderance on either side, than you must decide the question against the party who has the burden of proof and in favor of the opposing
1 2 3 4 5	the outcome of the case. The bias or prejudice, if there be any. The age, the appearance, the manner in which the witness gives testimony on the stand. The opportunity that the witness has to observe the	1 2 3 4 5	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you are unable to say that there's a preponderance on either side, than you must decide the question against the party who has the burden of proof and in favor of the opposing party.
1 2 3 4 5 6	the outcome of the case. The bias or prejudice, if there be any. The age, the appearance, the manner in which the witness gives testimony on the stand. The opportunity that the witness has to observe the facts about which he or she testifies.	1 2 3 4 5 6	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you are unable to say that there's a preponderance on either side, than you must decide the question against the party who has the burden of proof and in favor of the opposing party. In this case, the plaintiff claims that the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	the outcome of the case. The bias or prejudice, if there be any. The age, the appearance, the manner in which the witness gives testimony on the stand. The opportunity that the witness has to observe the facts about which he or she testifies. The probability or improbability of the witness'	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you are unable to say that there's a preponderance on either side, than you must decide the question against the party who has the burden of proof and in favor of the opposing party. In this case, the plaintiff claims that the accident on June 26, 2013, caused him to sustain severe
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the outcome of the case. The bias or prejudice, if there be any. The age, the appearance, the manner in which the witness gives testimony on the stand. The opportunity that the witness has to observe the facts about which he or she testifies. The probability or improbability of the witness' testimony when considered in light of all the other evidence	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you are unable to say that there's a preponderance on either side, than you must decide the question against the party who has the burden of proof and in favor of the opposing party. In this case, the plaintiff claims that the accident on June 26, 2013, caused him to sustain severe personal emotional and financial damages.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the outcome of the case. The bias or prejudice, if there be any. The age, the appearance, the manner in which the witness gives testimony on the stand. The opportunity that the witness has to observe the facts about which he or she testifies. The probability or improbability of the witness' testimony when considered in light of all the other evidence in the case. These are all things you can consider in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you are unable to say that there's a preponderance on either side, than you must decide the question against the party who has the burden of proof and in favor of the opposing party. In this case, the plaintiff claims that the accident on June 26, 2013, caused him to sustain severe personal emotional and financial damages. The plaintiff has the burden of proving that these
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the outcome of the case. The bias or prejudice, if there be any. The age, the appearance, the manner in which the witness gives testimony on the stand. The opportunity that the witness has to observe the facts about which he or she testifies. The probability or improbability of the witness' testimony when considered in light of all the other evidence in the case. These are all things you can consider in deciding how much weight, if any, you give to a particular	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you are unable to say that there's a preponderance on either side, than you must decide the question against the party who has the burden of proof and in favor of the opposing party. In this case, the plaintiff claims that the accident on June 26, 2013, caused him to sustain severe personal emotional and financial damages. The plaintiff has the burden of proving that these damages were caused by the accident.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the outcome of the case. The bias or prejudice, if there be any. The age, the appearance, the manner in which the witness gives testimony on the stand. The opportunity that the witness has to observe the facts about which he or she testifies. The probability or improbability of the witness' testimony when considered in light of all the other evidence in the case. These are all things you can consider in deciding how much weight, if any, you give to a particular witness' testimony.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you are unable to say that there's a preponderance on either side, than you must decide the question against the party who has the burden of proof and in favor of the opposing party. In this case, the plaintiff claims that the accident on June 26, 2013, caused him to sustain severe personal emotional and financial damages. The plaintiff has the burden of proving that these damages were caused by the accident. The defendant acknowledges that the accident caused
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the outcome of the case. The bias or prejudice, if there be any. The age, the appearance, the manner in which the witness gives testimony on the stand. The opportunity that the witness has to observe the facts about which he or she testifies. The probability or improbability of the witness' testimony when considered in light of all the other evidence in the case. These are all things you can consider in deciding how much weight, if any, you give to a particular witness' testimony. Again, if it appears that there is a conflict in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you are unable to say that there's a preponderance on either side, than you must decide the question against the party who has the burden of proof and in favor of the opposing party. In this case, the plaintiff claims that the accident on June 26, 2013, caused him to sustain severe personal emotional and financial damages. The plaintiff has the burden of proving that these damages were caused by the accident. The defendant acknowledges that the accident caused injuries to the plaintiff, however, it claims that Mr. Perez
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the outcome of the case. The bias or prejudice, if there be any. The age, the appearance, the manner in which the witness gives testimony on the stand. The opportunity that the witness has to observe the facts about which he or she testifies. The probability or improbability of the witness' testimony when considered in light of all the other evidence in the case. These are all things you can consider in deciding how much weight, if any, you give to a particular witness' testimony. Again, if it appears that there is a conflict in the evidence, you're going to have to consider whether that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you are unable to say that there's a preponderance on either side, than you must decide the question against the party who has the burden of proof and in favor of the opposing party. In this case, the plaintiff claims that the accident on June 26, 2013, caused him to sustain severe personal emotional and financial damages. The plaintiff has the burden of proving that these damages were caused by the accident. The defendant acknowledges that the accident caused injuries to the plaintiff, however, it claims that Mr. Perez did not do everything that he could do to mitigate his
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the outcome of the case. The bias or prejudice, if there be any. The age, the appearance, the manner in which the witness gives testimony on the stand. The opportunity that the witness has to observe the facts about which he or she testifies. The probability or improbability of the witness' testimony when considered in light of all the other evidence in the case. These are all things you can consider in deciding how much weight, if any, you give to a particular witness' testimony. Again, if it appears that there is a conflict in the evidence, you're going to have to consider whether that conflict can be reconciled by fitting the different versions	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you are unable to say that there's a preponderance on either side, than you must decide the question against the party who has the burden of proof and in favor of the opposing party. In this case, the plaintiff claims that the accident on June 26, 2013, caused him to sustain severe personal emotional and financial damages. The plaintiff has the burden of proving that these damages were caused by the accident. The defendant acknowledges that the accident caused injuries to the plaintiff, however, it claims that Mr. Perez did not do everything that he could do to mitigate his damages.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the outcome of the case. The bias or prejudice, if there be any. The age, the appearance, the manner in which the witness gives testimony on the stand. The opportunity that the witness has to observe the facts about which he or she testifies. The probability or improbability of the witness' testimony when considered in light of all the other evidence in the case. These are all things you can consider in deciding how much weight, if any, you give to a particular witness' testimony. Again, if it appears that there is a conflict in the evidence, you're going to have to consider whether that conflict can be reconciled by fitting the different versions together.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you are unable to say that there's a preponderance on either side, than you must decide the question against the party who has the burden of proof and in favor of the opposing party. In this case, the plaintiff claims that the accident on June 26, 2013, caused him to sustain severe personal emotional and financial damages. The plaintiff has the burden of proving that these damages were caused by the accident. The defendant acknowledges that the accident caused injuries to the plaintiff, however, it claims that Mr. Perez did not do everything that he could do to mitigate his damages. The term "mitigate damages" means a person's
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the outcome of the case. The bias or prejudice, if there be any. The age, the appearance, the manner in which the witness gives testimony on the stand. The opportunity that the witness has to observe the facts about which he or she testifies. The probability or improbability of the witness' testimony when considered in light of all the other evidence in the case. These are all things you can consider in deciding how much weight, if any, you give to a particular witness' testimony. Again, if it appears that there is a conflict in the evidence, you're going to have to consider whether that conflict can be reconciled by fitting the different versions together. If, however, that is not possible, you are going to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you are unable to say that there's a preponderance on either side, than you must decide the question against the party who has the burden of proof and in favor of the opposing party. In this case, the plaintiff claims that the accident on June 26, 2013, caused him to sustain severe personal emotional and financial damages. The plaintiff has the burden of proving that these damages were caused by the accident. The defendant acknowledges that the accident caused injuries to the plaintiff, however, it claims that Mr. Perez did not do everything that he could do to mitigate his damages. The term "mitigate damages" means a person's failure to lessen the effects of his injuries.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the outcome of the case. The bias or prejudice, if there be any. The age, the appearance, the manner in which the witness gives testimony on the stand. The opportunity that the witness has to observe the facts about which he or she testifies. The probability or improbability of the witness' testimony when considered in light of all the other evidence in the case. These are all things you can consider in deciding how much weight, if any, you give to a particular witness' testimony. Again, if it appears that there is a conflict in the evidence, you're going to have to consider whether that conflict can be reconciled by fitting the different versions together. If, however, that is not possible, you are going to have to decide which of the conflicting versions that you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you are unable to say that there's a preponderance on either side, than you must decide the question against the party who has the burden of proof and in favor of the opposing party. In this case, the plaintiff claims that the accident on June 26, 2013, caused him to sustain severe personal emotional and financial damages. The plaintiff has the burden of proving that these damages were caused by the accident. The defendant acknowledges that the accident caused injuries to the plaintiff, however, it claims that Mr. Perez did not do everything that he could do to mitigate his damages. The term "mitigate damages" means a person's failure to lessen the effects of his injuries. The defendant has the burden of proving that the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the outcome of the case. The bias or prejudice, if there be any. The age, the appearance, the manner in which the witness gives testimony on the stand. The opportunity that the witness has to observe the facts about which he or she testifies. The probability or improbability of the witness' testimony when considered in light of all the other evidence in the case. These are all things you can consider in deciding how much weight, if any, you give to a particular witness' testimony. Again, if it appears that there is a conflict in the evidence, you're going to have to consider whether that conflict can be reconciled by fitting the different versions together. If, however, that is not possible, you are going to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you are unable to say that there's a preponderance on either side, than you must decide the question against the party who has the burden of proof and in favor of the opposing party. In this case, the plaintiff claims that the accident on June 26, 2013, caused him to sustain severe personal emotional and financial damages. The plaintiff has the burden of proving that these damages were caused by the accident. The defendant acknowledges that the accident caused injuries to the plaintiff, however, it claims that Mr. Perez did not do everything that he could do to mitigate his damages. The term "mitigate damages" means a person's failure to lessen the effects of his injuries. The defendant has the burden of proving that the plaintiff did not mitigate his damages.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the outcome of the case. The bias or prejudice, if there be any. The age, the appearance, the manner in which the witness gives testimony on the stand. The opportunity that the witness has to observe the facts about which he or she testifies. The probability or improbability of the witness' testimony when considered in light of all the other evidence in the case. These are all things you can consider in deciding how much weight, if any, you give to a particular witness' testimony. Again, if it appears that there is a conflict in the evidence, you're going to have to consider whether that conflict can be reconciled by fitting the different versions together. If, however, that is not possible, you are going to have to decide which of the conflicting versions that you accept. A matter is a material fact excuse me a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you are unable to say that there's a preponderance on either side, than you must decide the question against the party who has the burden of proof and in favor of the opposing party. In this case, the plaintiff claims that the accident on June 26, 2013, caused him to sustain severe personal emotional and financial damages. The plaintiff has the burden of proving that these damages were caused by the accident. The defendant acknowledges that the accident caused injuries to the plaintiff, however, it claims that Mr. Perez did not do everything that he could do to mitigate his damages. The term "mitigate damages" means a person's failure to lessen the effects of his injuries. The defendant has the burden of proving that the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the outcome of the case. The bias or prejudice, if there be any. The age, the appearance, the manner in which the witness gives testimony on the stand. The opportunity that the witness has to observe the facts about which he or she testifies. The probability or improbability of the witness' testimony when considered in light of all the other evidence in the case. These are all things you can consider in deciding how much weight, if any, you give to a particular witness' testimony. Again, if it appears that there is a conflict in the evidence, you're going to have to consider whether that conflict can be reconciled by fitting the different versions together. If, however, that is not possible, you are going to have to decide which of the conflicting versions that you accept.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you are unable to say that there's a preponderance on either side, than you must decide the question against the party who has the burden of proof and in favor of the opposing party. In this case, the plaintiff claims that the accident on June 26, 2013, caused him to sustain severe personal emotional and financial damages. The plaintiff has the burden of proving that these damages were caused by the accident. The defendant acknowledges that the accident caused injuries to the plaintiff, however, it claims that Mr. Perez did not do everything that he could do to mitigate his damages. The term "mitigate damages" means a person's failure to lessen the effects of his injuries. The defendant has the burden of proving that the plaintiff did not mitigate his damages. In deciding this case, you may consider only the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>the outcome of the case.</li> <li>The bias or prejudice, if there be any.</li> <li>The age, the appearance, the manner in which the witness gives testimony on the stand.</li> <li>The opportunity that the witness has to observe the facts about which he or she testifies.</li> <li>The probability or improbability of the witness' testimony when considered in light of all the other evidence in the case. These are all things you can consider in deciding how much weight, if any, you give to a particular witness' testimony.</li> <li>Again, if it appears that there is a conflict in the evidence, you're going to have to consider whether that conflict can be reconciled by fitting the different versions together.</li> <li>If, however, that is not possible, you are going to have to decide which of the conflicting versions that you accept.</li> <li>A matter is a material fact excuse me a material fact is something that you decide is important.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you are unable to say that there's a preponderance on either side, than you must decide the question against the party who has the burden of proof and in favor of the opposing party. In this case, the plaintiff claims that the accident on June 26, 2013, caused him to sustain severe personal emotional and financial damages. The plaintiff has the burden of proving that these damages were caused by the accident. The defendant acknowledges that the accident caused injuries to the plaintiff, however, it claims that Mr. Perez did not do everything that he could do to mitigate his damages. The term "mitigate damages" means a person's failure to lessen the effects of his injuries. The defendant has the burden of proving that the plaintiff did not mitigate his damages. In deciding this case, you may consider only the exhibits that have been admitted into evidence and the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the outcome of the case. The bias or prejudice, if there be any. The age, the appearance, the manner in which the witness gives testimony on the stand. The opportunity that the witness has to observe the facts about which he or she testifies. The probability or improbability of the witness' testimony when considered in light of all the other evidence in the case. These are all things you can consider in deciding how much weight, if any, you give to a particular witness' testimony. Again, if it appears that there is a conflict in the evidence, you're going to have to consider whether that conflict can be reconciled by fitting the different versions together. If, however, that is not possible, you are going to have to decide which of the conflicting versions that you accept. A matter is a material fact excuse me a material fact is something that you decide is important. If you find that any witness has willfully	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you are unable to say that there's a preponderance on either side, than you must decide the question against the party who has the burden of proof and in favor of the opposing party. In this case, the plaintiff claims that the accident on June 26, 2013, caused him to sustain severe personal emotional and financial damages. The plaintiff has the burden of proving that these damages were caused by the accident. The defendant acknowledges that the accident caused injuries to the plaintiff, however, it claims that Mr. Perez did not do everything that he could do to mitigate his damages. The term "mitigate damages" means a person's failure to lessen the effects of his injuries. The defendant has the burden of proving that the plaintiff did not mitigate his damages. In deciding this case, you may consider only the exhibits that have been admitted into evidence and the testimony of the witnesses, both in this courtroom and given
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the outcome of the case. The bias or prejudice, if there be any. The age, the appearance, the manner in which the witness gives testimony on the stand. The opportunity that the witness has to observe the facts about which he or she testifies. The probability or improbability of the witness' testimony when considered in light of all the other evidence in the case. These are all things you can consider in deciding how much weight, if any, you give to a particular witness' testimony. Again, if it appears that there is a conflict in the evidence, you're going to have to consider whether that conflict can be reconciled by fitting the different versions together. If, however, that is not possible, you are going to have to decide which of the conflicting versions that you accept. A matter is a material fact excuse me a material fact is something that you decide is important. If you find that any witness has willfully testified falsely about a material fact, the law permits you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you are unable to say that there's a preponderance on either side, than you must decide the question against the party who has the burden of proof and in favor of the opposing party. In this case, the plaintiff claims that the accident on June 26, 2013, caused him to sustain severe personal emotional and financial damages. The plaintiff has the burden of proving that these damages were caused by the accident. The defendant acknowledges that the accident caused injuries to the plaintiff, however, it claims that Mr. Perez did not do everything that he could do to mitigate his damages. The term "mitigate damages" means a person's failure to lessen the effects of his injuries. The defendant has the burden of proving that the plaintiff did not mitigate his damages. In deciding this case, you may consider only the exhibits that have been admitted into evidence and the testimony of the witnesses, both in this courtroom and given at an examination before trial and also any facts that may
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the outcome of the case. The bias or prejudice, if there be any. The age, the appearance, the manner in which the witness gives testimony on the stand. The opportunity that the witness has to observe the facts about which he or she testifies. The probability or improbability of the witness' testimony when considered in light of all the other evidence in the case. These are all things you can consider in deciding how much weight, if any, you give to a particular witness' testimony. Again, if it appears that there is a conflict in the evidence, you're going to have to consider whether that conflict can be reconciled by fitting the different versions together. If, however, that is not possible, you are going to have to decide which of the conflicting versions that you accept. A matter is a material fact excuse me a material fact is something that you decide is important. If you find that any witness has willfully testified falsely about a material fact, the law permits you to completely disregard that witness' entire testimony upon	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you are unable to say that there's a preponderance on either side, than you must decide the question against the party who has the burden of proof and in favor of the opposing party. In this case, the plaintiff claims that the accident on June 26, 2013, caused him to sustain severe personal emotional and financial damages. The plaintiff has the burden of proving that these damages were caused by the accident. The defendant acknowledges that the accident caused injuries to the plaintiff, however, it claims that Mr. Perez did not do everything that he could do to mitigate his damages. The term "mitigate damages" means a person's failure to lessen the effects of his injuries. The defendant has the burden of proving that the plaintiff did not mitigate his damages. In deciding this case, you may consider only the exhibits that have been admitted into evidence and the testimony of the witnesses, both in this courtroom and given at an examination before trial and also any facts that may have been stipulated by the parties as being true.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the outcome of the case. The bias or prejudice, if there be any. The age, the appearance, the manner in which the witness gives testimony on the stand. The opportunity that the witness has to observe the facts about which he or she testifies. The probability or improbability of the witness' testimony when considered in light of all the other evidence in the case. These are all things you can consider in deciding how much weight, if any, you give to a particular witness' testimony. Again, if it appears that there is a conflict in the evidence, you're going to have to consider whether that conflict can be reconciled by fitting the different versions together. If, however, that is not possible, you are going to have to decide which of the conflicting versions that you accept. A matter is a material fact excuse me a material fact is something that you decide is important. If you find that any witness has willfully testified falsely about a material fact, the law permits you to completely disregard that witness' entire testimony upon the principle that one who testifies falsely about one	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	If it does not, or if it weighs so evenly that you are unable to say that there's a preponderance on either side, than you must decide the question against the party who has the burden of proof and in favor of the opposing party. In this case, the plaintiff claims that the accident on June 26, 2013, caused him to sustain severe personal emotional and financial damages. The plaintiff has the burden of proving that these damages were caused by the accident. The defendant acknowledges that the accident caused injuries to the plaintiff, however, it claims that Mr. Perez did not do everything that he could do to mitigate his damages. The term "mitigate damages" means a person's failure to lessen the effects of his injuries. The defendant has the burden of proving that the plaintiff did not mitigate his damages. In deciding this case, you may consider only the exhibits that have been admitted into evidence and the testimony of the witnesses, both in this courtroom and given at an examination before trial and also any facts that may have been stipulated by the parties as being true. I remind you that the arguments, remarks and

	ez v. e Nation		December 5, 2019
	Int's Charge Page 1813	Cou	rt's Charge Page 1815
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that I have said. Although you were encouraged to use all your life's experiences in analyzing the testimony and reaching a fair verdict, you may not communicate any personal, professional expertise that you may have or any other facts that are not in evidence to the other jurors during your deliberations. You must base your decisions and discussions solely upon the evidence presented to you during the trial and that evidence alone. You may not consider or speculate on matters that are not in evidence or any matters that are outside of this case. In reaching your verdict, you are not to be affected by any outside considerations. That includes sympathy for any of the parties or the potential reaction of anyone to your verdict. You only should consider the testimony and exhibits to decide what you believe and then apply the law as I now give it to you. Now, you'll recall that medical doctors: Brian Greenwald, Steven Fayer, Gerry Lubliner, Michael Lipton, Theodore Schwartz, Ann Ambrose, Barry Jordan and Werner Doyle and neuropsychologist, Wayne Gordon and William Barr life care planners, Edmond Provder and Kimberly Kushner and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	field warrant. It must be considered by you but it is not controlling upon your judgment. Mark Perez testified before you and is a party to this action. Mr. Perez is considered an interested witness. An interested witness is not necessarily less believable than a disinterested witness. The fact that a person is interested in the outcome of the case does not mean that he or she has not told the truth. It is for you to decide from the demeanor of the witness on the stand and from such other tests and as your experience dictates whether the testimony has been intentionally or unintentionally influenced by his or her interest. You can decide that you don't believe the testimony of an interested witness even though the testimony is not otherwise challenged or contradicted. However, you are not required to reject an interested witness' testimony. You may accept all or any part of the testimony that you find is reliable and you may reject any part that you find is unreliable. You've heard the lawyers read portions of a document referred to as an examination before trial. And you may have also heard it referred to as a deposition or an
	economist Debra Dwyer and Leonard Freifelder testified concerning their qualifications in respective fields of	24 25	EBT. These terms all basically mean the same thing. I want to remind you that before this trial began,
	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER		LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
Сог		Соц	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	were disclosed by the other evidence. Opinion testimony should be evaluated in the same way as the testimony of other witnesses. It is given to assist you in reaching a proper conclusion. It is entitled	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	rt's Charge Page 1816 some of the witnesses answered questions under oath, a stenographer recorded the questions and answers and transcribed them into a document that the witnesses later signed before a notary public. The portions of the transcript of the examination before a trial that you heard are to be considered as if the witness was testifying live on the witness stand. I now want to talk to you about the issue that you're going to be deciding which is the plaintiff's damages. I'm instructing you that there is no issue of liability in this case. Under the law, Live Nation is solely responsible for the plaintiff's damages. In making their closing remarks, both counsel suggested specific dollar amounts that they believed to be appropriate compensation for specific element of Mr. Perez's damages. In fact, an attorney is permitted to suggest the amount that should be awarded, but those suggestions are argument only, not evidence and should not be considered by you as evidence of the plaintiff's damages. The determination of damages is solely for you, the jury, to decide.
	to such weight as you find the witness' qualification in the LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	25	The plaintiff is entitled to recover a sum of money LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

Pere Live	Nation		December 5, 2019
Cour	t's Charge Page 1817	Соι	urt's Charge Page 1819
2 4 3 4 5 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that will justly and fairly compensate him for any injury, disability and conscious pain and suffering to date that was caused by the defendant. Loss of enjoyment of life give me one second, please. MR. MORELLI: Your Honor, can we approach for a second? THE COURT: Yes. (Side-bar discussion held off the record.) THE COURT: Loss of enjoyment of life involves the loss of ability to perform daily tasks, to participate in the activities part of the person's life before the injury and to experience the pleasures of life. If you find that as a result of his injuries Mr. Perez suffered some loss of ability to enjoy life, then you may take that loss into consideration in determining the amount to be awarded to Mr. Perez for pain and suffering. With respect to any of his injuries or disabilities, Mr. Perez also is entitled to recover for future pain and suffering, future pain, suffering, disability and the loss of his ability to enjoy life. In making this determination, you should take into consideration the period of time that the injuries or disabilities are expected to continue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	your verdict will set forth a dollar amount that covers the entire period that you find that he will incur them. After you decide the amount for that total period, we'll ask you to state the number of years over which that award is intended to provide compensation. In other words, when you're making an award, do not state an amount per year, state the total amount for however many years that your award covers and then tell us how many years that that award would include. The plaintiff is also entitled to be reimbursed for any earnings that he lost as a result of his injuries that were caused by the accident from the time of the accident until today. Furthermore, if you find that those injuries caused the plaintiff to suffer reduction in his capacity to earn money in the future, then he's also entitled to be reimbursed for future loss earnings. You may not speculate on the amount to award for lost earnings up until today. Any award must be calculated
25	If you find that the injuries or disabilities are		from the number of days that you find that the plaintiff was
	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER		LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
1 ) 2 ) 3 4 1 5 4 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 ) 11 2 13 0 14 15 1 16 4 17 0 18 19 1 20 21 0 21 0 22 4 23 24	Page 1818 permanent, than you should take that period of time that the plaintiff can be expected to live into consideration. In accordance with statistical life expectancy table, Mr. Perez has a life expectancy of 78.4 years. Such a table, however, provides nothing more than a statistical average. It does not guarantee that he'll live an additional 39.9 years nor does it mean he'll not live longer. You are not bound by the life expectancy figure I just gave you, but in deciding Mr. Perez's life expectancy, you should consider it together with your own experience and with the evidence that you've heard concerning the condition of Mr. Perez's health, habits, employments and activities. Your verdict also must include damages for any mental suffering, any emotion or psychologic injuries and any physical consequences that Mr. Perez suffered in connection with this incident. Mr. Perez is entitled to recover the amount of reasonable expenditures for medical services and medicines. The parties have agreed to the amount of medical expenses that Mr. Perez has incurred from the date of the accident to today, so that's not something that you're going to have to make a determination on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 1820 disabled from working by his injuries and the amount that you find that he would have earned had he not been disabled. Any award that you make for reduction of Mr. Perez's future earning capacity should be determined on the basis of his earnings before the accident, the condition of his health, his prospects for advancement, the probabilities with respect to future earnings before the accident, the extent to which you find that those prospects or probabilities have been reduced by the injuries, the length of time you find he would have reasonably been expected to work had he not been injured, the nature and hazard business and any other circumstances which you feel would have an effect on his earning capacity. (Continued on next page.)
	LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER		LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

Liv	e Nation	December 5, 2019		
Jury	V Charge Page 1821	Jury Charge Page 1823		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE COURT: Mr. Perez is now 36 years old, an according to the same tables I referred to earlier, his work life expectancy is another 24 more years. Again, these tables are nothing more than statistical averages. The first thing that I just gave you is not binding upon you, but in determine Mr. Perez's work-life expectancy, you may consider it, together with your own experience and the evidence that you have heard. And just like before, for any award that you make for reduction in Mr. Perez's future earning capacity, your verdict will set forth a dollar amount that covers the entire period that you find the plaintiff will suffer that reduction. After you decide the amount of reduction for lost earnings for the entire period, then you will state the number of years over which that award is intended to provide compensation and again, and I want to stress this: You are not making an award per year. You are making an award for a total number of years, and then you are telling us how many years that that award is intended to cover. Mr. Perez has offered evidence that at the time of the injury, he was beginning a career as a web designer. If the injuries that Mr. Perez sustained are reasonably certain to have interfered with his career, you may award an amount of damages that you find he sustained because the injuries prevented him from attaining the earning capacity of that	<ol> <li>neuropsychologist; hematologist; and ophthalmologist.</li> <li>The next category is going to be future</li> <li>rehabilitation expenses. That category would include</li> <li>neuropsychological and psychological services; cognitive</li> <li>rehabilitation therapy; physical therapy;</li> <li>neuro-ophthalmology services; rehabilitation services; case</li> <li>management and counseling.</li> <li>The final category is going to be custodial care,</li> </ol>		
25	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER		
Jur	/ Charge Page 1822	Dece 1994		
		Page 1824		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	occupation. In deciding this issue, you may consider Mr. Perez's talent, the training he received, the opportunity and recognition that he already had, and the future opportunity that he was likely to have, as well as the likelihood, risks and contingencies involved in achieving success in that occupation. As I said before, the parties have agreed on the cost of Mr. Perez's medical expenses to date. You will not have to decide that issue. However, we are still asking you to make an award that is intended to compensate Mr. Perez for future medical expenses. Your verdict for future medical expenses is going to be divided into a few categories. Now, you have heard references to the document that's called a verdict sheet, and you are going to see, starting with question two there's two questions, so the second question talks about future losses, and you are going to see there where it comes to expenses that it's divided into three categories, and I want to give you a little explanation as to what they mean. First of all, the category that's called future medical expenses; cost of surgeries; medication costs and physicians expenses such as those services rendered by a psychiatrist; neurologist; neurosurgeon; plastic surgeon;	<ol> <li>Craniotomy; right?</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: Cranioplasty.</li> <li>THE COURT: Cranioplasty. I didn't know if this</li> <li>was correct, versus</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: It's cranioplasty.</li> <li>THE COURT: The defendant claims that if the</li> <li>plaintiff submitted to a cranioplasty and to psychotherapy</li> <li>for his injuries, his injuries and pain would be greatly</li> <li>alleviated, and that the operation and treatment is not</li> <li>dangerous. The plaintiff claims that he made an effort to</li> <li>mitigate by meeting doctors from Johns Hopkins University</li> <li>Hospital and with Dr. Theodore Schwartz of Weill Cornell</li> <li>Medicine to discuss having a cranioplasty.</li> <li>He further asserts that he acted and continues to</li> <li>act as reasonably prudent person would have acted, in light</li> <li>of the risks of further surgery an complications from the</li> <li>four brain surgeries that he already has incurred.</li> <li>The defendant has the burden of proving that the</li> <li>plaintiff failed to avail himself of a reasonably safe</li> <li>procedure that would have greatly alleviated his injury.</li> <li>If you find that the plaintiff is entitled to</li> <li>recover in this action, then in deciding the nature and</li> <li>permanence of his injuries and what damages he may recover</li> </ol>		

LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER

Perez v. Live Nation	December 5, 2019
Jury Charge Page 1825	Jury Charge Page 1827
<ul> <li>1 cranioplasty or psychotherapy services, he acted as a</li> <li>2 reasonably prudent person would have acted under the</li> <li>3 circumstance.</li> <li>4 In deciding that question, you will take into</li> <li>5 consideration the evidence concerning the nature of the</li> <li>6 treatments, the extent to which the operation or treatments</li> <li>7 involve danger to the plaintiff, and the results that were</li> <li>8 expected to be obtained from them.</li> <li>9 If you find that in deciding not to have the</li> <li>10 operation or psychotherapy, the plaintiff acted as a</li> <li>11 reasonably prudent person would have acted, then the</li> <li>12 plaintiff is entitled to recover for his injuries as you</li> <li>13 find them to be. If, however, you find that a reasonably</li> <li>14 prudent person would have submitted to the operations or</li> <li>15 treatment, and that the operations or treatment would have</li> <li>16 alleviated the injury, then you will take that fact into</li> <li>17 consideration in deciding the amount of damages that you</li> <li>18 award.</li> <li>19 Now, during your deliberations, if your</li> <li>20 recollection of any part of the testimony should fail or if</li> <li>21 you have any questions concerning my instructions on the</li> <li>22 law, you have a right to return to the courtroom to have any</li> <li>23 question about my instruction answered, or to have any</li> <li>24 testimony read back.</li> <li>25 This case is going to be decided on the basis of</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>answered.</li> <li>If any of you have a question, please write it down</li> <li>on the form that the court officer will give you, and the</li> <li>court officer will deliver the question to me. For legal</li> <li>reasons, I must decide whether and how the question may be</li> <li>asked, and what procedure to follow. So that you are aware,</li> <li>you may have testimony read back to you, but you may not ask</li> <li>additional questions to get more facts.</li> <li>I've now outline for you the rules that apply to</li> <li>this case and the processes by which you are going to weigh</li> <li>the evidence and decide the facts. Before I go any further,</li> <li>under the law, we have I told you about this in the</li> <li>beginning. Six regular jurors, that are going to deliberate</li> <li>on the case. Two of you are going to be alternates. While</li> <li>you were out of the room last time, my court clerk, Lewis,</li> <li>put your numbers the hopper and drew out two people to serve</li> <li>as alternates. It's going to be Juror 7 and Juror 5. You</li> <li>are going to be our alternates.</li> <li>Did we want to change the seat assignments?</li> <li>MR. O'HARA: Excuse me?</li> <li>THE COURT: Change the seat assignments? I know I</li> <li>have this in my instructions.</li> <li>MR. HAWORTH: Doesn't matter.</li> <li>MR. B. MORELLI: In terms of</li> <li>THE COURT: I think so, because of the verdict</li> </ol>
LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER
Jury Charge Page 1826	Jury Charge Page 1828
<ol> <li>answers that you give to certain written questions, which we</li> <li>talked about, it's the document that's call the verdict</li> <li>sheet. Each question is going to call for either a yes or a</li> <li>4 no answer, a dollar amount, or a number of years. In</li> <li>5 answering the questions, if you decide not to make an award</li> <li>6 for any damages, then you should insert the word "none" or</li> <li>7 "not applicable" in your answer.</li> <li>8 While it is important that the views of all jurors</li> <li>9 be considered, five of our six jurors who are going to</li> <li>10 I'll talk to you about that in a second five of the six</li> <li>11 deliberating jurors must agree on the answers to any</li> <li>12 question, but the same five persons need not agree on all of</li> <li>13 the answers. When five of you have agreed on any answer,</li> <li>14 the foreperson of the jury will write the answer in the</li> <li>15 space provided for each answer, and each of you will sign in</li> <li>16 the appropriate place to indicate your agreement or your</li> <li>17 disagreement. Each question will be followed by an</li> <li>18 instruction as to how to proceed based on your answer to</li> <li>19 that question.</li> <li>20 Now, if you disagree with an answer that five of</li> <li>21 the other jurors have agreed upon, you should not stop</li> <li>22 deliberating. When you get to the next question, it starts</li> <li>23 all over again. The same five people do not need to agree</li> <li>24 on the answers to any of these questions. We just need to</li> <li>25 have five people agreeing on every question that is</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>sheet.</li> <li>So what's going to happen, let me just simply</li> <li>explain this, because on the verdict sheet you are going to</li> <li>4 be signing next to your numbers; okay? So the seating now</li> <li>5 is going to change for the six regular jurors. You are</li> <li>6 still One, Two, Three and Four. Juror Number Six is now</li> <li>7 Juror Number Five, so you are going to be moving over this</li> <li>8 way one seat; and Juror Number Eight is Juror Number Six.</li> <li>9 You are going to be moving over two seats. And then our two</li> <li>10 alternates are going to be in the back corner. You can stay</li> <li>11 right where you are, and you can go over there. That's just</li> <li>12 to simplify things, simply because where you're going to be</li> <li>13 signing on the verdict sheet are going to be retiring to the</li> <li>14 juror numbers.</li> <li>15 In few minutes you are going to be retiring to the</li> <li>16 jury room for your final deliberations, and in order that</li> <li>17 your deliberations can proceed in an orderly fashion, you</li> <li>18 are going to have to have a foreperson. The foreperson's</li> <li>19 vote is not entitled to any greater weight than that any of</li> <li>20 the other jurors. Traditionally, Juror Number One acts as</li> <li>21 thing that you should be doing, for the six deliberating</li> <li>23 jurors, when you go back to the jury room is going to be to</li> <li>24 select who the foreperson is going to be.</li> <li>25 Now, when you are in the jury room, please listen</li> </ol>

LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER

LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER

#### Perez v. Live Nation December 5, 2019 Jury Charge Page 1829 Jury Charge 1 to each other, and discuss the evidence in the case among 1 at the bench between the Court and counsel.) 2 yourselves. Your function, which is to reach a fair 2 (Whereupon Court's Exhibit II, was marked and 3 decision from the law and evidence, is an important one, and 3 received.) 4 it's the duty of each of you as jurors to consult with one THE COURT: The reason why I just wanted to have 4 **5** another, to deliberate with a view towards reaching an **5** that discussion right here is because, as I was starting to 6 agreement on a verdict, if you can do so without violating 6 give you the instructions, or halfway through the your individual judgment and your conscience. 7 instructions my computer up and died, which is where I had 7 While you should not surrender conscientious 8 8 the latest version of the instructions, and counsel was kind convictions about what the truth is, and the weight and the 9 9 enough to give me a copy to read from, but I think I misread **10** effect of the evidence on your minds, and while each of you **10** a couple of things. What I want to do is I want to talk to 11 the attorneys very quickly and make sure that any 11 must decide the case for yourselves and not merely consent 12 to the decision of your fellow jurors, you should examine 12 instructions that I may have misspoken on get fixed, so just the issues and the evidence before you with candor. 13 13 bear with me for just a couple of seconds. frankness, and with proper respect and regard for the 14 THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. 14 15 opinions of each other. Please remember in your 15 (Whereupon, the jury was excused from the deliberations that this dispute between these parties is 16 **16** courtroom.) very important to them. They and the Court rely on you to (Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.) 17 17 give full and conscientious deliberation and consideration 18 THE COURT: On the record, please. 18 to all of the evidence that was presented to you. 19 19 So as I understand it, after conferring with 20 So, here is how this is going to work at this point 20 counsel, the charges will stand as -- the charges will stand **21** as read. Obviously the objections to the substance that we

**21** in time. Our two alternates, you are not excused from jury 22 duty. I'm anticipating that you may still be needed, but **23** the way this works is you are not back in the same jury 24 room. We are putting you someplace else, and the same rules 25 that I have given you throughout the trial about the use of

LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER

MR. B. MORELLI: Okay.

the correct language.

LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER

**22** had talked about before the charges, you know, those apply,

but I think we all agree that the language that was read is

Jury	y Charge Page 1830	Jur	y Charge Page 1832	
1	electronic devices, about talking about the case, you still	1	MR. O'HARA: That's fine.	
2	can't talk about the case among yourselves. All of those	2	THE COURT: So now what I'm going to do is I'm	
3	rules still apply to you; okay? You will be able to use			
4	your electronic devices while you are sequestered in a	4	deliberations, and the two alternates for sequestration. I	
5	different room, but you have to follow the rules that I gave	5	can actually send the two alternates home, at this point.	
6	you.	6	MR. B. MORELLI: Might as well. They have until	
7	The rest of the jurors, as I told you in the	7	five o'clock, or no?	
8	beginning of the case, my officer is going to be collecting	8	$\mathcal{L}$	
9	any electronic devices that you brought. We don't want to	9	MR. B. MORELLI: All right.	
10	have any distractions or improprieties going on in the jury	10	MR. O'HARA: Let them get started.	
11	room. I'm sorry to have to do that, but believe it or not,	11	(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)	
12	I have had a problem where I had to declare a mistrial	12	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering.	
13	during a jury deliberation for that very reason. Obviously	13	(Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.)	
14	we don't want something stupid like that happening. So the	14	THE COURT: Okay. So what's going to happen from	
15	officer is going to be taking these devices.	15	here, now, is Jurors 1 through 6, you are going to go back,	
16	I also want to tell you one other thing, before I	16	and we are going to get started on this. We are going to be	
17	forget. Jury deliberations can only occur in that room;	17	going until about a quarter to 4:00 today	
18	okay? You can't call up each other at night and try to get	18	MR. B. MORELLI: 5:00.	
19	a jump start on the next day's deliberations. You can't go	<b>19</b> THE COURT: Yes. Thank you.		
20	out to lunch together and get a table and decide the case,	20	<b>C</b>	
21	or whatever. Any time that you have anything to discuss		coming back, everybody coming back, at 9:15 on Monday	
22	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		morning. As soon as everybody is here, our six main jurors	
23	room, and at no other place whatsoever.		are here, you can get going on your way on the	
24	Can I see counsel, just very quickly.	24	deliberations.	
25	(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion took place	25	Remember if there are any exhibits that you want,	
	LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER		LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER	

23

24

25

Page 1831

## Page 1833 1 any testimony you want read back, you have access to **2** anything that is in evidence. Jurors, now, Number 7 and 8, there's no reason that 3 4 you need to stick around for the rest of the day doing 5 nothing, so we'll just see you back on Monday morning; okay? 6 All right. Thank you, everyone. THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. 7 (Whereupon, the jury was excused from the 8 **9** courtroom.) (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) 10 \* 11 THE COURT: Okay, Ladies and Gentlemen. I'm going 12 **13** to be letting the jury go. I'm going to ask that you just 14 hang out until they clear out, and we'll see you back Monday 15 morning. (Whereupon, the proceedings were adjourned to 16 17 Monday, December 9, 2019 at 9:15 a.m.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER

		1818:22;1819:17,17;	actuarials (1)	1788:8;1813:14
\$	Α	1820:5,8	1705:23	affects (1)
		accompanying (1) 1743:4	<b>acute (1)</b> 1689:21	1720:23 afraid (2)
\$1,496,230 (1)	abdomen (8)	accomplished (1)	AD2d (1)	1749:22;1773:23
1711:3	1689:20;1739:11,	1801:2	1668:8	aftermath (1)
\$1,657,643 (1)	19,23;1744:9,14,19;	accordance (1)	add (4)	1690:18
1710:25	1745:4 <b>abilities (2)</b>	1818:3	1707:17;1722:11;	afternoon (1)
<b>\$10 (2)</b> 1724:3;1765:14	1666:19;1668:19	according (3)	1773:12,12	1766:10
\$10,083,694 (1)	ability (11)	1697:18;1714:6;	added (2)	afterwards (1)
1804:22	1692:9,10;1697:6,	1821:2	1664:7;1718:6	1673:21
\$127,000 (1)	12;1712:24;1715:24;	accurate (4)	adding (1)	again (21)
1716:9	1717:10;1788:12;	1665:20,22;1713:9;	1773:11	1665:7;1668:18;
\$13,143,473 (1)	1817:11,15,21	1763:11	addition (3)	1693:21;1698:7;
1804:23	able (20)	accurately (1)	1672:11;1722:14;	1716:2;1734:12;
\$163,000 (1)	1674:4;1691:13,14,	1664:20	1786:10	1740:1;1746:1,13;
1707:25	16,17;1697:5;1699:1;	achieve (2)	<b>additional (4)</b> 1668:7;1675:10;	1766:16;1770:9,16; 1777:22,24;1790:14;
<b>\$2 (1)</b>	1700:3;1715:18;	1696:14;1708:9 achieving (1)	1818:7;1827:8	1806:7;1810:12;
1660:18	1716:7,24;1719:22;	1822:6	address (2)	1800.7,1810.12, 1821:3,16;1823:13;
\$2,752,072 (1)	1729:22;1741:20;	acknowledges (1)	1661:18;1684:8	1826:23
1804:20	1767:15;1772:11,12;	1812:11	adhered (1)	against (4)
<b>\$20,000 (1)</b> 1758:15	1773:24;1793:24; 1830:3	across (1)	1669:22	1666:2;1732:14;
\$27,000 (1)	abnormalities (1)	1674:10	adjourned (1)	1742:11;1812:3
1714:21	1698:7	act (1)	1833:16	age (1)
\$300,000 (1)	above (2)	1824:15	administer (1)	1810:3
1660:4	1794:9,11	acted (7)	1773:18	ago (3)
\$307,707 (1)	absolute (1)	1756:16;1824:14,	administered (1)	1664:8;1669:10;
1804:21	1727:15	15;1825:1,2,10,11	1773:7	1747:2
\$315,007.15 (1)	absolutely (11)	acting (1)	admission (1)	agree (24)
1688:3	1667:10;1687:15;	1756:24	1715:5	1661:20,21,22;
\$33,000 (2)	1700:4;1701:5;	<b>action (4)</b> 1691:20,21;1815:4;	<b>admit (1)</b> 1726:2	1663:19;1664:25; 1671:16;1672:7;
1804:8;1805:4	1717:15;1727:14;	1824:22	admitted (10)	1676:24;1686:17;
<b>\$33,799 (2)</b>	1742:7;1749:18;	activation (3)	1690:19;1696:2;	1712:14;1714:14;
1707:17;1804:15	1761:18;1764:12; 1785:20	1679:4,9;1683:18	1708:5;1714:1;	1716:17;1722:19;
<b>\$35 (1)</b> 1799:21	absorb (1)	active (2)	1736:25;1737:2;	1753:24;1754:4;
\$4,666,052 (1)	1794:14	1715:4,5	1741:6;1757:14;	1768:6;1771:8;
1803:24	absorbs (1)	activities (5)	1761:6;1812:20	1775:6;1790:12;
\$4,766,000 (1)	1783:13	1709:1;1717:22;	admonish (1)	1808:4;1826:11,12,
1722:13	abstract (1)	1741:19;1817:12;	1770:14	23;1831:23
\$487,986 (1)	1690:24	1818:13	advance (1)	agreed (11)
1803:18	absurd (1)	activity (2)	1699:14	1659:6,15,17,23;
\$5 (1)	1751:2	1697:7;1717:21	advanced (1)	1661:1;1663:24;
1723:24	accept (14)	acts (1) 1828:20	1800:16 advancement (1)	1710:13;1818:20; 1822:7;1826:13,21
\$5,017,000 (1)	1688:15;1710:17,	actual (5)	1820:6	agreeing (1)
1722:24	18;1711:12,12;	1670:3;1673:25;	advantage (1)	1826:25
<b>\$5,201,902 (1)</b> 1803:23	1721:15;1798:20; 1799:8;1809:12;	1681:10;1779:18;	1717:9	agreement (8)
\$5,689,888 (1)	1810:18;1811:2,7;	1782:10	adventurous (1)	1678:13,16,21;
1804:3	1814:19;1815:18	actually (25)	1728:15	1679:2,3;1687:11;
\$5.857 (1)	access (1)	1691:16;1694:13;	adverse (1)	1826:16;1829:6
1722:16	1833:1	1702:5;1707:24;	1717:21	agrees (6)
\$50 (1)	Access-A-Ride (1)	1709:13;1711:14;	advice (2)	1767:5;1790:5,6,7,
1807:8	1803:10	1712:6,21;1726:12;	1690:13;1809:12	8;1793:8
1007.0	1005.10	1728:9;1732:11;	advocate (2)	ahead (3)
\$725,000 (1)	accident (22)		1(0(.00.1(07.11	
<b>\$725,000 (1)</b> 1714:2	<b>accident (22)</b> 1681:13;1687:19;	1733:16;1739:9;	1686:23;1687:11	1684:25;1765:12;
<b>\$725,000 (1)</b> 1714:2 <b>\$84,000 (2)</b>	accident (22) 1681:13;1687:19; 1689:16;1707:21;	1733:16;1739:9; 1754:5;1756:4;	affect (5)	1801:3
<b>\$725,000 (1)</b> 1714:2 <b>\$84,000 (2)</b> 1804:7,8	accident (22) 1681:13;1687:19; 1689:16;1707:21; 1728:8,23;1733:1;	1733:16;1739:9; 1754:5;1756:4; 1758:17;1768:23;	<b>affect (5)</b> 1702:7;1756:8;	1801:3 aide (1)
<b>\$725,000 (1)</b> 1714:2 <b>\$84,000 (2)</b> 1804:7,8 <b>\$84,910 (1)</b>	accident (22) 1681:13;1687:19; 1689:16;1707:21; 1728:8,23;1733:1; 1735:13,14;1736:10;	1733:16;1739:9; 1754:5;1756:4; 1758:17;1768:23; 1777:16,17;1780:20;	<b>affect (5)</b> 1702:7;1756:8; 1769:14,15;1798:5	1801:3 aide (1) 1714:18
<b>\$725,000 (1)</b> 1714:2 <b>\$84,000 (2)</b> 1804:7,8	accident (22) 1681:13;1687:19; 1689:16;1707:21; 1728:8,23;1733:1; 1735:13,14;1736:10; 1737:1;1760:21;	1733:16;1739:9; 1754:5;1756:4; 1758:17;1768:23; 1777:16,17;1780:20; 1782:9;1783:4;	affect (5) 1702:7;1756:8; 1769:14,15;1798:5 affected (7)	1801:3 aide (1) 1714:18 ain't (7)
<b>\$725,000 (1)</b> 1714:2 <b>\$84,000 (2)</b> 1804:7,8 <b>\$84,910 (1)</b>	accident (22) 1681:13;1687:19; 1689:16;1707:21; 1728:8,23;1733:1; 1735:13,14;1736:10;	1733:16;1739:9; 1754:5;1756:4; 1758:17;1768:23; 1777:16,17;1780:20;	<b>affect (5)</b> 1702:7;1756:8; 1769:14,15;1798:5	1801:3 aide (1) 1714:18

1763:22:1776:17; 1785:8 air (2) 1795:3.4 airline (1) 1709:22 Albert (1) 1696:11 allegations (1) 1672:21 allege (1) 1699:21 alleged (1) 1714:21 alleviate (1) 1739:6 alleviated (5) 1665:7;1670:4; 1824:9,20;1825:16 allowed (3) 1718:7;1762:5; 1805:18 allowing (1) 1673:20 allows (2) 1717:10;1722:10 almost (7) 1692:1;1714:21; 1720:10;1733:14; 1750:24:1754:14; 1795:11 alone (7) 1672:24:1716:20, 24:1761:4:1766:1: 1777:23;1813:9 along (2) 1720:3;1795:12 alphabetical (1) 1728:2 altered (2) 1729:11,13 alternate (1) 1808:14 alternates (10) 1808:12,13,18; 1827:14,17,18; 1828:10;1829:21; 1832:4,5 although (2) 1793:8;1813:2 always (5) 1689:25;1725:14; 1730:12;1746:8; 1787:20 Alzheimer's (2) 1697:5;1806:2 amazed (1) 1664:1 ambitious (2) 1728:18;1800:12 Ambrose (33) 1665:24;1695:18;

1698:5,13;1702:13; 1710:11,12,18; 1711:7;1712:1,3; 1748:4,7;1749:10; 1751:6;1757:10,11, 24,25;1763:2,4; 1764:24;1765:2,5,17, 17.23;1772:3; 1775:10;1813:21 ambulance (1) 1798:3 amend (1) 1675:16 among (3) 1695:20;1829:1; 1830:2 amongst (1) 1769:24 amount (29) 1659:14,21,24; 1660:12,22;1662:23; 1671:24;1713:19; 1723:17;1724:2; 1781:11:1816:20: 1817:17;1818:18,20; 1819:1,6,8,12,12,23; 1820:1;1821:11,13, 23;1823:13,17; 1825:17;1826:4 amounts (1) 1816:16 analysis (1) 1707:20 analyzing (1) 1813:3 anatomically (1) 1766:21 anchoring (2) 1672:7.12 and/or (4) 1662:15,21;1663:3, 22 and/or's (1) 1662:16 anesthesia (2) 1740:3;1792:6 Ann (1) 1813:21 answered (3) 1816:1;1825:23; 1827:1 antibiotics (1) 1735:3 anticipated (2) 1723:19;1819:2 anticipating (1) 1829:22 anticipation (1) 1770:13 Anxiety (1) 1789:5 Anxious (1) 1729:17

apart (1) 1756:5 apartment (1) 1777:23 apparent (2) 1768:16,24 appeal (2) 1673:9:1811:23 appearance (3) 1783:24;1796:18; 1810:3 appears (1) 1810:12 applicable (1) 1826:7 application (3) 1672:12;1770:9,13 applications (2) 1672:5;1676:11 applies (4) 1661:14;1701:4,9; 1809:1 apply (8) 1661:14;1809:9,23; 1813:18;1823:12; 1827:9;1830:3; 1831:22 applying (1) 1796:4 appreciate (3) 1659:10:1671:23; 1727:19 appreciated (2) 1672:1:1673:3 approach (1) 1817:6 appropriate (8) 1667:10;1672:17; 1675:8;1692:23; 1742:9,12;1816:17; 1826:16 appropriately (1) 1664:20 approval (1) 1683:14 approvals (1) 1684:3 approve (1) 1684:4 approved (1) 1684:12 approximately (2) 1660:4;1708:24 approximation (1) 1707:12 area (3) 1679:9;1691:12; 1773:6 areas (4) 1679:5:1782:7: 1786:11;1788:8 argued (2) 1664:8;1668:17

arguing (1) 1666:2 argument (8) 1667:3,3;1668:14: 1711:6;1726:19; 1727:25;1809:5; 1816:21 arguments (3) 1671:15;1688:13: 1812:24 arithmetic (2) 1768:21,21 arm (5) 1697:23;1735:3; 1802:17,17,17 around (18) 1695:25;1719:22; 1721:1;1724:15; 1733:6;1739:19; 1744:6;1779:24; 1780:5,18,25;1785:2; 1794:12,17,17,18; 1804:7;1833:4 array (1) 1693:23 art (1) 1814:3 aspects (1) 1659:7 aspiration (1) 1709:6 aspirational (2) 1667:20:1708:7 aspirations (2) 1709:8.20 assembled (1) 1683:4 asserts (1) 1824:14 assess (1) 1796:13 assessing (1) 1717:14 assessments (2) 1694:19;1696:8 assignments (2) 1827:19,21 assist (1) 1814:24 assisted (1) 1823:10 associate (1) 1756:23 assume (8) 1711:1;1749:25; 1765:17,18,18; 1784:1;1799:7; 1814:11 assuming (6) 1722:12;1765:20, 21,21,22,22 assumption (2) 1752:16,17

#### **December 5, 2019**

astronomical (1) 1714:19 athlete (1) 1667:25 athletes (1) 1667:5 athletic (1) 1733:23 atrophy (5) 1783:23,24;1784:3, 5;1785:4 attaining (1) 1821:25 attempt (4) 1690:20:1700:21; 1739:12,25 attempts (1) 1692:13 attendant (1) 1823:9 attention (8) 1689:23;1698:6; 1699:20;1725:14; 1756:17;1788:13; 1789:3,12 attentive (1) 1689:8 attorney (2) 1814:10;1816:19 attorneys (5) 1678:20:1760:22; 1809:5:1812:25: 1831:11 audacity (1) 1766:23 August (2) 1698:6;1756:22 automobile (1) 1691:2 avail (1) 1824:19 available (3) 1701:8;1711:15; 1724:1 avenue (1) 1733:14 average (6) 1691:9;1707:7,7,8; 1722:24;1818:6 averages (1) 1821:4 avid (1) 1691:24 avoided (1) 1823:21 award (32) 1662:16,17,24,25, 25;1663:4;1705:7; 1721:24;1722:18; 1723:4,11,12; 1799:15;1819:5,10, 11,13,14,23,24; 1820:3;1821:9,15,17,

Min-U-Script®

1696:10,15;1697:19;

Live ration			1	December 3, 2017
17,19,23;1822:10;	1693:4,18;1813:21	1727:2;1751:1;	1721:24,25;1723:21;	1805:17
1823:17,17;1825:18;	base (5)	1764:8;1766:13;	1767:17;1800:21	booth (3)
1826:5	1707:4,23;1709:4,	1779:14;1809:7;	bias (1)	1681:1,24;1682:8
awarded (3)	21;1813:7	1821:21;1827:13;	1810:2	bore (1)
1805:19;1816:20;	based (29)	1830:8	big (9)	1745:25
1817:17	1663:4,6;1666:24;	begins (2)	1664:10,11;	both (22)
awarding (1)	1684:11;1689:2;	1715:13;1716:6	1727:25;1739:3;	1663:4,6;1665:4;
1806:25	1693:22;1694:6;	behalf (9)	1775:23;1787:11;	1669:22;1672:23;
aware (2)	1695:20;1696:19;	1685:18;1686:23;	1794:13,22;1798:24	1673:18,20;1677:2;
1767:12;1827:6	1697:9;1698:3;	1687:11,13;1697:15;	biggest (3)	1690:21;1691:19;
awareness (3)	1702:10;1707:20;	1719:25,25;1724:24;	1787:16,17;	1692:10;1701:22;
1767:8,10;1802:20	1708:11;1711:14;	1802:22	1795:23	1710:17;1711:2;
<b>away (6)</b> 1723:9;1739:20;	1712:1,17;1721:13;	<b>behavior (3)</b> 1787:15,18;1789:2	<b>bike (1)</b> 1777:21	1734:21;1759:6; 1761:22;1782:4;
1725.9,1759.20, 1785:19;1796:7;	1722:15,17;1723:20; 1724:7;1760:6;	behavioral (1)	bill (1)	1786:6;1789:22;
1798:7;1805:13	1781:9;1792:25;	1787:19	1788:16	1812:21;1816:15
1796.7,1805.15	1799:15;1804:16;	behind (1)	billing (1)	bottom (1)
В	1814:8;1826:18	1691:3	1728:1	1794:13
	basically (7)	belief (2)	binding (1)	bound (2)
bachelor (1)	1671:1;1738:25;	1700:17;1811:14	1821:5	1809:9;1818:9
1712:18	1755:23,24,25;	believability (1)	bit (5)	boy (7)
back (54)	1774:15;1815:24	1717:14	1702:5;1707:22;	1727:12;1736:2,11,
1659:4;1661:3;	basing (1)	believable (8)	1717:18;1720:19;	16;1749:20;1754:18;
1664:4;1683:19;	1695:16	1702:9;1703:23;	1730:19	1802:10
1689:23;1690:19,20;	basis (6)	1704:6,7;1709:3,19;	black (1)	boys (1)
1691:2,4,7;1692:13;	1670:7;1674:20;	1710:8;1815:6	1785:4	1763:18
1701:21;1707:18,19;	1787:24;1814:13;	believes (6)	blame (3)	brain (96)
1735:18;1739:12,12,	1820:5;1825:25	1672:16;1701:12;	1719:8;1725:19;	1694:22,23;
25;1742:24;1744:17,	Bath (1) 1800:21	1712:3;1722:8; 1793:11;1809:6	1743:3	1696:13;1697:1;
19;1745:4;1770:1,1; 1772:2;1777:24;	<b>bathroom (1)</b>	belonged (1)	<b>blamed (1)</b> 1774:18	1737:3,5;1738:5,24; 1739:8,15;1740:4,15;
1779:12;1783:3,6;	1798:4	1739:25	blank (1)	1739.8,13,1740.4,13, 1741:7,15,17;1745:8,
1785:8;1789:15;	battery (1)	below (1)	1758:8	20;1746:2,2,3;1747:3,
1792:4,22;1794:18,	1700:8	1698:8	bleed (1)	20;1748:14;1749:12;
19;1796:7;1799:18,	bear (1)	bench (2)	1737:6	1750:23;1760:4,11;
18;1806:10,10;	1831:13	1685:11;1831:1	bleeding (10)	1762:22;1766:20;
1807:6,24;1825:24;	beat (1)	benefit (5)	1779:5;1780:1,6,	1767:11;1769:12;
1827:7;1828:10,23;	1776:9	1686:14;1707:16;	10;1783:16,18,18,20;	1773:14;1779:3,4,5,6,
1829:23;1832:3,15,	beaten (1)	1755:9;1772:15,17	1786:6,8	8;1780:1,6,9,11,13,
21,21;1833:1,5,14	1728:9	benefits (2)	blew (2)	13,15,24;1781:1,6,10,
background (3)	become (1)	1709:25;1710:23	1778:8;1802:5	12,13,18;1782:6,8,11;
1684:18;1695:21;	1701:10	Best (20)	<b>blood (5)</b> 1735:16;1738:24;	1783:2,3,4,5,5,8,9,14,
1717:10	<b>becoming (1)</b> 1700:19	1678:17,19,23;	1735:16,1738:24, 1779:9;1795:3,4	16,19,20,23;1784:4, 10;1785:2,5,7,7,15,
<b>backing (1)</b> 1776:12	Bed (1)	1679:3,6,15,19,24; 1680:7;1683:15,17;	board (2)	20;1786:5,6,12,12,17,
bad (7)	1800:21	1684:3,8;1693:3;	1696:12,13	18,19,20,23;1787:7,8;
1691:11;1701:14,	bedding (1)	1707:16;1716:4;	board-certified (1)	1788:22;1789:13,14,
14;1703:3;1719:21;	1800:22	1725:19;1739:9;	1693:5	16,25;1791:20,25;
1756:15;1762:17	beef (1)	1757:15;1782:11	boards (1)	1792:2,3;1796:11;
balance (3)	1761:16	better (24)	1711:17	1824:17
1668:13;1741:16;	beforehand (1)	1662:23;1675:25;	body (10)	brake (1)
1794:1	1770:9	1679:7;1683:22;	1689:7;1692:10;	1691:20
ball (2)	began (1)	1690:12;1699:11;	1718:15,18;1783:11,	brand (1)
1667:25;1772:19	1815:25	1712:7;1719:6,9;	12;1792:5,6;1794:14;	1697:11
banners (1)	begin (5)	1720:19,25;1725:15;	1798:12	branding (1)
1679:17 Borr (10)	1677:8;1689:24;	1729:20,21;1731:13;	<b>bone (13)</b> 1605:2:1720:4 10:	1683:20
Barr (10)	1742:23;1769:5; 1770:2	1741:6;1747:12,14; 1753:23;1756:14;	1695:3;1739:4,10; 1744:13,17,19;	<b>break (13)</b> 1677:9;1712:11;
1695:19;1701:16; 1702:10;1738:3;	beginning (17)	1763:19;1765:19;	1744:13,17,19; 1779:12;1792:3,3;	1725:2;1733:24;
1748:4;1760:2;	1667:1;1671:13;	1766:14;1796:10	1794:12;1805:12,16,	1742:1,2;1769:20;
1768:20;1769:15;	1679:10;1684:1;	beyond (10)	16	1774:13;1792:12;
1773:10;1813:22	1685:16;1686:16;	1693:7;1697:12;	bones (3)	1801:4;1807:19;
Barry (3)	1687:8;1712:10;	1698:2,20;1708:9;	1699:7;1794:19;	1808:10,20
··· J (-)		,,,,_,_,,_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		

				,
breaking (1)	built (2)	1736:1;1744:3;	caregiver (1)	1703:9
1674:14	1733:23;1739:4	1748:8;1751:4;	1766:16	categories (8)
breathe (1)	burden (7)	1754:12;1755:14;	cares (1)	1670:1,3,17;
1723:9	1718:24;1811:9,22;	1760:8;1762:12;	1704:11	1671:3,4,10;1822:13,
breathing (3)	1812:4,9,17;1824:18	1769:2;1770:2;	caring (1)	19
1737:15;1738:13;	burgeoning (3)	1773:12;1775:1,2,2;	1701:13	categorized (1)
1741:22	1669:4,8,10	1779:9;1785:10,18;	carrying (1)	1671:3
Brian (1)	business (7)	1786:1;1791:17;	1739:19	category (8)
1813:19	1679:12;1684:14,	1792:12,25;1796:10;	case (147)	1662:2,12;1671:11;
brief (7)	15;1705:21;1728:20;	1792:12,23,1790:10, 1798:22;1799:24;	1660:19;1663:1;	
1666:21,22;1669:5;		1802:18;1803:25;	1664:24;1665:11,23;	1774:22;1822:21; 1823:2,3,8
	1800:9;1820:12 Buy (14)			
1770:21;1771:5,7,7	Buy (14)	1807:25;1810:9,14;	1666:25,25;1667:1,4,	cause (2)
Briefly (3)	1678:17,19,24;	1815:14;1817:6;	10,20;1668:7,8,14;	1780:24;1791:19
1669:7;1670:12;	1679:3,6,15,20,24;	1818:2;1828:10,11,	1673:5;1675:5;	caused (8)
1809:8	1680:7;1683:15,17;	17;1829:6;1830:17,	1679:6;1681:20;	1687:18;1803:14;
briefs (2)	1684:3;1765:1,2	22,24;1832:5,8,23	1685:13;1686:16,17,	1812:7,10,11;1817:3;
1669:16,19	Buy's (1)	candid (1)	24;1687:1,8;1688:6;	1819:17,19
bright (1)	1684:8	1695:2	1694:9;1698:18;	caution (1)
1728:13	C	candidate (2)	1703:11;1704:9,15;	1672:23
brilliant (1)	С	1699:16;1700:13	1706:4;1707:15;	cautious (1)
1698:20		candidly (1)	1708:10;1710:10,10;	1673:21
bring (16)	calculate (1)	1690:23	1711:3;1713:7,24;	cent (1)
1690:25;1692:18,	1722:8	candor (1)	1716:11;1719:10,23,	1667:23
24;1705:17,18;	calculated (1)	1829:13	24;1720:22;1726:17;	Center (2)
1713:24;1726:17,18;	1819:24	capable (1)	1727:9,14,23;	1698:24;1736:25
1727:18;1729:15;	call (18)	1733:3	1728:14;1729:12,12;	certain (17)
1734:3;1773:24;	1695:9,10;1696:21;	capacity (5)	1730:10,21,22;	1659:7;1672:17;
1778:7;1792:7;	1697:15;1701:25;	1819:20;1820:4,13;	1731:11,22;1732:1,	1684:4,8,17,17,20;
1807:24;1832:3	1702:2;1731:7,14,15;	1821:10,25	12;1733:13;1734:1,2,	1689:2;1727:2;
brings (1)	1732:7;1735:10;	car (2)	25;1735:9,21,23,24;	1735:8;1739:16;
1722:7	1738:25;1749:16;	1723:16;1802:19	1736:3,6,8,18;1738:4,	1758:20;1761:24,25;
broke (2)	1752:2;1787:15;	Cardiovascular (1)	19;1740:23;1742:8,	1819:2;1821:22;
1795:1;1797:16	1826:2,3;1830:18	1692:12	10,20;1747:25;	1826:1
broken (5)	called (10)	care (55)	1748:4;1753:19,21;	certainly (4)
1669:25;1671:6;	1679:11;1694:21;	1660:17;1664:1;	1754:9;1755:7;	1699:6;1745:25;
1794:10,24,25	1745:11,12;1751:2;	1670:18;1675:15;	1756:8,10;1757:9;	1788:21;1792:4
brother (22)	1780:19;1783:2;	1688:2;1698:11;	1758:11;1760:5,13;	certification (2)
1668:21;1690:21;	1789:22;1822:15,21	1704:10;1705:10,11;	1761:17;1762:19,19,	1685:6;1696:14
1717:6,13;1721:2;	calling (1)	1710:1;1711:19,21;	20;1763:9;1764:4,12;	certified (4)
1728:19;1729:1,8,16;	1698:12	1712:2,7,20;1714:8,	1769:22,24;1772:16;	1696:12,13;
1730:4,6,12;1733:15,	calvaria (1)	20,23;1715:9;1716:9,	1773:9,25;1774:4,24;	1712:19,20
16;1734:15;1735:5;	1744:13	11,14,15,25;1717:1;	1775:8;1779:1,17,20;	challenged (2)
1756:23;1790:17;	came (19)	1720:3,23;1721:23;	1781:1;1782:3;	1714:10;1815:16
1791:12;1794:4;	1683:21;1694:10;	1722:11;1723:11,19;	1784:4;1786:6,25;	challenges (1)
1802:2,9	1704:4;1720:8;	1734:15,17;1736:4,5;	1788:21;1791:17,20;	1768:3
brother's (1)	1724:13;1731:23;	1737:3;1758:23;	1792:11;1794:7,22,	chambers (1)
1716:20	1734:7;1738:16,21;	1764:19;1766:3;	22;1795:22,23;	1659:15
brought (12)	1740:20;1760:13,16;	1799:7;1802:10;	1798:25;1799:24;	chance (3)
1693:2;1695:13;	1766:5,23;1779:16;	1803:2,3,3,4,5;	1802:22;1803:18;	1664:12;1724:22;
1698:5;1705:20,22;	1790:22;1791:3,7,9	1804:19,22;1805:6;	1805:20;1807:4;	1737:25
1709:7;1725:25;	can (78)	1806:13;1807:12;	1809:1;1810:1,9;	change (14)
1738:18;1746:12;	1660:7,10,20;	1813:23;1823:8,10,10	1811:11;1812:6,19;	1660:19;1672:9;
1775:13,16;1830:9	1662:17;1669:20;	cared (1)	1813:12;1814:2,3;	1703:2;1716:2;
bruise (1)	1673:3,12;1674:7,8,	1796:18	1815:7;1816:12;	1722:14;1755:13;
1783:3	25;1676:20;1677:17,	career (9)	1823:6;1825:25;	1769:16,17;1775:23;
Bruno (1)	22;1683:22;1690:22,	1667:20;1668:1,3,	1827:10,14;1829:1,	1778:19;1797:20;
1696:6	25;1693:15;1698:19;	3,10;1693:24;	11;1830:1,2,8,20,22	1827:19,21;1828:5
Bruno's (1)	1699:1;1700:9;	1776:12;1821:21,23	cases (2)	changed (13)
1696:7	1701:3,7,25;1704:21;	careful (3)	1667:16;1745:19	1664:16;1665:10;
buddy (1)	1707:10;1711:17;	1747:8;1764:2;	CAT (6)	1704:16;1713:3,5,17;
1797:1	1712:21,21;1716:19;	1814:15	1779:3,14,19,21;	1714:3;1715:25;
build (2)	1720:4;1721:10,11;	carefully (1)	1782:20,24	1763:5,12,15,24;
1679:18;1680:8	1729:4,20,20;1734:1;	1769:9	catch (1)	1779:8
10/2.10,1000.0	1,2,.1,20,20,175 1.1,	1,07.7		1112.0

Min-U-Script®

changes (9) 1659:6.9.9: 1703:15:1763:9; 1767:12;1776:3,3; 1804:24 changing (2) 1775:7,8 character (1) 1693:14 characteristic (1) 1755:22 characteristics (1) 1755:21 charge (12) 1659:23;1661:7; 1662:3;1664:7; 1666:19,24;1667:16; 1669:5;1704:2; 1762:4;1807:23; 1808:7 charges (14) 1659:2,5;1666:16; 1667:9;1669:15; 1670:3,25;1671:2; 1677:12,13;1808:19; 1831:20,20,22 charging (3) 1667:11;1670:2; 1807:21 Charles (1) 1741:16 chart (2) 1675:13.16 charts (1) 1765:7 cheek (1) 1794:12 chest (3) 1737:17;1795:5,6 choice (1) 1720:16 choices (1) 1798:17 choking (1) 1791:4 choose (1) 1809:20 chronic (1) 1769:12 circuitry (1) 1741:18 circumstance (1) 1825:3 circumstances (4) 1674:17;1675:9; 1814:20;1820:12 City (5) 1691:4,7,9; 1720:15,17 civil (1) 1687:5 claim (3) 1707:2;1811:11,23

claiming (1) 1774:23 claims (6) 1715:14;1812:6,12; 1823:23:1824:6.10 clarification (2) 1683:11;1775:22 class (3) 1708:25,25; 1797:25 classes (2) 1668:22;1708:24 Classics (1) 1800:22 classification (2) 1708:7;1709:14 cleaning (1) 1664:14 clear (11) 1667:4;1670:23; 1689:2;1755:8; 1756:13:1763:8: 1771:13;1790:4; 1792:9;1808:2; 1833:14 clearer (1) 1670:20 clearly (2) 1674:16;1755:23 CLERK (3) 1808:15.17; 1827:15 client (6) 1687:12;1759:7,8, 9,11:1769:3 clients (4) 1668:23,25;1759:6; 1766:22 close (6) 1673:20;1676:25; 1699:19;1717:11; 1721:2;1746:12 closed (1) 1685:13 closely (2) 1764:3;1786:17 closet (1) 1739:22 closing (12) 1660:6,8,21; 1672:14;1673:11,15, 25;1676:9;1688:13; 1726:18;1757:1; 1816:15 closings (1) 1677:11 closure (2) 1745:13,19 clothes (2) 1723:15:1739:21 clutch (1) 1691:19 cognition (1)

1789:11 cognitive (13) 1664:19:1665:12; 1748:25:1750:4.7.9: 1763:13:1767:13; 1768:2;1774:10,14; 1788:10;1823:4 collapsed (1) 1737:7 collecting (1) 1830:8 collection (1) 1738:24 college (6) 1667:14;1708:23; 1733:5,8;1761:25; 1765:11 Columbia (1) 1698:23 coma (1) 1737:9 comfortable (4) 1674:13;1699:6; 1712:25;1721:20 coming (9) 1683:16;1723:7; 1735:16;1736:1; 1766:10;1771:4; 1785:8;1832:21,21 comment (7) 1669:7:1674:16; 1725:13:1742:7: 1765:16;1770:11,24 comments (3) 1727:2;1764:3; 1770:16 commercial (1) 1709:22 commit (2) 1686:13:1776:7 committed (1) 1722:1 common (1) 1731:7 commonly (1) 1788:8 communicate (2) 1697:13;1813:4 community (1) 1667:14 companies (4) 1800:9,18,20,22 company (2) 1679:10;1800:6 compare (1) 1709:14 compared (1) 1693:24 compassion (1) 1720:24 compensate (4) 1688:17;1709:21; 1817:1;1822:10

compensating (1) 1704:12 **Compensation (5)** 1704:1.1:1816:17: 1819:10;1821:16 competence (1) 1691:22 complaints (3) 1727:8;1748:25; 1793:1 complete (2) 1761:7.10 completed (2) 1708:4:1769:6 completely (6) 1668:18;1671:8; 1708:24;1719:21; 1747:19;1810:23 complex (2) 1696:19;1699:12 complicated (1) 1745:5 complications (3) 1746:7,9;1824:16 comply (1) 1677:10 components (1) 1705:13 comport (1) 1768:4 comprehensive (2) 1712:7:1741:7 compressed (1) 1786.20compresses (1) 1786:13 computer (2) 1751:4;1831:7 concede (1) 1720:16 conceded (1) 1702:22 concentrate (1) 1750:25 concentration (3) 1749:5,9;1789:12 concern (1) 1674:14 concerning (5) 1813:25;1814:1; 1818:12:1825:5,21 concerns (2) 1661:7;1670:4 concert (1) 1667:5 concession (1) 1703:18 concessions (1) 1702:15 conclude (2) 1760:3;1809:14 conclusion (6) 1705:6;1721:20;

#### December 5, 2019

1724:11;1760:14,16; 1814:24 conclusions (3) 1696:25:1760:6: 1809:5 concrete (1) 1794:16 condition (5) 1716:3;1720:4; 1741:1:1818:12; 1820:5 conferencing (1) 1676:10 conferring (1) 1831:19 confirms (1) 1768:6 conflate (1) 1761:20 conflict (2) 1810:12,14 conflicting (1) 1810:17 conform (1) 1664:15 confounded (1) 1769:10 confuse (2) 1732:12;1755:7 confused (1) 1796:5 confusing (3) 1661:13:1670:5.18 confusion (1) 1755:8 conglomeration (1) 1800:12 **Connecticut (1)** 1703:7 connecting (1) 1733:19 connection (1) 1818:17 conscience (1) 1829:7 conscientious (2) 1829:8,18 conscious (18) 1691:24;1723:4,22, 24;1735:22,24; 1736:6.17.18; 1741:11:1795:21.22. 24;1796:14;1799:14, 20;1806:24;1817:2 consent (2) 1677:1;1829:11 consequence (1) 1781:25 consequences (1) 1818:16 consider (18) 1659:25;1660:12; 1662:18;1676:13;

Min-U-Script®

(5) changes - consider

			1
1689:10;1700:19;	1824:14	courses (1)	craniofacial (2)
1720:18;1742:19;	Continuing (3)	1668:11	1713:15,18
1809:18;1810:9,13;	1707:1;1744:2;	COURT (151)	cranioplasty (15)
1811:1;1812:19;	1772:2	1659:1,21;1660:7,	1664:17;1690:6;
1813:10,16;1818:11;	contradicted (1)	10,20;1661:1,5,8,11,	1719:12,12;1744:17;
1821:7;1822:1	1815:16	14,23;1662:1,5,9,11,	1745:9;1746:4;
consideration (18)	control (2)	15,22;1663:8,10,17,	1806:6;1823:24;
1689:12;1715:15;	1741:21;1788:13	21,25;1664:4,6,21,25;	1824:2,3,5,7,13;
1721:8;1722:10,19;	controlled (1)	1665:6,15;1666:1,5,7,	1825:1
1723:13,18;1762:11,	1700:3	10,13,15,22;1667:9,	craniotomy (2)
12,13;1805:19;	controlling (1)	11;1668:16;1669:12,	1664:16;1824:1
1814:16;1817:16,23;	1815:2	16,19;1670:10,13,14,	craziness (1)
1818:2;1825:5,17;	conversation (1)	21,23;1671:24,25;	1762:22
1829:18	1776:17	1672:4,18;1673:1,16,	crazy (1)
considerations (1)	convictions (1)	24;1674:2,11,18,21,	1729:25
1813:14	1829:9	24;1675:5,8,11,14,18,	crazy-looking (1)
considered (10)	convincing (1)	25;1676:6,10,25;	1787:10
1671:15;1684:20;	1814:18	1677:3,11,14,17,18,	create (1)
1742:11;1792:24;	cool (1)	22,25;1684:25;	1705:4
1810:8;1815:1,4;	1759:1	1685:3,8,11,12,23;	creating (1)
1816:6,21;1826:9	coordination (1)	1686:4,6;1688:23;	1684:14
considering (1)	1741:16	1704:9;1725:2,5,7;	credentials (16)
1811:10	coordinator's (1)	1732:18,20;1736:9,	1693:7;1698:22;
consistent (11)	1682:4	10,13;1740:21;	1699:3;1700:21;
1692:13;1694:17;	co-pleasure (1)	1741:24;1742:3,14,	1701:7;1704:8;
1696:5;1699:14;	1698:16	24;1743:9;1750:5;	1736:1;1738:3;
1700:24;1702:13,14;	copy (2)	1752:4;1763:15,24;	1748:13;1761:3,4,18,
1716:5;1718:12;	1662:6;1831:9	1766:23;1767:3;	21;1765:3;1773:17;
1769:7,8	core (1)	1769:19;1770:4,7,22,	1774:21
<b>Constant (1)</b>	1714:17	25;1771:6;1776:23;	credibility (4)
1806:13	Cornell (1)	1777:1;1792:13,14,	1659:19;1729:2;
constitute (1)	1824:12	19;1804:11;1805:23;	1761:21;1774:22
1674:16	corner (1)	1807:17,21;1808:1,5,	credible (13)
construct (1)	1828:10	8,11,15,16,17,18,24;	1706:1;1708:11;
1681:10	<b>correspond (1)</b> 1828:13	1814:6;1817:8,10;	1709:3,18;1710:9;
constructed (5)		1821:1;1824:3,6; 1827:3,4,15,21,25;	1721:12,14;1729:4; 1731:5;1762:1;
1680:18,20;	<b>cost (4)</b> 1660:17;1675:17;		
1681:18;1682:2,7 construction (3)	1822:8,23	1829:17;1831:1,4,14, 18;1832:2,8,12,14,19;	1802:23;1811:12,13 credit (4)
1681:3,16,23	costs (5)	18,18,18,12,14,19, 1833:7,12	1691:23;1708:5;
consult (1)	1714:19,20;	courtesy (1)	1778:6;1802:25
1829:4	1723:14;1803:4;	1704:6	crediting (1)
consultation (2)	1822:23	courtroom (19)	1778:12
1713:14,19	counsel (13)	1677:24;1685:25;	cries (1)
consulting (1)	1675:20;1678:1;	1686:5;1725:4,6;	1751:8
1714:3	1685:11,14;1686:8;	1742:5;1744:1;	critical (5)
consults (2)	1704:24;1756:13;	1770:6;1772:1;	1699:23;1701:17;
1713:15,18	1757:2;1816:15;	1792:16,20;1807:20;	1789:11,21,24
consumption (1)	1830:24;1831:1,8,20	1808:2,23;1812:21;	critically (1)
1723:14	counseling (1)	1825:22;1831:16;	1699:10
contingencies (1)	1823:7	1832:13;1833:9	cross (3)
1822:5	couple (9)	Court's (3)	1702:22;1738:6;
continue (7)	1685:20;1716:21;	1667:2,8;1831:2	1802:18
1690:23;1791:22;	1767:1;1778:22;	cover (2)	cross-examination (3)
1793:7,8,13,14;	1792:23;1795:11;	1821:19;1823:15	1753:23;1814:17,
1817:24	1807:18;1831:10,13	covered (1)	1755.25,1814.17,
Continued (11)	course (13)	1798:3	cross-examined (1)
1682:12;1691:24,	1666:6;1667:14,15;	covers (4)	1714:1
25;1706:5;1726:20;	1668:12;1683:23;	1666:11;1819:6,13;	cross-examining (3)
1743:12;1759:15;	1684:1;1690:18;	1821:11	1748:10;1758:1;
1784:11;1801:17;	1693:24;1696:9;	craniectomy (3)	1774:16
1802:1;1820:14	1698:11;1701:19;	1737:11;1738:22;	crumbs (3)
1002.1,1020.17	1702 11 1712 7	1744.11	1765 10 10 10

1703:11;1713:7

1744:11

C's (1) 1774:21

cure (1) 1674:4 curling (1) 1791:7 current (1) 1692:20 currently (1) 1768:2 custodial (5) 1670:18;1803:3; 1804:22;1807:12; 1823:8 customarily (1) 1704:24 cut (5) 1737:11;1739:23; 1780:14;1800:13,14 cutting (1) 1739:6

## D

dad (2) 1717:6;1737:23 daily (5) 1692:2;1741:20; 1768:3;1787:24; 1817:11 damage (6) 1766:20;1782:6; 1785:19,25;1787:16, 17 damaged (5) 1741:18;1785:20; 1787:25;1796:11,23 damages (27) 1662:25;1688:15; 1692:23;1705:6; 1706:4;1718:24; 1719:2;1786:24; 1812:8,10,14,15,18; 1816:10,14,18,22,23; 1818:14;1821:24; 1823:14,16,17,21; 1824:23;1825:17; 1826:6 damned (2) 1777:13,14 Dams-O'Connor (1) 1767:19 Dams-O'Connor's (1) 1767:23 dancer (1) 1733:24 danger (1) 1825:7 dangerous (5) 1690:10,11,12; 1802:3;1824:10 Danny (1) 1676:2

**Min-U-Script**®

continues (1)

1765:10,12,13

Live ration				
dare (3)	1689:13;1692:22;	defense (36)	denial (1)	1700:6,12;1708:10;
1700:18;1701:10;	1710:16;1721:14,19;	1666:21;1667:4;	1774:19	1718:18;1776:8;
1703:18	1722:17,20;1799:1,	1670:7,13,16,20;	denied (1)	1821:6
dark (1)	22;1804:5;1807:9;	1675:20;1676:8;	1672:7	determined (3)
1784:8	1810:17,20;1811:5;	1677:2;1685:4,18;	dentistry (1)	1711:22;1736:14;
date (5)	1812:3;1813:17;	1705:16;1707:1,14;	1758:16	1820:4
1677:19;1737:1;	1814:18;1815:9,14;	1714:22;1718:23;	deny (2)	determining (2)
1817:2;1818:21;	1816:24;1819:8;	1719:8;1720:1;	1774:3;1791:17	1721:13;1817:16
1822:8	1821:13;1822:9;	1725:25;1727:5;	denying (2)	deterred (2)
dating (1)	1823:16;1824:24,25;	1730:19;1731:11,11;	1774:4,7	1727:21,21
1798:5	1826:5;1827:5,11;	1733:10;1747:25;	Department (1)	detriment (1)
David (1)	1829:11;1830:20	1752:8;1757:9;	1801:9	1719:8
1756:23 day (32)	<b>decided (2)</b> 1736:10;1825:25	1762:19,20;1764:4; 1774:1,2,3;1775:13;	<b>dependent (4)</b> 1729:24,25;	<b>devastated (3)</b> 1727:14;1789:22,
1665:5;1675:14;	decides (1)	1776:2;1803:7	1729.24,23, 1796:16;1797:4	23
1694:4;1711:12,15;	1715:2	defenses (1)	depending (1)	developed (1)
1713:10;1714:17;	deciding (10)	1775:15	1717:12	1738:23
1715:21;1716:14,19,	1809:20;1810:10;	deficient (1)	deposition (3)	development (1)
24,25;1718:19;	1812:19;1816:9;	1697:4	1676:4;1677:19;	1668:24
1726:11;1730:15;	1818:10;1822:1;	deficits (4)	1815:23	developments (1)
1731:6;1735:4;	1824:22;1825:4,9,17	1750:9;1763:14;	depressed (4)	1800:10
1746:1;1758:25;	decision (6)	1788:24,25	1729:17,23,24;	devices (4)
1766:5,12,13;1772:6,	1756:8;1768:19;	defined (1)	1751:8	1830:1,4,9,15
10;1773:13;1781:21;	1799:2;1809:17;	1697:10	Depression (2)	dexterity (2)
1799:19,19;1805:6,	1829:3,12	defines (1)	1769:14;1789:5	1691:21;1741:19
13;1809:23;1833:4	decisions (3)	1753:25	describe (4)	diagnose (1)
days (11)	1683:15;1802:3;	definitely (1)	1684:10;1694:22;	1792:25
1664:8;1688:11; 1692:2;1716:19;	1813:7 declare (1)	1680:21 definition (7)	1730:17;1744:9 described (2)	<b>diagnostic (1)</b> 1695:6
1718:20;1766:3,4;	1830:12	1662:21,22;	1730:5;1788:9	dictates (1)
1772:6,11;1802:9;	decompensate (1)	1701:25;1711:8;	deserves (5)	1815:11
1819:25	1801:15	1753:8;1754:5,14	1688:24;1722:1,1;	die (8)
day's (1)	decompressive (2)	definitively (1)	1736:2;1754:18	1710:7;1734:22;
1830:19	1744:11;1781:4	1774:6	design (16)	1735:18;1736:21;
day-to-day (1)	decrease (1)	deform (1)	1667:24,25;	1739:6;1799:9,13;
1767:13	1722:18	1780:24	1668:22,22,23,23;	1803:2
dead (6)	decreased (1)	degree (6)	1669:2,3,7;1681:2;	died (4)
1727:21;1783:4,5,	1722:24	1664:1;1668:11;	1683:14;1684:3;	1739:1;1750:24;
10;1785:5,6	dedicated (1)	1671:17;1700:2;	1708:18;1800:17,17,	1781:24;1831:7
<b>deal (7)</b> 1664:10,12;1680:6,	1802:10	1739:5;1761:25	19 designed (1)	<b>dies (1)</b> 1783:3
6;1754:12;1756:9;	deem (1) 1811:3	degrees (3) 1729:3,5,7	1681:4	difference (7)
1781:16	defaming (2)	delay (1)	designer (4)	1664:23;1697:14;
dealing (3)	1774:20;1775:4	1683:14	1667:13;1684:13;	1704:13;1709:12;
1683:17;1739:14;	defeat (2)	deli (1)	1708:13;1821:21	1714:19;1793:10;
1801:10	1730:8,11	1740:12	desires (1)	1798:24
dealings (1)	defeated (1)	deliberate (4)	1709:20	differences (4)
1809:23	1729:14	1711:18;1807:24;	despite (1)	1686:18;1712:17;
deals (1)	Defects (1)	1827:13;1829:5	1715:9	1713:1;1755:24
1800:17	1783:1	deliberating (4)	detail (4)	different (25)
death (4)	Defendant (9)	1807:25;1826:11,	1670:6,14;1713:10;	1665:17,18;
1728:9;1764:23;	1762:15;1777:16;	22;1828:22	1737:13	1669:11;1694:19;
1776:9;1789:24	1778:11;1812:11,17;	deliberation (2)	detailed (1)	1703:10,23;1705:5,
<b>Debra (2)</b> 1675:13;1813:24	1817:3;1823:23; 1824:6,18	1829:18;1830:13 deliberations (12)	1725:10 deteriorate (1)	13,13;1707:3;1713:5, 8,8;1722:21;1740:20;
deceive (2)	defendants (5)	1769:22;1809:3,24;	1801:15	1745:22;1756:5;
1759:1;1774:10	1731:19;1732:1;	1813:6;1825:19;	deterioration (1)	1745.22,1750.5, 1782:24;1789:1;
deceiving (4)	1743:3;1758:3;	1828:16,17;1829:16;	1745:10	1793:21;1800:24;
1756:22;1774:19,	1773:21	1830:17,19;1832:4,24	determination (5)	1805:1;1810:14;
20;1777:3	defendant's (8)	deliver (1)	1718:15;1753:18;	1814:13;1830:5
December (1)	1659:5,8,9;1676:7;	1827:4	1816:23;1817:22;	differentiate (1)
1833:17	1685:5;1743:4;	demeanor (2)	1818:23	1769:11
decide (31)	1777:12;1804:14	1762:24;1815:9	determine (6)	differently (1)
	1	1	1	1

1671:6 difficult (7) 1686:17;1691:13; 1695:8;1725:24; 1767:3;1778:10; 1789:15 difficulty (4) 1749:5.9:1768:1; 1801:14 Diffuse (5) 1780:8;1782:3,9; 1789:13.15 dilatory (1) 1743:5 diminish (1) 1758:15 **Diminished** (2) 1729:14,23 dinner (2) 1790:25;1791:5 dire (3) 1703:20;1723:6; 1724:8 direct (1) 1713:23 directing (1) 1674:18 direction (1) 1794:1 directive (1) 1674:8 director (3) 1681:4:1693:6: 1800:19 disabilities (3) 1817:19,24,25 disability (2) 1817:2,21 disabled (6) 1796:16,23;1797:1; 1801:7;1820:1,2 disagree (7) 1668:18;1714:4,14; 1749:10;1761:5; 1809:10;1826:20 disagreed (1) 1659:7 disagreement (1) 1826:17 disappears (1) 1776:6 disastrous (1) 1802:5 disclosed (1) 1814:21 discount (14) 1725:22;1734:19, 24;1747:11;1752:5; 1761:2,2;1769:2; 1772:18;1802:13; 1804:25;1805:2,5,7 discounts (2) 1803:15,16

discretion (1) distract (1) 1771:11 1732:11 distractions (1) discuss (4) 1797:18;1824:13; 1830:10 distress (2) 1829:1:1830:21 discussion (8) 1675:14;1685:10; distressed (1) 1722:7.21:1771:9; 1756:1 1817:9;1830:25; disturbances (1) 1831:5 1698:9 discussions (1) divide (1) 1671:20 1813:7 disease (2) divided (4) 1805:24,25 disinterested (1) 1822:12,19 1815:6 divots (1) 1783:9 **Dismiss** (1) DJ (1) 1765:12 1733:3 disorder (2) 1700:2;1792:11 **DMV (2)** disparity (1) 1701:18 doctor (24) displaced (3) 1779:10,11,23 displayed (43) 1701:15;1705:14; 1727:24;1728:5; 1730:2:1731:9: 1732:23;1734:10; 1735:11:1736:23; 1737:21:1738:15: 1740:6;1741:2,13; doctors (13) 1760:1:1761:11; 1762:23;1764:1; 1766:25;1768:8; 1785:13;1786:2,14; 1787:5;1788:6,23; 1789:7,19;1790:1,15; document (5) 1791:13;1792:21; 1793:16:1794:5: 1795:7:1797:2.12.14. 23;1798:13;1800:4, 1826:2 15 documentary (2) displays (1) 1683:24 dog (2) 1731:15,16 dispute (18) 1687:16,17,24,25; dollar (5) 1691:15;1692:17; 1698:2;1706:3,3; 1711:24;1713:2; 1826:4 1714:17;1716:9; done (31) 1787:3;1794:7,20: 1803:6;1829:16 disputed (2) 1689:20;1711:23 disputes (1) 1687:6 disregard (2) 1810:23;1811:4 dissect (1) 1766:17 distort (1) 1732:11

1782:6:1793:9: 1808:9:1830:22 doorman (5) 1764:22,25; 1765:22;1766:14,19 1755:14;1805:20 Double (1) 1723:24 doubt (22) 1665:25;1707:16; 1731:19:1732:2,3,6, 10;1748:7,11,15,20, 23,25:1749:2,6; 1751:6,9,11,16; 1670:25;1671:10; 1756:10;1772:15,17 down (14) 1669:25;1671:6; 1700:15;1701:21; 1707:8;1718:20; 1724:23;1735:15; 1778:7;1780:15,16, 1685:6;1715:10 17:1794:10:1827:2 downstairs (1) 1694:10;1696:6; 1770:1 1700:19:1703:11: Doyle (20) 1704:8,20;1719:4; 1695:19;1698:15, 1753:24;1756:9,25; 17;1699:2;1700:14, 1757:3,13,17;1759:7, 25;1701:3,6;1704:8; 1717:19;1719:14; 12,13;1760:10; 1763:19;1766:24; 1756:11,14;1757:11; 1772:24:1776:14: 1763:3,15;1766:20; 1778:21:1805:10,13 1776:19:1777:9; 1813:22 1690:13:1694:19: Dr (171) 1702:20;1716:6; 1665:24;1692:1,5, 1719:23,24,25; 6;1693:23;1694:1,16; 1773:15;1782:15; 1695:7,17,18,19,19; 1696:1,1,2,6,10,15, 1790:11:1793:20; 1813:19;1824:11 24;1697:18,19; 1698:5,13,15,17; 1677:5;1815:22; 1699:13,14;1700:5, 1816:3:1822:14: 14.24.24.25:1701:1.3. 4.6.9.16;1702:10,13, 13,15,15,19;1704:3,3, 1667:23;1668:6 3,3,4,4,4,8,16,17,18; 1710:11,12,12,17,18; 1711:7,7;1712:1,3; 1714:6;1717:18,25; 1713:25;1816:16; 1718:7,20;1719:14, 1819:6;1821:10; 14;1720:7,8,11,16; 1738:3,16,21; 1739:15;1740:22,22, 1668:23;1669:14; 24;1748:4,4,7; 1671:16;1673:6; 1749:10;1750:11,12, 1676:10;1677:9; 13,14;1751:6,18; 1680:6,6,21;1682:5; 1753:6,22,22;1754:2, 3;1755:3,12;1756:11, 1688:13;1690:16; 1696:22;1700:9; 14;1757:10,11,11,24, 1701:22;1708:14; 25;1760:2;1763:2,3,4, 1718:14;1720:19; 13,15;1764:24; 1737:10:1738:23; 1765:2,5,16,17,23; 1739:1:1740:2: 1766:20;1767:5,5,6,7, 1745:24;1754:19; 9,10,10,18,19;1768:9, 1757:15;1781:23,23; 10,19,20;1769:7,8,10,

## December 5, 2019

15:1772:3.22.23: 1773:10.16:1775:10. 24;1776:6,19;1777:9; 1779:15;1782:2,14, 18:1785:14.23: 1786:4;1787:6; 1788:7;1789:20; 1790:5,7,8;1791:15; 1792:22;1793:5; 1794:6.8:1795:10.14. 15;1796:15;1801:9; 1804:14;1824:12 Dr^ (2) 1789:8;1790:6 draft (7) 1703:1,13;1763:10; 1775:10,15,17,18 dramatic (2) 1713:1.2 draw (1) 1809:6 dreams (1) 1708:9 dressed (1) 1741:21 drew (2) 1809:17;1827:16 drive (6) 1691:16;1707:8; 1715:11:1716:7; 1720:15:1778:21 driven (1) 1715:4 driver's (6) 1703:6;1715:4,6; 1778:18,20,23 drives (2) 1721:11;1729:25 driving (6) 1691:2,7:1715:7. 19:1734:5:1778:17 drop (4) 1707:11,19; 1733:22;1765:11 dropped (2) 1668:11;1733:8 drove (2) 1691:3,4 D's (1) 1774:21 **DSM (1)** 1753:24 **DSM-5 (1)** 1697:10 duck (1) 1731:15 due (5) 1669:3;1745:9; 1746:4;1767:11; 1769:12 during (23) 1673:3,11,14,24; 1674:12;1690:2,18;

1(02.22.1(00.11)	
1693:23;1698:11;	economically (1)
1713:7;1723:6;	1710:14
1727:7;1742:18;	economics (1)
1753:13;1772:16;	1710:22
1790:23;1791:9;	economist (3)
1809:8,15;1813:6,8;	1675:13;1705:20;
1825:19;1830:13	1813:24
duty (6)	economists (1)
1718:24;1719:1;	1736:4
1725:12,13;1829:4,22	Edmond (1)
Dwyer (2)	1813:23
1704:4;1813:24	education (1)
	1708:15
Dwyer's (1)	
1675:13	educational (1)
dying (2)	1695:21
1737:23;1805:21	EEG (4)
dysregulation (2)	1790:9;1793:1,2,3
1787:19;1789:2	effect (7)
	1665:16,19;
Ε	
	1780:23,25;1811:19
	1820:13;1829:10
ear (2)	effects (3)
1788:3;1794:9	1774:10;1812:16;
earlier (8)	1823:23
1667:3;1668:8;	effort (8)
1669:22;1776:1,9;	1697:9;1702:12,12;
1806:1,3;1821:2	1752:9;1763:15;
early (2)	1774:25;1777:16;
1668:14;1805:21	1824:10
earn (1)	efforts (1)
1819:20	1778:12
earned (4)	Efthimou (1)
1667:24;1709:13;	1767:7
1710:23;1820:2	eight (3)
earning (4)	1674:6;1797:7;
1820:4,13;1821:10,	1828:8
25	Einstein (1)
earnings (12)	1696:11
1799:6;1803:18;	either (12)
1804:2,15;1806:22;	1659:12;1663:17;
1807:10;1819:16,22,	1666:4;1673:11;
24;1820:5,7;1821:14	1674:8;1708:3;
ears (1)	1723:8;1727:22;
1735:17	1755:15;1811:17;
easier (2)	1812:2;1826:3
1722:22;1725:11	electronic (3)
easily (3)	1830:1,4,9
1724:6;1727:20,21	element (1)
easy (10)	1816:17
1671:7;1686:14;	elements (1)
1708:19;1710:1,3;	1699:23
1724:6;1730:22;	eligible (1)
1740:8,11;1763:7	1708:3
eating (1)	elliptical (1)
1790:24	1692:12
EBT (1)	else (14)
1815:24	1666:15;1668:2;
economic (14)	1669:14;1676:11,12
1705:10,15,20,23;	1703:25;1714:4;
1707:2,4,8;1709:4;	1/00.40,1/14.4,
1/0/.2,4,8,1/09.4,	
	1743:5;1749:15;
1710:24;1722:8,11;	1743:5;1749:15; 1765:24;1769:25;
1710:24;1722:8,11; 1723:12;1736:5;	1743:5;1749:15; 1765:24;1769:25; 1808:20;1809:11;
1710:24;1722:8,11;	1743:5;1749:15; 1765:24;1769:25;

	e-mail (1)
	1659:3 embarrassed (1)
	1798:10
	<b>embedded (1)</b> 1697:21
	Embellish (2)
	1749:17;1753:4 embellishing (7)
	1696:24;1702:1; 1750:1;1753:5,8;
	1775:2;1776:8
	embellishment (2) 1752:20;1753:2
	emergency (1)
	1737:11 Emergently (2)
	1745:13,13
9;	emotion (1) 1818:15
,	emotional (6)
	1665:13;1666:9; 1788:10;1799:13;
	1805:20;1812:8 emotionally (1)
;	1719:17
	emotions (3) 1787:14,18;
	1789:12
	<b>empathy (1)</b> 1720:24
	employability (2) 1763:12;1801:10
	employable (1)
	1801:7 employment (1)
	1775:20
	employment-related (1) 1708:17
	employments (1) 1818:13
	empty (1)
	1783:9 enamored (1)
	1761:18 encephalalgia (1)
	1785:5
	<b>encephalomalacia (4)</b> 1783:2,4;1784:9,10
	encompass (1) 1670:3
	encountering (1)
	1719:18 encouraged (1)
	1813:2
	end (8) 1674:3;1694:4;
2;	1711:12;1714:17; 1716:24;1726:11;
	1766:12;1781:5
	<b>ended (1)</b> 1771:9
	end-stage (1)

1697:4 endured (1) 1819:3 enduring (1) 1755:22 enervated (1) 1695:3 engage (2) 1697:6;1715:24 engaged (3) 1690:23;1708:16; 1797:9 enjoy (2) 1817:15,21 enjoyment (7) 1736:7;1799:4,5; 1806:20;1807:7; 1817:4,10 enough (6) 1723:23;1778:23; 1780:23;1795:9; 1796:6;1831:9 entered (3) 1686:5;1792:20; 1832:13 entering (3) 1686:4;1792:19; 1832:12 enters (5) 1677:24:1725:6; 1744:1:1772:1; 1808:23 entire (12) 1679:21;1686:12; 1689:8,11;1709:14; 1776:12;1785:3; 1810:23;1819:7; 1821:11,14;1823:14 entirely (1) 1771:8 entitled (12) 1714:23;1807:4.7; 1814:24;1816:25; 1817:19;1818:18; 1819:15,21;1824:21; 1825:12;1828:19 entrepreneur (1) 1728:19 enure (1) 1755:8 epilepsy (28) 1698:25;1699:25; 1700:1;1757:20; 1759:14;1763:5,16, 17,20,21;1764:17; 1765:19;1774:5,8; 1776:20,24,25; 1777:1;1790:2,6,7,8, 9,12;1792:24,25; 1793:6.11 epilepsy-focused (1) 1699:16 epileptic (1)

1777:19 equally (1) 1701:4 err (2) 1772:3,4 error (2) 1675:15;1703:4 errors (3) 1763:10,10;1775:9 especially (3) 1739:15;1782:5; 1805:21 essentially (4) 1700:21;1705:3; 1733:15;1755:10 establish (1) 1659:19 established (1) 1811:11 esteem (2) 1795:19;1797:5 ethical (2) 1758:6,7 evaluate (3) 1692:22;1702:11; 1705:6 evaluated (1) 1814:22 evaluating (2) 1715:15:1720:21 evaluation (2) 1694:7;1706:4 even (23) 1688:1;1700:6,12; 1702:8;1707:19; 1708:9;1709:1; 1721:20;1730:17; 1731:13;1734:14; 1742:19;1756:2; 1757:4:1766:14; 1770:22;1771:9; 1782:9;1785:21; 1787:24;1791:21; 1809:9;1815:15 evenly (1) 1812:1 event (2) 1672:17;1743:6 events (1) 1703:11 everybody (7) 1671:9;1677:25; 1696:18;1729:7; 1783:24;1832:21,22 everyday (1) 1731:2 Everyone (3) 1669:8;1746:8; 1833:6 evidence (82) 1663:7;1664:15; 1667:23;1676:8; 1685:5;1686:15;

Live Nation	[	1		December 5, 2019
1687:7;1689:3,10;	1698:23	1720:23;1721:17;	express (1)	1689:13;1692:21;
1695:12;1697:17;	Excellent (1)	1720:23,1721:17, 1722:15,18,24;	1798:16	1693:16;1695:12;
1708:11;1709:19;	1733:19	1722.13,18,24, 1799:11,17,25;	expressions (1)	1697:9,17;1705:7;
1710:9,10;1711:6,10,	except (2)	1803:23;1804:20,25;	1689:6	1706:3;1707:12,25;
17;1712:6;1717:16;	1704:16;1779:9	1805:14;1807:1,5;	extensive (4)	1709:20;1711:4,21;
	-			
1721:12,14,21;	exception (1)	1818:3,4,9,10;1821:3, 6	1693:7;1695:20;	1712:15,25;1716:1,2;
1722:15,20;1727:8;	1667:8	Ũ	1705:22;1803:4	1717:4;1721:10,22;
1731:20,21,21;	excess (1) 1660:4	expected (4)	extensively (1) 1715:24	1722:25;1723:10;
1732:13;1733:12;		1817:24;1818:2;	extent (14)	1724:18;1770:11,23;
1761:15,16,16;	<b>exchanges (1)</b> 1659:3	1820:11;1825:8		1771:4;1811:12;
1762:21;1768:15,25; 1770:12;1773:23,25;		<b>expenditures (1)</b> 1818:19	1672:6,22;1675:1;	1813:3;1829:2
1774:9;1778:15,16,	<b>exclusion (1)</b> 1814:20		1681:15;1708:18; 1715:12;1723:25;	<b>fairly (5)</b> 1687:18;1688:17,
18;1781:14;1785:11,	excoriate (1)	<b>expenses (27)</b> 1659:14,24;1660:3,	1736:15;1738:2;	18;1785:21;1817:1
12;1791:14;1783:11,	1703:5	3;1662:2,13;1670:19;	1763:13;1774:14;	fairness (2)
1809:4,6;1810:8,13;	Excuse (10)	1671:14;1688:1;	1786:24;1820:8;	1666:1;1688:25
1811:10,12,13,16,18,	1664:2;1697:15;	1714:18;1724:4;	1825:6	faker (2)
19,22,24;1812:20,25;	1700:4;1710:12;	1803:5;1807:11,12;	extremity (1)	1749:20,24
19,22,24,1812.20,23, 1813:6,8,9,11;	1711:1;1766:15;	1818:21,25;1819:2,5;	1698:8	faking (6)
1814:16,21;1816:21,	1810:19;1814:7;	1818.21,25,1819.2,5, 1822:8,11,12,18,22,	eye (6)	1734:4;1775:3,25;
22;1818:12;1821:8,	1810.19,1814.7, 1824:24;1827:20	23,24;1823:3,9	1744:5;1748:23;	1754.4,1775.5,25, 1776:5,11,15
20;1825:5;1827:11;	excused (7)	experience (8)	1772:19;1779:24;	fall (1)
1829:1,3,10,13,19;	1685:24;1742:4;	1684:11;1695:21;	1794:11,13	1671:4
1823.1,5,10,15,19, 1833:2	1770:5;1792:15;	1708:16;1717:10;	eyeball (1)	false (2)
evidenced (1)	1829:21;1831:15;	1815:11;1817:13;	1794:11	1754:1;1811:4
1668:19	1829.21,1851.15, 1833:8	1813:11,1817:13, 1818:11;1821:7	1/94.11	falsely (3)
eviscerate (1)	executed (1)	experienced (3)	F	1810:22,24,25
1700:22	1678:21	1703:19,21;1768:2	<b>I</b> '	falsus (1)
evolved (2)	executive (2)	experiences (2)	face (4)	1762:4
1775:15,15	1787:21;1789:5	1792:6;1813:3	1717:1;1730:8;	Family (26)
evolves (1)	exempting (1)	expert (11)	1744:3,6	1686:8;1688:20,24;
1716:3	1663:12	1663:5,5;1667:22;	facets (1)	1690:14,20,24;
exact (2)	exercise (3)	1703:22;1712:12;	1705:13	1697:13;1701:12;
1730:9,18	1692:12;1718:14,	1721:16;1738:18;	facial (3)	1708:20;1710:3;
exactly (14)	21	1748:14;1763:18;	1689:6;1737:4;	1715:2;1719:13;
1665:3;1686:11;	exercises (1)	1804:14;1814:18	1779:24	1720:25,25;1731:13;
1688:18;1699:18;	1692:11	expertise (3)	fact (25)	1732:8;1734:17,20;
1726:16;1744:25;	Exhibit (8)	1773:6;1813:5;	1697:13;1698:2,12,	1738:11;1740:17,24;
1746:17,22;1763:3;	1666:22;1670:10,	1814:1	13;1710:8;1711:13;	1747:1;1797:19;
1768:22;1773:20,24;	15;1675:12;1678:14;	experts (13)	1717:7,9,9,20;1722:3;	1798:16;1802:8,14
1777:10;1790:18	1701:15;1705:14;	1692:19,25;	1778:25;1793:12;	fancy (1)
exaggerated (1)	1831:2	1694:12;1695:13;	1796:15;1797:3;	1694:3
1754:1	Exhibits (6)	1696:8;1703:1,25;	1799:6,15;1801:5;	far (3)
exaggerating (1)	1669:17;1677:15;	1711:13;1723:21;	1810:19,20,22,25;	1681:2;1691:4;
1804:17	1811:14;1812:20;	1749:22;1761:14,14,	1815:6;1816:19;	1802:24
exam (1)	1813:17;1832:25	15	1825:16	fashion (1)
1753:13	exist (1)	expert's (1)	facts (15)	1828:17
examination (12)	1699:24	1814:16	1689:2;1693:17;	faster (1)
1678:3,4;1694:18;	existed (2)	expires (1)	1762:5,7,8;1810:6;	1676:20
1695:8;1698:6;	1686:19;1696:5	1685:7	1811:5;1812:22;	fatal (1)
1702:23;1713:23;	exiting (6)	explain (4)	1813:5;1814:9,12,13,	1780:25
1738:6;1758:2;	1685:23;1742:3;	1695:8;1705:12;	20;1827:8,11	father (3)
1812:22;1815:22;	1770:4;1792:14;	1756:14;1828:3	fail (1)	1690:22;1734:21;
1816:5	1831:14;1833:7	explained (2)	1825:20	1800:10
examine (1)	exorcised (1)	1738:21;1791:9	failed (9)	fault (4)
1829:12	1726:4	explanation (5)	1667:15;1668:12;	1742:20;1750:15,
examined (1)	Exorcist (1)	1659:12;1702:21;	1709:2;1777:18,22,	18;1774:16
1694:8	1791:2	1756:3;1757:2;	23;1778:8,9;1824:19	favor (2)
examiner (1)	expect (5)	1822:20	Failure (3)	1809:16;1812:4
1760:13	1697:1;1700:10;	explantation (2)	1789:3;1797:22;	Fayer (22)
example (2)	1767:14,16;1802:8	1744:13,14	1812:16	1692:1;1700:24,24;
1721:1;1769:4	expectancy (24)	Explanting (1)	fair (31)	1701:1,4,9;1704:3,16,
exceed (1)	1710:5,12,15,21;	1744:15	1674:16;1688:20;	17,18;1720:7,8,11,16;
				l

Live Nation	
1750:13;1790:7;	1750:11,12,13,14
1795:10,14,15;	filed (1)
1796:15;1801:9;	1770:21
1813:20	fill (4)
Fearful (1)	1793:19;1806:20,
1729:17	21,24
Fearless (1)	filled (1)
1728:15	1783:9
fears (1)	filling (1)
1796:17	1785:3
feeding (1)	film (4)
1737:16	1781:21,21;
feel (16)	1783:14;1785:19
1674:22;1675:8;	films (4)
1719:21;1720:19,24;	1779:17,18,19;
1733:7;1774:6;	1782:15
1793:24;1796:21,23;	final (5)
1798:8,16,19,21;	1660:10;1775:20;
1811:4;1820:12	1809:3;1823:8;
feeling (2)	1828:16
1695:5;1721:4	Finally (2)
feels (6)	1672:5;1809:20
1674:24;1723:2;	financial (1)
1751:8;1793:24;	1812:8
1796:3,13	find (28)
fees (1)	1662:22;1699:1;
1704:24	1740:18;1747:15;
feet (1)	1757:10;1782:4;
1691:19	1810:21;1811:14;
fell (3)	1814:12,25;1815:
1735:14;1744:7;	20;1817:14,25;
1794:15	1818:24;1819:7,19
fellow (2)	25;1820:2,8,10;
1800:8;1829:12	1821:11,24;1823:
fellowship (1)	1824:21;1825:9,13
1698:25	finding (2)
felt (12)	1691:5;1741:22
1664:14,19;	findings (10)
1683:20;1696:15;	1695:7;1696:5,17,
1736:21;1738:13;	24;1697:22;1698:
1755:13;1771:12;	1702:16;1768:7,22
1796:21;1797:19,21,	1781:9
22	
	fine (6)
few (6)	1660:9;1663:9;
1659:3;1677:6;	1674:19;1677:8;
1685:22;1705:8;	1704:21;1832:1
1822:12;1828:15	finish (3)
field (5)	1741:25;1770:2;
1669:4,8;1754:5;	1796:6
1761:9;1815:1	finished (3)
fields (1)	1741:15;1753:11;
1813:25	1809:2
fifth (4)	First (39)
1740:9;1746:21,21;	1659:1;1679:11;
1747:17	1680:15;1683:5,1
figure (15)	12,18;1685:15,18;
1734:6;1750:4,6,9,	1688:7,10;1698:1
15,16,18;1774:14,17;	18;1701:1;1705:1
1776:22;1787:23;	1720:9;1722:2;
1796:1,8;1806:22;	1720:9;1722:2; 1730:7;1735:15;
1796:1,8;1806:22; 1818:9	1720:9;1722:2; 1730:7;1735:15; 1738:22;1742:16;
1796:1,8;1806:22; 1818:9 figured (6)	1720:9;1722:2; 1730:7;1735:15; 1738:22;1742:16; 1770:25;1774:3;
1796:1,8;1806:22; 1818:9	1720:9;1722:2; 1730:7;1735:15; 1738:22;1742:16;

11;1779:7;1783:12; 1790:16.18:1791:4: 1805:3;1808:11,13, 14;1821:5;1822:21; 1828:21 fit (2) 1671:11;1795:25 fitness (1) 1718:15 fits (1) 1662:21 fitting (1) 1810:14 five (15) 1707:7;1729:5; 1791:7;1806:6; 1808:17,18;1826:9, 10,12,13,20,23,25; 1828:7;1832:7 fixed (1) 1831:12 flap (2) 1744:17,19 flies (1) 1717:1 flow (1) 1674:14 fluctuates (1) 1755:20 fluctuations (1) 19. 1755:25 9. fluid (3) 1783:10,12,13 14. fly (1) 1709:23 3.13 flying (1) 1728:17 focal (2) 1782:3,4 10; focused (2) 2; 1701:17;1713:9 foggiest (1) 1766:8 folks (4) 1675:1;1681:19; 1683:16;1687:4 follow (4) 1690:12;1700:16; 1827:6;1830:5 followed (1) 1826:17 following (1) 1699:8 food (1) 1791:5 1, ; 7, fool (4) 1734:7;1753:14,16; 1762:18 6: foot (1) 1691:20 forbid (1) 1802:14 5, force (3)

1794:13,14,20 forced (1) 1735:15 foreign (1) 1745:18 forensic (4) 1701:2;1704:14,15, 24 foreperson (4) 1826:14:1828:18. 21,24 foreperson's (1) 1828:18 forever (2) 1734:23;1804:16 forget (2) 1780:14;1830:17 forgets (1) 1751:4 fork (1) 1694:24 form (4) 1722:10;1760:20; 1814:13;1827:3 formula (3) 1723:1;1735:25; 1809:22 forth (9) 1659:4;1691:5; 1717:4;1773:21,22; 1774:24:1819:6; 1821:10:1823:13 forthright (1) 1695:2 forward (1) 1775:13 found (6) 1694:18,21;1768:5, 25;1769:8;1775:19 foundation (1) 1712:25 four (22) 1683:23;1686:14; 1687:22;1689:5; 1735:4;1746:2,3; 1747:3;1750:23; 1766:4,5,6,8,11,12, 13;1772:6,10; 1790:22;1805:6; 1824:17;1828:6 Fracture (1) 1794:12 fractured (7) 1737:3,6;1744:6; 1794:16,17,18,18 fractures (10) 1687:22;1737:4,7; 1744:5;1779:5,9,22, 24;1786:5;1794:15 Frankly (6) 1659:11;1664:14; 1695:22;1698:19; 1707:9;1712:15

frankness (1) 1829:14 free (6) 1672:16;1704:5; 1714:23;1718:17; 1803:8,12 freedoms (1) 1715:14 Freifelder (1) 1813:24 Friefelder (2) 1765:2;1804:14 friend (1) 1803:11 friend's (1) 1715:1 front (6) 1666:20;1708:11; 1721:21;1763:8; 1802:19;1808:6 frontal (6) 1787:10,12,13,17; 1789:10,21 frustrated (1) 1796:5 frustrating (2) 1778:10;1798:16 fulfill (1) 1679:14 full (19) 1684:5:1697:9; 1702:12:1710:15.21. 24,24;1722:23,23; 1723:12;1774:25; 1775:6;1799:25; 1803:19,19,23; 1804:20;1807:5; 1829:18 full-time (1) 1799:7 fun (1) 1741:12 function (9) 1694:20;1716:6,24; 1767:13,13;1782:8; 1788:14;1789:4; 1829:2 functioning (4) 1782:10;1785:9; 1787:22;1788:11 functions (1) 1789:5 fund (1) 1722:5 funny (1) 1768:18 further (5) 1671:21;1674:9; 1824:14,16;1827:11 furthermore (2) 1809:16;1819:19 future (65)

1662:2,12;1668:9;

				Detember 3, 2017
1670:17,17,19;	1797:6,6	1800:19	1780:14	1669:7,16;1670:11;
1692:21;1699:18;	given (6)	Grayson (3)	halfway (2)	
				1671:23;1672:1;
1700:11;1705:10,11;	1670:13;1674:8;	1666:25,25;1667:4	1697:24;1831:6	1676:16,19,21;
1707:12;1708:8;	1721:21;1812:21;	great (9)	hallmark (1)	1677:14;1732:17;
1709:24;1710:25;	1814:23;1829:25	1679:19,20;1699:5;	1767:11	1736:12;1742:6;
1711:19,22;1712:2;	gives (2)	1708:9;1739:5;	hand (4)	1743:8;1808:9;
1714:20;1720:23;	1773:14;1810:4	1757:3,14,17;1761:17	1667:9;1691:18,21;	1827:23
1723:5;1729:21;	Giving (12)	greater (5)	1741:19	hazard (1)
1736:17;1751:11;	1663:6;1679:12;	1671:16;1811:15,	handle (2)	1820:12
1791:16;1793:12;	1685:17;1702:12;	16,17;1828:19	1674:21;1679:21	head (11)
1799:4;1800:1,6;	1726:18;1730:18;	greatly (3)	hands (2)	1735:15,18;
1803:3,22,22;1804:2,	1756:5;1777:20;	1665:7;1824:8,20	1735:17;1791:7	1737:12;1739:3,5,7;
15,20,21,22;1805:3,	1804:9;1805:9,10,11	Greenwald (13)	handwriting (1)	1744:15,17;1780:24;
18;1806:13,14,23,24;	gloss (1)	1692:6;1696:1;	1675:25	1791:6;1794:16
1807:6,9,11,12;	1736:19	1704:3;1710:12,18;	handwritten (1)	headaches (1)
1817:20,20;1819:1,3,	goal (1)	1711:7;1750:11;	1675:13	1748:20
	1677:9			
5,21,22;1820:4,7;		1787:6;1789:8,20;	hang (1)	health (3)
1821:9;1822:4,11,11,	goals (3)	1790:5;1791:15;	1833:14	1714:18;1818:13;
17,21;1823:2,12,12	1734:11;1800:2,5	1813:20	happen (9)	1820:6
~	God (6)	grew (1)	1672:17,22;	healthcare (1)
G	1663:21;1732:15;	1734:13	1685:13;1740:13;	1818:25
	1738:12;1802:14;	gross (1)	1780:21;1801:12,14;	hear (15)
gait (4)	1804:8;1806:9	1723:17	1828:2;1832:14	1701:10;1703:1;
1696:17,18,18;	God-given (1)	ground (2)	happened (22)	1704:21;1708:1;
1698:10	1731:7	1735:15;1744:7	1665:14;1727:11,	1716:13;1718:22;
game (1)	goes (3)	group (1)	13;1728:23;1736:24;	1722:9;1730:22;
1752:25	1661:8;1716:3;	1798:2	1737:2;1741:12;	1733:25;1735:20;
games (1)	1718:12	grow (3)	1745:1;1775:16;	1737:17,18;1747:18;
1741:12	golden (1)	1684:15;1783:3,6	1779:7;1784:4;	1791:14;1795:10
gas (2)	1672:20	growing (1)	1787:1,1,2;1790:18,	heard (44)
1691:19;1723:15	good (40)	1733:15	19;1795:1;1798:9;	1687:23;1690:8;
gave (9)	1668:2,3;1677:25;	grown (1)	1800:8;1806:11,12;	1694:5;1697:3;
1770:21;1771:5,7;	1684:13;1690:9;	1778:2	1811:24	1699:13;1703:20,20;
1772:24;1814:1;	1692:4,4,16;1693:19,	guarantee (6)	happening (6)	1704:17;1713:7;
1818:10;1821:5;	20;1694:20;1695:22;	1710:7;1723:8,9;	1689:15;1735:22;	1714:5,13;1717:25;
1823:11;1830:5	1696:16;1699:2;	1710.7,1725.8,9, 1724:8,9;1818:6	1764:15;1771:3;	1719:3;1723:6;
	1712:4;1718:15,21;		1798:8;1830:14	1719.5,1725.0, 1725:21;1726:9,10;
general (2)		guess (8)	<i>,</i>	
1684:13;1740:2	1720:25;1725:8,15;	1663:13;1680:22,	happens (4)	1727:2;1728:19;
generally (1)	1733:7;1734:18,18,	23;1727:7;1753:17;	1691:12;1773:17;	1729:9;1731:12;
1788:10	20;1739:13;1741:23;	1754:23,23,24	1786:8;1802:14	1735:2;1737:13;
generate (1)	1742:2;1745:15;	guy (8)	hard (11)	1746:6;1747:24;
1678:21	1757:3;1760:16;	1684:23;1698:15;	1696:14;1699:7;	1755:5;1757:4;
generous (4)	1761:20;1769:19;	1717:20,23;1749:8;	1720.17.1741.12.	
			1730:17;1741:12;	1767:2,19;1768:20;
1747:9;1765:9,9;	1773:17;1778:5,16,	1757:3;1758:13;	1752:11,15;1754:13;	1771:3;1772:16,25;
1747:9;1765:9,9; 1805:15	1773:17;1778:5,16, 22;1783:21,22;			1771:3;1772:16,25; 1775:12;1776:1,23;
		1757:3;1758:13;	1752:11,15;1754:13;	1771:3;1772:16,25;
1805:15	22;1783:21,22;	1757:3;1758:13; 1760:3	1752:11,15;1754:13; 1786:18,22;1798:15,	1771:3;1772:16,25; 1775:12;1776:1,23;
1805:15 <b>genius (1)</b> 1763:22	22;1783:21,22; 1796:9;1798:17	1757:3;1758:13; 1760:3 <b>guys (1)</b> 1661:3	1752:11,15;1754:13; 1786:18,22;1798:15, 17	1771:3;1772:16,25; 1775:12;1776:1,23; 1787:19;1809:4; 1815:21,23;1816:6;
1805:15 genius (1) 1763:22 gentleman (1)	22;1783:21,22; 1796:9;1798:17 goods (1) 1788:16	1757:3;1758:13; 1760:3 guys (1) 1661:3 gym (4)	1752:11,15;1754:13; 1786:18,22;1798:15, 17 hardest (1) 1686:21	1771:3;1772:16,25; 1775:12;1776:1,23; 1787:19;1809:4;
1805:15 genius (1) 1763:22 gentleman (1) 1693:10	22;1783:21,22; 1796:9;1798:17 goods (1) 1788:16 Gordon (20)	1757:3;1758:13; 1760:3 <b>guys (1)</b> 1661:3 <b>gym (4)</b> 1692:9;1718:12;	1752:11,15;1754:13; 1786:18,22;1798:15, 17 hardest (1)	1771:3;1772:16,25; 1775:12;1776:1,23; 1787:19;1809:4; 1815:21,23;1816:6; 1818:12;1821:8; 1822:14
1805:15 genius (1) 1763:22 gentleman (1) 1693:10 Gentlemen (12)	22;1783:21,22; 1796:9;1798:17 goods (1) 1788:16 Gordon (20) 1692:5;1696:1;	1757:3;1758:13; 1760:3 <b>guys (1)</b> 1661:3 <b>gym (4)</b> 1692:9;1718:12; 1734:3;1777:21	1752:11,15;1754:13; 1786:18,22;1798:15, 17 hardest (1) 1686:21 harped (1) 1774:12	1771:3;1772:16,25; 1775:12;1776:1,23; 1787:19;1809:4; 1815:21,23;1816:6; 1818:12;1821:8; 1822:14 hearing (1)
1805:15 <b>genius (1)</b> 1763:22 <b>gentleman (1)</b> 1693:10 <b>Gentlemen (12)</b> 1685:12;1686:8;	22;1783:21,22; 1796:9;1798:17 goods (1) 1788:16 Gordon (20) 1692:5;1696:1; 1702:15,15,19;	1757:3;1758:13; 1760:3 <b>guys (1)</b> 1661:3 <b>gym (4)</b> 1692:9;1718:12; 1734:3;1777:21 <b>gymnast (1)</b>	1752:11,15;1754:13; 1786:18,22;1798:15, 17 hardest (1) 1686:21 harped (1) 1774:12 Harvard (3)	1771:3;1772:16,25; 1775:12;1776:1,23; 1787:19;1809:4; 1815:21,23;1816:6; 1818:12;1821:8; 1822:14 hearing (1) 1787:9
1805:15 genius (1) 1763:22 gentleman (1) 1693:10 Gentlemen (12) 1685:12;1686:8; 1693:8;1700:23;	22;1783:21,22; 1796:9;1798:17 goods (1) 1788:16 Gordon (20) 1692:5;1696:1; 1702:15,15,19; 1704:3;1750:12;	1757:3;1758:13; 1760:3 <b>guys (1)</b> 1661:3 <b>gym (4)</b> 1692:9;1718:12; 1734:3;1777:21	1752:11,15;1754:13; 1786:18,22;1798:15, 17 hardest (1) 1686:21 harped (1) 1774:12 Harvard (3) 1693:5;1729:5;	1771:3;1772:16,25; 1775:12;1776:1,23; 1787:19;1809:4; 1815:21,23;1816:6; 1818:12;1821:8; 1822:14 hearing (1) 1787:9 hears (1)
1805:15 genius (1) 1763:22 gentleman (1) 1693:10 Gentlemen (12) 1685:12;1686:8; 1693:8;1700:23; 1709:17;1724:5;	22;1783:21,22; 1796:9;1798:17 <b>goods (1)</b> 1788:16 <b>Gordon (20)</b> 1692:5;1696:1; 1702:15,15,19; 1704:3;1750:12; 1753:22,22;1754:2;	1757:3;1758:13; 1760:3 <b>guys (1)</b> 1661:3 <b>gym (4)</b> 1692:9;1718:12; 1734:3;1777:21 <b>gymnast (1)</b> 1733:24	1752:11,15;1754:13; 1786:18,22;1798:15, 17 hardest (1) 1686:21 harped (1) 1774:12 Harvard (3) 1693:5;1729:5; 1773:16	1771:3;1772:16,25; 1775:12;1776:1,23; 1787:19;1809:4; 1815:21,23;1816:6; 1818:12;1821:8; 1822:14 hearing (1) 1787:9 hears (1) 1737:23
1805:15 genius (1) 1763:22 gentleman (1) 1693:10 Gentlemen (12) 1685:12;1686:8; 1693:8;1700:23; 1709:17;1724:5; 1757:12;1769:20;	22;1783:21,22; 1796:9;1798:17 <b>goods (1)</b> 1788:16 <b>Gordon (20)</b> 1692:5;1696:1; 1702:15,15,19; 1704:3;1750:12; 1753:22,22;1754:2; 1755:3,12;1767:5,6,	1757:3;1758:13; 1760:3 <b>guys (1)</b> 1661:3 <b>gym (4)</b> 1692:9;1718:12; 1734:3;1777:21 <b>gymnast (1)</b>	1752:11,15;1754:13; 1786:18,22;1798:15, 17 hardest (1) 1686:21 harped (1) 1774:12 Harvard (3) 1693:5;1729:5; 1773:16 hate (1)	1771:3;1772:16,25; 1775:12;1776:1,23; 1787:19;1809:4; 1815:21,23;1816:6; 1818:12;1821:8; 1822:14 hearing (1) 1787:9 hears (1) 1737:23 heart (1)
1805:15 genius (1) 1763:22 gentleman (1) 1693:10 Gentlemen (12) 1685:12;1686:8; 1693:8;1700:23; 1709:17;1724:5; 1757:12;1769:20; 1792:9;1807:18;	22;1783:21,22; 1796:9;1798:17 <b>goods (1)</b> 1788:16 <b>Gordon (20)</b> 1692:5;1696:1; 1702:15,15,19; 1704:3;1750:12; 1753:22,22;1754:2; 1755:3,12;1767:5,6, 10,10,18;1768:10;	1757:3;1758:13; 1760:3 guys (1) 1661:3 gym (4) 1692:9;1718:12; 1734:3;1777:21 gymnast (1) 1733:24 H	1752:11,15;1754:13; 1786:18,22;1798:15, 17 hardest (1) 1686:21 harped (1) 1774:12 Harvard (3) 1693:5;1729:5; 1773:16 hate (1) 1796:10	1771:3;1772:16,25; 1775:12;1776:1,23; 1787:19;1809:4; 1815:21,23;1816:6; 1818:12;1821:8; 1822:14 hearing (1) 1787:9 hears (1) 1737:23 heart (1) 1721:11
1805:15 genius (1) 1763:22 gentleman (1) 1693:10 Gentlemen (12) 1685:12;1686:8; 1693:8;1700:23; 1709:17;1724:5; 1757:12;1769:20; 1792:9;1807:18; 1808:24;1833:12	22;1783:21,22; 1796:9;1798:17 <b>goods (1)</b> 1788:16 <b>Gordon (20)</b> 1692:5;1696:1; 1702:15,15,19; 1704:3;1750:12; 1753:22,22;1754:2; 1755:3,12;1767:5,6, 10,10,18;1768:10; 1769:7;1813:22	1757:3;1758:13; 1760:3 guys (1) 1661:3 gym (4) 1692:9;1718:12; 1734:3;1777:21 gymnast (1) 1733:24 H habits (1)	1752:11,15;1754:13; 1786:18,22;1798:15, 17 hardest (1) 1686:21 harped (1) 1774:12 Harvard (3) 1693:5;1729:5; 1773:16 hate (1) 1796:10 hates (1)	1771:3;1772:16,25; 1775:12;1776:1,23; 1787:19;1809:4; 1815:21,23;1816:6; 1818:12;1821:8; 1822:14 hearing (1) 1787:9 hears (1) 1737:23 heart (1) 1721:11 heavily (1)
1805:15 genius (1) 1763:22 gentleman (1) 1693:10 Gentlemen (12) 1685:12;1686:8; 1693:8;1700:23; 1709:17;1724:5; 1757:12;1769:20; 1792:9;1807:18; 1808:24;1833:12 Gerry (1)	22;1783:21,22; 1796:9;1798:17 goods (1) 1788:16 Gordon (20) 1692:5;1696:1; 1702:15,15,19; 1704:3;1750:12; 1753:22,22;1754:2; 1755:3,12;1767:5,6, 10,10,18;1768:10; 1769:7;1813:22 grab (1)	1757:3;1758:13; 1760:3 guys (1) 1661:3 gym (4) 1692:9;1718:12; 1734:3;1777:21 gymnast (1) 1733:24 H habits (1) 1818:13	1752:11,15;1754:13; 1786:18,22;1798:15, 17 hardest (1) 1686:21 harped (1) 1774:12 Harvard (3) 1693:5;1729:5; 1773:16 hate (1) 1796:10 hates (1) 1793:23	1771:3;1772:16,25; 1775:12;1776:1,23; 1787:19;1809:4; 1815:21,23;1816:6; 1818:12;1821:8; 1822:14 hearing (1) 1787:9 hears (1) 1737:23 heart (1) 1721:11 heavily (1) 1757:10
1805:15 genius (1) 1763:22 gentleman (1) 1693:10 Gentlemen (12) 1685:12;1686:8; 1693:8;1700:23; 1709:17;1724:5; 1757:12;1769:20; 1792:9;1807:18; 1808:24;1833:12 Gerry (1) 1813:20	22;1783:21,22; 1796:9;1798:17 goods (1) 1788:16 Gordon (20) 1692:5;1696:1; 1702:15,15,19; 1704:3;1750:12; 1753:22,22;1754:2; 1755:3,12;1767:5,6, 10,10,18;1768:10; 1769:7;1813:22 grab (1) 1764:14	1757:3;1758:13; 1760:3 guys (1) 1661:3 gym (4) 1692:9;1718:12; 1734:3;1777:21 gymnast (1) 1733:24 H habits (1) 1818:13 half (13)	1752:11,15;1754:13; 1786:18,22;1798:15, 17 hardest (1) 1686:21 harped (1) 1774:12 Harvard (3) 1693:5;1729:5; 1773:16 hate (1) 1796:10 hates (1) 1793:23 HAWORTH (38)	1771:3;1772:16,25; 1775:12;1776:1,23; 1787:19;1809:4; 1815:21,23;1816:6; 1818:12;1821:8; 1822:14 hearing (1) 1787:9 hears (1) 1737:23 heart (1) 1721:11 heavily (1) 1757:10 heck (5)
1805:15 genius (1) 1763:22 gentleman (1) 1693:10 Gentlemen (12) 1685:12;1686:8; 1693:8;1700:23; 1709:17;1724:5; 1757:12;1769:20; 1792:9;1807:18; 1808:24;1833:12 Gerry (1) 1813:20 gets (7)	22;1783:21,22; 1796:9;1798:17 goods (1) 1788:16 Gordon (20) 1692:5;1696:1; 1702:15,15,19; 1704:3;1750:12; 1753:22,22;1754:2; 1755:3,12;1767:5,6, 10,10,18;1768:10; 1769:7;1813:22 grab (1) 1764:14 graduate (1)	1757:3;1758:13; 1760:3 guys (1) 1661:3 gym (4) 1692:9;1718:12; 1734:3;1777:21 gymnast (1) 1733:24 H habits (1) 1818:13 half (13) 1688:2;1690:17;	1752:11,15;1754:13; 1786:18,22;1798:15, 17 hardest (1) 1686:21 harped (1) 1774:12 Harvard (3) 1693:5;1729:5; 1773:16 hate (1) 1796:10 hates (1) 1793:23 HAWORTH (38) 1659:17;1661:4,6,	1771:3;1772:16,25; 1775:12;1776:1,23; 1787:19;1809:4; 1815:21,23;1816:6; 1818:12;1821:8; 1822:14 hearing (1) 1787:9 hears (1) 1737:23 heart (1) 1721:11 heavily (1) 1757:10 heck (5) 1737:18;1751:13;
1805:15 genius (1) 1763:22 gentleman (1) 1693:10 Gentlemen (12) 1685:12;1686:8; 1693:8;1700:23; 1709:17;1724:5; 1757:12;1769:20; 1792:9;1807:18; 1808:24;1833:12 Gerry (1) 1813:20 gets (7) 1688:14;1762:9;	22;1783:21,22; 1796:9;1798:17 goods (1) 1788:16 Gordon (20) 1692:5;1696:1; 1702:15,15,19; 1704:3;1750:12; 1753:22,22;1754:2; 1755:3,12;1767:5,6, 10,10,18;1768:10; 1769:7;1813:22 grab (1) 1764:14 graduate (1) 1733:5	1757:3;1758:13; 1760:3 guys (1) 1661:3 gym (4) 1692:9;1718:12; 1734:3;1777:21 gymnast (1) 1733:24 H habits (1) 1818:13 half (13) 1688:2;1690:17; 1700:8;1707:25;	1752:11,15;1754:13; 1786:18,22;1798:15, 17 hardest (1) 1686:21 harped (1) 1774:12 Harvard (3) 1693:5;1729:5; 1773:16 hate (1) 1796:10 hates (1) 1793:23 HAWORTH (38) 1659:17;1661:4,6, 12,20,25;1662:3,8,10,	1771:3;1772:16,25; 1775:12;1776:1,23; 1787:19;1809:4; 1815:21,23;1816:6; 1818:12;1821:8; 1822:14 hearing (1) 1787:9 hears (1) 1737:23 heart (1) 1721:11 heavily (1) 1757:10 heck (5) 1737:18;1751:13; 1752:13;1753:6;
1805:15 genius (1) 1763:22 gentleman (1) 1693:10 Gentlemen (12) 1685:12;1686:8; 1693:8;1700:23; 1709:17;1724:5; 1757:12;1769:20; 1792:9;1807:18; 1808:24;1833:12 Gerry (1) 1813:20 gets (7) 1688:14;1762:9; 1765:19;1783:10;	22;1783:21,22; 1796:9;1798:17 goods (1) 1788:16 Gordon (20) 1692:5;1696:1; 1702:15,15,19; 1704:3;1750:12; 1753:22,22;1754:2; 1755:3,12;1767:5,6, 10,10,18;1768:10; 1769:7;1813:22 grab (1) 1764:14 graduate (1) 1733:5 grape (1)	1757:3;1758:13; 1760:3 guys (1) 1661:3 gym (4) 1692:9;1718:12; 1734:3;1777:21 gymnast (1) 1733:24 H habits (1) 1818:13 half (13) 1688:2;1690:17; 1700:8;1707:25; 1708:24;1709:5,15;	1752:11,15;1754:13; 1786:18,22;1798:15, 17 hardest (1) 1686:21 harped (1) 1774:12 Harvard (3) 1693:5;1729:5; 1773:16 hate (1) 1796:10 hates (1) 1793:23 HAWORTH (38) 1659:17;1661:4,6, 12,20,25;1662:3,8,10, 14,19;1663:2,9,13,20;	1771:3;1772:16,25; 1775:12;1776:1,23; 1787:19;1809:4; 1815:21,23;1816:6; 1818:12;1821:8; 1822:14 hearing (1) 1787:9 hears (1) 1737:23 heart (1) 1721:11 heavily (1) 1757:10 heck (5) 1737:18;1751:13; 1752:13;1753:6; 1754:16
1805:15 genius (1) 1763:22 gentleman (1) 1693:10 Gentlemen (12) 1685:12;1686:8; 1693:8;1700:23; 1709:17;1724:5; 1757:12;1769:20; 1792:9;1807:18; 1808:24;1833:12 Gerry (1) 1813:20 gets (7) 1688:14;1762:9;	22;1783:21,22; 1796:9;1798:17 goods (1) 1788:16 Gordon (20) 1692:5;1696:1; 1702:15,15,19; 1704:3;1750:12; 1753:22,22;1754:2; 1755:3,12;1767:5,6, 10,10,18;1768:10; 1769:7;1813:22 grab (1) 1764:14 graduate (1) 1733:5	1757:3;1758:13; 1760:3 guys (1) 1661:3 gym (4) 1692:9;1718:12; 1734:3;1777:21 gymnast (1) 1733:24 H habits (1) 1818:13 half (13) 1688:2;1690:17; 1700:8;1707:25;	1752:11,15;1754:13; 1786:18,22;1798:15, 17 hardest (1) 1686:21 harped (1) 1774:12 Harvard (3) 1693:5;1729:5; 1773:16 hate (1) 1796:10 hates (1) 1793:23 HAWORTH (38) 1659:17;1661:4,6, 12,20,25;1662:3,8,10,	1771:3;1772:16,25; 1775:12;1776:1,23; 1787:19;1809:4; 1815:21,23;1816:6; 1818:12;1821:8; 1822:14 hearing (1) 1787:9 hears (1) 1737:23 heart (1) 1721:11 heavily (1) 1757:10 heck (5) 1737:18;1751:13; 1752:13;1753:6;

hell (1) 1766:21 hi helmet (5) 1719:20,23;1741:6; 1796:20,22 help (24) 1679:11;1683:22; Hi 1684:15:1686:19; 1690:12;1701:7; hi 1714:24;1715:1; 1716:17,17;1719:16, hi 17;1751:5;1753:18; 1757:18,19,21; hi 1758:11,14;1759:6,9; 1760:25;1766:23; hi 1772:5 helped (1) hi 1798:2 helpful (1) 1795:14 hi hematologist (1) 1823:1 hematoma (3) H 1737:5;1738:25; 1780:1 ho hemicraniectomy (1) 1781:4 ho Hemo (1) 1795:3 ha hemorrhages (1) 1737:6 hemothorax (1) ho 1795:2 Here's (9) 1674:21;1713:21; ho 1751:22;1754:13; 1755:3:1773:16; h 1775:7;1778:13; 1805:16 ho herniation (2) 1780:23:1781:12 Hev (11) 1694:14;1697:23; ha 1699:2;1702:3; 1703:22;1708:7; ho 1715:10;1732:13; H 1802:24;1805:9; 1806:11 hid (2) 1778:25,25 higher (3) 1672:10;1709:16; 1788:10 higher-level (1) 1787:15 highest (2) ho 1707:20;1709:13 highlight (3) ho 1701:18;1744:2; 1792:23 Н highlighted (2) 1672:15;1767:22 ha high-pitched (1)

	r
1791:3	Ho
imself (9)	
1669:1;1681:19;	
1720:3,19;1741:21;	ho
1757:22;1802:16,25;	
1824:19	ho
	по
ippocratic (3)	
1700:16;1757:3,11	
ire (1)	
1684:2	
ired (2)	
1694:12;1700:25	
iring (1)	
1761:2	Ho
istorically (1)	
1698:1	
istory (7)	ho
1667:17,19,19;	no
	h a
1693:7;1695:20;	ho
1705:23;1718:9	
it (1)	
1735:15	
(1) (1)	8
1748:2	
obbies (1)	ho
1709:20	
ockey (1)	hu
1733:24	nu
	1
old (5)	hu
1707:13;1731:23;	-
1802:16,17,17	hu
ole (3)	
1733:10;1781:7;	hu
1795:4	
oled (2)	hy
1800:25;1801:1	
oles (2)	hy
1783:8,13	5
ome (6)	
1714:18;1716:9;	
1777:24;1823:9,10;	
1832:5	
	ice
onest (2)	• •
1703:8;1747:19	ide
onestly (1)	
1693:22	
onor (19)	
1660:15;1668:17;	ide
1670:11;1673:2,13;	
1675:10;1678:2;	ide
1684:24;1685:4;	
1686:7,22;1688:22;	ide
1693:15;1724:16;	
1770:8;1771:15;	
	:4:
1808:21,22;1817:6	idi
ope (2)	
1708:8;1709:6	Π
oped (1)	
1689:18	im
opefully (1)	
1734:23	
opes (1)	
1746:8	

opkins (4) 1719:15:1740:18: 1747:2;1824:11 pper (2) 1808:12;1827:16 spital (16) 1693:6;1698:24; 1704:10;1737:5,16, 22;1738:10;1741:3; 1746:15:1790:10: 1795:6;1797:17; 1803:9:1818:25: 1822:23;1824:12 ot (5) 1717:18,19,19,20; 1777:19 our (2) 1685:19;1766:10 ours (18) 1691:10;1716:18, 21,21;1720:15; 1756:4;1766:4,5,6,7, 8,12,12,13;1772:6,10; 1805:6.13 use (1) 1715:1 ıge (2) 1805:20,20 man (2) 1702:5:1713:21 rt (3) 1783:18;1797:1,21 irts (1) 1702:4 per-critical (1) 1701:3 perventilated (1) 1717:19 I (1) 1733:24 ea (6) 1700:16;1702:7; 1703:3,9;1716:25; 1766:8 entification (1) 1685:5 entified (1) 1673:22 entify (3) 1689:4;1703:3; 1705:5 iosyncrasies (1) 1698:19 (1)1831:2 age (47) 1727:24;1728:5; 1730:2;1731:9; 1732:23:1734:10: 1735:11;1736:23;

1737:21:1738:15; 1740:6:1741:2.13: 1760:1;1761:11; 1762:23;1764:1; 1766:25;1768:8; 1780:3;1781:5; 1783:7;1784:5; 1785:13,19,20,23; 1786:2;1787:5; 1788:6.23:1789:7.19; 1790:1,15;1791:13; 1792:21:1793:16; 1794:5;1795:7; 1797:2,12,14,23; 1798:13;1800:4,15 images (2) 1779:2;1785:16 Imagine (2) 1765:14;1799:24 imaging (2) 1781:9:1782:5 impact (1) 1768:3 impacts (2) 1786:12,23 impaired (1) 1731:21 implication (1) 1750:17 importance (1) 1701:17 important (42) 1660:13;1679:24; 1687:2.4:1689:9: 1699:10:1702:24; 1703:18;1705:9; 1708:18:1713:6,9,20; 1720:22;1724:17,18; 1727:8:1728:3: 1730:25;1755:4,6,16; 1761:9:1773:8.8.19. 22;1774:11;1782:4, 10;1785:17;1786:16; 1787:14;1788:3; 1790:18;1793:6; 1794:8;1796:13; 1810:20;1826:8; 1829:3,17 importantly (2) 1728:24;1729:24 impossible (4) 1697:8,8,9;1720:24 improbability (1) 1810:7 improper (3) 1673:23;1674:16; 1742:7 improprieties (1) 1830:10 improve (1) 1720:4 improving (1) 1741:19

impulsive (3) 1768:16,25;1769:4 inability (1) 1719:8 inappropriate (4) 1673:7;1756:19; 1770:10.18 incident (3) 1742:11;1798:8; 1818:17 incision (1) 1739:3 include (7) 1659:13:1818:14; 1819:1,14;1822:22; 1823:3.9 includes (3) 1721:8;1789:1; 1813:14 including (4) 1710:22;1712:4; 1802:9;1814:16 Income (3) 1661:2,7;1707:17 inconsistencies (3) 1694:16,17; 1695:24 inconsistent (6) 1696:16,25;1698:9, 10:1734:8:1769:7 incorporate (1) 1667:3 incorporated (1) 1671:18 increased (2) 1791:15;1805:25 increasing (1) 1769:10 incredible (5) 1693:13:1709:17; 1725:14;1729:6; 1738:3 incur (2) 1723:14;1819:7 incurred (3) 1698:4;1818:21; 1824:17 independence (2) 1715:23;1798:20 **Independent (4)** 1691:20;1698:11; 1728:24:1778:1 indicate (1) 1826:16 indicated (2) 1669:21;1756:1 indicating (5) 1686:10;1704:20; 1779:3;1781:23; 1794:19 indigestion (1) 1740:15 individual (2)

1730:14:1829:7 individuals (1) 1767:12 infection (2) 1735:2;1746:5 infections (5) 1690:3;1746:7; 1747:16;1792:4; 1806:7 inference (1) 1809:17 influence (2) 1697:22;1702:6 influenced (2) 1693:15;1815:12 inform (1) 1677:18 information (4) 1711:15;1719:5; 1782:12;1814:6 informs (2) 1685:15,18 ingratiating (1) 1757:22 injured (6) 1731:20;1732:14; 1758:10;1759:9; 1820:11;1823:20 injuries (30) 1666:10:1687:18, 21;1692:20;1698:3; 1736:15.16:1738:2: 1785:15:1786:4; 1794:7.21:1812:12. 16;1817:14,18,23,25; 1818:15;1819:4,16, 19;1820:1,9;1821:22, 24;1824:8,8,23; 1825:12 injury (43) 1665:16,19; 1687:21;1696:13,19; 1697:1;1699:12; 1714:6;1737:3; 1738:5;1741:7,15; 1748:14;1753:10; 1760:4,11;1767:11, 11;1769:12;1773:14; 1781:10,13,15; 1782:3,3,4,9;1785:22; 1786:11;1789:13,15, 16,25;1791:20,25; 1792:3;1817:1,12; 1821:21;1823:23; 1824:20,24;1825:16 ink (2) 1800:6,7 innocuous (1) 1703:8 insert (4) 1690:4,5;1823:18; 1826:6

1689:20 inside (6) 1780:10,24; 1783:18,20;1786:12. 22 insight (3) 1767:8,15;1787:21 installations (1) 1800:19 installed (1) 1683:13 instead (2) 1703:7;1729:14 instinct (1) 1731:8 instruct (1) 1808:25 instructing (1) 1816:11 instruction (4) 1660:10;1718:23; 1825:23;1826:18 instructions (10) 1699:8:1769:6: 1770:3;1823:11; 1825:21;1827:22; 1831:6,7,8,12 insulted (1) 1770:17 Insulting (7) 1748:4,5,5;1751:3; 1765:15;1766:17; 1770:11 integral (1) 1680:3 integrity (1) 1693:15 **Intellectual (1)** 1789:4 intellectually (1) 1698:23 intend (2) 1660:6;1677:9 intended (10) 1702:11;1705:18; 1710:6;1742:22; 1819:10;1821:15,19; 1822:10,22;1823:15 intensive (2) 1717:21;1737:2 intent (10) 1702:1;1751:21,21, 22;1752:1;1753:2; 1754:6,21,22;1776:8 intention (2) 1752:19,21 intentional (6) 1751:25;1752:10, 15;1753:9,25; 1754:11 intentionally (2) 1753:13;1815:12 interaction (1)

1699:5 interest (4) 1716:4;1809:25,25; 1815:13 interested (7) 1760:22;1798:1; 1815:4,5,7,15,18 Interesting (7) 1698:15;1725:17; 1746:19:1758:16; 1760:3;1778:13; 1801:1 interfered (1) 1821:23 Internet (1) 1751:5 interpret (2) 1743:1,2 interpretation (1) 1695:12 interpreted (1) 1746:23 interrupted (1) 1685:21 interview (1) 1760:7 into (44) 1666:25;1667:3; 1670:7;1671:2,7,24; 1676:8,9:1684:14; 1685:5:1689:12; 1705:11,13;1711:17; 1715:15:1718:12; 1722:19;1723:13,18; 1724:22;1728:22; 1729:10;1737:13; 1762:11,12,13; 1763:24;1777:20; 1778:15,16,18; 1800:6,9;1803:7; 1812:20;1816:3; 1817:16,22;1818:2; 1822:12,19;1823:19; 1825:4,16 intractable (4) 1700:1;1792:24; 1793:6,11 introduced (2) 1677:4;1683:22 invade (1) 1762:7 investigate (1) 1777:15 involve (1) 1825:7 involved (10) 1678:20;1681:5,15; 1702:3,7;1704:25; 1716:14;1746:16; 1762:9;1822:5 involves (2) 1814:3;1817:10 involving (2)

1739:2:1789:9 Island (1) 1683:17 Islip (2) 1691:9;1720:17 issue (20) 1659:25;1661:16, 16;1667:8;1669:13; 1671:24;1698:10; 1709:24:1719:10: 1720:23;1743:6; 1801:10;1811:10,11, 21,23;1816:8,11; 1822:1,9 issues (8) 1676:11;1686:18; 1705:24;1720:22; 1755:7,7;1814:1; 1829:13 item (5) 1676:3;1714:7; 1716:8;1786:14; 1823:16 items (7) 1672:19;1673:22; 1674:6,9,15;1694:21; 1712:3 J January (6) 1685:7:1718:5: 1720:10,10;1746:3; 1767:20 **JC**(1) 1800:21 jelly (1) 1786:18 iibe (1) 1696:3 job (15) 1669:2;1671:25; 1682:4,5;1686:13,14, 21;1695:10,11; 1708:10;1709:14,18; 1710:8;1723:3; 1732:12 Joelle (2) 1767:5,9 Johns (4) 1719:15;1740:18; 1747:2;1824:11 Jordan (19) 1693:4,18,23; 1694:16;1695:7,17; 1696:24;1697:18; 1702:13;1751:18; 1753:6;1754:3: 1763:13;1772:22,23; 1773:16:1775:24: 1790:8;1813:21 Jordan's (2) 1694:1:1776:6

journey (1) 1691:13 Judge (32) 1661:25;1662:4,7, 10;1663:3;1664:22; 1666:21,24;1667:4,7, 11,16;1687:2; 1688:12;1699:8; 1704:2;1705:12; 1718:22;1721:4; 1729:2,3;1731:6; 1736:8:1741:23; 1756:19;1762:3,4,7, 24;1769:18;1771:4; 1792:12 judged (1) 1729:3 judges (4) 1762:5,6,8;1811:5 judging (1) 1730:23 iudgment (6) 1698:2;1761:4; 1787:21;1789:1; 1815:2;1829:7 July (1) 1678:4 jump (2) 1674:9;1830:19 jumps (1) 1672:24 June (6) 1678:9:1684:1; 1713:12;1728:23; 1737:1;1812:7 juris (1) 1672:9 iuror (9) 1742:21;1827:17, 17:1828:6,7,8,8,14,20 jurors (20) 1725:12;1742:4,19; 1752:22;1813:6; 1826:8,9,11,21; 1827:13;1828:5,20, 23;1829:4,12;1830:7; 1832:3,15,22;1833:3 jury (107) 1659:2,20;1661:6; 1663:25;1664:2; 1666:16;1670:6; 1674:4;1677:6,11,19, 24;1685:23,24; 1686:4,5,9;1687:3,12; 1701:15;1705:14; 1713:10;1718:22; 1725:4,5,6,12;1726:7; 1727:24;1728:5; 1730:2;1731:9; 1732:7,23;1734:10; 1735:11;1736:23; 1737:21:1738:15: 1740:6;1741:2,13;

inserted (1)

	1			December 5, 2019
1742:3,18;1744:1;	Kim (3)	1661:17,18;	learned (3)	1711:19;1712:2,20;
1742.5,18,1744.1, 1760:1;1761:11;	1711:25;1712:18;	1666:13;1669:23;	1694:3;1727:7;	1711:19,1712:2,20, 1714:8;1720:22,23;
	1711.23,1712.18, 1714:13		1809:5	
1762:6,23;1764:1;		1689:7;1788:14;		1721:16,23;1722:11,
1766:25;1767:21;	Kimberly (1)	1831:23,24	least (4)	15,18,23;1723:11,19;
1768:8;1770:4,5;	1813:23	large (4)	1729:20;1771:1;	1724:12,15;1728:24;
1772:1;1778:24;	kind (3)	1668:24;1738:24;	1793:21;1794:23	1730:15;1731:2;
1785:13;1786:2,14;	1732:24;1797:4;	1739:3;1780:1	leave (5)	1733:9,11,14;
1787:5;1788:6,23;	1831:8	largest (1)	1660:20;1672:2;	1734:11;1736:4,5,7;
1789:7,19;1790:1,15;	kinds (1)	1787:11	1748:3;1766:1;	1737:10,14;1768:3;
1791:13;1792:14,15,	1789:2	lashed (1)	1777:9	1778:5,5;1791:21;
19,20,21;1793:16;	knew (7)	1704:19	leaves (4)	1792:1;1799:4,5,10,
1794:5;1795:7;	1659:22;1679:8;	last (16)	1725:4;1783:13;	17,25,25;1801:3,7;
1797:2,12,14,23;	1684:13;1735:18;	1659:4,5;1662:7;	1802:6;1807:20	1803:19,19,20,23;
1798:13;1800:4,15;	1736:20;1771:4;	1676:23;1694:15,15;	leaving (1)	1804:19,20,25;
1804:5;1807:20;	1796:22	1712:9;1745:6;	1691:7	1805:14;1806:4,20;
1808:5,6,23;1814:6;	knocked (1)	1747:4,5,5,6,7;	left (6)	1807:1,5,7;1813:23;
1816:24;1826:14;	1735:14	1756:16,18;1827:15	1713:18;1771:10;	1817:4,10,12,13,15,
1828:16,23,25;	knowing (2)	lasts (1)	1780:4;1783:8;	21;1818:3,4,9,10;
1829:21,23;1830:10,	1710:3;1746:20	1792:1	1791:6,7	1821:3
13,17,22;1831:14,15;	knowledge (4)	late (1)	leg(1)	life's (1)
1832:12,13;1833:7,8,	1679:18;1793:21;	1766:11	1784:1	1813:2
13	1814:4,9	later (6)	legal (9)	life-saving (2)
Justin (11)	known (1)	1669:20;1677:15,	1700:25;1715:10;	1689:16;1739:2
1729:1,7;1730:4,6;	1775:17	17;1766:11;1769:2;	1728:4;1754:14;	lifetime (2)
1733:2;1734:12;	knows (6)	1816:3	1760:20;1777:5,8,9;	1791:21,23
1737:22,23;1790:17,		latest (1)	1827:4	lift (1)
24;1793:17	1746:25;1747:15;	1831:8	legitimate (1)	1692:9
justly (4)	1783:24;1799:11	laudable (1)	1705:25	light (2)
1688:17,18;	Kristen (1)	1718:11	length (2)	1810:8;1824:15
1711:22;1817:1	1767:19	laundry (3)	1811:17;1820:10	lights (1)
justness (1)	<b>Kristy (1)</b> 1797:6	1672:21;1673:17; 1778:8	Leonard (1) 1813:24	1691:5
1688:25	<b>Kushner (6)</b>	law (19)	less (10)	<b>liked (2)</b> 1730:14;1733:17
K	1662:20;1711:25;	1687:3;1688:14,16;	1689:1;1707:20;	
K	1712:18;1714:13;	1694:3;1695:15;	1716:22;1724:19;	<b>likelihood (5)</b> 1708:12;1711:8;
keen (15)	1765:2;1813:23	1736:8,9;1770:19,20;	1754:18;1758:23;	1716:1,2;1822:5
keep (15) 1660:10;1669:5;	Kusnetz (4)	1/30.8,9,17/0.19,20, 1809:1,9,11,12;	1793:24;1799:9,16;	likely (9)
1687:14;1690:22;	1768:9,19;1769:8,	1809.1,9,11,12, 1810:22;1813:18;	1815:5	1707:13;1708:10;
1702:14;1718:14;	1/08.9,19,1/09.8,	1816:13;1825:22;	lessen (1)	1711:8;1721:18;
1733:11;1734:19;	10	1810.13,1823.22, 1827:12;1829:3	1812:16	1769:9;1789:14,16;
1737:15;1744:25;	L	lawsuit (5)	letting (1)	
1745:7;1772:19;	<b>L</b>	lawsult (3)		
1/4.)./.1//2.17.		1608.12.1702.3 7.		1810:25;1822:4
	lah (1)	- 1698:12;1702:3,7; 1761:14:1809:16	1833:13	likes (3)
1796:7;1800:10;	lab (1) 1668·10	1761:14;1809:16	1833:13 level (9)	<b>likes (3)</b> 1718:10;1728:18;
1796:7;1800:10; 1809:8	1668:10	1761:14;1809:16 lawyer (4)	1833:13 level (9) 1664:23;1670:6,14;	<b>likes (3)</b> 1718:10;1728:18; 1795:13
1796:7;1800:10; 1809:8 Keeping (4)	1668:10 laborer (1)	1761:14;1809:16 <b>lawyer (4)</b> 1674:8;1693:9;	1833:13 level (9) 1664:23;1670:6,14; 1671:21;1672:10;	likes (3) 1718:10;1728:18; 1795:13 limb (1)
1796:7;1800:10; 1809:8 <b>Keeping (4)</b> 1696:10;1700:19;	1668:10 <b>laborer (1)</b> 1729:4	1761:14;1809:16 <b>lawyer (4)</b> 1674:8;1693:9; 1703:19;1747:25	1833:13 <b>level (9)</b> 1664:23;1670:6,14; 1671:21;1672:10; 1697:1;1701:21;	<b>likes (3)</b> 1718:10;1728:18; 1795:13 <b>limb (1)</b> 1783:25
1796:7;1800:10; 1809:8 <b>Keeping (4)</b> 1696:10;1700:19; 1709:7;1712:18	1668:10 laborer (1) 1729:4 laborers (1)	1761:14;1809:16 <b>lawyer (4)</b> 1674:8;1693:9; 1703:19;1747:25 <b>Lawyers (21)</b>	1833:13 <b>level (9)</b> 1664:23;1670:6,14; 1671:21;1672:10; 1697:1;1701:21; 1712:13;1791:10	likes (3) 1718:10;1728:18; 1795:13 limb (1) 1783:25 limit (1)
1796:7;1800:10; 1809:8 <b>Keeping (4)</b> 1696:10;1700:19; 1709:7;1712:18 <b>keeps (3)</b>	1668:10 <b>laborer (1)</b> 1729:4 <b>laborers (1)</b> 1683:7	1761:14;1809:16 <b>lawyer (4)</b> 1674:8;1693:9; 1703:19;1747:25 <b>Lawyers (21)</b> 1686:21;1694:2,12;	1833:13 level (9) 1664:23;1670:6,14; 1671:21;1672:10; 1697:1;1701:21; 1712:13;1791:10 levels (1)	likes (3) 1718:10;1728:18; 1795:13 limb (1) 1783:25 limit (1) 1666:10
1796:7;1800:10; 1809:8 <b>Keeping (4)</b> 1696:10;1700:19; 1709:7;1712:18 <b>keeps (3)</b> 1777:17,17;1778:5	1668:10 <b>laborer (1)</b> 1729:4 <b>laborers (1)</b> 1683:7 <b>lack (1)</b>	1761:14;1809:16 <b>lawyer (4)</b> 1674:8;1693:9; 1703:19;1747:25 <b>Lawyers (21)</b> 1686:21;1694:2,12; 1698:16;1725:25;	1833:13 level (9) 1664:23;1670:6,14; 1671:21;1672:10; 1697:1;1701:21; 1712:13;1791:10 levels (1) 1769:10	likes (3) 1718:10;1728:18; 1795:13 limb (1) 1783:25 limit (1) 1666:10 limitations (1)
1796:7;1800:10; 1809:8 <b>Keeping (4)</b> 1696:10;1700:19; 1709:7;1712:18 <b>keeps (3)</b> 1777:17,17;1778:5 <b>kept (5)</b>	1668:10 <b>laborer (1)</b> 1729:4 <b>laborers (1)</b> 1683:7 <b>lack (1)</b> 1809:25	1761:14;1809:16 <b>lawyer (4)</b> 1674:8;1693:9; 1703:19;1747:25 <b>Lawyers (21)</b> 1686:21;1694:2,12; 1698:16;1725:25; 1730:20;1732:4,4,16;	1833:13 level (9) 1664:23;1670:6,14; 1671:21;1672:10; 1697:1;1701:21; 1712:13;1791:10 levels (1) 1769:10 Lewis (1)	likes (3) 1718:10;1728:18; 1795:13 limb (1) 1783:25 limit (1) 1666:10 limitations (1) 1793:25
1796:7;1800:10; 1809:8 <b>Keeping (4)</b> 1696:10;1700:19; 1709:7;1712:18 <b>keeps (3)</b> 1777:17,17;1778:5 <b>kept (5)</b> 1661:4;1686:11;	1668:10 <b>laborer (1)</b> 1729:4 <b>laborers (1)</b> 1683:7 <b>lack (1)</b> 1809:25 <b>lacking (1)</b>	1761:14;1809:16 <b>lawyer (4)</b> 1674:8;1693:9; 1703:19;1747:25 <b>Lawyers (21)</b> 1686:21;1694:2,12; 1698:16;1725:25; 1730:20;1732:4,4,16; 1747:8;1753:21;	1833:13 level (9) 1664:23;1670:6,14; 1671:21;1672:10; 1697:1;1701:21; 1712:13;1791:10 levels (1) 1769:10 Lewis (1) 1827:15	likes (3) 1718:10;1728:18; 1795:13 limb (1) 1783:25 limit (1) 1666:10 limitations (1) 1793:25 limited (4)
1796:7;1800:10; 1809:8 <b>Keeping (4)</b> 1696:10;1700:19; 1709:7;1712:18 <b>keeps (3)</b> 1777:17,17;1778:5 <b>kept (5)</b> 1661:4;1686:11; 1739:5;1752:15;	1668:10 <b>laborer (1)</b> 1729:4 <b>laborers (1)</b> 1683:7 <b>lack (1)</b> 1809:25 <b>lacking (1)</b> 1683:20	1761:14;1809:16 <b>lawyer (4)</b> 1674:8;1693:9; 1703:19;1747:25 <b>Lawyers (21)</b> 1686:21;1694:2,12; 1698:16;1725:25; 1730:20;1732:4,4,16; 1747:8;1753:21; 1757:6,9;1759:9;	1833:13 level (9) 1664:23;1670:6,14; 1671:21;1672:10; 1697:1;1701:21; 1712:13;1791:10 levels (1) 1769:10 Lewis (1) 1827:15 liability (1)	likes (3) 1718:10;1728:18; 1795:13 limb (1) 1783:25 limit (1) 1666:10 limitations (1) 1793:25 limited (4) 1767:7,10,15;
1796:7;1800:10; 1809:8 Keeping (4) 1696:10;1700:19; 1709:7;1712:18 keeps (3) 1777:17,17;1778:5 kept (5) 1661:4;1686:11; 1739:5;1752:15; 1803:11	1668:10 <b>laborer (1)</b> 1729:4 <b>laborers (1)</b> 1683:7 <b>lack (1)</b> 1809:25 <b>lacking (1)</b> 1683:20 <b>lacks (2)</b>	1761:14;1809:16 <b>lawyer (4)</b> 1674:8;1693:9; 1703:19;1747:25 <b>Lawyers (21)</b> 1686:21;1694:2,12; 1698:16;1725:25; 1730:20;1732:4,4,16; 1747:8;1753:21; 1757:6,9;1759:9; 1760:17,25;1764:4;	1833:13 level (9) 1664:23;1670:6,14; 1671:21;1672:10; 1697:1;1701:21; 1712:13;1791:10 levels (1) 1769:10 Lewis (1) 1827:15 liability (1) 1816:12	likes (3) 1718:10;1728:18; 1795:13 limb (1) 1783:25 limit (1) 1666:10 limitations (1) 1793:25 limited (4) 1767:7,10,15; 1801:3
1796:7;1800:10; 1809:8 Keeping (4) 1696:10;1700:19; 1709:7;1712:18 keeps (3) 1777:17,17;1778:5 kept (5) 1661:4;1686:11; 1739:5;1752:15; 1803:11 kid (7)	1668:10 <b>laborer (1)</b> 1729:4 <b>laborers (1)</b> 1683:7 <b>lack (1)</b> 1809:25 <b>lacking (1)</b> 1683:20 <b>lacks (2)</b> 1763:15;1777:16	1761:14;1809:16 <b>lawyer (4)</b> 1674:8;1693:9; 1703:19;1747:25 <b>Lawyers (21)</b> 1686:21;1694:2,12; 1698:16;1725:25; 1730:20;1732:4,4,16; 1747:8;1753:21; 1757:6,9;1759:9; 1760:17,25;1764:4; 1777:12;1786:5;	1833:13 level (9) 1664:23;1670:6,14; 1671:21;1672:10; 1697:1;1701:21; 1712:13;1791:10 levels (1) 1769:10 Lewis (1) 1827:15 liability (1) 1816:12 license (7)	likes (3) 1718:10;1728:18; 1795:13 limb (1) 1783:25 limit (1) 1666:10 limitations (1) 1793:25 limited (4) 1767:7,10,15; 1801:3 limits (1)
1796:7;1800:10; 1809:8 Keeping (4) 1696:10;1700:19; 1709:7;1712:18 keeps (3) 1777:17,17;1778:5 kept (5) 1661:4;1686:11; 1739:5;1752:15; 1803:11 kid (7) 1719:19,20;	1668:10 <b>laborer (1)</b> 1729:4 <b>laborers (1)</b> 1683:7 <b>lack (1)</b> 1809:25 <b>lacking (1)</b> 1683:20 <b>lacks (2)</b> 1763:15;1777:16 <b>Ladies (9)</b>	1761:14;1809:16 <b>lawyer (4)</b> 1674:8;1693:9; 1703:19;1747:25 <b>Lawyers (21)</b> 1686:21;1694:2,12; 1698:16;1725:25; 1730:20;1732:4,4,16; 1747:8;1753:21; 1757:6,9;1759:9; 1760:17,25;1764:4; 1777:12;1786:5; 1799:23;1815:21	1833:13 level (9) 1664:23;1670:6,14; 1671:21;1672:10; 1697:1;1701:21; 1712:13;1791:10 levels (1) 1769:10 Lewis (1) 1827:15 liability (1) 1816:12 license (7) 1703:6;1715:4,6;	likes (3) 1718:10;1728:18; 1795:13 limb (1) 1783:25 limit (1) 1666:10 limitations (1) 1793:25 limited (4) 1767:7,10,15; 1801:3 limits (1) 1782:10
1796:7;1800:10; 1809:8 Keeping (4) 1696:10;1700:19; 1709:7;1712:18 keeps (3) 1777:17,17;1778:5 kept (5) 1661:4;1686:11; 1739:5;1752:15; 1803:11 kid (7) 1719:19,20; 1750:24;1762:21;	1668:10 <b>laborer (1)</b> 1729:4 <b>laborers (1)</b> 1683:7 <b>lack (1)</b> 1809:25 <b>lacking (1)</b> 1683:20 <b>lacks (2)</b> 1763:15;1777:16 <b>Ladies (9)</b> 1685:12;1686:8;	1761:14;1809:16 <b>lawyer (4)</b> 1674:8;1693:9; 1703:19;1747:25 <b>Lawyers (21)</b> 1686:21;1694:2,12; 1698:16;1725:25; 1730:20;1732:4,4,16; 1747:8;1753:21; 1757:6,9;1759:9; 1760:17,25;1764:4; 1777:12;1786:5; 1799:23;1815:21 <b>layers (1)</b>	1833:13 level (9) 1664:23;1670:6,14; 1671:21;1672:10; 1697:1;1701:21; 1712:13;1791:10 levels (1) 1769:10 Lewis (1) 1827:15 liability (1) 1816:12 license (7) 1703:6;1715:4,6; 1728:16;1778:18,20,	likes (3) 1718:10;1728:18; 1795:13 limb (1) 1783:25 limit (1) 1666:10 limitations (1) 1793:25 limited (4) 1767:7,10,15; 1801:3 limits (1) 1782:10 line (16)
1796:7;1800:10; 1809:8 Keeping (4) 1696:10;1700:19; 1709:7;1712:18 keeps (3) 1777:17,17;1778:5 kept (5) 1661:4;1686:11; 1739:5;1752:15; 1803:11 kid (7) 1719:19,20; 1750:24;1762:21; 1796:24,24,25	1668:10 <b>laborer (1)</b> 1729:4 <b>laborers (1)</b> 1683:7 <b>lack (1)</b> 1809:25 <b>lacking (1)</b> 1683:20 <b>lacks (2)</b> 1763:15;1777:16 <b>Ladies (9)</b> 1685:12;1686:8; 1700:23;1709:17;	1761:14;1809:16 <b>lawyer (4)</b> 1674:8;1693:9; 1703:19;1747:25 <b>Lawyers (21)</b> 1686:21;1694:2,12; 1698:16;1725:25; 1730:20;1732:4,4,16; 1747:8;1753:21; 1757:6,9;1759:9; 1760:17,25;1764:4; 1777:12;1786:5; 1799:23;1815:21 <b>layers (1)</b> 1786:8	1833:13 level (9) 1664:23;1670:6,14; 1671:21;1672:10; 1697:1;1701:21; 1712:13;1791:10 levels (1) 1769:10 Lewis (1) 1827:15 liability (1) 1816:12 license (7) 1703:6;1715:4,6; 1728:16;1778:18,20, 23	likes (3) 1718:10;1728:18; 1795:13 limb (1) 1783:25 limit (1) 1666:10 limitations (1) 1793:25 limited (4) 1767:7,10,15; 1801:3 limits (1) 1782:10 line (16) 1662:1;1672:24,25;
1796:7;1800:10; 1809:8 Keeping (4) 1696:10;1700:19; 1709:7;1712:18 keeps (3) 1777:17,17;1778:5 kept (5) 1661:4;1686:11; 1739:5;1752:15; 1803:11 kid (7) 1719:19,20; 1750:24;1762:21; 1796:24,24,25 kidding (3)	1668:10 <b>laborer (1)</b> 1729:4 <b>laborers (1)</b> 1683:7 <b>lack (1)</b> 1809:25 <b>lacking (1)</b> 1683:20 <b>lacks (2)</b> 1763:15;1777:16 <b>Ladies (9)</b> 1685:12;1686:8; 1700:23;1709:17; 1724:5;1769:20;	1761:14;1809:16 <b>lawyer (4)</b> 1674:8;1693:9; 1703:19;1747:25 <b>Lawyers (21)</b> 1686:21;1694:2,12; 1698:16;1725:25; 1730:20;1732:4,4,16; 1747:8;1753:21; 1757:6,9;1759:9; 1760:17,25;1764:4; 1777:12;1786:5; 1799:23;1815:21 <b>layers (1)</b> 1786:8 <b>leader (2)</b>	1833:13 level (9) 1664:23;1670:6,14; 1671:21;1672:10; 1697:1;1701:21; 1712:13;1791:10 levels (1) 1769:10 Lewis (1) 1827:15 liability (1) 1816:12 license (7) 1703:6;1715:4,6; 1728:16;1778:18,20, 23 lie (1)	likes (3) 1718:10;1728:18; 1795:13 limb (1) 1783:25 limit (1) 1666:10 limitations (1) 1793:25 limited (4) 1767:7,10,15; 1801:3 limits (1) 1782:10 line (16) 1662:1;1672:24,25; 1674:10;1678:12,22;
1796:7;1800:10; 1809:8 Keeping (4) 1696:10;1700:19; 1709:7;1712:18 keeps (3) 1777:17,17;1778:5 kept (5) 1661:4;1686:11; 1739:5;1752:15; 1803:11 kid (7) 1719:19,20; 1750:24;1762:21; 1796:24,24,25 kidding (3) 1693:1,2;1754:18	1668:10 <b>laborer (1)</b> 1729:4 <b>laborers (1)</b> 1683:7 <b>lack (1)</b> 1809:25 <b>lacking (1)</b> 1683:20 <b>lacks (2)</b> 1763:15;1777:16 <b>Ladies (9)</b> 1685:12;1686:8; 1700:23;1709:17; 1724:5;1769:20; 1807:18;1808:24;	1761:14;1809:16 <b>lawyer (4)</b> 1674:8;1693:9; 1703:19;1747:25 <b>Lawyers (21)</b> 1686:21;1694:2,12; 1698:16;1725:25; 1730:20;1732:4,4,16; 1747:8;1753:21; 1757:6,9;1759:9; 1760:17,25;1764:4; 1777:12;1786:5; 1799:23;1815:21 <b>layers (1)</b> 1786:8 <b>leader (2)</b> 1728:18;1733:18	1833:13 level (9) 1664:23;1670:6,14; 1671:21;1672:10; 1697:1;1701:21; 1712:13;1791:10 levels (1) 1769:10 Lewis (1) 1827:15 liability (1) 1816:12 license (7) 1703:6;1715:4,6; 1728:16;1778:18,20, 23 lie (1) 1779:2	likes (3) 1718:10;1728:18; 1795:13 limb (1) 1783:25 limit (1) 1666:10 limitations (1) 1793:25 limited (4) 1767:7,10,15; 1801:3 limits (1) 1782:10 line (16) 1662:1;1672:24,25; 1674:10;1678:12,22; 1679:22;1680:10;
1796:7;1800:10; 1809:8 Keeping (4) 1696:10;1700:19; 1709:7;1712:18 keeps (3) 1777:17,17;1778:5 kept (5) 1661:4;1686:11; 1739:5;1752:15; 1803:11 kid (7) 1719:19,20; 1750:24;1762:21; 1796:24,24,25 kidding (3) 1693:1,2;1754:18 killed (1)	1668:10 <b>laborer (1)</b> 1729:4 <b>laborers (1)</b> 1683:7 <b>lack (1)</b> 1809:25 <b>lacking (1)</b> 1683:20 <b>lacks (2)</b> 1763:15;1777:16 <b>Ladies (9)</b> 1685:12;1686:8; 1700:23;1709:17; 1724:5;1769:20; 1807:18;1808:24; 1833:12	1761:14;1809:16 <b>lawyer (4)</b> 1674:8;1693:9; 1703:19;1747:25 <b>Lawyers (21)</b> 1686:21;1694:2,12; 1698:16;1725:25; 1730:20;1732:4,4,16; 1747:8;1753:21; 1757:6,9;1759:9; 1760:17,25;1764:4; 1777:12;1786:5; 1799:23;1815:21 <b>layers (1)</b> 1786:8 <b>leader (2)</b> 1728:18;1733:18 <b>learn (5)</b>	1833:13 level (9) 1664:23;1670:6,14; 1671:21;1672:10; 1697:1;1701:21; 1712:13;1791:10 levels (1) 1769:10 Lewis (1) 1827:15 liability (1) 1816:12 license (7) 1703:6;1715:4,6; 1728:16;1778:18,20, 23 lie (1) 1779:2 life (77)	likes (3) 1718:10;1728:18; 1795:13 limb (1) 1783:25 limit (1) 1666:10 limitations (1) 1793:25 limited (4) 1767:7,10,15; 1801:3 limits (1) 1782:10 line (16) 1662:1;1672:24,25; 1674:10;1678:12,22; 1679:22;1680:10; 1681:8,21;1682:6;
1796:7;1800:10; 1809:8 Keeping (4) 1696:10;1700:19; 1709:7;1712:18 keeps (3) 1777:17,17;1778:5 kept (5) 1661:4;1686:11; 1739:5;1752:15; 1803:11 kid (7) 1719:19,20; 1750:24;1762:21; 1796:24,24,25 kidding (3) 1693:1,2;1754:18 killed (1) 1802:25	1668:10 <b>laborer (1)</b> 1729:4 <b>laborers (1)</b> 1683:7 <b>lack (1)</b> 1809:25 <b>lacking (1)</b> 1683:20 <b>lacks (2)</b> 1763:15;1777:16 <b>Ladies (9)</b> 1685:12;1686:8; 1700:23;1709:17; 1724:5;1769:20; 1807:18;1808:24; 1833:12 <b>lambasted (2)</b>	1761:14;1809:16 <b>lawyer (4)</b> 1674:8;1693:9; 1703:19;1747:25 <b>Lawyers (21)</b> 1686:21;1694:2,12; 1698:16;1725:25; 1730:20;1732:4,4,16; 1747:8;1753:21; 1757:6,9;1759:9; 1760:17,25;1764:4; 1777:12;1786:5; 1799:23;1815:21 <b>layers (1)</b> 1786:8 <b>leader (2)</b> 1728:18;1733:18 <b>learn (5)</b> 1696:18;1701:16;	1833:13 level (9) 1664:23;1670:6,14; 1671:21;1672:10; 1697:1;1701:21; 1712:13;1791:10 levels (1) 1769:10 Lewis (1) 1827:15 liability (1) 1816:12 license (7) 1703:6;1715:4,6; 1728:16;1778:18,20, 23 lie (1) 1779:2 life (77) 1689:19;1705:10;	likes (3) 1718:10;1728:18; 1795:13 limb (1) 1783:25 limit (1) 1666:10 limitations (1) 1793:25 limited (4) 1767:7,10,15; 1801:3 limits (1) 1782:10 line (16) 1662:1;1672:24,25; 1674:10;1678:12,22; 1679:22;1680:10; 1681:8,21;1682:6; 1683:1,3,25;1684:6;
1796:7;1800:10; 1809:8 Keeping (4) 1696:10;1700:19; 1709:7;1712:18 keeps (3) 1777:17,17;1778:5 kept (5) 1661:4;1686:11; 1739:5;1752:15; 1803:11 kid (7) 1719:19,20; 1750:24;1762:21; 1796:24,24,25 kidding (3) 1693:1,2;1754:18 killed (1)	1668:10 <b>laborer (1)</b> 1729:4 <b>laborers (1)</b> 1683:7 <b>lack (1)</b> 1809:25 <b>lacking (1)</b> 1683:20 <b>lacks (2)</b> 1763:15;1777:16 <b>Ladies (9)</b> 1685:12;1686:8; 1700:23;1709:17; 1724:5;1769:20; 1807:18;1808:24; 1833:12	1761:14;1809:16 <b>lawyer (4)</b> 1674:8;1693:9; 1703:19;1747:25 <b>Lawyers (21)</b> 1686:21;1694:2,12; 1698:16;1725:25; 1730:20;1732:4,4,16; 1747:8;1753:21; 1757:6,9;1759:9; 1760:17,25;1764:4; 1777:12;1786:5; 1799:23;1815:21 <b>layers (1)</b> 1786:8 <b>leader (2)</b> 1728:18;1733:18 <b>learn (5)</b>	1833:13 level (9) 1664:23;1670:6,14; 1671:21;1672:10; 1697:1;1701:21; 1712:13;1791:10 levels (1) 1769:10 Lewis (1) 1827:15 liability (1) 1816:12 license (7) 1703:6;1715:4,6; 1728:16;1778:18,20, 23 lie (1) 1779:2 life (77)	likes (3) 1718:10;1728:18; 1795:13 limb (1) 1783:25 limit (1) 1666:10 limitations (1) 1793:25 limited (4) 1767:7,10,15; 1801:3 limits (1) 1782:10 line (16) 1662:1;1672:24,25; 1674:10;1678:12,22; 1679:22;1680:10; 1681:8,21;1682:6;

1676:22:1745:1 Lipton (10) 1704:4;1779:15; lives (6) 1782:2,14,18; 1785:14,23;1786:4; 1788:7;1813:20 list (6) 1662:17;1672:21; 1673:17;1674:5; 1694:11:1714:15 listen (12) lobe (2) 1687:7:1699:6: 1704:17;1708:2,8; 1736:7;1762:3,25; 1764:2;1769:9; 1795:9;1828:25 listening (3) 1757:1,2;1761:13 listens (1) long (9) 1663:25 literally (5) 1686:14;1691:2; 1697:24;1704:20; 1720:11 litigated (1) 1698:17 litigation (5) 1692:25;1701:14; 1702:20;1704:25; 1719:25 little (16) 1671:6:1698:18: 1702:4:1707:22; 1717:18;1720:19; 1725:10;1730:19; 1738:5;1740:14,14; 1764:6;1766:11; 1785:4:1802:4: 1822:20 Live (66) 1678:7,17;1679:23; 1686:23;1687:13,14, 17,19,20;1688:3,4,25; 1691:8;1692:24; 1697:15;1711:4,5,21; 1717:24;1721:15; 1722:1,7;1723:10; 1724:24;1725:21; 1726:1;1727:10,10; 1730:20;1731:11; 1732:4,16:1734:16; 1735:5;1736:10; 1737:15;1742:9; 1747:9,20;1753:21; 1757:5,6;1761:1,1; 1762:15;1764:4; 1765:9,13;1776:2; 1777:12,23;1789:17; 1799:8,10,16,23; 1802:2,4,11;1805:2; 1807:1;1816:7,13; 1818:2,6,7

25

1710:24:1716:20; 1784:2,10;1789:1; 1798:11 1799:3,5;1806:20; 1807:4,7;1817:4,10, 1689:8;1690:24; 11,15,16,21;1819:22 losses (1) 1692:18;1717:12; 1787:23;1807:5 1822:17 living (7) lost (13) 1691:12;1707:5; 1715:14:1727:4; 1717:5,12,13; 1798:20;1799:6; 1741:20:1823:10 1803:18;1804:2,15; 1806:22;1807:10; 1788:2;1794:10 1819:16,24;1821:13; lobes (10) 1823:12 1787:10,11,12,13, lot (27) 17;1789:10,10,21,23, 1666:25;1676:10; 1679:4;1688:9; locked (1) 1691:12;1700:20; 1791:6 1710:2;1725:9; 1726:12;1733:8; 1665:2;1667:17; 1735:20;1737:18; 1683:17;1691:13; 1738:10:1747:19: 1764:5,6;1768:18; 1738:17;1742:19; 1784:1;1796:6; 1773:3;1774:1; 1775:1;1785:11; 1806:22 longer (4) 1789:1;1798:18; 1729:14;1745:17; 1800:9,22;1801:2; 1799:10;1818:8 1803:1 lottery (1) look (41) 1664:13;1697:16, 1808:6 17,25;1707:10,18,19; Lou (1) 1708:15:1709:12; 1800:8 1710:15;1728:11; Lou's (10) 1730:3,7,11,15,25; 1679:9;1680:11; 1731:2;1733:4; 1681:1,4,10,16,24; 1749:17;1756:14; 1682:2,8;1684:12 1760:16,18;1761:19, love (5) 1729:7;1764:22,22, 20;1763:1;1768:13; 1778:16;1779:1; 23:1766:14 1782:24;1784:7; loved (2) 1692:14:1730:12 1785:1,1,16;1796:24; 1797:9;1802:24; loving (1) 1804:19;1805:9; 1802:14 1806:11,11,11 lower (2) looked (13) 1698:8;1792:7 1679:14;1684:7; Lubliner (8) 1719:19;1728:7; 1704:3;1714:6; 1717:25;1718:7,20; 1741:5;1748:9; 1753:23;1756:15,16; 1794:6,8;1813:20 luck (1) 1763:3;1791:5; 1796:19,25 1802:15 looking (8) Luckily (3) 1732:14,15;1735:1 1681:22;1768:24; lucky (4) 1780:12,14,15,17; 1782:20;1804:25 1803:1,1,1;1804:8 looks (1) lunch (4) 1748:8 1677:9;1769:21; loss (37) 1774:13;1830:20 1696:17;1705:10, lung (3) 15,20;1707:2,4,24,24; 1737:7;1795:2,4 1709:4:1710:22,25: lving (1) 1722:8,11;1723:12; 1737:19 1730:7,11;1736:5,5,7; 1782:6,8;1783:14;

Μ machine (4) 1692:12;1718:16; 1778:8;1802:6 Macy's (1) 1800:21 magic (1) 1723:1 magical (1) 1809:22 magnanimous (3) 1725:21;1731:12; 1732:21 magnetic (1) 1733:5 magnetism (1) 1733:20 main (3) 1737:24:1764:7; 1832.22 maintain (1) 1692:9 maintenance (1) 1668:24 major (1) 1785:12 majority (1) 1785:15 makes (9) 1663:6;1733:6; 1734:8;1772:14; 1789:13,16;1792:9; 1796:9;1802:3 making (13) 1698:1;1708:12; 1709:13;1734:2; 1753:12;1768:19; 1774:25:1781:15: 1816:15:1817:22: 1819:11;1821:17,17 male (1) 1744:10 malinger (2) 1749:12;1751:1 malingerer (6) 1737:20;1746:19; 1748:3;1750:18; 1751:25;1765:11 malingering (15) 1697:11;1701:24; 1737:18;1751:21; 1752:23;1753:7,20, 22,25;1754:5,24; 1755:3,15;1774:23; 1776:7 man (33) 1692:7;1693:14; 1695:9;1698:20,22; 1701:8;1703:9; 1705:22;1707:5,15; 1708:2,23;1711:23;

December 5, 2019

1713:13,15;1715:3; 1716:20:1722:3: 1723:20;1727:11,12, 15;1728:14;1732:8; 1733:4,10:1734:17: 1775:5;1776:15; 1778:2;1788:18; 1796:2;1800:12 management (1) 1823:7 manager (1) 1684:13 manner (3) 1687:1;1705:19; 1810:3 man's (1) 1701:11 many (16) 1680:11,14;1684:5; 1718:16,16;1729:3; 1747:20;1749:21,22; 1755:20:1768:10: 1796:2;1819:13,13; 1821:18:1823:15 mark (114) 1666:22;1668:21; 1669:1,3,16;1670:9, 14;1677:14;1679:8,9, 10,11,14;1680:3,6; 1681:9;1683:22,24; 1684:2,4,7,13,20,22; 1685:6:1727:20: 1728:7:1730:7; 1731:20;1732:14,25; 1734:3,5;1735:13,21; 1737:9,22,23;1738:9; 1739:1:1740:9: 1741:5;1746:1,20; 1747:18;1750:10; 1753:12:1755:12: 1756:23,24;1757:16, 22;1758:14,15; 1760:4,7;1761:6; 1763:4,14,16,17,21, 21;1766:23;1767:7; 1769:1;1772:4,5,17; 1774:15,18;1775:11, 24;1776:5,20,25; 1777:11,11,16; 1778:17;1786:25; 1787:1,12,16;1789:6; 1790:25;1791:16; 1792:10;1793:10,14, 18,21,22;1794:22; 1795:8,10,13,13,14; 1796:2,12,20;1797:9, 15;1798:11,11; 1800:2;1801:5,16; 1802:23;1805:16,18; 1806:12:1815:3 marked (2) 1669:20;1831:2 marketing (5)

lived (3)

1681:3;1683:16;	1708:19;1
1684:16,18;1800:19	1719:1,2;
Mark's (14)	1731:25;1
1668:18;1734:11;	1749:19,1
1744:3;1750:17;	1754:16,1
1755:8;1763:13;	1755:2;17
1776:8;1779:4;	1768:18;1
1783:8;1784:4;	1775:1;17
1788:22;1791:20;	1780:8;17
1792:24;1804:15	1811:16;1
Maryland (2)	1818:7;18
1740:18;1747:1	meaning (4)
Mass (2)	1698:8;17
1780:23,25	1791:17;1
massive (1)	means (21)
1713:19	1661:22;1
massively (1)	1744:12;1
1781:3	23;1754:2
master (1)	1766:21;1
1712:19	1769:23;1
match (2)	1780:20;1
1696:4;1723:24	1795:3,3;
material (4)	15;1812:1
1810:19,20,22,25	meant (2)
matter (9)	1759:11;1
1687:2;1698:17;	meantime (
1699:24;1731:24;	1720:2
1758:24;1774:8;	Media (2)
1809:15;1810:19;	1679:11;1
1827:23	Medicaid (1
matters (4)	1660:18
1705:5;1813:10,11;	medical (48
1705:5;1813:10,11; 1814:3	<b>medical (48</b> 1659:14,2
1814:3 <b>may (39)</b> 1662:18;1668:3;	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1
1814:3 <b>may (39)</b> 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18;	1659:14,2 3,17;1668
1814:3 <b>may (39)</b> 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14;	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10
1814:3 <b>may (39)</b> 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14; 1699:5,25;1717:19;	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10 1694:6,17
1814:3 <b>may (39)</b> 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14; 1699:5,25;1717:19; 1722:3;1746:4;	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10 1694:6,17 1698:14,2
1814:3 may (39) 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14; 1699:5,25;1717:19; 1722:3;1746:4; 1753:4;1764:19;	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10 1694:6,17 1698:14,2 1710:17;1
1814:3 may (39) 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14; 1699:5,25;1717:19; 1722:3;1746:4; 1753:4;1764:19; 1809:12,17,18;	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10 1694:6,17 1698:14,2 1710:17;1 1714:23;1
1814:3 may (39) 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14; 1699:5,25;1717:19; 1722:3;1746:4; 1753:4;1764:19; 1809:12,17,18; 1811:2;1812:19,22;	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10 1694:6,17 1698:14,2 1710:17;1 1714:23;1 1719:11;1
1814:3 may (39) 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14; 1699:5,25;1717:19; 1722:3;1746:4; 1753:4;1764:19; 1809:12,17,18; 1811:2;1812:19,22; 1813:4,5,10;1814:12,	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10 1694:6,17 1698:14,2 1710:17;1 1714:23;1 1719:11;1 1736:25;1
1814:3 may (39) 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14; 1699:5,25;1717:19; 1722:3;1746:4; 1753:4;1764:19; 1809:12,17,18; 1811:2;1812:19,22; 1813:4,5,10;1814:12, 15;1815:18,19,23;	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10 1694:6,17 1698:14,2 1710:17;1 1714:23;1 1719:11;1 1736:25;1 1758:2;17
1814:3 may (39) 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14; 1699:5,25;1717:19; 1722:3;1746:4; 1753:4;1764:19; 1809:12,17,18; 1811:2;1812:19,22; 1813:4,5,10;1814:12, 15;1815:18,19,23; 1817:16;1819:23;	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10 1694:6,17 1698:14,2 1710:17;1 1714:23;1 1719:11; 1736:25;1 1758:2;17 1773:16;1
1814:3 may (39) 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14; 1699:5,25;1717:19; 1722:3;1746:4; 1753:4;1764:19; 1809:12,17,18; 1811:2;1812:19,22; 1813:4,5,10;1814:12, 15;1815:18,19,23; 1817:16;1819:23; 1821:6,23;1822:1;	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10 1694:6,17 1698:14,2 1710:17;1 1714:23;1 1719:11;1 1736:25;1 1758:2;17 1773:16;1 1790:9;18
1814:3 may (39) 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14; 1699:5,25;1717:19; 1722:3;1746:4; 1753:4;1764:19; 1809:12,17,18; 1811:2;1812:19,22; 1813:4,5,10;1814:12, 15;1815:18,19,23; 1817:16;1819:23; 1821:6,23;1822:1; 1823:9;1824:23;	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10 1694:6,17 1698:14,2 1710:17;1 1714:23;1 1719:11;1 1736:25;1 1758:2;17 1773:16;1 1790:9;18 1804:20;1
1814:3 may (39) 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14; 1699:5,25;1717:19; 1722:3;1746:4; 1753:4;1764:19; 1809:12,17,18; 1811:2;1812:19,22; 1813:4,5,10;1814:12, 15;1815:18,19,23; 1817:16;1819:23; 1821:6,23;1822:1; 1823:9;1824:23; 1827:5,7,7;1829:22;	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10 1694:6,17 1698:14,2 1710:17;1 1714:23;1 1719:11;1 1736:25;1 1758:2;17 1773:16;1 1790:9;18 1804:20;1 1807:11;1
1814:3 may (39) 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14; 1699:5,25;1717:19; 1722:3;1746:4; 1753:4;1764:19; 1809:12,17,18; 1811:2;1812:19,22; 1813:4,5,10;1814:12, 15;1815:18,19,23; 1817:16;1819:23; 1821:6,23;1822:1; 1823:9;1824:23; 1827:5,7,7;1829:22; 1831:12	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10 1694:6,17 1698:14,2 1710:17;1 1714:23;1 1719:11;1 1736:25;1 1758:2;17 1773:16;1 1790:9;18 1804:20;1 1807:11;1 1818:19,2
1814:3 may (39) 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14; 1699:5,25;1717:19; 1722:3;1746:4; 1753:4;1764:19; 1809:12,17,18; 1811:2;1812:19,22; 1813:4,5,10;1814:12, 15;1815:18,19,23; 1817:16;1819:23; 1821:6,23;1822:1; 1823:9;1824:23; 1827:5,7,7;1829:22; 1831:12 maybe (21)	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10 1694:6,17 1698:14,2 1710:17;1 1714:23;1 1719:11;1 1736:25;17 1773:16;1 1790:9;18 1804:20;1 1807:11;1 1818:19,2 1819:5;18
1814:3 may (39) 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14; 1699:5,25;1717:19; 1722:3;1746:4; 1753:4;1764:19; 1809:12,17,18; 1811:2;1812:19,22; 1813:4,5,10;1814:12, 15;1815:18,19,23; 1817:16;1819:23; 1821:6,23;1822:1; 1823:9;1824:23; 1827:5,7,7;1829:22; 1831:12 maybe (21) 1664:5,5;1673:4;	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10 1694:6,17 1698:14,2 1710:17;1 1714:23;1 1719:11;1 1736:25;11 1758:2;17 1773:16;1 1790:9;18 1804:20;1 1807:11;1 1818:19,2 1819:5;18 22
1814:3 may (39) 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14; 1699:5,25;1717:19; 1722:3;1746:4; 1753:4;1764:19; 1809:12,17,18; 1811:2;1812:19,22; 1813:4,5,10;1814:12, 15;1815:18,19,23; 1817:16;1819:23; 1821:6,23;1822:1; 1823:9;1824:23; 1827:5,7,7;1829:22; 1831:12 maybe (21) 1664:5,5;1673:4; 1709:6;1731:4;	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10 1694:6,17 1698:14,2 1710:17;1 1714:23;1 1719:11;1 1736:25;11 1758:2;17 1773:16;1 1790:9;18 1804:20;1 1807:11;1 1818:19,2 1819:5;18 22 medically (4
1814:3 may (39) 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14; 1699:5,25;1717:19; 1722:3;1746:4; 1753:4;1764:19; 1809:12,17,18; 1811:2;1812:19,22; 1813:4,5,10;1814:12, 15;1815:18,19,23; 1817:16;1819:23; 1821:6,23;1822:1; 1823:9;1824:23; 1827:5,7,7;1829:22; 1831:12 maybe (21) 1664:5,5;1673:4; 1709:6;1731:4; 1735:5;1740:14;	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10 1694:6,17 1698:14,2 1710:17;1 1714:23;1 1719:11;1 1736:25;11 1758:2;17 1773:16;1 1790:9;18 1804:20;1 1807:11;1 1818:19,2 1819:5;18 22 <b>medically (</b> 1695:8;16
1814:3 may (39) 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14; 1699:5,25;1717:19; 1722:3;1746:4; 1753:4;1764:19; 1809:12,17,18; 1811:2;1812:19,22; 1813:4,5,10;1814:12, 15;1815:18,19,23; 1817:16;1819:23; 1821:6,23;1822:1; 1823:9;1824:23; 1827:5,7,7;1829:22; 1831:12 maybe (21) 1664:5,5;1673:4; 1709:6;1731:4; 1735:5;1740:14; 1747:2;1764:9,11,13,	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10 1694:6,17 1698:14,2 1710:17;1 1714:23;1 1719:11;1 1736:25;1 1758:2;17 1773:16;1 1790:9;18 1804:20;1 1807:11;1 1818:19,2 1819:5;18 22 <b>medically (</b> 1695:8;16 1697:10;1
1814:3 may (39) 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14; 1699:5,25;1717:19; 1722:3;1746:4; 1753:4;1764:19; 1809:12,17,18; 1811:2;1812:19,22; 1813:4,5,10;1814:12, 15;1815:18,19,23; 1817:16;1819:23; 1821:6,23;1822:1; 1823:9;1824:23; 1827:5,7,7;1829:22; 1831:12 maybe (21) 1664:5,5;1673:4; 1709:6;1731:4; 1735:5;1740:14; 1747:2;1764:9,11,13, 14,14,17,18,24;	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10 1694:6,17 1698:14,2 1710:17;1 1714:23;1 1719:11;1 1736:25;1 1758:2;17 1773:16;1 1790:9;18 1804:20;1 1807:11;1 1818:19,2 1819:5;18 22 <b>medically (</b> 1695:8;16 1697:10;1 <b>medically-i</b>
1814:3 may (39) 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14; 1699:5,25;1717:19; 1722:3;1746:4; 1753:4;1764:19; 1809:12,17,18; 1811:2;1812:19,22; 1813:4,5,10;1814:12, 15;1815:18,19,23; 1817:16;1819:23; 1821:6,23;1822:1; 1823:9;1824:23; 1827:5,7,7;1829:22; 1831:12 maybe (21) 1664:5,5;1673:4; 1709:6;1731:4; 1735:5;1740:14; 1747:2;1764:9,11,13, 14,14,17,18,24; 1765:22;1766:13;	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10 1694:6,17 1698:14,2 1710:17;1 1714:23;1 1719:11;1 1736:25;1 1758:2;17 1773:16;1 1790:9;18 1804:20;1 1807:11;1 1818:19,2 1819:5;18 22 <b>medically (</b> 1695:8;16 1697:10;1 <b>medically-i</b> 1737:9
1814:3 may (39) 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14; 1699:5,25;1717:19; 1722:3;1746:4; 1753:4;1764:19; 1809:12,17,18; 1811:2;1812:19,22; 1813:4,5,10;1814:12, 15;1815:18,19,23; 1817:16;1819:23; 1821:6,23;1822:1; 1823:9;1824:23; 1827:5,7,7;1829:22; 1831:12 maybe (21) 1664:5,5;1673:4; 1709:6;1731:4; 1735:5;1740:14; 1747:2;1764:9,11,13, 14,14,17,18,24; 1765:22;1766:13; 1767:7;1770:25;	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10 1694:6,17 1698:14,2 1710:17;1 1714:23;1 1719:11;1 1736:25;1 1758:2;17 1773:16;1 1790:9;18 1804:20;1 1807:11;1 1818:19,2 1819:5;18 22 <b>medically</b> ( 1695:8;16 1697:10;1 <b>medically-i</b> 1737:9 <b>Medicare</b> (1
1814:3 may (39) 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14; 1699:5,25;1717:19; 1722:3;1746:4; 1753:4;1764:19; 1809:12,17,18; 1811:2;1812:19,22; 1813:4,5,10;1814:12, 15;1815:18,19,23; 1817:16;1819:23; 1821:6,23;1822:1; 1823:9;1824:23; 1827:5,7,7;1829:22; 1831:12 maybe (21) 1664:5,5;1673:4; 1709:6;1731:4; 1735:5;1740:14; 1747:2;1764:9,11,13, 14,14,17,18,24; 1765:22;1766:13; 1767:7;1770:25; 1778:24	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10 1694:6,17 1698:14,2 1710:17;1 1714:23;1 1719:11;1 1736:25;1 1758:2;17 1773:16;1 1790:9;18 1804:20;1 1807:11;1 1818:19,2 1819:5;18 22 <b>medically</b> ( 1697:10;1 <b>medically-i</b> 1737:9 <b>Medicare</b> (1 1660:17
1814:3 may (39) 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14; 1699:5,25;1717:19; 1722:3;1746:4; 1753:4;1764:19; 1809:12,17,18; 1811:2;1812:19,22; 1813:4,5,10;1814:12, 15;1815:18,19,23; 1817:16;1819:23; 1821:6,23;1822:1; 1823:9;1824:23; 1827:5,7,7;1829:22; 1831:12 maybe (21) 1664:5,5;1673:4; 1709:6;1731:4; 1735:5;1740:14; 1747:2;1764:9,11,13, 14,14,17,18,24; 1765:22;1766:13; 1767:7;1770:25; 1778:24 meals (1)	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10 1694:6,17 1698:14,2 1710:17;1 1714:23;1 1719:11;1 1736:25;1 1758:2;17 1773:16;1 1790:9;18 1804:20;1 1807:11;1 1818:19,2 1819:5;18 22 <b>medically (</b> 1695:8;16 1697:10;1 <b>medically-i</b> 1737:9 <b>Medicare (</b> 1660:17 <b>medication</b>
1814:3 may (39) 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14; 1699:5,25;1717:19; 1722:3;1746:4; 1753:4;1764:19; 1809:12,17,18; 1811:2;1812:19,22; 1813:4,5,10;1814:12, 15;1815:18,19,23; 1817:16;1819:23; 1821:6,23;1822:1; 1823:9;1824:23; 1827:5,7,7;1829:22; 1831:12 maybe (21) 1664:5,5;1673:4; 1709:6;1731:4; 1735:5;1740:14; 1747:2;1764:9,11,13, 14,14,17,18,24; 1765:22;1766:13; 1767:7;1770:25; 1778:24 meals (1) 1766:9	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10 1694:6,17 1698:14,2 1710:17;1 1714:23;1 1714:23;1 1758:2;17 1758:2;17 1773:16;1 1790:9;18 1804:20;1 1807:11;1 1818:19,2 1819:5;18 22 <b>medically</b> ( 1695:8;16 1697:10;1 <b>medically-i</b> 1737:9 <b>Medicare</b> (1 1660:17 <b>medication</b> 1700:4;17
1814:3 may (39) 1662:18;1668:3; 1672:21;1673:18; 1686:24;1690:14; 1699:5,25;1717:19; 1722:3;1746:4; 1753:4;1764:19; 1809:12,17,18; 1811:2;1812:19,22; 1813:4,5,10;1814:12, 15;1815:18,19,23; 1817:16;1819:23; 1821:6,23;1822:1; 1823:9;1824:23; 1827:5,7,7;1829:22; 1831:12 maybe (21) 1664:5,5;1673:4; 1709:6;1731:4; 1735:5;1740:14; 1747:2;1764:9,11,13, 14,14,17,18,24; 1765:22;1766:13; 1767:7;1770:25; 1778:24 meals (1)	1659:14,2 3,17;1668 1670:19;1 1688:1;16 1690:8,10 1694:6,17 1698:14,2 1710:17;1 1714:23;1 1719:11;1 1736:25;1 1758:2;17 1773:16;1 1790:9;18 1804:20;1 1807:11;1 1818:19,2 1819:5;18 22 <b>medically (</b> 1695:8;16 1697:10;1 <b>medically-i</b> 1737:9 <b>Medicare (</b> 1660:17 <b>medication</b>

708:19:1716:1; 23:1802:7 719:1,2;1725:22; medicine (8) 1689:25;1696:11, 731:25:1734:22; 749:19,19;1752:11; 12,13,13;1788:21; 754:16,17,24; 1795:9;1824:13 755:2;1759:8; medicines (1) 768:18;1774:17; 1818:19 775:1:1778:4; meds (4) 1764:11,13,15; 780:8;1788:20; 811:16:1815:8,24; 1766:9 818:7;1822:20 meet (3) 1729:22;1760:7; aning (4) 698:8;1787:19; 1761:6 791:17;1792:10 meeting (4) 1756:24;1757:4; 661:22;1664:25; 1758:20;1824:11 744:12;1752:1,13, member (1) 3;1754:24;1755:19; 1797:18 membrane (1) 766:21;1768:24; 769:23;1777:10; 1781:6 780:20;1787:22; membranes (1) 795:3,3;1811:10,13, 1786:5 5;1812:15;1823:22 memorize (1) 1804:1 759:11;1760:18 memory (8) 1701:24;1749:2; antime (1) 1787:15;1788:4,5; 1789:1,2,11 679:11;1800:11 men (1) dicaid (1) 1757:23 mental (3) dical (48) 1799:13:1805:19: 659:14,24:1660:3. 1818:15 ,17;1668:10: mentally (1) 670:19;1671:14; 1733:2 688:1;1689:23; mention (1) 690:8,10:1693:5,6; 1667:24 694:6,17;1695:24; mentioned (1) 698:14,24;1700:3; 1788:12 710:17:1711:22; merely (1) 714:23;1716:13; 1829:11 719:11:1724:4; mesh (3) 1745:9,16,18 736:25;1754:15; 758:2;1772:7; messed (1) 1782:13 773:16;1777:5,8,8; 790:9;1803:4; met (6) 804:20;1805:3; 1688:11;1693:12; 1701:13;1722:2; 807:11;1813:19; 818:19,20,24; 1756:7,22 819:5;1822:8,11,12, Michael (1) 1813:20 dically (4) mid (2) 695:8;1696:16; 1780:18,18 middle (1) 697:10;1698:3 dically-induced (1) 1764:23 Midline (5) 1780:9,12,13,19; dicare (1) 1781:11 dication (5) might (14) 700:4;1793:18; 1664:2;1668:2; 1669:10;1691:11; 794:3,3;1822:23 medications (4) 1709:6:1711:13; 1772:12;1793:22, 1740:19;1757:8;

1763:22:1776:23: 1777:1,18;1802:6; 1832:6 mild (4) 1738:4;1760:4,11; 1781:16 miles (2) 1691:8;1720:15 million (9) 1660:18:1713:25: 1722:16;1723:24; 1724:3;1765:14; 1799:21,24;1807:8 mind (15) 1686:12;1696:10; 1700:19;1702:2,14; 1709:7;1712:18; 1729:11,13;1732:3; 1733:12;1778:19; 1799:12;1809:8; 1811:20 mindful (1) 1673:22 minds (1) 1829:10 mine (4) 1662:8;1672:10; 1675:6;1799:2 minimize (2) 1689:10:1823:23 minimizing (2) 1665:16.19 minimum (1) 1720:18 Minnesota (3) 1683:16,19,20 minute (5) 1661:25;1707:11; 1779:21;1782:19,25 minutes (6) 1677:6;1685:20,22; 1791:7;1807:18; 1828:15 miracle (1) 1727:15 misleading (1) 1660:16 misread (1) 1831:9 missing (1) 1784:8 misspoken (1) 1831:12 mistake (6) 1703:7,8,8,12; 1713:25,25 mistakes (1) 1713:21 mistrial (1) 1830:12 misunderstood (1) 1770:25 mitigate (6)

## December 5, 2019

1718:24:1719:2; 1812:13,15,18; 1824:11 mitigation (1) 1664:7 mobility (1) 1693:20 MoCA (2) 1772:24,25 model (1) 1779:25 modified (1) 1679:7 modify (1) 1666:13 mom (2) 1717:6;1802:15 Monday (5) 1807:22;1832:21; 1833:5,14,17 money (20) 1659:22;1688:9,17; 1692:25;1708:17; 1709:13;1723:17; 1724:1,2;1733:8; 1735:5;1736:2; 1757:5;1765:6,7,8; 1799:15:1803:12: 1816:25;1819:21 month (1) 1726:19 months (5) 1728:22;1740:21; 1747:2,2;1783:11 mood(2)1787:9;1788:14 moon (1) 1751:24 more (45) 1665:6.7:1679:7; 1689:1;1694:1; 1695:5;1702:5; 1708:10;1711:8; 1712:7;1721:17; 1724:19;1725:10,11; 1728:3,23;1729:24; 1731:13;1737:13; 1739:8;1746:10,11; 1747:10,20,24; 1756:2;1762:1; 1765:20;1772:9; 1781:18;1782:9; 1785:24;1789:16,23; 1792:5;1793:25; 1794:25;1799:25; 1803:12;1805:10; 1811:23;1818:5; 1821:3,4;1827:8 **MORELLI (102)** 1659:16:1660:1.15; 1661:10,21;1663:11, 16;1664:2,5,12,22; 1665:2,23;1666:4,6,9;

1681:3;1698:25;

				Detember 5, 2017
1669.16.1677.16.	1781:18	Nation (17)	1690.7.1696.10.	1752-25-1701-10
1668:16;1672:16;		Nation (47)	1680:7;1686:19;	1752:25;1791:10
1673:2,12;1674:23;	movement (2)	1678:7,17;1679:23;	1689:16;1699:19;	next (31)
1675:22;1676:2,17,	1787:9;1788:3	1686:23;1687:13,14,	1713:13,15;1739:25;	1662:2,12;1664:6;
20;1677:13,21;	moves (1)	19,20;1688:3,4;	1745:7,13,15,21;	1682:12;1685:13;
1685:1;1688:22;	1685:4	1689:1;1692:24;	1771:2,12;1772:9;	1706:5;1715:12;
1725:7,8;1727:1,25;	moving (3)	1711:4,5,21;1717:24;	1829:22	1716:18;1726:20;
1728:6,15;1730:3;	1719:18;1828:7,9	1722:1,8;1723:10;	needs (36)	1743:12;1747:3,5,6,6,
1731:10;1732:19,21,	MRI (6)	1724:24;1725:21;	1669:14,25;	6,6,7,19;1756:9;
				1759:15;1767:22;
24;1734:11;1735:12;	1779:20;1782:18,	1726:1;1727:10,10;	1672:10;1676:12;	
1736:14,24;1737:22;	23;1783:1;1784:5;	1730:20;1731:11;	1684:8;1690:6,7,7;	1776:6;1784:11;
1738:16;1740:7;	1785:11	1732:4,16;1734:16;	1692:20;1711:19,22;	1787:20;1801:17;
1741:3,14,25;1742:8,	much (22)	1735:6;1736:10;	1712:4,13;1714:6;	1803:20;1820:14;
14,16;1744:2;1760:2;	1676:20;1702:22;	1742:10;1747:9;	1715:3;1716:14,17,	1823:2;1826:22;
1761:12;1762:24;	1707:18;1721:3;	1753:21;1757:5,6;	25;1717:22;1718:14;	1828:4;1830:19
1764:2;1767:1;	1722:2;1724:11;	1761:1,1;1762:15;	1720:1,2,2,8,23;	nice (8)
1768:9;1770:3,10,19,	1725:10,20;1736:2;	1764:4;1765:9,13;	1734:15;1746:21,21;	1708:2;1718:11;
23;1772:2;1785:1,14;	1737:17;1755:6;	1776:2;1777:12;	1751:5;1766:3,4;	1721:3;1731:13;
1786:3,15;1787:6;	1779:8;1781:1;	1799:23;1802:11;	1772:10,11;1794:4;	1732:8;1736:2;
1788:7,24;1789:8,20;	1789:14,16;1794:2,	1816:13	1799:6;1806:5	1749:16;1762:18
1790:2,16;1791:14;	14;1797:21;1809:20;	Nation's (3)	negotiated (1)	night (8)
1792:22;1793:17;	1810:10;1811:2,7	1687:17;1697:15;	1800:17	1659:5;1662:7;
1794:6;1795:8;	mud (2)	1721:15	neighborhood (1)	1676:23;1712:20;
1797:3,13,15,24;	1752:5;1754:9	nature (6)	1691:10	1738:1;1764:23;
1798:14;1800:5,16;	muddy (1)	1702:5;1713:21;	nerve (2)	1766:11;1830:18
1802:1;1804:12;	1754:9	1771:12;1820:11;	1695:3,4	nine (2)
1805:24;1807:23;	multiple (12)	1824:22;1825:5	network (1)	1711:10;1805:15
1808:4,7;1817:6;	1691:3;1694:18;	Navaja (1)	1782:13	Nobody (12)
1824:2,5;1827:24;	1702:14;1716:6;	1668:8	neurologic (2)	1659:21,22;
1831:25;1832:6,9,18	1720:16;1737:4,6;	navigating (2)	1694:19;1696:8	1672:24;1699:1;
Morelli's (4)	1770:12,16;1779:22;	1691:5;1751:5	neurologist (4)	1727:9;1735:21,25;
1673:19;1674:14;	1786:8,11	near (1)	1693:6;1773:14,17;	1747:15;1762:8;
1725:3;1736:9	muscle (3)	1788:3	1822:25	1765:24;1791:17;
morning (9)	1692:4;1698:7;	nearly (1)	neuromuscular (1)	1799:11
1677:25;1700:15;	1784:2	1811:23	1741:16	no-brainers (1)
1725:8,8;1766:10;	muscles (1)	neat (1)	neuro-ophthalmology (1)	1674:6
	1718:13	1736:2	1823:6	
1771:1;1832:22;				noise (2)
1833:5,15	must (15)	necessarily (2)	neurophysiology (1)	1791:2,3
most (11)	1673:14;1688:15;	1663:19;1815:5	1693:5	non (1)
1687:4;1699:6;	1809:14;1811:11,23;	necessary (4)	neuropsych (1)	1731:11
1705:9;1714:13;	1812:3;1813:7;	1659:13,15;	1772:21	none (12)
1720:21;1727:16;	1815:1;1818:14;	1699:22;1700:12	neuropsychological (2)	1662:16,17,23,23;
1761:8;1769:9;	1819:24;1824:24,25;	necessitated (1)	1662:20;1823:4	1691:2;1700:8;
1785:17;1791:1;	1826:11;1827:5;	1819:3	neuropsychologist (2)	1762:12,20;1771:3;
1814:4	1829:11	neck (1)	1813:22;1823:1	1776:2;1823:18;
mostly (1)	mustard (1)	1765:17	neuropsychology (1)	1826:6
			1741:9	
1679:16	1740:14	need (35)		nonetheless (1)
mother (2)	myself (9)	1660:12;1671:10,	neuroradiologist (1)	1740:4
1734:21;1735:1	1668:13;1701:8;	12;1673:9;1674:19,	1779:16	non-physiologic (1)
mother's (1)	1744:11;1796:5,5,10,	22,25;1675:16;	neuroradiology (1)	1694:21
1717:6	21;1798:4;1800:10	1676:7;1677:6;	1782:17	Nope (3)
motion (1)		1680:6,7;1685:9;	neurosurgeon (3)	1713:25;1714:1;
1672:7	Ν	1689:12;1700:11;	1790:23;1791:8;	1720:14
Mount (1)		1715:14;1716:17,18;	1822:25	nor (5)
1696:11	name (8)	1723:19;1741:1;	neurosurgeons (1)	1767:13;1773:7;
	1695:10;1696:21;	1746:24;1760:21;	1737:24	1809:18;1812:25;
mouth (1)				
1735:16	1697:11,15;1698:12;	1768:23;1771:13;	neurosurgery (1)	1818:7
mouths (1)	1752:3;1766:15;	1792:6;1793:14;	1675:15	normal (6)
1762:16	1767:4	1797:10;1803:3;	neurosurgical (3)	1696:8;1697:6;
move (3)	names (4)	1805:6;1807:18;	1713:13,19;1714:2	1704:7;1715:24;
1663:23;1718:17;	1695:11;1731:14;	1818:24;1826:12,23,	New (11)	1787:24;1798:21
1786:10	1732:8;1749:16	24;1833:4	1678:11;1691:4,6,	normally (1)
moved (3)	Nassau (2)	needed (17)	9;1703:7;1714:11;	1746:10
1697:23;1780:25;	1736:25;1744:11	1671:16;1679:3;	1715:8;1720:15,17;	notary (1)
10, 1.20, 11, 00.20,	1,00.20,17,111	10,110,10,7.5,	1,10.0,1/20.10,17,	

1816:4 note (4) 1659:11;1668:7; 1711:7;1790:18 noted (1) oł 1675:20 notice (1) 1785:2 noticed (1) oł 1791:6 November (2) 1687:9:1718:2 number (41) 1668:24;1672:12; 1689:17;1694:21; oł 1699:12,13,25; 1700:1;1707:8; ol 1713:18;1717:16; 1723:7;1724:8; oł 1729:10;1733:7; 1745:8,20,22;1746:2, ob 3.3;1790:22;1791:19; 1799:22;1806:6,8,8, ob 21.25:1811:16: 1819:9,25;1821:15, ob 18;1826:4;1828:6,7,8, 8,20;1833:3 numbers (15) 1711:16;1713:4,5; 1714:3,11;1722:23; 00 1773:11:1803:25; 1804:4:1807:10.11. 00 13;1827:16;1828:4, 14 numerous (1) 00 1746:6 nurse (3) 0 1712:20,20;1717:7 nursing (4) 00 1712:19:1818:25; 1823:9,10 00 nuts (1) 1747:22 00 NYU (2) 1698:24;1757:14 0' 0 0 oath (4) 00 1700:17;1757:3,11; 1816:1 of object (7) 1673:14,24,25; 1674:7,15;1742:13; 1745:18 objected (1) 1742:13 01 objecting (4) 1660:23;1672:18; of 1674:12.13 objection (15) of

1(00 01 00 1700 17	
1688:21,22;1732:17;	
1736:12;1742:6,25;	
1771:2;1804:10;	
1//1.2,1004.10,	
1805:22	
objections (6)	
1672:13;1673:3,21;	off
	UII
1674:3;1771:13;	
1831:21	off
objective (14)	
1693:16;1694:7;	oft
	UIL
1697:17,24;1721:10;	
1727:3,6,8;1764:7,8,	
9,21;1779:13;	0'
1791:17	
objectively (2)	
1721:13,23	
obligation (1)	
1715:10	,
	-
observe (1)	
1810:5	
obtained (2)	
1814:9;1825:8	
obvious (1)	
1783:15	
obviously (7)	
1660:17;1725:9;	
1746:8;1748:17;	old
1781:21;1830:13;	
1831:21	
occasionally (1)	
1751:8	old
occasions (4)	
1691:4;1756:5;	on
1071.4,1750.5,	on
1770:12,17	
occupation (2)	
1822:1,6	
occupational (1)	
1741:8	on
occupied (1)	
1690:23	
occur (1)	
1830:17	
occurs (2)	
1674:7;1780:10	
o'clock (1)	
1832:7	
October (1)	
1718:1	
odd (1)	
1791:2	
off (13)	
1661:24;1735:14,	
15;1737:12;1739:7,	
10,1770,12,1777,10	
10;1770:16;1775:18,	
18;1776:12;1791:6;	
1793:25;1817:9	
offer (2)	
1676:7;1701:10	.
offered (2)	
1719:13;1821:20	
office (1)	
1728:1	
OFFICER (13)	
OFFICER (15)	

1685:23;1686:4;	1
1742:3;1770:4;	1
1792:14,19;1827:3,4;	1
1/92.14,19,1027.3,4,	
1830:8,15;1831:14;	2
1832:12;1833:7	1
official (1)	1
. ,	
1685:6	1
off-the-record (2)	1
1685:10;1830:25	1
often (6)	1
1746:9,14;1751:4;	one
1767:12,25;1796:5	1
D'HARA (40)	one
1659:18;1660:2,9,	1
14 25.1672.11.	
14,25;1672:11;	one
1673:10,13,17;	1
1674:5,13;1675:4,7,	onl
24;1676:3,7;1677:1,	1
8;1685:4,15,17;	1
1686:6,7;1688:24;	1
1701:16;1705:15;	1
1707:1;1743:1;	1
1770:8,21;1771:4,15;	1
1804:10;1805:22;	1
1807:25;1808:2,21;	1
1827:20;1832:1,10	1
old (5)	1
1728:21;1734:22;	1
1778:2;1797:7;	1
1821:1	1
older (1)	1
1733:15	1
once (9)	1
1683:18;1693:19;	1
1694:5;1696:21;	1
1720:8,9;1754:12;	1
1770:9;1772:10	1
one (109)	1
1662:5;1663:4,5,5,	1
17;1665:2;1667:9,14;	ont
1/,1005.2,1007.5,14,	
1668:7,7,7,12;	1
1670:18;1675:10;	1
1683:19;1688:12;	ope
	•
1694:24;1695:3,3,4;	1
1697:3;1699:4,12,25;	1
1700:11;1701:17;	1
1702:19,19;1703:14;	ope
1704:16;1707:10,11;	1
1708:25,25;1709:7;	ope
	1
1713:10;1715:7,8,10;	
1716:10,13;1718:23;	1
1719:5;1720:21;	1
1723:2;1725:13;	1
1727:16;1728:3;	1
1729:12:1730:11:	ope
1729:12;1730:11; 1734:13;1737:23;	1
1720.7.1741.25	
1738:7;1741:25;	ope
1742:18,21;1745:3,5;	1
1747:4,4,5,5,6,7,24;	ope
177, 7, 7, 3, 3, 5, 0, 7, 24,	•
1750:8;1751:16;	1
1753:20;1755:16;	ope
1760:4;1766:10,10,	1
11,11;1769:21;	2
,,,	

771:12,12;1774:4,6, 2:1783:14.15: 787:1:1790:11.12. 20.23:1791:1.6.9.10. 9;1794:25;1795:1, 6,19;1799:11,12; 805:2;1806:6; 810:24,24;1817:4; 828:6,8,20;1829:3,4; 830:16 erous (2) 670:5;1671:8 es (2) 683:17;1705:9 e's (2) 718:24;1742:20 ly (56) 661:14;1667:19; 668:12,22;1676:3; 692:11;1694:8; 696:14:1697:23; 702:20:1704:17: 712:21,24;1715:17; 719:16:1721:21: 726:14;1731:1,4; 732:1;1735:8; 738:2,3;1744:24; 745:21;1749:16; 751:2;1760:3,4; 762:9:1763:9.14; 766:4:1772:5: 775:19:1779:17: 781:12,15:1783:17; 788:1:1794:21: 795:12;1799:2,15, 9,24;1800:1;1805:4, 5,16:1806:20; 812:19;1813:16; 816:21;1830:17,22 to (4) 731:23;1744:17, 9:1764:14 en (7) 686:12;1737:12; 738:7;1739:7,23; 740:1;1781:6 ened (2) 659:18;1706:2 ening (12) 659:22;1660:2,5; 673:15:1687:9,10; 688:8;1699:18; 709:10:1739:2; 741:4;1781:6 era (3) 667:6,18;1668:1 erate (1) 793:9 erated (2) 1790:19,21 erating (3) 683:12:1703:12. 24

#### **December 5, 2019**

operation (10) 1739:10.18: 1746:15;1790:20,21, 23;1791:9;1824:9; 1825:6,10 operations (2) 1825:14,15 operative (1) 1746:16 ophthalmologist (1) 1823:1 opinion (30) 1667:11;1694:5,6, 6,9;1695:17,18,18,19; 1697:14;1740:25; 1760:20;1763:5,12, 15,24;1769:16,17; 1777:5,8,8,9;1781:9; 1807:8;1814:12,14, 15,18,20,22 opinions (7) 1695:16;1777:9; 1814:1,6,7,8;1829:15 opportunity (6) 1672:23;1686:13; 1738:19;1810:5; 1822:3,4 opposed (3) 1704:10;1754:14; 1811:24 opposing (1) 1812:4 opposite (1) 1769:14 optimistic (1) 1729:14 orbit (2) 1779:24;1794:11 order (8) 1659:19:1677:23; 1685:16;1700:6; 1728:2;1781:7; 1811:21;1828:16 ordering (1) 1661:23 orderly (1) 1828:17 organizing (1) 1768:1 original (1) 1676:1 originally (1) 1664:16 orthopedic (2) 1794:7,21 others (3) 1693:25;1702:17; 1807:9 otherwise (2) 1780:21:1815:16 out (86) 1661:2,4,12,17; 1668:11;1671:10,20;

1673:8,18,19,20;

1674:19;1685:8;

## **December 5, 2019**

	1		1	December 5, 2017
1675.10.1690.9.	1755.14	1((0.4.1((1.15.	1707.11.1021.17.	1729.0.1746.1 20.
1675:19;1680:8;	1755:14	1660:4;1661:15;	1797:11;1831:17;	1738:9;1746:1,20;
1683:15,16;1689:7;	Overruled (1)	1666:1,5;1669:20;	1832:11	1747:18;1750:10;
1691:25;1692:1,2,18;	1688:23	1679:3;1682:4;	pay (8)	1753:12;1760:4;
1703:17,23;1704:19;	overstatement (3)	1687:4;1694:25;	1692:25;1699:19;	1767:24;1768:2;
1708:21;1709:8;	1804:17,18;1805:5	1713:21;1718:18,22;	1734:16,20;1764:20,	1769:1;1775:11,24;
1713:24;1724:12;	overstating (2)	1724:16;1736:6;	20;1788:13;1803:14	1776:5,20,25;
1731:22;1732:13;	1804:12,13	1741:10;1753:25;	payer (1)	1778:17;1786:25;
1733:8,22;1734:4,6;	overtreating (2)	1762:12;1774:19;	1705:1	1787:1,12;1790:17;
1735:16;1739:13;	1772:4,5	1795:23;1803:18;	paying (1)	1793:18;1796:2;
1740:18;1742:25;	own (20)	1811:15;1815:19,20;	1756:17	1812:12;1815:3,4;
1744:14;1745:3,14,	1667:21;1679:4,10;	1817:12;1825:20	penalize (1)	1817:15,17,19;
23;1750:4,6,9,11,12,	1704:5;1708:9;	participate (1)	1778:11	1818:4,16,18,21,24;
13,14,15,16,18;	1712:8;1715:5;	1817:11	Penn (1)	1821:1,20,22;1822:10
1754:8;1757:10;	1716:20;1728:20;	participated (1)	1693:4	Perez's (11)
1758:17;1762:16;	1745:17;1777:23;	1681:23	Penney (1)	1687:21;1700:19;
1764:13;1765:11,12,	1778:7;1782:18;	particular (9)	1800:21	1791:16;1816:17;
24;1774:14,17;	1798:12;1800:6;	1671:11;1672:19;	Pennsylvania (1)	1818:10,13;1820:4;
1775:19;1776:22;	1802:2,4,6;1818:11;	1679:13;1690:15;	1705:22	1821:6,9;1822:2,8
1781:7,19;1786:20;	1821:7	1696:23;1781:1;	People (37)	perfect (1)
1787:23;1790:22;	owned (1)	1809:21;1810:10;	1692:25;1695:1;	1690:1
1791:4,8;1792:3,8;	1800:8	1814:9	1698:21;1699:4;	perform (1)
1796:1;1800:9,13,14;		parties (7)	1700:10,20;1703:2;	1817:11
1801:4;1802:15,19;	Р	1660:11;1675:14;	1713:8,21;1715:9;	performance (5)
1803:10;1806:22;	1	1812:23;1813:15;	1724:13,17;1725:18;	1697:21;1752:20;
1808:1,11,13;	package (1)	1818:20;1822:7;	1728:9;1729:10;	1755:24;1769:9,12
1827:15,16;1830:20;	1736:2	1829:16	1731:2;1733:6,7,7,17,	performing (2)
1832:3;1833:14,14	pact (2)	partner (1)	20;1734:4,6;1738:12;	1667:19;1769:5
outcome (3)	1687:11;1712:11	1800:9	1747:10;1753:4;	perhaps (1)
1760:21;1810:1;	page (21)	party (8)	1761:3,19;1767:2;	1773:5
1815:7	1661:24;1662:1,3;	1705:1;1809:6,16;	1785:17,21;1800:13;	period (14)
outline (1)	1678:12,22;1679:22;	1811:9,21;1812:3,5;	1802:21;1814:4;	1689:22,22;
1827:9	1680:10;1681:8,21;	1815:3	1826:23,25;1827:16	1708:23;1716:22;
outlined (6)	1682:6,12;1683:1,3;	party's (1)	people's (1)	1755:11;1783:10;
1698:13;1712:3;	1684:6;1706:5;	1811:11	1702:7	1795:16;1817:23;
1716:4;1721:22;	1726:20;1743:12;	passed (1)	per (3)	1818:1;1819:7,8;
1710.4,1721.22, 1723:12,13	1759:15;1784:11;	1743:7	1673:22;1819:12;	1813.1,1819.7,8,
outlives (2)	1801:17;1820:14	passion (1)	1821:17	periodically (1)
1799:16,17	paid (6)	1687:1	percent (7)	1691:20
outright (1)	1708:17;1750:6;	passionate (1)	1699:11,11;1736:3;	permanence (1)
1774:18	1757:5;1758:15,17,20	1686:24	1737:25;1785:22,24;	1824:23
outset (2)	pain (46)	passwords (1)	1791:21	permanent (3)
1721:5;1725:17	1665:9,10,11,15,21,	1751:4	percentage (1)	1683:20;1782:6;
outside (12)	21,24,25;1666:7;	past (22)	1712:4	1818:1
1697:5;1721:8;	1705:12;1722:9,10;	1659:14;1660:3;	perception (1)	permanently (2)
1779:5;1780:1,6;	1723:1,4,23,25;	1688:2;1690:17;	1789:4	1782:7;1801:6
1783:18,19;1786:4,7,	1735:23,24;1736:7,	1694:19;1705:10;	Perez (79)	permissible (1)
10;1813:11,14	17,18;1738:10;	1709:4;1710:25;	1678:23;1680:25;	1742:15
outstanding (3)	1741:11;1748:23;	1716:12;1723:5;	1681:9;1685:6;	permits (1)
1672:5;1676:15;		, , ,	1686:8;1688:20,24;	1810:22
1677:16	1769:10,12,14;	1724:4;1736:16;		
	1792:7;1795:21,22,	1741:11;1795:22;	1689:16;1690:15,19;	permitted (4)
over (28)	24;1796:14;1799:3,4,	1799:3,21;1800:1;	1691:1,16;1693:19;	1770:18;1814:5;
1672:25;1683:23;	14,20;1806:13,19,24;	1803:18,21;1804:2;	1694:8;1699:10,15;	1816:19;1823:20
1684:1;1690:17;	1807:6;1817:2,17,20,	1806:19,22	1701:2,12,22;	persist (1)
1694:14,19;1701:19;	20;1823:12;1824:8	pastrami (2)	1702:10;1709:8;	1801:11
1736:19;1755:11;	painstaking (1)	1740:12,13	1710:3,11,25;	person (36)
1779:4;1780:9,9,11,	1724:14	patience (1)	1711:20;1714:5;	1679:19,20;
19,21;1781:17,17;	parents (1)	1727:19	1715:12,22,23;	1704:15;1719:18;
1783:10;1791:23;	1717:12	patient (1)	1719:3;1721:24;	1723:2;1728:3,11;
1792:1;1795:15;	park (1)	1744:10	1722:12;1727:20;	1738:3,4;1747:23,24;
1819:9;1821:15;	1691:6	patients (4)	1728:7;1729:7;	1748:13;1754:17;
1823:15;1826:23;	Parkinson's (2)	1693:23;1699:11,	1730:6;1731:20;	1755:21,22;1758:9,
1828:7,9,11	1805:24,25	10;5:25,10;9:11, 12;1704:10	1732:14;1733:2;	10;1762:1;1764:12,
	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
overall (1)	part (25)	pause (3)	1734:3,5;1735:13;	25;1765:23;1766:3;
	1	l	1	l

1768:24:1779:17; 1787:25:1795:17: 1796:16:1798:5; 1801:12;1815:7; 1823:20,22;1824:15; 1825:2.11.14 personal (4) 1672:20:1686:25; 1812:8;1813:4 personality (6) 1733:18;1787:8; 1788:1,14;1789:12; 1795:18 personally (2) 1664:11;1770:17 persons (1) 1826:12 person's (3) 1811:3;1812:15; 1817:12 perspective (4) 1673:23;1674:6; 1721:15.15 persuade (1) 1694:4 pertaining (2) 1670:19;1823:11 pertains (1) 1667:5 PhD (2) 1708:3.4 PhDs (1) 1765:3 Philadelphia (1) 1678:11 photo (2) 1733:3:1781:14 photographs (1) 1738:18 phrase (2) 1693:25;1811:18 physiatry (1) 1696:12 physical (14) 1665:11,13;1666:7, 9;1683:18;1691:25; 1693:19;1695:7; 1698:6;1717:21; 1741:8,14;1818:16; 1823:5 physicality (1) 1718:13 physically (8) 1679:18;1681:5,18, 19;1682:3;1719:16; 1733:2,23 physician (6) 1692:3;1694:13; 1699:15;1701:11; 1704:15:1720:12 physicians (9) 1689:18:1693:2,3; 1696:6;1704:14;

1712:8:1719:13,15: 1822:24 physiologic (1) 1698:14 physiological (1) 1752:12 PICC (1) 1735:3 pick (4) 1677:11:1703:21; 1770:1;1808:11 picked (2) 1708:6:1709:15 picture (9) 1692:14;1728:9; 1746:18;1748:3; 1761:7,10;1775:6; 17 1800:24,25 pictures (3) 1683:19;1692:15; 1718:10 piece (5) 1737:12;1739:3,7; 1745:3,3 pieces (2) 1705:3;1744:25 pigeon (3) 1733:10;1800:25; 1801:1 pilot (1) 1709:22 pilot's (1) 1728:16 PJI (2) 1666:20;1667:9 place (13) 1685:10:1690:4; 1691:6;1718:17,18, 18;1744:25;1745:7; 1794:25:1801:13: 1826:16;1830:23,25 placed (6) 1670:1;1690:2; 1744:22,24,25;1795:6 placement (1) 1744:16 places (1) 1744:5 plaintiff (35) 1659:6;1668:9; 1669:18:1675:16; 1676:4;1677:2; 1685:1;1688:7,18; 1703:5;1710:10; 1713:4;1719:7,25; 1723:7;1758:10; 1802:22;1812:6,9,12, 18;1816:25;1818:2; 1819:15,20,25; 1821:12:1823:24; 1824:7,10,19,21; 1825:7,10,12

1727:9:1773:21 plaintiff's (11) 1667:21:1672:13; 1675:12:1678:14: 1694:11:1713:5; 1721:16;1816:9,14, 22:1819:4 plan (14) 1705:11;1710:1; 1711:19;1712:2; 1721:23;1722:11,18; 1723:11:1736:5; 1749:6,10;1750:24; 1788:13;1789:3 plane (3) 1709:23;1728:17, Planned (1) 1797:8 planner (1) 1712:21 planners (2) 1736:4;1813:23 planning (7) 1749:11,12,14; 1751:1;1762:21; 1787:23;1788:18 plans (1) 1800:6 plastic (5) 1713:15:1745:11. 12:1746:12:1822:25 play (1) 1686:22 played (1) 1796:25 player (2) 1667:25;1733:25 plays (1) 1687:2 please (7) 1803:7:1807:19; 1817:5;1827:2; 1828:25;1829:15; 1831:18 pleasures (1) 1817:13 plotting (1) 1762:21 plus (1) 1724:3 Pneumo (1) 1795:3 pneumothorax (1) 1795:3 point (17) 1669:9;1673:19; 1680:9;1684:16; 1692:2;1707:6; 1708:21:1717:2; 1722:4;1739:11; 1758:8,25;1780:18, 18;1792:25;1829:20;

1832:5 Pointing (1) 1750:16 points (2) 1714:12,17 poor (4) 1750:24;1752:9; 1788:25:1798:2 portion (4) 1676:24:1783:14; 1808:25;1811:6 portions (4) 1678:3;1767:22: 1815:21:1816:5 position (12) 1666:21;1670:13. 16,20;1671:16; 1672:2,8;1678:6; 1705:19;1707:14,15; 1764:18 positions (1) 1686:24 possibility (1) 1793:12 possible (5) 1684:5;1698:3,23; 1747:4;1810:16 possibly (1) 1755:1 post(2)1744:23;1790:2 post-traumatic (5) 1790:5.6.7.8.12 Potential (2) 1780:23;1813:15 potentially (1) 1802:5 pouch (1) 1739:20 pounds (6) 1718:1.3.4.5.6.8 powerful (1) 1727:17 practice (1) 1695:21 practitioner (1) 1712:20 pre-accident (2) 1692:15;1795:16 precise (1) 1700:7 pre-determined (1) 1685:17 preeminent (1) 1719:14 prefer (1) 1685:21 prejudice (1) 1810:2 preliminary (1) 1700:12 prepare (1) 1766:9

prepared (1) 1702:25 preponderance (4) 1811:12,15,18; 1812:2 prerogative (1) 1710:20 prescribed (3) 1717:2;1720:7; 1793:20 prescriptions (1) 1793:19 present (8) 1668:5;1690:6; 1705:16,16;1707:2; 1717:8,15;1723:5 presentation (1) 1714:22 presented (11) 1689:3;1707:3; 1710:10;1711:10,25; 1714:9;1717:16; 1722:15;1723:20; 1813:8:1829:19 presenting (1) 1712:6 presently (2) 1721:24.25 preserve (1) 1670:22 preserved (1) 1666:23 president (2) 1678:8.10 press (3) 1691:19,19,20 pressed (1) 1696:2 pressure (6) 1739:4.6;1780:24; 1781:5.8:1801:14 Pretty (2) 1718:21;1726:4 prevail (1) 1811:21 prevented (1) 1821:25 previously (2) 1742:13;1744:10 principle (1) 1810:24 printing (1) 1679:16 prior (4) 1680:12,14; 1707:21;1775:20 privilege (2) 1686:22;1724:24 privileges (1) 1715:7 probabilities (2) 1820:7.9

Min-U-Script®

plaintiffs (2)

probability (1)

1810:7 probably (9) 1683:6:1739:18; 1756:12;1757:18; 1776:16:1782:9; 1785:23;1804:12,13 problem (22) 1664:21:1665:1; 1673:10,13,25; 1745:6,12;1746:17; 1749:2,9;1785:12; 1786:21,23;1787:11; 1793:22;1796:4; 1797:5;1799:7; 1801:11,15;1802:23; 1830:12 problems (13) 1690:2,5;1747:16; 1750:4,7;1763:9; 1774:11,15;1787:18; 1788:5:1789:6: 1794:23;1796:2 procedure (10) 1689:18;1690:3,4, 15;1703:13,24; 1719:16;1745:24; 1824:20;1827:6 procedures (1) 1689:17 proceed (5) 1700:6,17;1719:7; 1826:18:1828:17 proceedings (4) 1797:11:1831:17: 1832:11:1833:16 process (4) 1683:14;1689:24; 1724:14:1782:11 processes (1) 1827:10 processing (3) 1768:15,25:1789:3 production (1) 1753:25 professional (4) 1690:24;1800:2,5; 1813:4 programming (2) 1800:16,18 project (1) 1679:21 projection (1) 1804:15 promise (2) 1687:10,14 promised (3) 1686:11;1721:6; 1724:20 promulgating (1) 1730:21 pronounce (1) 1767:4 proof (11)

1668:6:1685:12; 1764:5.9.12.16.21: 1790:13;1811:9,22; 1812.4proofs (1) 1714:10 proper (4) 1700:3,4;1814:24; 1829:14 properly (1) 1682:5 prophylactic (1) 1672:12 proposal (1) 1717:5 propose (2) 1674:2,3 proposed (5) 1659:5,9;1661:16, 18;1715:17 prospective (1) 1742:4 prospects (2) 1820:6,8 protect (2) 1689:21;1786:6 protections (1) 1720:2 protective (1) 1800:11 Provder (12) 1662:20:1704:4: 1708:1.2.6.25; 1709:15;1713:12,14; 1714:14,15;1813:23 Provder's (1) 1675:15 prove (7) 1687:12;1715:18, 20,20;1764:6,21; 1807:3 provide (7) 1679:4;1692:21; 1717:11;1724:2; 1767:25;1819:10; 1821:15 provided (4) 1703:13;1716:15; 1823:19;1826:15 provider (2) 1690:9:1716:13 provides (1) 1818:5 providing (1) 1712:8 province (1) 1762:8 proving (4) 1718:24;1812:9,17; 1824:18 proximity (1) 1717:11 prudence (1)

1672:9 prudent (5) 1823:22;1824:15; 1825:2.11.14 psychiatric (1) 1801:13 psychiatrist (2) 1795:12;1822:25 psychologic (1) 1818:15 psychological (4) 1662:21:1664:18; 1768:2;1823:4 psychotherapist (1) 1720:17 psychotherapy (8) 1664:17,18;1720:6; 1765:21;1823:25; 1824:7;1825:1,10 public (1) 1816:4 Puerto (1) 1709:10 pulled (1) 1776:16 pulling (2) 1726:2;1737:25 Punctured (2) 1737:7;1795:1 purpose (2) 1712:23:1742:22 purposes (2) 1722:21:1733:23 pursue (2) 1709:6;1715:2 pushed (1) 1713:20 pushes (2) 1780:11,21 pushing (1) 1684:4 put (43) 1661:3;1665:8; 1666:16,17;1670:6, 23;1671:2,24;1672:6; 1679:9;1689:23; 1691:18;1698:17; 1700:18;1705:25; 1717:4;1718:4,10; 1723:15;1732:3; 1735:2,17,17;1737:9, 14;1739:12,12,21,23, 25:1745:4,14: 1773:21,22;1778:15, 16,18,23;1796:20; 1801:12;1803:7,20; 1827:16 putting (6) 1681:6;1744:15; 1774:24;1776:18; 1792:3;1829:24

Q qualification (1) 1814:25 qualifications (1) 1813:25 qualified (2) 1740:23;1814:5 quality (1) 1811:19 quarter (3) 1832:8,17,20 quarters (1) 1785:24 quickly (3) 1685:9;1830:24; 1831:11 quite (1) 1755:7 Ouote (3) 1776:19,19,21 R raging (1) 1735:2 raise (2) 1664:11;1673:21 raised (3) 1661:16;1671:21; 1770:22 rallied (1) 1721:1 ran (1) 1800:18 range (2) 1714:21;1785:24 rare(1) 1696:14 Rate (2) 1741:22;1767:24 rather (3) 1750:1;1778:11; 1802:17 reabsorbed (1) 1783:10 reach (2) 1760:6;1829:2 reaching (6) 1721:7;1809:17; 1813:3,13;1814:24; 1829:5 reaction (2) 1717:22;1813:15 read (24) 1663:2:1664:4; 1666:25:1676:18.25: 1677:3,18:1678:2; 1726:15:1756:7: 1760:9,11;1767:21; 1768:21;1771:7; 1779:17;1797:9;

#### **December 5, 2019**

1815:21:1825:24; 1827:7;1831:9,21,23; 1833:1 reading (9) 1676:18,21;1677:1; 1738:5;1767:23; 1769:1;1775:18,18; 1779:18 reads (2) 1676:4:1677:2 real (12) 1660:17;1733:12; 1744:3;1748:3; 1753:7;1757:12; 1773:25;1781:16,21; 1786:18,25,25 realize (3) 1753:4;1764:9; 1766:18 really (53) 1660:12;1664:22; 1665:11:1673:2: 1684:22;1693:11; 1699:2,7;1703:3,16, 17,17,21,22;1705:9; 1713:6;1719:17; 1720:22;1721:3,3; 1727:11:1731:14; 1732:14;1735:9; 1743:1:1745:1; 1746:19:1747:22: 1749:19.24:1754:16: 1755:5:1756:21; 1759:10;1760:15,18; 1764:17;1765:14; 1766:17,24;1779:1,1; 1782:11;1785:9,10, 17;1791:8;1792:11; 1796:18;1798:15; 1801:3:1805:14; 1806:11 reason (30) 1660:15;1671:9,11, 17,18,20;1690:10; 1695:1;1700:25; 1702:19,20;1710:16; 1719:11;1720:14; 1721:19;1732:9,9; 1740:9,10;1741:20; 1745:5;1754:11; 1758:5,18,22; 1764:14:1803:12: 1830:13;1831:4; 1833:3 reasonable (26) 1688:20;1689:13; 1692:22;1693:16; 1695:12;1705:7; 1706:4;1710:19; 1711:22;1712:2,15; 1715:17;1716:19; 1719:21;1721:13,22; 1722:17;1723:13;

1724:19;1747:24,24,	17
25;1748:1,1,2;	recov
1818:19	16
reasonableness (1)	18
1688:25	18
reasonably (12)	18
1687:18;1707:6;	reco
1723:19;1819:2;	16
1820:10;1821:22;	16
1823:22;1824:15,19;	recov
1825:2,11,13	17
reasons (6)	recov
1690:13;1719:6;	16
1740:24;1745:6;	16
1785:11;1827:5	recre
rebuttal (1)	17
1676:9	recti
recall (8)	16
1680:12,19,20;	redu
1682:10;1727:13,17;	16
1809:7;1813:19	22
received (4)	redu
1659:8;1716:11;	17
1822:2;1831:3	24
recess (5)	redu
1686:2;1743:10;	16
1771:16;1792:17;	17
1833:10	17
recognition (2)	18
1675:15;1822:3	re-ed
recognizing (1)	17
1717:5	refer
recollection (2)	17
1681:23;1825:20	refer
recommend (1)	16
1678:23	17
recommendations (1)	17
1768:14	refer
recommended (1)	16
1798:1	refer
reconciled (1)	17
1810:14	refer
reconsidered (1)	17
1671:15	23
<b>record (25)</b> 1659:1;1663:4;	refer 18
	-
1664:20;1665:2;	refle
1666:16,23;1667:1;	16 D.f.
1668:20;1669:20;	Refr
1670:15,22;1672:6;	17
1674:9;1675:21;	refus
1676:13;1685:6;	17
1696:6;1726:15,16;	refus
1760:10;1771:9;	17
1790:10;1809:19;	regai
1817:9;1831:18	17
	- ,
recorded (1)	rega
<b>recorded (1)</b> 1816:2	<b>rega</b> 16
recorded (1) 1816:2 records (11)	<b>rega</b> 16 18
recorded (1) 1816:2 records (11) 1694:17;1696:7;	regan 16 18 regen
recorded (1) 1816:2 records (11) 1694:17;1696:7; 1737:5;1738:5;	rega 16 18 regen 17
recorded (1) 1816:2 records (11) 1694:17;1696:7; 1737:5;1738:5; 1746:15;1756:7;	regan 16 18 regen 17 regin
recorded (1) 1816:2 records (11) 1694:17;1696:7; 1737:5;1738:5;	rega 16 18 regen 17

69:1:1790:9 ver (9) 68:9:1789:14; 316:25:1817:19: 318:18;1823:21; 24:22,23;1825:12 vered (4) 93:21,22,24; 99:10 vers (1) 15:13 very (3) 89:24;1693:20; 96:16 eational (1) 41:9 fy (1) 74:25 ice (5) 76:24;1803:21, 2;1804:24;1805:14 ced (4) 10:11;1803:20, ;1820:9 ction (11) 575:17;1700:17; 11:2,11;1721:17; 22:12;1819:20; 20:3;1821:9,12,13 ducation (1) 41:17 r (2) 57:7;1788:10 rence (6) 576:17;1730:6; 46:20;1755:5; 72:20:1799:6 renced (1) 96:7 rences (2) 83:15;1822:14 rred (4) 40:24;1815:22, ;1821:2 rs (1) 11:18 ct (2) 64:20;1675:17 actory (1) 00:2 sed (1) 40:16 sing (1) 96:8 in (2) 15:13;1716:6 rd (4) 69:14,21; 23:16;1829:14 nerate (1) 83:6 me (1) 1718:7

regimen (2) 1718:14.21 Regional (1) 1678:8 registered (2) 1712:19;1717:7 regular (4) 1668:1:1669:2; 1827:13;1828:5 regulate (1) 1788:13 rehab (2) 1741:7,16 rehabilitation (11) 1662:2,12;1667:22; 1670:17;1696:12; 1803:5;1804:21; 1807:12;1823:3,5,6 reign (1) 1672:16 reimbursed (2) 1819:15,22 reject (4) 1814:12,15; 1815:17,20 related (3) 1709:1;1788:9; 1792:2 relates (1) 1710:1 relationship (5) 1679:23:1680:4.5: 1760:21:1797:6 relatively (2) 1671:7;1693:24 relax (1) 1704:21 reliable (1) 1815:19 relied (1) 1757:10 relies (1) 1667:16 relieve (1) 1781:7 relieved (1) 1781:5 rely (4) 1668:13;1754:17; 1760:8;1829:17 relying (2) 1765:1.4 remain (1) 1669:6 remarks (2) 1812:24;1816:15 remember (36) 1665:3;1671:13; 1688:10,10,18; 1694:2:1704:19: 1711:16:1724:19: 1726:11,15:1735:16; 1738:19;1741:4;

1748:8,9:1751:2,18; 1755:12;1756:15; 1763:2,3,3;1764:11; 1768:11,20;1772:12; 1777:4;1779:15; 1787:7;1790:11,13; 1791:1;1809:21; 1829:15:1832:25 remembers (1) 1735:13 remind (4) 1742:14:1769:21; 1812:24;1815:25 remotely (1) 1709:1 removal (2) 1692:5,6 remove (4) 1689:18;1690:4; 1744:8,18 removed (1) 1781:22 removing (1) 1739:3 render (2) 1688:16;1722:6 rendered (1) 1822:24 repair (1) 1744:16 repeat (1) 1668:13 repeatedly (1) 1671:9 replace (1) 1744:19 replaced (1) 1745:18 replica (2) 1744:3.22 replication (1) 1755:14 report (22) 1699:21;1713:12, 17;1715:10;1758:1; 1763:16,23;1767:15, 23;1770:1;1775:10, 16,17,18,19,20,24,25; 1776:5,6,19,21 reports (8) 1702:25:1703:1.13; 1713:8;1746:16; 1749:5:1763:10: 1782:15 representing (2) 1764:4;1811:24 reproach (2) 1693:8;1723:21 reps (1) 1718:16 request (1) 1677:10 requested (1)

## **December 5, 2019**

1670:6 requests (1) 1675:1 require (1) 1753:2 required (7) 1668:6;1687:22: 1689:23;1811:1; 1814:19;1815:17; 1828:21 requires (5) 1692:10:1751:21; 1754:5,21;1814:4 requisite (2) 1668:4,4 research (1) 1769:23 reserve (1) 1674:2 reserved (2) 1673:9;1776:14 resolve (1) 1687:5 resolved (1) 1676:12 respect (10) 1666:18;1669:3; 1702:24,25;1705:15; 1707:2;1709:25; 1817:18;1820:7; 1829:14 respectful (3) 1697:12,13; 1708:20 respectfully (2) 1667:7;1708:19 respective (1) 1813:25 responding (1) 1695:2 response (3) 1768:16,25:1769:4 responsibility (3) 1687:17;1735:6,7 responsible (11) 1687:19;1688:4,4; 1711:21;1720:12; 1724:4;1736:11; 1742:10;1802:11,12; 1816:13 **Responsive (1)** 1669:19 rest (12) 1676:5,8;1677:4,4; 1685:2;1709:5; 1733:11;1801:7; 1802:9;1806:4; 1830:7;1833:4 restaurant (1) 1709:11 restricted (1) 1715:8 result (4)

**December 5, 2019** 

1737:8;1786:9;	178
1817:14;1819:16	178
resulted (1)	178
1714:10	179
<b>results (6)</b> 1694:7;1697:2,3;	180 182
1702:11,18;1825:7	182
retire (1)	183
1809:2	rip (1)
retiring (1) 1828:15	176 rise (9
return (2)	168
1775:20;1825:22	174
returned (1)	179
1797:16	183
returns (1) 1804:16	<b>risk (6</b> 179
review (4)	180
1704:9;1712:1;	risks (
1716:5;1760:6	182
<b>reviewed (1)</b> 1705:19	<b>RN (1</b> 173
reviewing (1)	Rober
1719:24	167
reviews (1)	role (3
1702:25 revision (3)	168 roof (
1745:9,15;1746:4	<b>roof (2</b>
Rey (6)	rookie
1696:23;1697:20,	175
20;1701:23;1772:24, 25	<b>room</b> 172-
ribs (6)	182
1737:6;1794:18,24,	25;1
25;1795:1,4	11,1
<b>Rich (1)</b> 1676:23	Roose
<b>Rico (1)</b>	routin
1709:10	170
ridiculous (4)	rule (2
1704:6;1751:1; 1762:2,2	167. rules (
<b>Right (70)</b>	182
1660:1;1661:23;	183
1662:9,11;1663:2;	ruling
1666:7;1674:7; 1675:2,18;1676:9;	166 167
1682:1;1687:4;	ruling
1695:10;1701:11;	180
1704:18;1705:1,9;	rye (1)
1709:11;1710:9; 1712:18;1713:20;	174
1715:19,19,22;	
1721:4;1722:2;	
1724:21,21;1737:20;	safe (4
1738:24;1739:21; 1741:18;1742:17;	179
1745:24;1746:14,25;	180 safely
1748:19,23;1750:16;	180
1754:7,8;1765:4;	safety
1767:7;1769:18;	180
1770:3;1774:5; 1776:15;1779:22,25;	<b>sakes</b> 166
1//0.13,1//7.22,23,	100

1780:2,4,6;1782:22;	salesmanship (1)
1784:6,9;1785:19; 1787:21;1793:3;	1788:17
1794:9,12;1795:25;	<b>same (39)</b> 1668:15;1677:21;
1802:6,19;1803:21;	1694:10;1695:5,23;
1824:1;1825:22;	1700:5,25;1701:9;
1828:11;1831:5;	1702:18,20;1720:1;
1832:9;1833:6 rip (1)	1722:14;1744:12; 1750:9;1755:11;
1766:19	1759:4,5,5,10,10;
rise (9)	1761:21,23;1765:23;
1685:23;1686:4;	1774:13;1775:21;
1742:3;1770:4;	1776:10;1777:1,2;
1792:14,19;1831:14; 1832:12;1833:7	1785:7;1803:21; 1809:22;1814:22;
risk (6)	1815:24;1821:2;
1791:15,21,23,24;	1823:11;1826:12,23;
1805:25;1806:2	1829:23,24
risks (2)	sample (1)
1822:5;1824:16 RN (1)	1669:23 save (3)
1735:1	1689:19;1735:5;
Robert (1)	1737:10
1678:4	saw (15)
role (3)	1686:25;1692:14;
1686:22;1687:2,2 roof (2)	1694:7,8;1701:1; 1718:6;1720:9;
1702:16;1794:10	1724:13;1726:8,10;
rookie (1)	1730:5;1755:10;
1756:20	1760:13;1767:3;
<b>room (11)</b>	1791:12
1724:22;1739:8; 1827:15;1828:16,23,	<b>say- (1)</b> 1754:19
25;1829:24;1830:5,	saying (29)
11,17,23	1659:23,23;
Roosevelt (1)	1663:17;1665:15;
1698:24 routine (1)	1701:6;1719:19; 1731:17,17;1751:13,
1703:12	14,15,19,24;1752:23;
rule (2)	1753:6,7;1754:7,10,
1672:20;1673:14	19;1764:24;1765:6,
rules (4)	25;1774:18,25;
1827:9;1829:24; 1830:3,5	1775:5,14;1776:11; 1777:7;1805:11
ruling (3)	scale (1)
1667:8;1669:12;	1795:16
1670:8	scalp (2)
rulings (1) 1809:14	1694:25,25 scan (4)
rye (1)	1779:3,14;1782:24;
1740:12	1785:25
0	scans (3)
S	1779:19,22;
safe (4)	1782:20 scared (2)
1798:17,19;	1798:7,10
1802:15;1824:19	scarring (1)
safely (1)	1789:24
1802:18	scenarios (1)
<b>safety (1)</b> 1802:20	1707:3 scene (2)
sakes (1)	1735:12,13
1663:21	School (7)

1693:5;1694:4;
1696:11,11;1705:21;
1696:11,11;1705:21; 1773:16;1800:13
Schwartz (18)
1696:2;1699:13,14;
1700:5;1704:4;
1700.3, 1704.4, 1710.14.1729.1621.
1719:14;1738:16,21; 1739:15;1740:22,22,
1/39:15;1/40:22,22,
24;1750:14;1790:6;
1792:22;1793:5;
1813:21;1824:12
science (2)
1712:19;1814:3
1/12.19,1014.3
Scolaro (3)
1676:4;1678:4,6
score (1)
1755:13
scored (1)
1697:25
scores (1)
1755:10
Scott (4)
1661:21;1662:6;
1665:3;1675:23
screeching (1)
1791:3
screws (2)
1744:22,24
script (1)
1690:1
se (1)
1673:22
searched (1)
1747:15
seat (3)
1827:19,21;1828:8
seating (1)
1828:4
seats (1)
1020.0
1828:9
second (13)
<b>second (13)</b> 1662:1;1680:17;
<b>second (13)</b> 1662:1;1680:17;
<b>second (13)</b> 1662:1;1680:17;
second (13) 1662:1;1680:17; 1683:13;1690:3; 1694:15;1739:22;
second (13) 1662:1;1680:17; 1683:13;1690:3; 1694:15;1739:22; 1740:25;1742:17;
second (13) 1662:1;1680:17; 1683:13;1690:3; 1694:15;1739:22; 1740:25;1742:17; 1808:16;1817:4,7;
second (13) 1662:1;1680:17; 1683:13;1690:3; 1694:15;1739:22; 1740:25;1742:17; 1808:16;1817:4,7; 1822:17;1826:10
second (13) 1662:1;1680:17; 1683:13;1690:3; 1694:15;1739:22; 1740:25;1742:17; 1808:16;1817:4,7; 1822:17;1826:10 secondary (1)
second (13) 1662:1;1680:17; 1683:13;1690:3; 1694:15;1739:22; 1740:25;1742:17; 1808:16;1817:4,7; 1822:17;1826:10 secondary (1) 1702:6
second (13) 1662:1;1680:17; 1683:13;1690:3; 1694:15;1739:22; 1740:25;1742:17; 1808:16;1817:4,7; 1822:17;1826:10 secondary (1) 1702:6
second (13) 1662:1;1680:17; 1683:13;1690:3; 1694:15;1739:22; 1740:25;1742:17; 1808:16;1817:4,7; 1822:17;1826:10 secondary (1) 1702:6 seconds (1)
second (13) 1662:1;1680:17; 1683:13;1690:3; 1694:15;1739:22; 1740:25;1742:17; 1808:16;1817:4,7; 1822:17;1826:10 secondary (1) 1702:6 seconds (1) 1831:13
second (13) 1662:1;1680:17; 1683:13;1690:3; 1694:15;1739:22; 1740:25;1742:17; 1808:16;1817:4,7; 1822:17;1826:10 secondary (1) 1702:6 seconds (1) 1831:13 section (3)
second (13) 1662:1;1680:17; 1683:13;1690:3; 1694:15;1739:22; 1740:25;1742:17; 1808:16;1817:4,7; 1822:17;1826:10 secondary (1) 1702:6 seconds (1) 1831:13 section (3)
second (13) 1662:1;1680:17; 1683:13;1690:3; 1694:15;1739:22; 1740:25;1742:17; 1808:16;1817:4,7; 1822:17;1826:10 secondary (1) 1702:6 seconds (1) 1831:13 section (3) 1662:13;1681:7; 1689:19
second (13) 1662:1;1680:17; 1683:13;1690:3; 1694:15;1739:22; 1740:25;1742:17; 1808:16;1817:4,7; 1822:17;1826:10 secondary (1) 1702:6 seconds (1) 1831:13 section (3) 1662:13;1681:7; 1689:19 Security (3)
second (13) 1662:1;1680:17; 1683:13;1690:3; 1694:15;1739:22; 1740:25;1742:17; 1808:16;1817:4,7; 1822:17;1826:10 secondary (1) 1702:6 seconds (1) 1831:13 section (3) 1662:13;1681:7; 1689:19
second (13) 1662:1;1680:17; 1683:13;1690:3; 1694:15;1739:22; 1740:25;1742:17; 1808:16;1817:4,7; 1822:17;1826:10 secondary (1) 1702:6 seconds (1) 1831:13 section (3) 1662:13;1681:7; 1689:19 Security (3) 1709:25;1710:23;
second (13) 1662:1;1680:17; 1683:13;1690:3; 1694:15;1739:22; 1740:25;1742:17; 1808:16;1817:4,7; 1822:17;1826:10 secondary (1) 1702:6 seconds (1) 1831:13 section (3) 1662:13;1681:7; 1689:19 Security (3) 1709:25;1710:23; 1801:9
second (13) 1662:1;1680:17; 1683:13;1690:3; 1694:15;1739:22; 1740:25;1742:17; 1808:16;1817:4,7; 1822:17;1826:10 secondary (1) 1702:6 seconds (1) 1831:13 section (3) 1662:13;1681:7; 1689:19 Security (3) 1709:25;1710:23; 1801:9
second (13) 1662:1;1680:17; 1683:13;1690:3; 1694:15;1739:22; 1740:25;1742:17; 1808:16;1817:4,7; 1822:17;1826:10 secondary (1) 1702:6 seconds (1) 1831:13 section (3) 1662:13;1681:7; 1689:19 Security (3) 1709:25;1710:23; 1801:9 seeds (7) 1731:19;1732:2,6,
second (13) 1662:1;1680:17; 1683:13;1690:3; 1694:15;1739:22; 1740:25;1742:17; 1808:16;1817:4,7; 1822:17;1826:10 secondary (1) 1702:6 seconds (1) 1831:13 section (3) 1662:13;1681:7; 1689:19 Security (3) 1709:25;1710:23; 1801:9 seeds (7) 1731:19;1732:2,6, 10;1748:6;1751:15;
second (13) 1662:1;1680:17; 1683:13;1690:3; 1694:15;1739:22; 1740:25;1742:17; 1808:16;1817:4,7; 1822:17;1826:10 secondary (1) 1702:6 seconds (1) 1831:13 section (3) 1662:13;1681:7; 1689:19 Security (3) 1709:25;1710:23; 1801:9 seeds (7) 1731:19;1732:2,6, 10;1748:6;1751:15; 1756:10
second (13) 1662:1;1680:17; 1683:13;1690:3; 1694:15;1739:22; 1740:25;1742:17; 1808:16;1817:4,7; 1822:17;1826:10 secondary (1) 1702:6 seconds (1) 1831:13 section (3) 1662:13;1681:7; 1689:19 Security (3) 1709:25;1710:23; 1801:9 seeds (7) 1731:19;1732:2,6, 10;1748:6;1751:15; 1756:10 seeing (6)
second (13) 1662:1;1680:17; 1683:13;1690:3; 1694:15;1739:22; 1740:25;1742:17; 1808:16;1817:4,7; 1822:17;1826:10 secondary (1) 1702:6 seconds (1) 1831:13 section (3) 1662:13;1681:7; 1689:19 Security (3) 1709:25;1710:23; 1801:9 seeds (7) 1731:19;1732:2,6, 10;1748:6;1751:15; 1756:10

1718:20:1731:23; 1745:2;1795:11 seeking (1) 1714:8 seem (1) 1802:20 seems (1) 1725:17 segmented (1) 1745:2 segregated (1) 1671:12 seized (1) 1790:25 seizure (16) 1700:2;1730:7; 1777:18,19,21; 1790:10,14,16,18; 1791:22;1792:7,8,10, 10;1793:3,23 seizures (13) 1748:11,18; 1764:21;1765:20; 1791:16,19,24; 1793:8,13,15,19,22; 1806:3 select (1) 1828:24 selection (3) 1726:7;1742:18; 1762:6 self (2) 1795:19:1797:4 self-conscious (1) 1796:17 self-explanatory (1) 1745:10 sell (3) 1683:2;1750:25; 1788:16 selling (2) 1757:14;1769:13 Send (3) 1725:5;1832:3,5 sensation (1) 1788:4 sense (14) 1663:6;1695:6,24; 1696:19;1697:18; 1698:14;1731:7; 1734:9;1772:8,9,14; 1774:7;1788:20; 1794:1 sensitive (1) 1720:22 sensory (2) 1696:17;1698:8 sent (2) 1662:7;1683:19 separate (2) 1670:16;1722:9

separated (2) 1779:11;1794:24

1718:3

1830:4

1832:4

serve (1)

server (1)

set (9)

sets (1)

setup (1)

1679:7

1826:3;1828:1.3.13 separations (1) 1794:15 shift (6) September (1) 1691:17,18; 12.19:1781: ship (1) sequestered (1) 1674:24 shirt (2) sequestration (1) 1692:5.7 serious (5) shoes (1) 1746:1,10,11; 1686:23 1781:25;1794:23 shoot (1) 1701:21 1827:16 short (2) 1716:22:179 1668:24 short-changed 1799:17 service (1) shortcomings 1800:18 1692:20;170 services (8) 1670:17;1679:15; shorter (2) 1818:19;1822:24; 1691:11;17 1823:4,6,6;1825:1 short-term (1) sessions (1) 1791:25 1768:17 shot (1) 1702:16 1679:14;1683:18; shoulder (3) 1714:6;1794 1684:5;1701:6,7,12; 1819:6;1821:10; show (8) 1823:13 1678:13:170 1727:12;173 1718:16 1738:6:1783 setting (1) 1790:14:180 1708:17 showed (9) 1675:19:169 1697:3:1776 1779:23,25; seven (11) 17;1790:10 1674:5;1716:19; showing (3) 1737:6:1766:3.4: 1772:6,11;1794:18; 1779:18;178 1806:8;1808:15,18 shows (7) seven-day (3) 1683:12.19; 1716:15,25;1766:3 1722:20;178 several (2) 1785:19 1668:10;1683:12 shreds (1) 1766:19 severe (11) 1780:20;1781:10, shrink (1) 1784:3 13,14;1785:21,22; 1786:1;1789:13,14, shriveled (1) 1783:23 16;1812:7 severely (2) shrunk (2) 1731:20,20 1785:3,8 shrunken (1)

side-bar (4)

side (22)

1826:3;1828:1,3,13	1817:9
nift (6)	sidebars (1)
1691:17,18;1780:9,	1771:11
12,19;1781:11	side-bars (1)
nip (1)	1673:6
1674:24 nirt (2)	<b>sides (6)</b> 1672:23;1673:18,
1692:5,7	20;1692:10;1711:3;
noes (1)	1762:16
1686:23	side's (1)
100t (1)	1673:11
1701:21	sign (1)
nort (2) 1716:22;1792:12	1826:15 signed (1)
ort-changed (1)	1816:4
1799:17	significance (1)
ortcomings (2)	1665:6
1692:20;1708:21	significant (5)
norter (2) 1691:11;1711:14	1686:18;1692:19; 1707:11;1716:8;
1091.11,1711.14 10rt-term (1)	1789:24
1791:25	signing (2)
not (1)	1828:4,13
1702:16	signs (1)
noulder (3)	1684:14
1714:6;1794:17,24 now (8)	<b>similar (3)</b> 1695:23;1696:23;
1678:13;1701:18;	1699:12
1727:12;1731:24;	similarly (1)
1738:6;1783:7;	1696:15
1790:14;1806:16	simple (3)
1675:19;1696:8;	1745:14;1773:11, 15
1697:3;1776:9;	simplify (1)
1779:23,25;1786:16,	1828:12
17;1790:10	simply (10)
nowing (3)	1659:23;1663:3;
1779:18;1780:3,5 nows (7)	1670:18;1673:20; 1674:18;1710:6;
1683:12,19;1684:5;	1781:10;1823:18;
1722:20;1781:1,5;	1828:2,12
1785:19	Sinai (1)
reds (1)	1696:11
1766:19 arink (1)	<b>singer (1)</b> 1668:1
1784:3	singers (1)
nriveled (1)	1667:6
1783:23	singing (1)
<b>1795-2 9</b>	1667:18
1785:3,8 1runken (1)	<b>single (9)</b> 1667:23;1687:13;
1783:24	1688:19;1690:8;
de (22)	1694:9,13;1703:14;
1676:24;1689:1;	1704:15;1742:21
1694:24,25;1695:4,5;	sinking (1)
1703:18;1738:24; 1739:2;1772:3,4;	1674:24 SIROTKIN (16)
1779:4,22;1780:2,4,6,	1662:6;1663:14,19,
11,22;1781:19;	24;1668:17;1669:18;
1794:9;1811:17;	1675:10,12,19;
1812:3	1676:23;1677:1,18;
<b>de-bar (4)</b> 1673:4,11;1674:20;	1678:2;1683:1; 1688:21;1808:22
10/3.7,11,10/4.20,	1000.21,1000.22

sit (2) 1687:7;1724:23 sites (1) 1708:18 sitting (3) 1716:18;1742:1; 1792:8 situation (2) 1732:25;1783:17 six (25) 1688:2;1690:17; 1694:20;1700:8; 1707:25;1709:5; 1711:1;1712:9; 1716:12,16;1728:22; 1734:24;1742:8; 1793:21;1794:24; 1806:8;1826:9,10; 1827:13;1828:5,6,8, 22;1832:3,22 size (1) 1783:16 skill (4) 1679:13;1701:7,12; 1814:4 skin (1) 1739:3 skull (31) 1687:21;1689:19; 1690:2:1737:4.12: 1739:7,24,25:1744:3, 6.8.18.20.22:1745:17: 1779:5,22:1780:10; 1781:6,17,20,22; 1783:19;1784:8; 1785:3;1786:5,12,22, 23:1794:9:1796:23 sky (1) 1701:21 sleep (1) 1788:13 slice (1) 1780:15 slide (4) 1730:3;1773:8; 1788:25;1793:17 slightly (3) 1660:4;1665:7; 1767:24 slow (2) 1684:3;1768:25 slowed (2) 1767:24;1768:15 small (1) 1728:17 smaller (1) 1784:2 smallest (2) 1736:6;1803:17 smart (1) 1699:4 smarter (1) 1807:15

**December 5, 2019** 

smooth (1) 1786:22 social (8) 1699:5;1709:25; 1710:23;1733:14,19; 1768:3;1796:17; 1801:9 society (1) 1719:22 socket (2) 1744:5;1779:24 soft (2) 1780:10;1786:18 sold (1) 1678:19 sole (1) 1811:5 Solely (4) 1804:16;1813:7; 1816:13,23 somebody (25) 1661:18;1674:24; 1697:23;1705:25; 1712:23;1716:18; 1729:4,22;1756:3,7; 1761:24;1762:10; 1765:25;1767:14; 1769:5;1771:2; 1772:7;1776:17; 1781:15:1782:14: 1783:21,22;1793:2; 1794:21:1796:18 somehow (2) 1693:11;1742:9 someone (17) 1691:24;1693:7; 1697:1;1699:2,17; 1702:3;1705:17,18; 1718:12;1729:5; 1730:13:1733:5,6,6; 1763:1:1781:13: 1788:15 someplace (1) 1829:24 sometimes (9) 1716:21,22,22,23; 1717:5,6;1753:3; 1798:15;1802:3 somewhat (3) 1729:20,21;1794:8 somewhere (2) 1691:10;1727:4 soon (2) 1734:23;1832:22 sooner (1) 1799:13 Sophir-Kusnetz (1) 1768:11 sorry (4) 1692:6;1756:17; 1797:1;1830:11 sort (7) 1672:8;1727:4;

sewed (1)

shaken (1)

1791:8

Shattered (3)

1692:7,16;1786:20

1729:9;1795:20,20

1662:16:1669:21,

23;1670:1,7,10,24;

1671:1,2,7,19;1705:4;

1806:17,19;1822:15;

shape (3)

sheet (19)

1739:24

1743:3;1775:14; 1781:6;1783:9; 1792:9 sought (1) 1668:9 sound (2) 1684:12;1783:21 sounded (1) 1791:2 sounds (2) 1740:8;1754:14 Southside (1) 1741:3 sow (3) 1732:2,5,10 Sowing (4) 1731:19;1748:6; 1751:15;1756:10 space (3) 1680:8;1823:19; 1826:15 spaces (1) 1783:9 speak (3) 1724:25;1738:12; 1739:15 speaks (3) 1778:14;1795:15; 1796:3 special (6) 1666:18:1668:18; 1669:4.9:1671:9: 1814:4 specialist (1) 1789:25 specialty (1) 1782:16 specific (3) 1726:13;1816:16, 17 specifically (11) 1660:2;1664:10; 1695:7;1702:10; 1711:7;1729:15; 1731:25;1770:15; 1771:5,6;1775:10 specificity (4) 1664:23;1670:2; 1671:17,21 speculate (2) 1813:10:1819:23 speech (5) 1741:8,21,22; 1767:24;1794:2 speed (2) 1768:15;1789:4 spelling (3) 1763:10;1775:9; 1776:4 spend (1) 1802:9 spending (1) 1803:11

spends (2) 1704:12:1795:15 spent (3) 1756:4,13;1774:1 spike (1) 1707:10 Spinal (1) 1737:6 spirit (1) 1669:23 spitting (1) 1791:5 spoke (5) 1668:21;1762:6; 1772:23;1789:3,9 spoken (1) 1688:19 sponsor (1) 1679:8 sponsors (1) 1679:4 sponsorship (4) 1678:16,19;1679:2; 1683.2 sponsorships (2) 1678:8,10 spot (1) 1784:9 spots (1) 1785:4 squashes (1) 1780:11 squired (1) 1781:7 squirting (1) 1781:19 St (1) 1741:16 stand (9) 1673:8;1686:22; 1724:6;1763:8; 1810:4;1815:10; 1816:7;1831:20,20 standard (3) 1691:17;1703:12, 24 standing (2) 1694:10;1710:3 stands (1) 1713:4 start (4) 1725:2;1761:22; 1807:25;1830:19 started (5) 1728:20;1756:22; 1771:10;1832:10,16 starting (3) 1801:4;1822:16; 1831:5 starts (2) 1707:19;1826:22 state (12) 1672:9;1703:6,7;

1715:8:1729:23; 1755:15.19:1814:5: 1819:9,12,12;1821:14 stated (3) 1672:2;1772:3; 1814:8 statement (11) 1660:5;1687:10; 1688:8;1699:19; 1741:4;1751:20,20; 1752:10;1753:12; 1754:20,20 statements (1) 1687:9 States (1) 1791:19 statistic (1) 1799:9 statistical (4) 1711:8;1818:3,5; 1821:4 statistically (3) 1710:11;1711:14; 1721:17 statistics (1) 1710:6 stay (6) 1721:2;1724:21,21; 1729:22,23;1828:10 staved (1) 1699:20 steering (1) 1691:18 stenographer (1) 1816:2 steps (2) 1690:11:1720:18 sterile (1) 1739:11 Steven (1) 1813:20 stick (3) 1691:17;1796:6; 1833:4 sticking (1) 1714:12 sticks (1) 1752:6 still (21) 1660:10;1686:10, 10:1690:20:1715:5: 1725:8,18;1728:21; 1731:17:1753:11; 1764:18;1769:23; 1771:13;1794:14; 1798:19,20;1822:9; 1828:6;1829:22; 1830:1.3 stipulated (4) 1659:13:1660:11, 22:1812:23 stipulation (1) 1659:18

stood (1) 1695:14 stop (3) 1691:5;1770:14; 1826:21 stopped (1) 1764:10 stopping (1) 1691:5 store (6) 1714:24;1719:18; 1739:20:1740:16; 1796:22;1803:10 stored (2) 1739:10,18 stories (2) 1775:7,8 storing (1) 1739:22 story (2) 1719:18;1746:18 stove (1) 1802:6 straddled (1) 1702:17 straight (8) 1726:1;1729:8; 1730:24;1737:24; 1754:12;1757:23; 1763:23:1788:19 straightforward (3) 1725:11;1759:3; 1788:25 strange (1) 1698:18 strategy (1) 1672:13 stray (2) 1764:3;1765:16 street (1) 1802:18 strength (3) 1692:4;1693:20: 1741:19 stress (1) 1821:16 stricken (1) 1809:19 strike (1) 1718:2 structure (5) 1679:3;1683:4,5; 1684:12;1722:5 structures (2) 1679:19;1789:21 studies (2) 1773:3;1782:5 stuff (5) 1671:14,19;1754:8; 1768:20:1777:6 stupid (2) 1703:16:1830:14 style (5)

#### **December 5, 2019**

1704:18,18; 1768:16:1769:1.4 subconsciously (2) 1702:2.8 Subdural (2) 1737:5;1738:25 subject (4) 1666:22;1705:5; 1710:5;1797:20 subjective (8) 1727:3,5,7;1764:7, 8;1779:13;1781:20; 1793:1 submission (1) 1704:23 submit (20) 1667:7;1669:22; 1670:15;1687:14; 1701:5,9;1704:24; 1715:16;1724:5; 1772:8,14;1775:21; 1788:15;1795:21; 1796:1,12;1799:20; 1802:21;1806:16; 1807:3 submitted (5) 1704:25;1769:22; 1823:24;1824:7; 1825:14 subsequent (1) 1782:5 substance (3) 1703:3.8;1831:21 substantive (2) 1703:15:1776:3 substantively (1) 1659:11 success (1) 1822:6 successful (2) 1733:9;1765:18 suddenly (1) 1790:25 suffer (2) 1819:20;1821:12 suffered (2) 1817:15;1818:16 suffering (34) 1666:5,8;1700:18; 1705:12;1722:9,10; 1723:1,4,23,25; 1735:23,24;1736:7, 17.18;1741:11; 1774:15;1795:22,23, 24;1796:14;1799:3,4, 14,21;1806:19,24; 1807:6;1817:2,17,20, 20;1818:15;1823:12 suggest (11) 1687:20;1693:2; 1702:6:1723:22: 1742:9:1770:10: 1799:1,1,22;1807:9;

Live Nation				Detember 5, 2013
1816:19	1762-22-1906-12-	1728:19	1607.7 0.1604.11.	1717:8,14;1718:14;
	1762:22;1806:13;		1682:2,8;1684:11;	
suggested (6)	1822:23;1824:17	talents (2)	1800:8	1815:24;1827:24
1673:14;1694:20;	surgery (56)	1668:19;1669:4	tattoos (1)	terrible (1)
1713:13,14;1767:25;	1689:16;1698:25;	talk (52)	1800:7	1794:1
1816:16	1699:16,22;1700:7,	1660:20,21;1664:6;	taught (3)	terrific (1)
suggesting (2)	11;1713:15;1714:7;	1689:11;1707:22;	1668:22,22;	1761:17
1708:22;1712:12	1720:1;1738:22;	1708:20;1709:24;	1800:17	terror (1)
suggestion (2)	1739:2,23;1740:1,4,	1710:2;1711:11;	tax (2)	1736:21
1693:11;1807:13	10,15,18,25;1744:8,	1712:21,24;1713:11;	1661:7;1804:16	test (19)
suggestions (1)	18,19,23,23;1745:4,7,	1725:9;1727:10,22;	taxes (1)	1694:7;1695:1;
1816:20	8,9,15,20,22;1746:2,	1728:13,13;1730:19;	1661:2	1696:23;1697:2,3,22;
suggests (1)	2,3,4,7,8,13,21,21;	1731:17;1732:24,25;	<b>TBI (1)</b>	1701:23,23,23;
1702:3	1747:11,17,19;	1733:12;1735:22;	1767:12	1702:11,17;1772:24,
sum (5)	1749:12;1765:18;	1737:1,19;1744:16;	teach (1)	25;1773:4,7,10,14,15,
1673:5;1677:7;	1781:5,22;1782:1,15;	1746:18;1748:7;	1733:20	18
1688:17;1769:15;	1790:22;1792:2,2,5;	1753:20;1754:12;	teacher (1)	tested (3)
1816:25	1793:14;1806:5,6;	1755:4;1756:2,21;	1667:18	1755:12;1756:4;
summarize (2)	1824:16	1764:6,6;1767:1;	team (2)	1760:8
1689:9;1786:3	surgical (2)	1772:21;1777:11;	1728:4;1796:25	testified (9)
summarizing (1)	1713:18;1737:2	1779:20;1790:3;	tearing (1)	1669:1;1738:20;
1768:1	surrender (1)	1794:1;1795:8,9,13;	1786:19	1779:16,18;1802:21;
summary (1)	1829:8	1797:21;1800:2;	tears (2)	1810:22;1813:24;
1768:13				1810:22,1815:24, 1814:10;1815:3
	sustain (1)	1801:5;1803:17;	1786:13,24	
summation (5)	1812:7	1816:8;1826:10;	technician (1)	testifies (2)
1685:17,18;1725:3;	sustained (9)	1830:2;1831:10	1668:10	1810:6,24
1771:10;1812:25	1674:7;1687:23;	talked (16)	teeth (1)	testify (8)
summations (2)	1732:18,20;1736:13;	1668:15;1692:5,6;	1726:2	1694:14;1704:9;
1673:3;1685:14	1804:11;1805:23;	1696:22;1704:13;	television (1)	1740:22;1750:6;
super (1)	1821:22,24	1709:10;1717:18;	1690:1	1752:19,20;1759:13;
1733:2	swallows (1)	1726:7;1758:19;	telling (20)	1810:25
supervision (2)	1667:8	1772:23;1782:2;	1728:10;1730:17,	testifying (4)
1766:4;1772:6	sway (1)	1789:9;1790:4;	24;1746:18;1749:13;	1731:1;1748:17;
support (6)	1761:4	1797:24;1826:2;	1756:12,13,24;	1750:3;1816:7
1712:7,13;1714:8,	Swearing (2)	1831:22	1757:8,12,23;	testimony (66)
18;1717:11;1737:14	1762:3,25	talking (28)	1763:21,23;1765:15;	1663:2,20;1665:23,
supports (1)	sweat (1)	1665:15;1671:13;	1772:19;1774:7;	24;1667:18,21;
1811:22	1798:3	1674:11;1693:4;	1798:24,25;1805:8;	1668:21;1677:21;
supposed (1)	swelling (3)	1704:19;1726:13,14;	1821:18	1678:3;1686:15;
1720:5	1689:22;1780:8;	1727:5,16;1732:15;	tells (10)	1692:1;1709:11;
sure (23)	1781:11	1735:24;1736:15;	1687:3;1688:16;	1710:17;1711:2;
1666:19;1672:18,	syllables (1)	1740:5,15;1742:22;	1693:9;1699:24;	1714:5;1716:10,21;
24;1674:23;1682:5;	1700:15	1753:9,10;1762:16;	1717:24;1729:16;	1717:25;1718:10;
1684:22;1692:15;	sympathy (2)	1763:18,20;1764:10;	1736:8;1762:4;	1723:20;1726:12,13,
1695:14;1698:15;	1721:9;1813:15	1769:24;1780:7;	1788:7;1789:20	16;1727:14;1728:14;
1706:1;1732:19;	symptoms (5)	1786:21;1793:18;	temper (2)	1730:5,9,9,22;
1748:16,18;1752:18;	1665:8,12,13,19;	1800:1;1802:2;	1675:1;1717:22	1731:24;1732:1;
1759:14;1761:17;	1754:1	1830:1	temporal (4)	1733:25;1747:13,14;
1763:4;1766:9;	system (3)	talks (6)	1788:2;1789:10,22;	1755:1;1756:6,15;
1774:6;1792:13;	1687:3,5;1724:16	1729:16;1744:21;	1794:9	1761:19;1773:9,9,19;
	1087.3,3,1724.10			
1794:4;1798:17;	т	1745:23;1788:2;	ten (7)	1776:22,22;1809:18,
1831:11	Т	1796:20;1822:17	1707:7;1718:4;	21;1810:4,8,11,23;
surface (2)		tapestry (1)	1737:25;1794:15;	1811:3,7,13;1812:21;
1783:1;1786:12	table (3)	1773:20	1795:17,17;1799:10	1813:3,17;1814:22,
surgeon (5)	1818:4,5;1830:20	Target (1)	tend (1)	23;1815:11,14,15,18,
1744:12;1745:11,	tables (3)	1803:16	1664:25	19;1825:20,24;
12;1746:12;1822:25	1710:5;1821:2,4	task (2)	term (9)	1827:7;1833:1
surgeons (5)	tactics (2)	1715:2;1769:5	1730:4;1753:15;	testing (13)
1739:14,15;	1672:13;1743:5	tasks (4)	1761:13;1775:2;	1694:1;1695:6;
1745:22;1746:13,16	talent (4)	1690:21;1691:6;	1776:6,13,14,15;	1696:22;1700:4;
surgeries (13)	1684:8,10,20;	1715:24;1817:11	1812:15	1701:18,19,22;
1687:22;1740:2,4,	1822:2	Tattoo (11)	terms (9)	1701:13,19,22, 1702:17;1752:9;
20;1745:21;1747:3,	talented (3)	1679:8;1680:11;	1661:6;1665:4;	1753:13;1768:17;
20;1750:23;1757:16;	1684:22;1703:19;	1681:1,4,10,16,24;	1666:16;1671:4;	1772:21;1793:9

tests (14) 1696:25:1697:20. 20,21,24;1700:8,12; 1755:12;1763:14; 1772:24;1773:10; 1809:22,23;1815:10 Thanks (1) 1807:16 Theodore (2) 1813:21;1824:12 therapeutic (1) 1712:13 therapies (3) 1664:19,19;1716:3 therapy (8) 1741:8,8,9,9,14,21; 1823:5,5 thinking (9) 1675:2;1702:8; 1732:4,5;1740:8; 1764:24;1774:2; 1787:16;1789:12 third (3) 1690:4;1694:15; 1705:1 though (3) 1659:11;1721:20; 1815:15 thought (16) 1659:14,17:1661:2; 1671:24:1674:11; 1676:21:1710:2: 1727:4:1735:19; 1736:20;1740:23; 1758:16;1759:3; 1771:1;1791:4; 1794:8 thoughts (2) 1768:1;1807:21 thousand (1) 1728:10 three (22) 1669:25;1683:23; 1692:2;1700:15; 1705:3;1707:3,7; 1718:20;1735:4; 1740:19;1745:8,20, 22;1746:3;1755:11; 1756:5;1785:24; 1804:25;1805:25; 1806:2;1822:19; 1828:6 threshold (1) 1792:7 threw (1) 1765:23 throat (2) 1738:14:1791:4 throughout (7) 1668:19;1696:8; 1699:1:1703:11: 1707:15:1768:16; 1829:25

10,18 throw (6) 1752:5:1754:8: tomorrow (1) 1764:13:1765:4.13; 1799:10 1805:12 tone (4) throwing (2) 1731:22;1808:1 1784:2 tier (3) took (8) 1683:5,12,13 tight (1) 1734:14 tighter (1) 1734:14 top (1) timely (1) 1662:1 1673:14 topic (4) times (12) 1679:4;1701:1; 1709:15;1713:9,14, topics (3) 16;1735:4;1749:21, 22;1785:25;1805:25; 1717:17 1806:2 total (11) tissue (9) 1782:7;1783:4,5, 10,14;1784:10; 1785:5,7;1789:24 tissues (1) totally (3) 1786:19 titanium (3) 1811:2 1745:9,16,18 touch (3) today (11) 1699:24;1776:2; tough (2) 1778:15:1791:22; 1807:22.23:1818:22: toughest (1) 1819:18,24;1832:17, 1723:3 20 toward (1) together (14) 1668:11 1670:24;1671:11; towards (1) 1679:9:1681:6: 1829:5 1684:2;1724:3: tows (1) 1773:20;1797:7,8,13; 1672:24 1810:15:1818:11; trach (1) 1821:7;1830:20 1737:17 told (43) Traditionally (1) 1659:21;1661:6; 1828:20 1679:6;1685:15; traffic (4) 1686:16;1687:10,13; training (10) 1694:16;1695:23; 1699:9;1700:5; 1705:24;1708:25; 1712:10,10;1715:10; 1717:19;1719:17; 1720:12;1727:17; trait (4) 1729:2,8;1734:6; 1740:19;1742:2,18, transcribed (1) 20;1748:21;1752:7,8; 1816:3 1758:1,5;1778:17,21; transcript (2) 1779:21;1780:4; 1787:7;1793:5; transmission (1) 1799:24;1809:7; 1691:17 1815:8;1827:12; transportation (7) 1830:7 **TOMM (8)** 1697:22;1755:13, Trapped (4) 25;1772:25;1773:4,7,

trash (1) 1692:4,10;1698:7; 1667:14:1671:1; 1685:10;1705:19; 1707:14:1745:3; 1779:20;1830:25 1668:15;1701:17; 1764:8;1790:3 Treat(1) 1667:5;1686:18; 1687:25;1710:22, 24;1728:24;1744:21; 1804:2,22;1819:8,12; 1821:18;1823:13 1673:7;1769:8; 1694:24;1695:4,4 1719:10:1795:9 trial (20) 1691:5,9,10,11 tried (15) 1667:13,17,19; 1668:4,4;1692:11; 1695:21;1708:15; 1772:7;1822:2 1755:15,17,21,23 tries (1) 1661:23;1816:5 true (6) 1714:18,20,23; 1715:3,16;1803:4,8 truly (2) 1729:11,13;

1798:12,19 1765:4 trauma (3) 1780:20;1786:9; 1791:18 traumatic (19) 1737:3:1738:4: 1741:14;1760:4,11; 1763:5;1767:11; 1774:5,8;1781:10,13; 1785:15;1789:13,16; 1790:2;1791:20,25; 1792:24:1793:10 traumatizing (1) 1792:5 1731:13 treated (2) 1696:9;1719:24 treaters (2) 1712:12;1717:3 treating (5) 1694:13;1696:5; 1704:14;1712:8; 1720:12 treatment (13) 1687:23;1688:2; 1698:11;1701:2; 1712:7:1715:9: 1716:5,11:1717:1; 1719:7:1824:9: 1825:15.15 treatments (2) 1825:6,6 tremendously (1) 1730:13 1675:5;1678:3,4; 1689:9,11:1727:2,7; 1738:17;1742:11; 1805:21;1808:25; 1809:7,8,15;1812:22; 1813:8;1815:22,25; 1816:6;1829:25 1676:24;1687:1; 1690:19;1703:5; 1734:5;1735:17; 1753:15;1777:17,21, 23;1778:7.9.17; 1797:20;1799:23 1798:17 triple-degreed (1) 1705:20 trouble (1) 1794:2 1700:17;1709:8; 1753:17;1763:11; 1811:3;1812:23

1692:14;1693:11 truss (1) 1735:14 trust (1) 1660:18 truth (4) 1762:3,25;1815:8; 1829:9 truthful (1) 1719:5 truthfully (1) 1762:11 try (8) 1689:20;1694:3; 1720:18;1721:2; 1754:9;1762:17; 1763:8;1830:18 trying (34) 1679:11;1690:22; 1697:11;1708:20; 1717:8:1728:16; 1734:7;1741:6; 1749:5,10;1753:13, 16;1756:13;1758:17; 1759:1,6,9,12; 1760:25;1762:18; 1766:18;1772:17; 1773:22;1775:5; 1776:21;1777:17,17; 1778:5:1787:23; 1788:15,17;1796:4,8; 1798:20 tube (6) 1737:17,17,17; 1738:13:1795:5.6 tune (1) 1716:9 tuning (1) 1694:24 turn (1) 1734:12 turned (2) 1728:21;1780:5 turning (1) 1671:6 twice (1) 1693:19 twisted (1) 1786:20 twists (1) 1786:13 two (51) 1664:8;1665:17; 1676:21;1683:19; 1691:10;1699:13,23; 1700:1;1701:1; 1707:7;1709:15; 1711:13;1714:12,17; 1718:6;1720:11,15; 1724:3;1740:19,24; 1745:21,22;1746:13, 15,16:1756:4: 1757:23;1761:20;

Live Nation	T		T	December 5, 2019
17(2,1(,17(2,14)	1755.17	1720.6 (.1720.12)	1702-21-1779-17	1755.14.1920.5
1762:16;1763:14;	1755:17	1729:6,6;1730:13;	1702:21;1778:17,	1755:14;1829:5
1777:9;1786:5;	understands (3)	1733:15;1734:13;	20,23	viewed (1)
1789:10,10;1794:18;	1672:18;1718:13,	1736:3,3,4;1739:2,4,	validity (1)	1790:17
1805:3,4,24;1806:2;	13	24;1740:1,21;	1697:21	views (1)
1808:11,13;1822:16,	Understood (4)	1742:24;1749:17;	valuation (1)	1826:8
16;1827:14,16;	1660:14;1675:4,7;	1754:9;1756:13;	1705:23	vinyl (1)
1828:6,9,9;1829:21;	1771:15	1762:13;1763:8,8;	value (8)	1679:16
1832:4,5	undoes (1)	1764:23;1766:5;	1690:25;1695:16,	violating (1)
two- (1)	1667:11	1769:15;1770:1,2;	17,17,18,19;1710:22;	1829:6
1680:12	unemployability (1)	1773:11,12,12;	1723:12	violinists (1)
type (4)	1763:6	1777:6;1778:8;	variability (2)	1667:5
1669:1,3;1679:7;	unemployable (6)	1781:15;1782:13;	1701:20;1702:22	vision (1)
1700:7	1766:1;1775:11,14,	1787:9;1788:19;	variety (1)	1787:9
types (2)	16;1801:6;1803:5	1790:4,24,25;1791:5,	1665:12	visit (2)
1664:18;1788:9	unequivocally (1)	7,8,22;1793:4;1796:1,	various (4)	1805:10,12
typing (2)	1687:15	7,8,24;1797:16;	1664:18;1676:11;	Visual (1)
	unethical (3)	1802:5;1803:20;	1703:1;1719:13	1789:4
1763:10;1776:4				
typo (2)	1758:8,12;1759:1	1819:24;1830:18;	vary (1)	visualize (2)
1763:25;1775:22	unfair (2)	1831:7	1755:17	1785:18,25
typographical (2)	1705:18;1706:1	upon (23)	vast (1)	vocational (1)
1659:10;1703:4	unfortunately (3)	1666:24;1667:16;	1785:15	1667:21
typos (1)	1734:21;1749:9;	1679:14;1684:7;	vehicle (3)	voir (3)
1775:8	1785:12	1689:3;1693:22;	1691:3,16,18	1703:20;1723:6;
	unintentionally (1)	1694:6;1696:19;	vendor (2)	1724:7
U	1815:12	1698:3;1702:11;	1680:7,7	volumes (1)
	union (2)	1708:11;1711:14;	ventilator (1)	1778:14
uh (3)	1681:19;1683:6	1712:17;1721:13;	1737:15	vote (1)
1766:1,1,1	unique (4)	1722:15;1723:20;	verbose (1)	1828:19
ultimately (2)	1699:16;1701:6,7;	1724:7;1810:23;	1767:25	
1690:5;1780:25	1723:2	1813:8;1814:8;	verdict (35)	W
umbrella (1)	unit (2)	1815:2;1821:5;	1661:9;1662:16;	
1800:11	1737:3;1741:7	1826:21	1669:21,23;1670:1,7,	wages (3)
unable (2)	United (1)	upset (2)	9,23;1671:1,2,7,19;	1707:20;1736:6;
1769:11;1812:2	1791:19	1705:2;1752:3	1688:16;1705:4;	1823:12
unacceptable (1)	University (8)	upsetting (1)	1721:7;1722:5,10;	wailing (1)
1811:2	1698:24,25;	1730:1	1736:3;1738:8;	1791:3
unanswered (1)	1705:21;1719:15;	use (17)	1806:17,19;1813:4,	waist (1)
1809:18	1736:25;1744:11;	1691:19;1718:16,	13,16;1818:14;	1698:8
unanticipated (1)	1761:25;1824:11	17;1731:16;1749:16;	1819:1,6;1821:10;	wait (1)
1724:1	unless (1)	1750:1,2;1751:4;	1822:11,15;1826:2;	1707:11
unbelievable (2)	1793:2	1753:15;1776:7;	1827:25;1828:3,13;	waiting (2)
1693:13;1709:18	uno (1)	1783:25;1784:1;	1829:6	1734:25;1742:7
unbelievably (2)	1762:4	1805:15;1809:22;	version (2)	wake (1)
1733:9;1765:15	unqualified (1)	1813:2;1829:25;	1670:9;1831:8	1764:23
uncontrolled (1)	1705:17	1830:3	versions (2)	walk (5)
1791:3	unreasonable (1)	used (12)	1810:14,17	1696:18;1697:5;
uncontroverted (4)	1747:23	1665:3;1693:25;	versus (2)	1719:22;1796:7;
1690:6;1710:17;	unreliable (1)	1745:19;1775:2;	1694:25;1824:4	1802:19
1711:2;1719:11	1815:20	1776:13,14;1778:9;	vertically (1)	walking (3)
under (13)	unsuccessful (1)	1796:9,15;1797:3;	1681:7	1727:16;1732:15;
1671:3,4;1674:16;	1708:24	1805:15;1823:22	vice (2)	1802:16
1675:8;1740:2;	up (81)	using (7)	1678:8,10	wall (1)
1753:7;1792:6;	1664:14;1668:8;	1692:12;1708:7;	vicinity (1)	1752:5
1800:11;1801:14;	1673:5,8;1674:14,23;	1743:4;1745:17,18;	1685:19	wants (17)
1816:1,13;1825:2;	1677:7;1679:11;	1743.4,1743.17,18, 1753:8;1823:21	victim (2)	1660:16;1673:24;
1810.1,15,1825.2, 1827:12	1681:7,18;1683:18;	usual (1)	1725:19;1758:10	1727:9;1733:10;
undergoing (1)	1684:5;1695:14;	1793:25	Victoria (1)	1727.9,1755.10, 1747:3;1772:4,5;
1824:25	1698:1;1701:20,21,	usually (1)	1800:21	1747.5,1772.4,5, 1776:7;1777:25;
undergone (1)	24;1703:22;1707:6,	1725:12	video (1)	1778:1,1,2,4,5,11;
1690:15	17;1708:21;1709:19;	1/23.12	1790:14	1778.1,1,2,4,3,11, 1803:10,11
underneath (1)	1713:4;1715:5;	V	view (6)	warrant (2)
1786:7	1717:2;1718:10;	▼	1667:4;1684:16;	1673:18;1815:1
understandable (1)	1722:4,11;1724:6;	valid (4)	1699:14;1726:11;	washing (2)
	1,22.7,11,1/27.0,	· •···• ( ·)	1077.17,1720.11,	

1778:8;1802:5	1683:23;1686:15;	1787:5;1788:6,23;	witnessed (1)	works (1)
waste (1)	1689:5;1735:3	1789:7,19;1790:1,15;	1735:22	1829:23
1667:2	weigh (4)	1791:13;1792:15,17,	witnesses (19)	world (8)
watch (6)	1709:18;1710:8;	20,21;1793:16;	1725:25;1726:2;	1693:4;1695:22;
1689:5,6,6,6;	1717:16;1827:10	1794:5;1795:7;	1729:2,3;1730:23,24,	1698:21;1699:1;
1715:21;1730:23	weighed (3)	1797:2,11,12,14,23;	25;1738:18;1762:15;	1700:20;1757:15;
watched (1)	1718:1,3,5	1798:13;1800:4,15;	1775:12;1811:6,16;	1786:25;1800:14
1726:4	weighing (1)	1807:20;1808:23;	1812:21;1814:5,7,8,	world-renown (1)
watching (1)	1721:12	1830:25;1831:2,15,	23;1816:1,3	1761:15
1786:17	weighs (1)	17;1832:11,13;	woke (1)	world-renowned (2)
way (48)	1812:1	1833:8,10,16	1790:24	1761:13,14
1664:15;1671:18;	weight (8)	white (1)	woman (1)	world's (1)
1681:18;1691:7;	1692:11;1809:20;	1780:5	1797:25	1763:18
1693:11;1694:23,23;	1810:10;1811:7,19;	whole (15)	women (1)	Worldwide (24)
1695:25;1696:18;	1814:25;1828:19;	1712:23;1726:15,	1798:2	1725:22;1726:1;
1706:2;1719:19;	1829:9	16;1735:20;1738:4;	Wonder (1)	1727:10,11;1730:20;
1720:3;1721:1;	weights (2)	1745:24;1747:1;	1752:4	1731:12;1732:4,16;
1722:5;1724:7;	1692:9;1718:17	1760:5;1761:13;	wonderful (1)	1734:16;1735:6;
1725:19;1731:5;	Weill (1)	1777:3;1782:13;	1708:8	1736:11;1747:9;
1733:16,17;1739:16;	1824:12	1791:10,21;1792:1;	word (18)	1753:21;1757:5,7;
1740:17;1741:7,10;	well-credentialed (1) 1699:15	1801:3	1662:23;1665:3,21;	1761:1,1;1764:5;
1742:9;1743:1; 1744:6;1746:24;	well-founded (1)	<b>who's (2)</b> 1774:16;1802:9	1672:23;1674:19; 1675:2;1700:14;	1765:9,13;1776:2; 1777:13;1799:23;
1754:25;1760:23;	1694:6	whose (5)	1701:24;1708:7;	1802:12
1762:18;1764:18;	weren't (2)	1693:14,14,15;	1715:22;1749:16,17;	Worldwide's (1)
1774:6;1780:13;	1702:18;1758:9	1702:17;1750:15	1751:2;1752:25;	1762:15
1781:18;1782:20,20;	Werner (1)	wide (1)	1781:3;1805:3;	Worried (11)
1791:11;1794:10;	1813:21	1693:23	1823:18;1826:6	1729:17,18,18,19,
1796:13;1797:22;	Wharton (1)	wildest (1)	words (10)	19,21;1799:12;
1798:18;1799:23;	1705:21	1708:9	1663:25;1694:3;	1805:18,21;1806:14,
1803:15;1806:5;	what's (15)	willfully (1)	1728:10;1729:15;	15
1814:23;1828:8;	1692:17;1708:11;	1810:21	1730:18;1731:16;	worries (1)
1829:23;1832:23	1716:8;1720:14;	William (1)	1741:22;1768:18;	1751:11
Wayne (1)	1723:4;1732:8,9;	1813:22	1814:19;1819:11	worry (1)
1813:22	1756:19;1766:15;	willing (1)	work (26)	1806:3
ways (2)	1768:22;1774:1;	1711:12	1669:2,3;1689:25;	worse (2)
1775:1;1805:1	1785:2;1788:21;	winter (1)	1690:19,20;1691:25;	1766:20;1796:21
weakness (1)	1828:2;1832:14	1739:21	1694:11,23,23;	worst (1)
1698:7	whatsoever (2)	withdrawing (1)	1697:2;1705:8,23;	1740:13
wear (1)	1691:1;1830:23	1714:10	1718:19;1719:13;	worth (6)
1723:15	wheel (2)	withdrawn (2)	1724:15;1733:14;	1714:2;1723:5;
wearing (4)	1691:3,18	1676:14;1714:7	1739:13;1745:23;	1724:7;1728:10;
1719:20,23;1741:5;	whenever (1)	withdrew (1)	1757:5;1778:9;	1765:14;1807:14
1796:22 weave (1)	1664:8 Where's (1)	1708:23 within (1)	1796:17;1801:13; 1806:7;1820:11;	worthy (2) 1701:13;1811:14
1773:19	1747:13	1766:8	1800.7,1820.11, 1821:2;1829:20	wound (4)
web (16)	Whereupon (71)	without (13)	worked (6)	1740:21;1745:13,
1667:13,24,24;	1677:24;1685:10,	1660:17;1674:8;	1692:1,2;1705:4;	19;1746:13
1668:22,22,23,23,24;	24;1686:2,5;1701:15;	1685:8;1687:5;	1710:24;1800:20;	Wow (1)
1669:2,3,7;1708:13,	1705:14;1725:4,6;	1688:3;1690:16;	1801:9	1785:17
18;1800:17,17;	1727:24;1728:5;	1691:23;1692:8;	working (12)	wrapped (1)
1821:21	1730:2;1731:9;	1702:1;1704:11;	1661:24;1678:20;	1787:9
website (1)	1732:23;1734:10;	1722:14;1774:17;	1681:19;1683:24;	wrestler (1)
1669:9	1735:11;1736:23;	1829:6	1684:2;1741:18;	1733:24
website-related (1)	1737:21;1738:15;	witness (17)	1757:6;1759:11;	write (5)
1709:1	1740:6;1741:2,13;	1703:5,17;1751:16;	1761:9;1766:22;	1662:23;1703:23;
wedded (3)	1742:4;1743:10;	1760:3;1809:21,25;	1800:7;1820:1	1713:8;1826:14;
1804:4,5,6	1744:1;1760:1;	1810:4,5,21;1811:2;	work-life (1)	1827:2
week (11)	1761:11;1762:23;	1815:4,5,6,10,15;	1821:6	written (2)
1692:3;1716:15,19,	1764:1;1766:25;	1816:7,7	workout (3)	1675:19;1826:1
25;1718:20;1720:9,9;	1768:8;1770:5;	witness' (5)	1717:23;1718:7,11	wrong (5)
1766:3,4;1772:6,11	1771:16;1772:1;	1810:7,11,23;	work-related (1)	1665:4,5;1701:6;
weeks (4)	1785:13;1786:2,14;	1814:25;1815:18	1690:21	1714:2,3

				,
wrote (3)	1800:12	20 (6)	1678:14;1682:6;	50 (2)
1700:14;1713:12,	younger (2)	1669:10;1680:10;	1683:25;1791:21	1684:6;1758:23
17	1733:16;1734:14	1718:1;1758:23;	25,000 (1)	56 (1)
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1766:7;1805:13	1757:15	1691:8
Y	Z	2011 (1)	255 (1)	
		1707:19	1720:15	6
Yale (1)	zero (1)	2012 (7)	26 (1)	
1698:24	1762:20	1680:15,18;1681:1,	1812:7	6 (2)
year (31)	zest (1)	11,22,24;1707:18	26th (1)	1679:22;1832:15
1680:15,17;	1728:24	2013 (11)	1737:1	6.6 (1)
1681:13;1694:15,15,	1720.24	1678:9;1680:12,14;	27 (2)	1742:7
15;1707:7,7,8,10,11,	1	1682:2,7;1707:24;	1744:21,24	<b>6.7 (6)</b>
16,20;1709:13;	1	1728:23;1749:11;		1711:1;1721:16;
	1 (1)		<b>29 (2)</b> 1678:4;1801:2	
1711:1,10;1713:14,	1 (1)	1785:6;1803:2;	10/8.4,1801.2	1722:12;1799:9,16;
16;1714:21;1715:12,	1832:15	1812:7	3	1805:16
13,13;1716:9;	10 (3)	2013-1415 (1)	3	7
1722:12;1728:22;	1678:12;1758:23;	1762:22		7
1733:11;1755:11;	1799:24	2014 (4)	3/4 (1)	
1778:2;1800:25;	100 (1)	1690:18;1707:24;	1713:25	7 (4)
1819:12;1821:17	1736:3	1708:12;1749:11	30 (2)	1678:22;1682:6;
years (46)	12 (4)	2015 (11)	1728:21;1758:23	1827:17;1833:3
1669:10;1680:12,	1678:12,22;	1678:5;1690:18;	30-plus (1)	70 (1)
14;1688:3;1690:17;	1680:10;1735:3	1693:20;1713:12;	1776:13	1734:21
1694:20;1700:9;	12-hour (1)	1718:1;1746:3,4;	30th (1)	75 (2)
1701:19;1707:25;	1716:25	1749:11;1755:13;	1728:22	1785:22,24
1709:5;1712:9;	14 (2)	1790:20,20	<b>30-year-old (1)</b>	78.4 (1)
1716:12,16;1720:11;	1679:22;1785:6	2016 (5)	1744:10	1818:4
1721:16;1728:21;	148 (1)	1690:18;1715:5;	33,000 (2)	
1734:21,24;1742:8;	1668:8	1716:20;1718:3;	1733:11;1800:25	8
1756:5;1769:2,2;	15 (4)	1767:20	35 (1)	
1776:13;1778:22;	1673:5;1697:20;	2017 (4)	1778:1	8 (1)
1795:11;1797:7,8;	1718:3;1785:6	1716:20;1782:22;	36 (2)	1833:3
1799:9,10,16,25;	15-Item (4)	1785:5,6	1778:2;1821:1	85 (1)
1803:23;1805:15;	1696:23;1697:20;	2018 (4)	<b>39.9 (1)</b>	1785:22
1806:25;1818:4,7;	1701:23,23	1713:17;1718:5;	1818:7	8th (1)
1819:9,13,14;1821:1,	1701.25,25 15th (1)	1720:10;1755:13	1010.7	
	1718:2	<b>2019 (5)</b>	4	1087.9
3,15,18,19;1823:15; 1826:4			4	9
	16 (1)	1693:22;1698:6;	4/24/2017 (1)	9
yell (1)	1785:6	1725:18;1756:22;	4/24/2017 (1)	
1704:21	161 (1)	1833:17	1784:6	9 (2)
yesterday (3)	1718:1	2020 (2)	4:00 (1)	1683:3;1833:17
1659:4;1661:15;	17(1)	1685:7;1720:10	1832:17	9:15 (2)
1664:8	1681:8	22 (3)	4:45 (1)	1832:21;1833:17
yoga (9)	171 (1)	1681:8;1755:12;	1808:3	90 (2)
1692:11;1717:18,	1718:3	1797:7	40 (2)	1699:11,11
19,20,20;1777:17,19;	173 (1)	2290 (1)	1675:12;1683:1	
1790:11;1797:25	1718:5	1667:9	42 (4)	
York (8)	18 (4)	2301 (2)	1799:25;1803:23;	
1678:11;1691:4,6,	1661:24;1662:1,3;	1662:6,10	1806:25;1807:2	
9;1703:7;1715:8;	1718:5	2325 (1)	429 (1)	
1720:15,17	195 (1)	1662:5	1668:9	
young (28)	1718:8	24 (5)	48 (2)	
1692:7;1695:9;		1681:21;1684:6;	1683:3;1713:14	
1701:8,11;1703:9;	2	1713:16;1716:18;	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
1707:15;1708:22;		1821:3	5	
1711:23;1713:13,15;	2:00 (1)	24/7 (3)	-	-
1719:18;1722:3;	1770:2	1772:6;1803:3;	5 (4)	
1723:20;1727:11,12,	2:15 (1)	1805:6	1681:21;1683:1;	
15;1728:14;1732:8;	1770:2	<b>24-7 (1)</b>	1685:7;1827:17	
		1734:17		
1733:4,10;1734:17;	2:291 (2)		5,000 (1)	
1757:23;1775:5;	1666:20;1667:12	24-hour (1)	1758:23	
1776:15;1788:18;	2:301 (2)	1716:14	5:00 (2)	
1796:2;1797:25;	1669:23;1671:4	25 (4)	1832:18,20	
	1	1	1	