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|  | Page 1657 | Proceedings Page 1659 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 20 21 | SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK - CIVIL TERM - PART 56 <br> MARK PEREZ, $\begin{array}{cc}  & \text { Plaintiff, } \\ \text {-against- } & \text { Index No. } \\ & \\ & 158373 / 2013 \end{array}$ <br> LIVE NATION WORLDWIDE, INC. <br> Defendant. <br> BEFORE: <br> HONORABLE JOHN J. KELLEY, JUSTICE and a Jury <br> APPEARANCES: <br> MORELLI LAW FIRM, LLC <br> ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 777 Third Avenue <br> NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 <br> BY: BENEDICT MORELLI, ESQ. <br> MICHAEL. S. SCHLESINGER, ESQ. <br> ALEXANDER P. MORELLI, ESQ. <br> (Appearances continued on next page.) | THE COURT: First of all, I want to make a record <br> on the jury charges. <br> There were a few e-mail exchanges that had gone back and forth yesterday, the last of which I had gotten last night was the defendant's proposed charges as, I think, plaintiff had seen the changes that I had made which agreed or disagreed with certain aspects of what they were doing and then I received the defendant's. <br> Some of the defendant's changes, proposed changes <br> were typographical and I have made those and appreciate <br> those. Frankly, some of them I note substantively, though I <br> either don't understand or want some explanation for. <br> Why is it necessary to include the stipulated <br> amount of past medical expenses because I thought we had agreed in chambers that that was not necessary. <br> MR. MORELLI: It isn't. <br> MR. HAWORTH: I thought we agreed. <br> MR. O'HARA: There was no stipulation. I opened on <br> it. So, in order to establish my credibility with the jury -- <br> THE COURT: Nobody told them what the amount of <br> 22 money was in the opening because nobody knew and what my <br> 23 charge simply was saying, is saying now that you have agreed <br> 24 on the amount of medical expenses and that's not going to be <br> 25 an issue they're going to consider. <br> LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 |  | MR. MORELLI: Right. <br> MR. O'HARA: In my opening, I specifically said <br> that the medical expenses for past medical expenses were <br> approximately, slightly in excess of $\$ 300,000$. It was part <br> of my opening statement. <br> I intend to say something about it in my closing. <br> THE COURT: You can say something about it in your <br> closing. <br> MR. O'HARA: That's fine. <br> THE COURT: I can still keep the final instruction <br> which says: The parties have stipulated on it and you don't <br> need to consider it because the amount is really not important. <br> MR. O'HARA: Understood. <br> MR. MORELLI: Your Honor, I understand the reason <br> he wants to do it because it's misleading because, <br> obviously, the cost of real medical care without Medicare <br> and Medicaid would have been like $\$ 2$ million but, trust me, <br> that's not going to change this case. <br> THE COURT: Okay. I'll leave it that you can talk <br> about it if you want to talk about it in the closing, but <br> 22 I'm going to say the amount has been stipulated to and I <br> 23 don't think anybody is going to be objecting to what it <br> 24 is -- <br> 25 <br> MR. O'HARA: Thank you. |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 THE COURT: -- since you've agreed to it. | 1 case |
| 2 Income taxes: I thought it should come out. You | 2 MR. HAWORTH: Right. My read of the testimony, |
| 3 guys put it back | 3 Judge, is that it's an and/or simply because it can't be |
| 4 MR. HAWORTH: We kept | 4 both based on the record. You have to award them one or the |
| 5 THE COURT: You did | 5 other because one expert says one, the other expert says the |
| 6 MR. HAWORTH: In terms of the jury being told there | 6 other. Giving them both makes no sense based on the |
| 7 are no income tax concerns. I mean, that's the charge. | 7 evidence. |
| 8 THE COURT: Well, there are. If this goes to | 8 THE COURT: Then it would be an "or." |
| 9 verdict, there ar | 9 MR. HAWORTH: Okay. "Or" is fine. |
| 10 MR. MORELLI: Some of it | 10 THE COURT: Okay, I'll go with "or." |
| 11 THE COURT: Some of it is | 11 MR. MORELLI: I don't know what the question is, |
| 12 MR. HAWORTH: Then just take it out so it's not | 12 so, I'm not exempting. |
| 13 confusing. | 13 MR. HAWORTH: I guess that's a yes. |
| 14 THE COURT: But it doesn't apply. It only applies | 14 MR. SIROTKIN: It has to be an "or," why can't it |
| 15 to part of it and that's why I said yesterday this is an | 15 be "and"? |
| 16 issue. I raised the issue and I said if there's proposed | 16 MR. MORELLI: Where are we? |
| 17 language -- that I think it should come out, but if there's | 17 THE COURT: He's saying it's either one or the |
| 18 proposed language to address it, somebody tell me what you | 18 other. |
| 19 think it is. | 19 MR. SIROTKIN: I don't necessarily agree with that. |
| 20 MR. HAWORTH: I agree with you. | 20 MR. HAWORTH: That's what the testimony was. |
| 21 MR. MORELLI: And I agree with Scott, which then | 21 THE COURT: You know what, for God sakes, I'll do |
| 22 also means I agree with you, I think. | 22 "and/or." |
| 23 THE COURT: We're ordering the transcript, right? | 23 Let's move on. |
| 24 On page 18, I'm working off yours. | 24 MR. SIROTKIN: Agreed. |
| 25 MR. HAWORTH: Give me a minute to get to it, Judge. | 25 THE COURT: If the jury listens to all of my words |
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| 1 THE COURT: Page 18, second line from the top, the | 1 with that degree of care, I will be amazed. |
| 2 next category will be future rehabilitation expenses. | 2 MR. MORELLI: Excuse me, this jury might. |
| 3 MR. HAWORTH: That's not my page 18. What charge | 3 MR. HAWORTH: Yeah. |
| 4 are you on, Judge? | 4 THE COURT: It's the read back. |
| 5 THE COURT: The one before 2325 | 5 MR. MORELLI: Maybe yes, maybe no. |
| 6 MR. SIROTKIN: 2301, Scott. I have the copy that | 6 THE COURT: Now, the next thing I wanted to talk |
| 7 the Judge sent last night. | 7 about was why you added things to the mitigation charge that |
| 8 MR. HAWORTH: Yeah, but I know mine | 8 were not argued yesterday or two days ago, whenever that |
| 9 THE COURT: All right. | 9 W |
| 10 MR. HAWORTH: 2301, Judge | 10 Specifically -- and I don't think it's a big deal, |
| 11 THE COURT: All right. | 11 but I want to raise it. I personally don't think it's a big |
| 12 The next category, "future rehabilitation | 12 deal, but I don't know if Mr. Morelli has had a chance to |
| 13 expenses," where that section is. | 13 look at this. |
| 14 MR. HAWORTH: Yeah | 14 MR. HAWORTH: Frankly, I felt I was cleaning it up |
| 15 THE COURT: Okay. The "and/or." I don't believe | 15 to conform to the evidence the way it went in and that's why |
| 16 in and/or's. The verdict sheet says if they award none, | 16 I changed it, because originally it says "craniotomy." It's |
| 17 they can award none but this is just a list of things that | 17 cranioplasty. It said "psychotherapy," but it wasn't |
| 18 they may consider. | 18 psychotherapy; it was various types of psychological |
| 19 MR. HAWORTH: I understand. I'll tell you why I | 19 therapies and cognitive therapies, and I felt it was |
| 20 said it because Kushner said neuropsychological, Provder | 20 appropriately to accurately reflect the record. |
| 21 said psychological. So, by definition the and/or fits. | 21 THE COURT: You have a problem with that? |
| 22 THE COURT: By definition, if you find that the | 22 MR. MORELLI: Judge, I really -- I don't think this |
| 23 amount is none, you'd write the word none. It's better. | 23 level of specificity is going to make a difference in the |
| 24 They don't have to award any of it. Well, they have to | 24 case. |
| 25 award some of it, so. They have to award damages in this | 25 THE COURT: I tend to agree. So, that means you |
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1 don't have a problem.
2 MR. MORELLI: It's a very long record, no one is
3 going to remember exactly what word has been used and Scott
4
5 $\quad$ and I have both been wrong in terms of what was said.
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2 $\quad$ ThE COURT: But, but in fairness, but part of 1666 I don't know why I am arguing against you on this
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record at the beginning of the case, and I'm not going to waste the Court's time with it now, but I would like to incorporate that earlier argument into this argument because the Grayson case is clear in the defense view, Judge, that it pertains to topics like athletes, concert violinists, opera singers.

I would respectfully submit, Judge, that the Court's ruling on this issue swallows the exception because on the one hand the Court charges PJI 2:,290 which is absolutely appropriate for this case, and then, in our opinion, Judge, the Court just undoes it with charging 2:291.

Here, there's no training to be a web designer. The one course he took was in community college and he failed that course.

In the cases that the charge relies upon, Judge, there's a long history of training and then you have testimony from the opera singing teacher and there's not only a history of training, there's a history of performing.

This case, what you have is an aspirational career by the testimony of plaintiff's own vocational rehabilitation expert.

You have no documentary evidence of a single cent ever being earned doing web design, not to mention web design is not a ball player, it's not an athlete, it's not
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an opera singer, it's a regular career. That's what it is. It's like anyone else who might be good at their career and may not be good at their career, but they have the requisite training and here that requisite training just isn't present.

There's no documentary proof which is required and I want to note one case which is one additional one that didn't come up earlier, which is the Navaja case, 148 AD2d 429 , in which the plaintiff sought to recover for a future career as a medical lab technician. She had taken several courses toward that degree and then dropped out.

Here, we only have one and that course was failed and I don't want to repeat myself. I'll rely on the balance of my argument that was made early on in the case when we talked about this very same topic.

THE COURT: Mr. Morelli.
MR. SIROTKIN: Your Honor, we've argued this before, but again, we completely disagree. Mark's special talents and abilities have been evidenced throughout the record.

Testimony from his brother spoke about how Mark not only did web design, he taught web design classes and taught web design to some of his clients. He's done web design and web development and server maintenance for a number of large clients.

LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

Mark testified about it himself about the type of web design work that he did. This is not a regular job, with all due respect. Web design, the type of work Mark was doing, is a burgeoning field and his talents were special.

| Proceedings Page 1670 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 categories. I have placed |  |
| 2 doing also is, as you know, I'm charging with specificity |  |
| 3 what those categories would encompass in the actual charges |  |
| 4 themselves, so I think that any concerns is alleviated. |  |
| 5 I think it would be onerous and confusing on this |  |
| 6 jury to put the level of detail that was requested by the |  |
| 7 defense into the verdict sheet and that's the basis for that 8 ruling. |  |
|  |  |
| 9 You're going to mark your version of the verdict |  |
| 10 sheet as Court exhibit as well I understand? |  |
| 11 MR. HAWORTH: Yes, your Honor. |  |
|  |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 does not want the level of detail that we'll mark as a Court 15 exhibit and submit for the record. |  |
|  |  |
| 16 It's the defense position having separate |  |
| 17 categories for future rehabilitation services, future |  |
| 18 custodial care is confusing and that simply having one |  |
| 19 question pertaining to future medical expenses will be |  |
| 20 clearer. That is the defense position. |  |
| 21 I understand what the Court is doing. I wanted to |  |
| 22 preserve the record. |  |
| 23 THE COURT: Let me be clear how I put this verdict |  |
| 24 sheet together. |  |
|  | as doing |
|  |  |

verdict sheet, I basically took everything you had in your verdict sheet and I put those things into the charges and I categorized them under the categories that I believe they would fall under in terms of categories of $2: 301$.

So, everything that you wanted is in there. It's just broken down a little differently and it's not turning what should be a relatively easy verdict sheet into what would be something which would be completely onerous and I've asked everybody repeatedly if there is a special reason why any of these categories need to be divided out for some reason that they don't fit together in a particular category or they need to be segregated from something because, remember at the beginning I was talking about just doing medical expenses and having all of this stuff in there.

I considered your arguments and I reconsidered my position and I agree it needed to be done with a greater degree of specificity, and that is the reason I did it the way I did it as well as the reason why I incorporated all of the stuff you're asking for in the verdict sheet.

But there's no reason to divide those out with any further level of specificity that anybody has ever raised to me.

MR. HAWORTH: I understand and I appreciate the amount of time and thought the Court put into this issue.

THE COURT: It's my job.
LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
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My position is what I stated, and we leave it at
THE COURT: Got it.
Finally, are there any outstanding applications to the extent that I hadn't put it on the record.

You're anchoring motion is denied. I don't agree with your position on that and I think that if that sort of change to juris prudence in this state were to be made, it needs to be made on a higher level than mine.

MR. O'HARA: Thank you. In addition to the anchoring application, there is a number of prophylactic objections to strategy or tactics in the plaintiff's closing.

So, we've highlighted those because while Mr. Morelli has free reign to do what he believes is appropriate, in the event certain things happen, we wanted to make sure the Court understands we will be objecting to those particular items.

So, the golden rule, any of the personal allegations and a laundry list of things that may not happen; but, to the extent that they do, we wanted to give you the opportunity to give word to caution to both sides to make sure if there's a line nobody tows, let alone jumps over that line.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 THE COURT: Okay | 1 extent you folks want to temper your requests, because I'm |
| 2 MR. MORELLI: Your Honor, it would also be really | 2 not thinking of the right word, then I'm all for that as |
| 3 appreciated if we can make objections during the summations, |  |
| 4 or not, but no side-bar, okay. Maybe because if we're going | 4 MR. O'HARA: Understoor |
| 5 -- if we're going to sum up in this case and have 15 | 5 THE COURT: It's your case, your trial. It's not |
| 6 side-bars, we're never going to get done and I think it is | 6 mine, okay. |
| 7 totally inapprop | 7 MR. O'HARA: Understood. |
| 8 If you stand up and make an objection, it's | 8 THE COURT: Do what you feel is appropriate under |
| 9 reserved for appeal, that's all you need to do. | 9 the circumstan |
| 10 MR. O'HARA: I have no problem with not going | 10 MR. SIROTKIN: One additional thing, your Honor. |
| 11 side-bar during either side's closing. | 11 THE COURT: Yes. |
| 12 MR. MORELLI: You can go after | 12 MR. SIROTKIN: Plaintiff's Exhibit 40 which is |
| 13 MR. O'HARA: I also have no problem -- your Hono | 13 their economist Debra Dwyer's handwritten chart. We had a |
| 14 has a rule that suggested we must timely object during the | 14 discussion the other day with the Court and parties in |
| 15 opening and closing. | 15 recognition of Mr. Provder's error with neurosurgery care |
| 16 THE COURT: Corr | 16 the plaintiff would need. We would amend her chart to |
| 17 MR. O'HARA: There will be a laundry list of things | 17 reflect a reduction for that cost |
| 18 for both sides that may warrant an objection and so to | 18 THE COURT: Right. |
| 19 Mr . Morelli's point, I have no objection if he has no | 19 MR. SIROTKIN: We've written it out and showed it |
| 20 objection to simply allowing both sides to close. | 20 to defense counsel and want to make that noted for the |
| 21 We will raise objections afterwards being cautious | 21 recor |
| 22 and mindful we have identified some items that are, per se, | 22 MR. MORELLI: We did it all and it was okay by |
| 23 improper from our | 23 Scott. |
| 24 So, if the Court wants us to object during the | 24 MR. O'HARA: We understa |
| 25 actual closing, we'll object. I don't have any problem not | 25 THE COURT: With better handwriting than the |
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| 1 asking | 1 original. |
| 2 THE COURT: How do you propose you want to reserve | 2 MR. MORELLI: We had Danny do it. |
| 3 the objections to the end? How do you propose that I'll be | 3 MR. O'HARA: The only other item will be the |
| 4 able to cure whatever it is with the jury? | 4 deposition reads of Mr. Scolaro and then the plaintiff will |
| 5 MR. O'HARA: You already have a list of seven or | 5 rest. |
| 6 eight items that are no-brainers from our perspective. If | 6 THE COURT: Yes. |
| 7 that occurs and we object, it can be sustained right there | 7 MR. O'HARA: We then need to offer Defendant's U |
| 8 and a directive can be given to either lawyer without any | 8 into evidence and then the defense will rest. We understand |
| 9 further record because there is no question those items jump | 9 there is no rebuttal and we'll go right into closing. |
| 10 across the line of -- | 10 THE COURT: We've done a lot of conferencing about |
| 11 THE COURT: I thought you were talking about not | 11 various issues and applications and everything else. |
| 12 objecting during | 12 Is there anything else that needs to be resolved on |
| 13 MR. O'HARA: I'm comfortable with objecting. Mr. | 13 the record because if there's not, I'll consider them |
| 14 Morelli's concern was breaking up the flow. | 14 withdrawn? |
| 15 So, I will object, but there are items that are | 15 Anything that's outstanding? |
| 16 clearly improper, don't constitute fair comment under any | 16 MR. HAWORTH: I don't think so. |
| 17 circumstances. | 17 MR. MORELLI: I don't think so. With reference to |
| 18 So, if the Court is directing that we simply say | 18 the read, is he reading it all? |
| 19 the word "objection" I'm fine with that. I don't need to go | 19 MR. HAWORTH: No. |
| 20 side-bar for the basis. | 20 MR. MORELLI: We can do it much faster -- |
| 21 THE COURT: Okay. Here's how we'll handle. If I | 21 MR. HAWORTH: I thought you were reading like two |
| 22 feel I need it, I am going to ask for it | 22 lines or something. |
| 23 MR. MORELLI: Sure, but that is up to you. | 23 MR. SIROTKIN: I asked Rich last night and he said |
| 24 THE COURT: If somebody feels the ship is sinking | 24 your side couldn't agree to reduce the portion but I tried. |
| 25 and we need to rectify it, you can ask for it. To the | 25 THE COURT: You're going to read. You'll close. |
|  |  |


|  | Proceedings Page 1677 | Testimony of Scolaro - Read by Mr. Sirotkin Page 1679 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 MR. O'HARA: We consent to Mr. Sirotkin reading | 1 "Question. Why? |
|  | 2 both the plaintiff and defense reads | 2 "Answer: When I did sponsorship agreement with |
|  | 3 THE COURT: You're going to read. You're going to | 3 Best Buy, they needed a structure. Part of the agreement -- |
|  | 4 rest. You're going to rest. We have introduced the | 4 a lot of times sponsors will provide their own activation |
|  | 5 docum | 5 |
|  | 6 Do we need to take a few minutes before the jury | 6 "In this case we told Best Buy that, you know, we |
|  | 7 comes in | 7 should do some type of, you know, more modified better setup |
|  | 8 MR. O'HARA: I'm fine. You want us to begin with | 8 like that, and I knew Mark through another sponsor, Tattoo |
|  | 9 the goal of being done by the lunch break, and I intend to | 9 Lou's, and Mark had put together their activation area. |
|  | 0 comply with your request. | 10 "So Mark was beginning his own company. I believe |
|  | 1 THE COURT: We have to pick the jury after closings | 11 it was called First Up Media, and I was trying to help Mark |
|  | 2 or after the charges? | 12 by giving him some business. |
|  | 3 MR. MORELLI: After charges. | 13 "Question: Okay. And was there a particular skill |
|  | 4 MR. HAWORTH: Do you want me to mark the Court | 14 set that you looked upon Mark that he could fulfill the |
|  | 5 exhibits now or later? You asked if there's anything | 15 services with Best Buy? |
|  | 6 outstanding. | 16 "Answer: It was mostly printing of the vinyl |
|  | 7 THE COURT: We can | 17 banners that would go on, but he also -- he had |
|  | 8 MR. SIROTKIN: Before I read, will the Court inform | 18 physically -- he had knowledge on how to build those |
|  | 9 the jury what deposition, the date and whatever it is from | 19 structures, so I said he would be a great person for Best |
|  | 0 or should I do that? | 20 Buy. This would be a great person for you to know because |
|  | 1 MR. MORELLI: That it's the same as testimony. | 21 he could handle the entire project." |
|  | 2 THE COURT: You can do i | 22 Page 14, line 6. |
|  | 3 Come to order | 23 "Question: A relationship between Live Nation and |
| 24 | 4 (Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.) | 24 Best Buy was important? |
| 25 | 5 THE COURT: Good morning, everybody. | 25 "Answer: To me? |
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|  | 1 Counsel. | 1 Question. Yes. |
|  | 2 MR. SIROTKIN: Your Honor, I'm going to read the | 2 "Answer: Yes. |
|  | 3 examination before trial testimony, portions of it, the | 3 "Question: Okay. And Mark was integral in that |
|  | 4 examination before trial of Robert Scolaro from July 29, | 4 relationship? |
|  | 52015. | 5 "Answer: I mean, he already had that relationship. |
|  | 6 "Question: Mr. Scolaro, what is your position with | 6 The deal was done. I didn't need Mark to get the deal done. |
|  | 7 Live Nation? | 7 We did need a vendor. You know, Best Buy needed a vendor to |
|  | 8 "Answer: Regional vice president of sponsorships. | 8 build out their space. It had nothing do with me at that |
|  | 9 "Question: And in June of 2013? | 9 point." |
|  | 0 "Answer: I was vice president of sponsorships for | 10 Page 20, line 12: |
|  | 1 New York and Philadelphia." | 11 "Question: Tattoo Lou's was there for how many |
|  | 2 Page 10, line 12: | 12 years prior to two-- was it there in 2013; if you recall? |
|  | 3 "Question: Let me show you this agreement, | 13 "Answer: Yes. |
|  | 4 Plaintiff's Exhibit 25. | 14 "Question: How many years prior to 2013? |
|  | 5 "Have you ever seen that before? | 15 "Answer: I believe 2012 was the first year. |
|  | 6 "Answer: Yes. That is a sponsorship agreement | 16 "Question: Okay. |
|  | 7 between Live Nation and Best Buy. | 17 "Answer: I think it was our second year. |
|  | 8 "Question: How do you know? | 18 "Question: In 2012, who constructed it; if you |
|  | 9 "Answer: I sold the sponsorship to Best Buy and | 19 recall? |
|  | 0 then I was involved in working with our attorneys to | 20 "Answer: I don't recall who constructed it and I |
|  | 1 generate this agreement and get it executed." | 21 definitely wasn't there when it was done. I don't know if |
|  | 2 Page 12, line 7: | 22 you want my guess. |
| 23 | 3 "Question: Did you recommend Mr. Perez to Best | 23 "Question: Don't guess. |
|  | 4 Buy? | 24 "Answer: Okay. Yeah. |
|  | 5 "Answer: Yes | 25 "Question: Is it your understanding that Mr. Perez |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 had something do with Tattoo Lou's booth in 2012 ? | 1 MR. SIROTKIN: Page 40 line 5: |
| 2 "Answer: Something to do as far as design or | 2 "Answer: I sell sponsorship." |
| 3 construction or -- yeah. I mean, he was the marketing | $3 \quad$ Page 48 line 9: |
| 4 director for Tattoo Lou's, so I believe he designed | 4 "Question: Who was assembled the structure, the |
| 5 everything, and I believe he was involved in physically | 5 first tier of the structure, if you know? |
| 6 putting it together. I don't know if it was just this | 6 "Answer: I don't know. It was probably our union |
| 7 section or going up vertically. I don't know." | 7 laborers. |
| $8 \quad$ Page 22, line 17. | 8 "Answer: I don't know. |
| 9 "Question: So, it's your understanding Mark Perez | 9 "Question: You just don't know? |
| 10 had nothing do with the actual construct of Tattoo Lou's in | 10 "Answer: I don't know. |
| 11 2012? | 11 "Question: Just for clarification, so the first |
| 12 "Answer: Yes. | 12 tier is operating for the first several shows, and why |
| 13 "Question: Which is a year before the accident? | 13 wasn't the second tier already installed? Was there a |
| 14 "Answer: Yes. | 14 delay, an approval or a design process? |
| 15 "Question: And to what extent was he involved in | 15 "Answer: So, the decisions out of Best Buy |
| 16 the construction of Tattoo Lou's? | 16 marketing were coming out of Minnesota. The folks I was |
| 17 "Answer: I don't know because I wasn't there when | 17 dealing with at Best Buy on Long Island are the ones that |
| 18 it was physically constructed. I believe all the way up to | 18 did the physical activation, so once they set up the first |
| 19 physically working on it himself with our union folks, I | 19 one or two shows and sent pictures back to Minnesota, |
| 20 believe was the case." | 20 Minnesota felt it was lacking in permanent branding, which |
| 21 Page 24, line 5: | 21 is when they came to us and said, do you know anybody who |
| 22 "Question: Now, looking at 2012, what is your | 22 can help make this better? And I introduced them to Mark. |
| 23 recollection of who participated in the construction of | 23 "So over the course of the three, four weeks, they |
| 24 Tattoo Lou's booth in 2012? | 24 and Mark were working on displays like that." |
| 25 Answer: Yeah, I wasn't there | 25 Answer, on line 25: |
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| Page 1682 | Testimony of Scolaro - Read by Mr. Sirotkin Page 1684 |
| 1 "Question: All right. | 1 "So over the course of the beginning of June that's |
| 2 "What about 2013, who constructed Tattoo Lou's? | 2 when they were working together, you know, to hire Mark and |
| 3 Answer: I was never there when they physically did | 3 design and get approvals. I believe Best Buy was slow to |
| 4 it. That wasn't part of my job. That was my coordinator's | 4 approve certain things and Mark was pushing them, because |
| 5 job to make sure it was done properly." | 5 they wanted the full set up, for as many shows as possible." |
| 6 Page 25, line 7: | $6 \quad$ Page 50, line 24: |
| 7 "Question: In 2013, do you know who constructed | 7 "Question: You looked upon Mark because he had a |
| 8 Tattoo Lou's booth? | 8 certain talent to address Best Buy's needs? |
| 9 "Answer: I don't. | 9 "Answer: Yes. |
| 10 "Question: You just don't recall? | 10 "Question: And how would you describe that talent? |
| 11 "Answer: Yeah, I wasn't there." | 11 "Answer: Well, based on his experience with Tattoo |
| 12 (Continued on next page.) | 12 Lou's, the structure was sound. It was approved by our |
| 13 | 13 general manager, and I knew Mark was a good designer, and he |
| 14 | 14 was getting into the business of creating these signs, so I |
| 15 | 15 wanted to help him grow his business. |
| 16 | 16 "Question: From a marketing point of the view, he |
| 17 | 17 had a certain -- for you, you, yourself, have a certain |
| 18 | 18 marketing background? |
| 19 | 19 "Answer: Yes. |
| 20 | 20 "And you considered Mark had a certain talent for |
| 21 | 21 that? |
| 22 | 22 "Answer: Sure. I think Mark was a really talented |
| 23 | 23 guy, or is." |
| 24 | 24 Thank you. That's all, your Honor. |
| 25 | 25 THE COURT: I know what we're doing. Go ahead. |
| LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER | LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER | rest.

3 THE COURT: Okay.
4 MR. O'HARA: Yes, your Honor. The defense moves 5 into evidence Defendant's $U$ for identification which is the 6 official certification of the DMV record of Mark Perez that expires on January 5, 2020.

THE COURT: Okay. That's without objection.
I just need to see you very quickly.
(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion took place at the bench between the Court and counsel.)

THE COURT: Ladies and Gentlemen, the proof in the case is now closed. The next thing that's going to happen is counsel is going to give you their summations.

Mr. O'Hara informs -- first of all, I told you in the beginning that the order of these things is
pre-determined. Mr. O'Hara will be giving the summation on behalf of defense first. He informs me that the summation, give or take, is going to be in the hour vicinity. My question to you is, do you want to take a couple of minutes before we do that? Because I prefer it not be interrupted.

Yes? Okay. Let's take a few minutes.
THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting.
(Whereupon, the jury was excused from the courtroom.)
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5 $\quad$ (Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
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passion, in the manner in which the case was tried. The judge also plays a role, a very important role. No matter what, he tells you what the law is. But in the jury system, you folks are the most important part. All right? This is a civil system for which, without you, we can't resolve disputes like this, and so for that, we thank you for taking the time to sit with us, to listen to all the evidence.

Now, at the beginning of the case, which was on November 8th, we were asked to give opening statements, and I told you that an opening statement is a promise. It's a pact. It's an agreement between the advocate -- on behalf of the client -- and the jury, that I'm going to prove, on behalf of Live Nation, every single thing that I told you. Did Live Nation keep its promise? I submit to you, absolutely, unequivocally, we did.

There has not been a dispute, and there never will be a dispute about Live Nation's responsibility for the injuries that are fairly and reasonably caused by this accident. Live Nation is responsible for that. There never has been a time that Live Nation has or ever will suggest that the injuries to Mr. Perez's skull; that the injury that required four surgeries; that the fractures that he sustained; and that the treatment that we now have heard about. There's never been a dispute about that.

There has also never been a dispute that the total
LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER
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medical expenses for which -- it's not even before you -for his care and treatment for the past six and a half years, is $\$ 315,007.15$. Without question, Live Nation is responsible for that, and Live Nation should be responsible for that.

So what is the case about? Why are we here? That was the first question that the plaintiff asked to you in their opening statement. Any question now?
"I want a lot of money."
You remember it. You remember it from the first days that we met.

Well, one of the things that the judge will do, after we are done with our closing arguments, is he will tell you the law, and when he gets to the question of damages he is going to tell you -- and you must accept the law as he tells you -- that you are to render a verdict for a sum of money that will justly and fairly compensate the plaintiff. Justly and fairly. You remember, that's exactly what I said to you, every single time we have spoken. Be fair, be just, be reasonable. Because the Perez family --

MR. SIROTKIN: Objection.
MR. B. MORELLI: Objection, your Honor.
THE COURT: Overruled.
MR. O'HARA: Because the Perez family deserves fairness, reasonableness, and justness, and so does Live
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Nation. Each side. No more and no less.
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24 that he could then begin the recovery process.

But medicine doesn't always work. It's not
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perfect. This is not television. You don't script this, and he had problems with the skull being placed in during the second procedure, and there were infections, and they had to remove it in a third procedure and place an insert,
5 and he has problems with the insert. And ultimately, at
6 present, he needs another cranioplasty. It's uncontroverted 7 that he needs it, we all know he needs it. 10 medical reason why he hasn't had that, and it's dangerous not to have. It's dangerous not to take those steps to get help, to make yourself better. It's dangerous not to follow the advice of doctors. But for reasons that we don't understand, and we may never understand, the family, and in particular Mr. Perez, has not undergone that procedure.

17 over the past six and a half years? Well, we know that
18 during the course of the aftermath 2014, 2015, 2016, he
19 tried to go back to work, and that's admitted by the Perez
20 family. He did attempt to go back to work, and they still
21 give him work-related tasks, both his brother and his
22 father, trying to give him things that can keep him
23 occupied, and candidly, to continue to have him engaged in
24 their family, in their professional lives, and to abstract
25 from him what value he can bring to them.

## LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER

There's no question whatsoever that Mr. Perez went back to driving an automobile. There's none. He literally got behind the wheel of a vehicle and he drove on multiple occasions. He drove as far as New York City, back and forth, stopping at stop lights, navigating traffic, finding a place to park, doing whatever tasks he went to New York City to do, and then leaving and driving all the way back. We all now know that it's 56 miles from where they live, in Islip, to New York City. That is, in average traffic, somewhere in the neighborhood of two hours. In no traffic, it might be shorter. In bad traffic -- which we all know, living in this area, that happens a lot -- that is a very long and a very difficult journey, and he was able to do that. He was able to do that.

We also know, and it's not in dispute, that Mr. Perez was able to actually drive a vehicle that was a standard transmission. A stick shift. So he had to be able to put his hand on the steering wheel, shift the vehicle, and use both feet to press the clutch, to press the gas, and to periodically press the brake. Independent foot action and hand action, all of which he had the dexterity and the competence to do.

We know without question -- and to his credit, he has continued to be avid as someone that is conscious of his physical well being. He has continued to work out. By the
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testimony of Dr. Fayer, you know that he worked out almost daily, until it got to point that he worked out three days a week, and that every physician that has seen him has said he has good muscle tone, and he has good strength. When Dr. Gordon talked about the removal of his shirt -- no, I'm sorry. When Dr. Greenwald talked about the removal of his shirt, what did he say? The young man is in shape.

We know that it is without question that the ability to go to a gym and to lift weights, to maintain your tone on both sides of your body, requires the ability to do those exercises. Not only weight training, but yoga, and using an elliptical machine. Cardiovascular exercise. Things that are consistent with the attempts to back to the things that he truly loved, because we saw the picture of him, and I'm sure you will see the pre-accident pictures. He was in good shape.

But what's the dispute about, then? Why did we all this time out of your lives? Why do we bring all of these experts? We know that there is a significant question as to what his current shortcomings or injuries are; what he needs for the future to provide for him; and what is fair, what is reasonable for you to evaluate, when you are going to decide what the appropriate damages are.

So what did Live Nation do? Did we bring litigation experts? People that we pay money to say

LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER

1 whatever we want? You've got to be kidding me. You have to
2 be kidding me to suggest that the physicians that we brought
3 before you are anything other than the best physicians in
4 the world. We are talking about Barry Jordan, Penn
5 neurophysiology, Harvard Medical School, board-certified
6 neurologist and the medical director of a hospital. An
7 extensive history. Someone that's credentials are beyond
8 reproach. Not a gentlemen that's going to come in here and
9 say something because some lawyer tells him to say it. A
10 gentleman who is going to come in here and tell you what he 11 really truly thinks. The suggestion that somehow, some way,
12 that he met with us, and he did what we were going to say,
13 is incredible. It's unbelievable. But you know that. You
14 know that. That is not a man whose character, whose
15 integrity, whose honor can be influenced by anything other
16 than fair, reasonable, objective
17 facts.
18 What did Barry Jordan tell you, after having seen
19 Mr. Perez not once, but twice? He had good physical
20
21
22
23
course of his career, he recovered relatively well, compared
25 to others. Very well, is the phrase that he used.
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reason for that test is to see whether people are going to be candid and forthright in responding to the questions, because it's one bone, and it's enervated by one nerve, and hat nerve, if you touch one side and you touch the other side, the feeling is the same. But there was more than that. There was diagnostic testing that made no sense to Dr. Jordan. He specifically said, findings on physical examination were difficult to medically explain.

Now, are we ever going to call this young man a name? No. Why? It's not right. It's not my job so call him names. My job is to give you what we believe to be a fair and reasonable interpretation of the evidence, from experts that we brought before you because we wanted to make sure that when we stood up here and said, We think this is what you should do, you had no question on the law, on the value of the opinions that we were basing it on, and the value of the opinion of Dr. Jordan, and the value of the opinion of Dr. Ambrose, and the value of the opinion of Dr. Doyle, and the value of the opinion of Dr. Barr, is based on, among other things, an extensive history of practice and educational, training and experience background that, frankly, is as good as anywhere in the world.

And they all told you the same or similar things: There are inconsistencies that don't make medical sense. There's no way around that. Because that's also what
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Dr. Greenwald, and that's also what Dr. Gordon, and that's also what Dr. Schwartz admitted, when pressed on those questions, that there are some things that just don't jibe; okay? There are things that just don't match, which is consistent with the findings that existed with his treating physicians in the record, like Dr. Bruno, where doctor Bruno's records, which were referenced by all of the experts, showed normal neurologic assessments throughout the course of the time that he was being treated.

What did Dr. Ambrose say? Keeping in mind, who is she? Mount Sinai School of Medicine, Albert Einstein School of Medicine, board certified in physiatry and rehabilitation medicine and board certified in brain injury medicine. A rare and hard certification to achieve. Not only did Dr. Ambrose tell you, very similarly, that she felt that he had made a good recovery, there were medically inconsistent findings of sensory loss, and his gait. And what did everybody learn about gait? Gait is the way you walk, and it just doesn't make sense, based upon the injury complex that he has.

Never once did she call him a name.
She also talked about the testing that was done, and in particular, the Rey 15-Item test. Similar to what Dr. Jordan had said: He was embellishing. The findings and conclusions on those tests are inconsistent with what you
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1 expect with someone who has this level of brain injury, and
2 I will work through some of those test results, but you
3
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1 historically. They are not making this up. There's no 2 judgment in this. It's a fact, beyond dispute. It is not 3 medically possible, based upon the injuries that were incurred.

The other thing that Dr. Ambrose brought to your attention, from her physical examination in August of 2019, was he had no muscle weakness; again, no tone abnormalities; and no lower extremity -- meaning below the waist -- sensory disturbances; okay? All of that is inconsistent with the gait issue. All of that is inconsistent with the findings during the course of the care and treatment, independent of the lawsuit. Not calling him a name, but that's a fact, and Dr. Ambrose outlined for you the fact that it just doesn't make physiologic -- it just doesn't make medical sense.

Dr. Doyle. Interesting guy. I'm not sure how to

## LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER

can go throughout the world, and nobody will be able to find someone that says, Hey, Doyle doesn't have really good credentials.

One of the things about people that are that smart is they are not that great in social interaction. They may not be comfortable, and they most certainly -- listen, I'm not going to make any bones of this, he had a really hard time following the instructions of the judge, and had to be told. But what did he say to you? What did he say to you that was critically important? That, Mr. Perez recovered better than 90 percent of my patients. 90 percent of my patients, with the similar injury complex, number one.

And number two, and you heard from Dr. Schwartz, and we'll advance a consistent view, Dr. Schwartz is a well-credentialed physician. He didn't say that Mr. Perez is a candidate for this unique epilepsy-focused surgery. He didn't say that he is someone that is going to have that in the future, which is exactly what I said in opening statement, that he was going -- you needed to pay close attention to what he said, because if he stayed with what was in his report, he wasn't going to allege that the surgery was necessary.

Why? There are two critical elements that do not exist today, no matter what anybody comes in here and tells you. Number one, does he have epilepsy? He may, but we
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don't know. Number two, does he have intractable epilepsy? Refractory seizure disorder that is to the degree that hasn't been able to be controlled by proper medical -excuse me, by proper medication testing? Absolutely not.

And Dr. Schwartz told you the same thing, that in order to even determine whether you are going to proceed with this type of surgery, you have to be precise, and there's a battery of tests. None of them, in six and a half years, has been done. How can you come before you, and expect people such as yourselves to believe that he is going to need this surgery in the future, when he hasn't had one of the preliminary tests necessary to even determine if he is a candidate?

And Dr. Doyle was lambasted. I wrote that word down this morning, because it's three syllables. He was lambasted for the idea that he would follow his Hippocratic oath, proceed with his true belief that the reduction of suffering is what he was put here to do, and how dare he consider becoming Mr. Perez's doctor. Keeping in mind, there's not a lot of people in the world that have his credentials, and he was essentially -- there was an attempt to eviscerate him for that.

But let me ask you something, Ladies and Gentlemen: How is that consistent with what Dr. Fayer did? Dr. Fayer was hired by the same legal reason as Dr. Doyle, and what

[^0]did Dr. Fayer tell you, the first two times that he saw Mr. Perez? It was forensic. It was not treatment. So how can you be hyper-critical of Dr. Doyle and not think that that equally applies to Dr. Fayer?
5
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7
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embellishing without intent? That's what it is. You want to call that there as something subconsciously in the mind that suggests, Hey, when someone is involved in a lawsuit, and they are asked whether it hurts, tell them it's a little bit more than it actually is? That's human nature. To 6 suggest that there's not a secondary influence, that the idea of being involved in a lawsuit doesn't affect people's thinking, even if it's subconsciously, that's not believable. We know that.

Dr. Barr specifically said that Mr. Perez, based upon these test results which are intended to evaluate effort, has not, or was not, giving full effort, which is consistent with what Dr. Jordan and Dr. Ambrose said. And keep in mind, it was consistent with the multiple concessions by Dr. Gordon. Dr. Gordon was asked questions about his findings, which shot through the roof when he did the testing, but it was straddled by others whose test results weren't the same.

But Dr. Gordon was here for one reason and one reason only: Litigation. Same as all the other doctors, but he could not and did not give a valid explanation for the variability, which is why he conceded so much on cross examination.

Now, with respect to -- and this is important.
24 25 With respect to the reviews, the reports prepared by the
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various experts, draft reports, did you hear anything about anybody asking these people to change something of substance? Really? It's a bad idea to identify a typographical error?

The plaintiff tried to excoriate the witness because the driver's license was from the state of Connecticut, instead of the state of New York. A mistake. An honest, innocuous mistake. A mistake of no substance. We didn't catch it. We have no idea who that young man was, what he was doing there, because there has been different events throughout the course of this case, and the doctor made a mistake, and as a routine standard operating procedure, they provided us with draft reports. And every single one said, They didn't ask us to, and we didn't make any substantive changes.

Do you really think we are that stupid? Do you really think that we'll tell a witness, Take out this really important concession, Don't you dare tell the other side that? Because this lawyer, who is talented, experienced -we have heard, all of us heard in voir dire about how experienced he is -- we really think he is not going pick it up? Really? So we are going to tell this expert, Hey, take this out and write it different? No. It's not believable. It's standard operating procedure.

What else were those experts questioned about?

## LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER
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Compensation for their time. Compensation for their time. The judge will give you a charge about that. Do you think that Dr. Greenwald, Dr. Gordon, Dr. Fayer, Dr. Lubliner, Dr. Lipton, Dr. Schwartz, Mr. Provder and Dr. Dwyer came here of their own free will? They just did it as a courtesy? It's ridiculous. It's not believable. It's not believable. And it's normal. Why? You can't take a doctor, with the credentials of Dr. Doyle, and ask him to review a case, and ask him to come to court and testify, as opposed to be in the hospital, care for the patients that he cares for. You can't ask him to do that without compensating for the time he spends.

I've already talked but about the difference between forensic and treating physicians, and you now know every single person in this case was a forensic physician, except Dr. Fayer, it changed. But one of the things about Dr. Fayer, and it's the only time -- listen, you heard this, It's my style; right? It's my style. Well, when Dr. Fayer lashed out at me, do you remember what I was talking to him about? And I literally did this (indicating): Doctor, relax. I can hear you fine. You don't have to yell. What he was he being questioned on when I asked him that question? He was being questioned on his submission of the forensic fees that he would customarily submit to counsel. Because it's involved in litigation, he submitted it to a
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(Whereupon, an exhibit was displayed to the jury.)
MR. O'HARA: With respect to the economic loss, what did the defense present? First, who did we present? Did we bring someone in here that was unqualified? Did we bring someone in here that we intended to be unfair in the manner in which we reviewed and took a position on his economic loss? We brought in a triple-degreed economist from the Wharton Business School at the University of Pennsylvania. We brought in a man that has an extensive work history in actuarials and the valuation of economic issues. Why? Because I told you, we were never going to put somebody before you that wasn't legitimate, that wasn't
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credible, because that's unfair and we want to make sure -and that's why I opened the way that I did. We don't dispute everything, but we dispute what is a fair and reasonable and just evaluation of the damages in this case. (Continued on the next page.)

MR. O'HARA: (Continuing) Why? What did the defense present with respect to the economic loss claim?

We presented three different scenarios, but what did we base the economic loss on?

What the man was doing for a living, what he had been doing reasonably up to that point and did we take his wo year average, his three year average? His five or ten year average to drive that economic number down? Which frankly, we could. We could.

Because you can look at one year that's a spike and one year that's a significant drop and say wait a minute, there's not a fair approximation of what the future would likely hold when you're seeing this.

But the defense took the position and we'll take the position throughout this case, give that young man the benefit of the doubt. The best year that he had when you add up all of his income was $\$ 33,799$, okay.

If we look back 2012, he didn't make that much.
If you look back to 2011, it starts to drop even less, but we based our analysis on the highest year of wages prior to the accident.

There is no question, and I will talk a little bit about this, but there's no question that if you base the 2013 loss, the 2014 loss on what he was actually doing, the $\$ 163,000$ is fair for six and a half years.
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But what did you hear from Mr. Provder?
Listen, Mr. Provder is a nice man, but there's no such thing as PhD eligible. There isn't. You either have completed your PhD or you haven't. That's it. To his credit, he admitted that.

What did Mr. Provder do? He picked a
classification that, using his word, was aspirational. Hey, listen, that's wonderful. We all hope that in the future we achieve great things beyond even our own wildest dreams, but in this case your job is to determine more likely than not based upon the credible evidence in front of you, what's the likelihood that he was going to be making $\$ 84,910$ in 2014 ?

That he was going to be a web designer when he had never done it before?

That when you look at his education, training and experience, he had never been engaged in an employment-related setting where he was paid any money by anybody to design web sites and to the extent it's important and I mean this respectfully. It's not an easy thing to talk when you're trying to be respectful of the family and point out shortcomings, but I didn't make this up.

I'm not suggesting anything other than the young man went to college for a period of time, withdrew or was unsuccessful in completely approximately half of the classes and the one class Mr. Provder told you, the one class that
even remotely related to website-related activities, he failed.
relates to the life care plan and it's not an easy thing to talk about, and I have thought a lot about how to do this knowing the Perez family is standing there and it's not easy to do.

If you assume a six and a -- excuse me -- 6.7 year reduction which is the uncontroverted testimony of both sides in this case, it's $\$ 1,496,230$.

What does Live Nation believe is fair? What does Live Nation ask you to do? Do that. That's what the evidence says. I didn't -- it's not an argument. It's what Dr. Greenwald and Dr. Ambrose specifically note is the statistical likelihood which, by definition, is more likely than not.

Now, while we presented evidence on a nine year reduction, I'm not going to talk to you about it because at the end of the day, we're willing to accept, if you accept what the two experts said and the fact that it might actually be statistically shorter based upon other information that's available. That's for another day.

You don't have to remember these numbers. These boards are in evidence so you can have them when you go into deliberate.

Now we come to the life care plan, the future needs for Mr. Perez.

Live Nation is responsible to take care of the fair and reasonable and justly determined future medical needs of this young man. It's not disputed, never has been and never will be, but there's a dispute as to what this is, okay.

We have presented to you through Kim Kushner what
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we believe to be, based on the review of Dr. Ambrose, a reasonable future life care plan.

Dr. Ambrose outlined all the items she believes he needs including a good percentage of things that he's not getting now.

So, we're actually presenting to you evidence of better care and treatment of more comprehensive support for him than his own treating physicians have been providing for him for the last six and a half years.

Why? We told you and I told you at the beginning I was not going to break my pact with you and I was not going to ask an expert to say, no, no treaters aren't suggesting he needs this level of therapeutic support. We don't have to agree to that because he's not getting it. No. It's fair, reasonable and frankly he should have. He should have.

So, where are the differences based upon and keeping in mind, right, Kim Kushner has a bachelor and a master of science in nursing. She's a certified registered nurse practitioner and certified night nurse, life care planner. She can actually not only talk about it, she can do it.

The whole purpose was to get somebody in here that had the ability not only to talk about it, but have a foundation that you're comfortable is fair.

LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

So, what are the dramatic differences? Okay. Where do we see the dramatic dispute?

Some of this has changed, which is why when the 4 plaintiff stands up, you're going to see numbers that are
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Nope. We did. We cross-examined him on it. He admitted that it was wrong. $\$ 725,000$ worth of neurosurgical consulting was wrong and now, the numbers have changed. So, where else do we disagree?
Well, you heard testimony about Mr. Perez has a shoulder injury and that, according to Dr. Lubliner, needs surgery. That's has been withdrawn as an item for which they're seeking life care support as well.

Why? Because what we presented and what we challenged their proofs on resulted in them withdrawing it which is why we now have new numbers.

What are the two sticking points that are the most -- and you heard from Kim Kushner. Some things we agree with Mr. Provder, some things we disagree with Mr. Provder. There's some things we have on our list that he doesn't and some things he has that we don't, but at the end of day, the two core points of the dispute are transportation expenses and home health aide support.

The difference is astronomical in the costs for his future care. The transportation costs that have been alleged range to almost $\$ 27,000$ a year.

What did you learn from the defense presentation? He's entitled to free transportation for medical care.

Now, does that help with going to the store? No, it doesn't.
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Does that help with going to a friend's house or family or to whatever task he decides to pursue? No.

So, there will be transportation needs for a man that has an active driver's license, that has driven, by his own admission, up through 2016 and that still has an active driver's license.

Not one thing about his driving privileges has been restricted by the State of New York and not one thing about his care and treatment of all of these people despite the legal obligation to report it, no one has told DMV, hey you can't drive.

So, to the extent that Mr. Perez, next year or the year after or the year after, recovers and begins to regain some of the freedoms that he claims to have lost, you need to take that into consideration when you're evaluating.

What do you do about transportation? We submit that what we've proposed is reasonable because the only question is whether or not we have been able to prove that he's driving right now. Right now.

I'm going to tell you, can't prove it. Can't prove it. Don't know. Why? We don't watch him every day. Right. You have to take Mr. Perez at his word but there's no question that Mr. Perez had independence and has the ability to engage in normal tasks extensively and that changed.
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What does that mean? There's a fair likelihood it's going to change again. There's a fair likelihood as his condition evolves and as he goes through the therapies we have outlined that we believe are in his best interest that are consistent with the treatment and review of multiple doctors and he begins to regain function, he's going to be able to drive. He is.

What's the other item that is of significant dispute? Home care to the tune of $\$ 127,000$ a year.

Now, one of the things about the testimony in the case was about the care and treatment that he's received for the past six and a half years.

Did you hear about one medical provider at all involved in his care that said he needs 24-hour a day seven-day a week care? No. No. It hasn't been provided for six and a half years.

Does he need help? We agree he needs help.
Does he need somebody sitting next to him 24 hours a day, seven days a week? How can that be reasonable when the man lived alone in 2016 and 2017. By his own brother's testimony there are hours, sometimes it's a couple of hours, sometimes a short period of time, sometimes there's less, sometimes not.

At the end of day, he's able to function alone and the idea that he needs 12 -hour a day, seven-day a week care
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on October 20, 2015, he weighed 161 pounds. On November 15th -- strike that.

On September 15, 2016, he weighed 171 pounds. He put on ten pounds.
5
6
7
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What does that mean? What does the duty to mitigate damages mean?

You heard when I asked Mr. Perez the question: I asked when he went to the doctor, how you were doing and why you were there. You give truthful information because one of the reasons you're there is you want to get better and the plaintiff can't not proceed with treatment to his detriment and then blame the defense for his inability to get better.

It's a tough issue, but in this case it's
uncontroverted that there's no medical reason why he hasn't had the cranioplasty. If he has the cranioplasty from the various physicians who have offered to work with his family, whether it be Dr. Schwartz, Dr. Doyle or the preeminent physicians at Johns Hopkins University, if he has the procedure not only is it going to help him physically, it's going to help him emotionally because he told you a really moving story about encountering a young person in the store and the kid saying something about the way he looked and the kid said something about wearing a helmet and it made him feel bad and that is completely reasonable.

I don't know if I would be able walk around society wearing a helmet, but in this case all the doctors who treated him, the doctors reviewing his case in this litigation on behalf of plaintiff and the doctors on behalf
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of defense all said the same thing, he needs that surgery. He needs it and in the meantime he needs to take protections to take care of himself; and, along the way, if there are things that he can do to improve his condition, he's supposed to go and do them.

What do we know about the psychotherapy that was prescribed by Dr. Fayer.

Dr. Fayer came before you and said he needs it once a week. Once a week since the first time he saw him in January of 2018. It's almost January of 2020. We're literally two years and has he been doing what Dr. Fayer, who is now the treating physician responsible for him, told him?

Has he been doing it? Nope. What's the reason? 255 miles, two hours to drive to New York City. Yet Dr. Fayer had no choice but to concede there are multiple psychotherapist is between Islip and New York City that at a minimum he could consider going to to try and take steps to make himself feel a little bit better. He hasn't done any of that.

In evaluating what I think is one of the most sensitive issues in this case, really important, the life expectancy issue and how it affects future life care needs.

It's impossible not to feel empathy and compassion for this family. They are a good family. There's no better
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## Perez v.

example of that then the way they've rallied around each other to try and stay close to their brother and that's really, really nice; and, as much as we all have that
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8 affected by any outside consideration. That includes sympathy.
10 11 you're heart drives you? How can you do that?
12
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deserves it. He deserves it and Live Nation is committed as much now as it was when I first met you. You do the right thing by that young man; and, the fact that he may not have gotten it up to this point, if you believe he's going to do it, fund it by the way that you structure the verdict that you render.

So, that brings me to a discussion of how Live Nation believes you should calculate what the economic loss is separate from pain and suffering because you'll hear the verdict form allows for pain and suffering consideration and when you add up the economic loss, and the life care plan for Mr. Perez, assuming a 6.7 year reduction, it comes to $\$ 4,766,000$.

If you do the same addition, without any change in his life expectancy, based upon the evidence we presented, it comes to $\$ 5.857$ million, okay.

So, if you decide that it is reasonable, based on the decrease in his life expectancy, to award the plan that takes that into consideration, we would agree with you that that's what the evidence shows, but if you decide to do something different and for purposes of my discussion, I figured it would make it easier.

If you take the full numbers between full life
24 expectancy and decreased, the average is $\$ 5,017,000$. If you 25 believe that's fair, give it to him. Give it to him.
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Pain and suffering: There's no formula, no magic. What something feels to one person is unique to them. It's the toughest job you have.

What's the conscious pain and suffering award, past, present, and future worth?

You heard during voir dire there's going to be a number that's coming from the plaintiff. I don't know what it is either. I guarantee you it's going to take your breathe away. I guarantee you.

What does Live Nation believe is fair?
If you award the life care plan that we've outlined, if you award the full value of the economic loss we've outlined taking into consideration reasonable consumption costs that we all have to incur:

You have to wear clothes. You have to put gas in your car. There's things we all have to do. You don't just get a gross amount of money.

If you take that into consideration, we've taken care of every life need that is reasonably anticipated for this young man based upon the testimony that was presented by experts beyond reproach.

So, what would I suggest that you do for conscious pain and suffering? Well, what if that's not enough? Double it. Match it. Give him $\$ 5$ million for the conscious pain and suffering so that to the extent there's anything
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unanticipated in here, there's money available to him to provide for and it is an amount of money that if you had those two together is $\$ 10$ million plus.

We're responsible for the past medical expenses and I submit to you, Ladies and Gentlemen, I don't say that easily. It's not easy to stand up here and say what do I think this is worth, but, you know, based upon the way voir dire went, you're going to get a number, and I guarantee you it ain't going to be what I just said. Guarantee you, which is why we're here.

So, in conclusion, thank you, so much. Thank you for taking the time out of your life. You didn't have to. You saw all the people that came. We went through a painstaking process. You did it. You have things in your life to work around and you did it.

It's an honor to be part of a system where there's people like you who understand how important this is, how important what you're about to do is. Be fair, be just and be reasonable. No more and no less and remember what you promised.

Stay right here. Stay right here until you get into that room because I'm not going to have a chance to say anything after I sit down.

On behalf of Live Nation, it's been a privilege to speak with you.
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## Thank you.

2 THE COURT: I'll give you a break before we start Mr. Morelli's summation.
(Whereupon, the jury leaves the courtroom.)
THE COURT: Okay. Send in the jury.
(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.)
THE COURT: Mr. Morelli.
MR. MORELLI: Good morning, all. Still morning.
Obviously, I have a lot to talk to you about and so much of it is more detailed and some of it is a little easier and more straightforward.

I don't usually thank jurors for their jury duty because it's a duty, but one thing I have to comment on is that your attention has been incredible and you're always on time. You're as good as us, if not better.

So, thank you for that.
What seems to be interesting to me from the outset is how in 2019, there's still some people who believe that the best way to go is to blame the victim.

I think that you all know that much of what you heard is not being magnanimous, you know. Live Nation Worldwide is here for a discount, okay. I mean, that's what it's about.

So, I know it's been very, very difficult for the witnesses that were brought in by the defense lawyers for
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Live Nation Worldwide to say it straight. It was like pulling teeth to get some of these witnesses to admit things. I know you know that.

I know you watched me be pretty exorcised about some of it. That's what I have to do. I think you know 6 that.

We talked about it in jury selection and I think that I don't have to tell you what you saw. I don't have to tell you what you heard.

I'm going to tell you what I saw and heard and how I view it. At the end of the day, you're going to remember a lot of the testimony because I'm actually going to be talking about specific testimony.

I'm not going to be talking about only things that I remember, but I have the whole record, and I've read the whole record, and I know exactly what the testimony is in this case, and I'm going to bring some of it to you. I can't bring it all to you or I'll be giving a closing argument for a month. So, I can't do that.
(Continued on next page.) going to do it in alphabetical order or not, so don't think that one person is more important than the other. But that's my legal team.
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: Now, this is before. This is before, and that's what Mark Perez looked like before the accident. That's him after. Now, I know that, you know, it has actually been beaten to death, people say a picture is worth a thousand words, and this is very telling, because that doesn't look like the person he was, because it's not. It's just not.

When I talk about before, I talk about a bright young man. And this is testimony in the case, not from Morelli. Fearless, adventurous. Because we know what he was doing. He was trying to get his pilot's license. He was flying a small plane. I wouldn't be in that plane, but that's what he likes. He was ambitious. He was a leader. You heard his brother. Talented. An entrepreneur. He had started his own business. And understand that all of this that he was doing, he was still -- just turned 30 years old.
He was about six months into his 30th year when this accident happened, in June of 2013. He was, more importantly, independent. He had a total zest for life. That's who he was.
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1 Now, I couldn't tell you that. His brother Justin told you that. You judge the credibility of the witnesses. 3 You judge. Witnesses are not judged by how many degrees 4 they have. Somebody can be a laborer and be credible, and someone could have five degrees from Harvard and be incredible. That's up to you. That's not up to the degrees. So I say to Justin Perez, everybody would love to have a brother like that. Told you straight.

And what about after? Shattered. Now, you heard that from a number of people, and we are going to get into it. Trapped in a mind that has been altered. That's what the case is about. That's one of the things that this case is about. Trapped in a mind that has been altered.
Diminished. No longer optimistic, but instead, defeated. And I'm going to bring you the words, specifically, of his brother who talks about that and tells you what he thinks about it. Anxious. Fearful. Worried, depressed.
18 What is he worried about? What is he worried 19 about? He is worried about everything. He worried about,
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upsetting to him.
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: So, I made this slide, The look of no, and I got that term from his brother, Justin, who
described to you in his testimony when and what he saw with
reference to his brother. That's Justin Perez.
"After the first seizure, Mark had a look of loss and defeat on his face."

That's his testimony. That's the exact testimony in the case, and he said:
"It is a look of loss and defeat, and the one thing about my brother that I always loved was that he was not someone that would ever give up. He was just a tremendously liked individual, and just, you know, wouldn't take no in anything in his life. And that day, that was the look of no."

Very telling. Very hard to even describe that, other than giving you the exact words.

So let's talk a little bit about the defense of Live Nation Worldwide and what their lawyers were promulgating in this case, and I know that, you know, it's easy, after you hear all of the testimony in the case, and you are watch all the witnesses, and you're judging the witnesses, are they telling you straight, because it's very important for you to look at the witnesses, see how they're
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testifying. It's not only what you say, its how you say it. We look at people all the time in our everyday life and we say, I didn't believe him. I didn't believe him. Why do we say that? It's not only because maybe what they said was not credible, but the way they say it has to be also something that we judge, and we do it every day. That's what we call our God-given common sense. That's our instinct.
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: I say to you that there's no defense to this case. It's a non defense from Live Nation Worldwide, who we have now heard is being magnanimous. Give him more. Treat him even better. That's a nice family. You know? I'm not going to call him names. Really?

You could call a duck a dog, but it doesn't make it a dog. So you could use whatever words you want, but you are still saying what you are saying. And I'm going talk about that.

Sowing the seeds of doubt. The defendants have no evidence that Mark Perez is not severely injured or severely impaired. No evidence. And there has been no evidence in this case. It has all been throwing things out there, and seeing if you hold onto them, whether or not it came from the testimony. Doesn't matter. And I'll show you specifically what I mean. This isn't just about me. It's
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about the testimony in the case. The defendants are only here to sow the seeds of doubt. That's what this is all about. If we put some doubt in your mind, this is what the lawyers are thinking, the lawyers for Live Nation Worldwide. This is what they are thinking: We are going to sow the seeds of doubt. Now, why would you do that? Why would you do that? If you say to a jury, I'm not going to call this young man names, this is a nice family, then what's the reason for all of this? What's the reason for all of it? It's to sow the seeds the doubt.

It's actually to, what I say, distract, distort and confuse, and that's their job in this case. That's what they figured out. They said, Hey, all of this evidence is against us; okay? Mark Perez is really injured. Luckily, he is walking and talking. Luckily. God said that was okay. Not the lawyers for the Live Nation Worldwide --

MR. HAWORTH: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. B. MORELLI: -- that's for sure.
THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. B. MORELLI: So, yes. It's very magnanimous of you.
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.) MR. B. MORELLI: And what kind of talk is this? You know, we have a situation -- I'm going talk about Mark
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1 before and after the accident, but what about -- what did 2 Justin Perez say? He was just physically and mentally super 3 capable, and that's a photo of him when he was a DJ, when he 4 was a very young man. And look, you know, you don't have to 5 be a college graduate to be someone who is magnetic.
6 Someone who people like to be around. Someone who makes
7 people feel good. There are a number of people who have
8 dropped out of college, who make a lot of money and have
9 been unbelievably successful in their life. But what the 10 defense wants to do here is pigeon hole this young man at 1133,000 a year for the rest of his life. Keep that in your 12 mind while I talk to you about the real evidence in this 13 case.

14
15
16
17
player. That's who he was. Did you hear any testimony in
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this case that he does all these thing now? That he can do them? We are not making this case anything it isn't. It was Mark Perez who said, I go to the gym. They didn't bring it out. If he was faking, people, he didn't have to tell him that. It was Mark Perez who said, I tried driving. They did didn't figure that out. He told these people, who came in and want you to believe that he is trying to fool them. Why would you do that? It's inconsistent. It makes no sense.
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: What were Mark's goals in life? And we have to turn to Justin again for that, because he is the one who knows him. He grew up with him. They were tight when they were younger, and they are even tighter now, because he needs his brother to take care of him.

Now, does Live Nation Worldwide want to pay for taking care of this young man 24-7? No. Let his family do it. They are doing good. They are doing good at it. Let them keep doing it, so we get a discount. We don't have to pay for it, if the family is so good at it. But unfortunately, the mother and the father are both 70 years old. Now, that doesn't mean that they are going to die soon. Hopefully, you know, but it ain't forever, so yeah, they'll be getting a discount. For six and a half years while we are waiting for this case. Yeah.
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And luckily for them, the mother is an RN, and you heard that when he had this raging infection, she had to put P PICC line in his arm for 12 weeks to give him antibiotics three, four times a day.

So maybe his brother, to save some money for Live Nation Worldwide, because they want to take responsibility for it -- you know, we are going to take responsibility for it. But only certain things.

So let's go to what this case is really about from the, what I call the get go.
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: This is from the scene of the accident. This is what Mark Perez remembers from the scene of the accident. I was knocked off of the truss and I fell down. After being forced off, I hit the ground head first, and I remember blood and things coming out of my mouth and ears, and I tried to put the -- my hands in it, and put it back in my head, and I knew this was how I was going to die. That's what I thought.

Well, you didn't hear a whole lot about that in the case from anybody but Mark, because there's nobody who witnessed that happening. But when we talk about conscious pain and suffering in this case -- and I'm going to be talking about conscious pain and suffering in this case, because there is no formula for that, there's nobody with
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credentials, you know, coming in so that they can give you a nice neat package of how much money this boy deserves as a verdict in this case. That's up to you. 100 percent up to you. Not up to any economists, any life care planners. All of that, the life care plan and the economic loss and loss of wages, the smallest part of this case. The conscious pain and suffering and loss of enjoyment of life, listen to what the judge tells you about what the law is in this case. This isn't Morelli's law. This is the Court. Just like the Court decided whether, after this accident, that Live Nation Worldwide was responsible to this boy.

MR. HAWORTH: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. B. MORELLI: That has already been determined, so all you're talking about here is the injuries, the extent of the injuries, how it affected this boy, what the past conscious pain and suffering is, and what the future conscious pain and suffering is. That's that this case is about, and we are not going to gloss over it.

But that's what he thought. I knew this was how I was going to die. That's the terror that he felt at the time.
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: What happened to him? He was admitted to Nassau University Medical Center, and you know
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1 the date of the accident: June 26th. So let's talk about what happened there. Admitted to the surgical intensive care unit. Had a traumatic brain injury. A fractured

$$
4
$$

4
5 1

## LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER

Summation by Plaintiff (B. Morelli)
Page 1738
call a subdural hematoma, and he said that basically, if

1 this had not been done, Mark would have died. So his 2 life-saving surgery, involving opening up the side of his head with a big skin incision and removing a large piece of bone, and they did that because the pressure had built up in his head at such a great degree that if it kept going, he would die, so they had to alleviate the pressure by cutting his head open, taking off that piece of skull, so that the brain had more room.

So the best thing to do, he says, is actually take the bone off, and this operation, they stored it in his abdomen, because it's sterile there, and at some point they put it back, or they would attempt to put it back. That didn't work out so good.

Now, you are dealing with surgeons. You, know brain surgeons especially, which Dr. Schwartz is, they speak in a certain way, because they see things we don't see. They see it. They see things that we don't want to see, probably. And so when he says in this operation they stored it in his abdomen, it's not like he was like carrying around a pouch. It's not like, Where do you store away these winter clothes? All right, well, let's put them in the second closet; you know? This wasn't any storing going on. They cut open his abdomen. Another surgery. They put the skull in there. They sewed him up, and then when they needed to attempt to put the skull back where it belonged,
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they had to open him up again and do another surgery. And these surgeries are done under general anesthesia. This isn't like, Oh yeah, let's have another surgery; you know? And brain surgeries, nonetheless, we are talking about.
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: It's not -- you know, I was thinking about, that it sounds, like, so easy. You know, there's no reason why Mark shouldn't go have a fifth surgery. There's no reason. It's like -- it's like, you know, if you were -- It's easy. It's like going to the deli; you know? You give me a pastrami on rye. You give me a pastrami, the worst thing that's going to happen to you, you get a little mustard on you, or maybe you get a little indigestion. This is brain surgery, and you are talking about it like, Oh, yeah. He refused to go to the store.

And he has a family that went all the way to Maryland to Johns Hopkins to find out about this surgery, and they told him we might have to do it in two or three different surgeries. They did that before they came to court. Months before. And that's how he wound up at Dr. Schwartz. Yeah, I wanted Dr. Schwartz to testify in this case. Yeah, I thought he was qualified. Yes, we referred the family to Dr. Schwartz, for two reasons: A second opinion as to that surgery, and to tell you about his
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condition, because you need to know.
2 (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
3 MR. B. MORELLI: And so Southside Hospital, and
4
5
6 h
. .
doing physical therapy, doing occupational therapy, speech
9 therapy, recreational therapy, neuropsychology.
10 And all of this, by the way, is part of the conscious pain and suffering for the past. This all happened already. This isn't fun and games, this is hard.
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: Physical therapy for traumatic brain injury. He wasn't finished. He then went to
St. Charles rehab for balance, coordination, neuromuscular re-education. He had to learn how to do things. His brain was damaged; okay? The circuitry wasn't working right. And improving his hand strength and dexterity for activities of daily living. That's the reason that he's able to get dressed by himself. Speech therapy. To control his breathing. Finding words. Rate of speech.

I think it's a good time, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay.
Mr. Morelli is he not going to finish in one
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sitting, so we are going to take a break at this time. I told him to let me know when it was a good time for a break.

THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting.
(Whereupon, the prospective jurors was excused from the courtroom.)

MR. HAWORTH: There was no objection, but it is an absolutely improper comment that, We have been waiting 6.6, six and a half years for this case. Mr. Morelli knows it's not appropriate to suggest that somehow some way, Live Nation is responsible for that. The time that a case takes to get from incident to trial cannot be considered against anyone, and that is not appropriate to say. I did not object because I had just previously objected, but I would ask that the Court remind Mr. Morelli, that's not permissible.

MR. B. MORELLI: First of all, I don't think he is right. Second of all, you should understand, what you do not know, is that during jury selection I told every one of these jurors that they cannot even consider how long this case has taken, because it's no one's fault; okay? I told every one single juror that, so I don't know what he's talking about, and it wasn't intended for that purpose to begin with.

THE COURT: Well -- let me back up. A, there was
24 25 no objection; B, it was already out there; C, I didn't
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interpret it the way that you did, Mr. O'Hara. I really didn't, and because he did not -- I didn't interpret that as any sort of blame for the defendants. There was nothing that was accompanying that, that the defendant's were using dilatory tactics, or anything else.

But, in any event, I think that the issue has now passed.

MR. HAWORTH: Thank you.
THE COURT: Okay.
(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
(Continued on the next page.)
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(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.)
MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) Just to highlight, this is a replica of Mark's real skull and his face. They can do these things now. These are all -- this is all of the places that there were fractures of the eye socket and around his skull. That's the way his face was fractured when it fell to the ground.

So, he had the surgery to remove the skull from his abdomen and they describe it there.

The patient is a 30 -year-old male previously with a decompressive craniectomy at Nassau University, by myself, which means it's the same surgeon.

Now, for explantation of bone of the calvaria.
Explantation, taking it out of the abdomen.
Explanting it and then putting it on his head and they talk about the repair and the placement of the cranioplasty of the bone flap back onto his head.

So, it was a surgery to remove the skull from the abdomen and a surgery to replace the bone flap back onto the skull.

And it talks about in that that a total of 27 screws were placed in his skull. This is a replica of that surgery, post surgery.

So, not only were there 27 screws placed, but these pieces exactly like this were placed to keep it in place and
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so this is really what happened, and when you see the lines here, you're seeing that it was segmented. It wasn't all in one piece. It wasn't so a piece that they took out of the abdomen with the surgery and then they just put it back on.
It was complicated and that's the reason that he had -- one of the reasons why he had a problem with this being the last surgery that he needed to keep it in place.
9 . . .
9 a cranioplasty revision surgery with titanium mesh due to

So, brain surgery number four. Brain surgery number three was January 2015. Brain surgery number four was May 2015, another cranioplasty revision surgery due to infection.

Now, you've heard that he's had numerous infections. These are complications of the surgery.
Obviously, everyone always hopes when they go in for surgery
that there's no complications and often there isn't, but
when there is, it's more serious than what it normally would have been, more serious.

So, a plastic surgeon had to be brought in to close the wound. Two surgeons, again, doing this surgery.

What we often don't see and this is right from the hospital records of the operation itself, and you see two operative reports there, two surgeons involved. It says exactly what the problem was.

Now, if you talk about a picture telling the story. There's your malingerer. It's really interesting because this is what Mark Perez says in reference to knowing that he needs a fifth surgery and he needs a fifth surgery because this is exactly how he is now.

This doesn't have to be interpreted. This is it.
Don't need to ask a question about it. That's the way he is right now. He knows he has to do something about this.

That's why the whole family went to Maryland. They went to Johns Hopkins, months ago, months, to see maybe they had an answer. He's had four brain surgeries. He wants the next one to be the last one, if possible.

The last one, not the next to the last one or the next to the next to last one or the next to the next to the next last one.

So, he's being careful and what do the lawyers tell you about this? This Live Nation Worldwide, these generous people. Give him more. They tell you that they want a discount because he hasn't had the surgery and it would make him better.

I don't know where that testimony is. Where's the testimony that it's going to make him better? Where? I searched for it. I can't find it. Nobody knows the answer.

He's had infections. He's had all these problems.
So, what does he think about this fifth surgery? Let's hear what Mark Perez thinks about it: "I think about this next surgery a lot, and to be completely honest, I don't know how many more brain surgeries I'm going to live through."

Now, you think he's nuts to think that? Really? Is that what an unreasonable person would think or a reasonable person? Because if I heard reasonable one more time from the defense lawyer in this case, reasonable.

## LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

Summation - Mr. Morelli
Page 1748
Reasonable. Reasonable.
Well, is that a reasonable thing to think? Hmm? I leave that to you. Malingerer. That's the real picture of this case, not Dr, Barr. Dr. Ambrose. Insulting to me and I think insulting to you. Insulting.

So, what are they doing here? Sowing the seeds of doubt. Let's talk about Dr. Ambrose.

There she is so you can remember what she looks like and I want you to remember what she looked like when I was cross-examining her. Said to her:
"Question: Do you doubt that he has seizures? Yes or no?"

This is that person with all those credentials, you know, brain injury expert and everything.
"Yes or no? Do you doubt it?
"I'm not sure."
Well, obviously, you're not testifying as to whether he has seizures or not because you're not sure, right? So, I had to ask her:
"Do you doubt that he has headaches?" Because that's what he told her.
"No.
"Do you doubt that he has pain in his right eye? "No.
"Do you doubt that he has cognitive complaints?
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1 "No.
"He has a problem with his memory. Do you doubt that?
"No.
"He reports difficulty with concentration or trying to plan. Do you doubt that?
"No."
But I want you to know that this guy who
unfortunately has a problem, difficulty with concentration
or trying to plan and Dr. Ambrose doesn't disagree with
that, he's been planning since 2013, 2014, 2015, while he's
having brain surgery, he's planning to malinger. That's
what he's doing. That's what they're telling you. He's planning it.

Why else would they tell you this? Oh, we're not going to call him any names. We only use a nice word this time. Embellish. If you look up that word, it has absolutely nothing to do with any of this because they don't want to tell you what they really mean. They mean that this boy is a faker. That's what they think.

How many times do you think I asked that question? How many times did I ask those experts? Wasn't afraid to ask that.

Is he a faker? Well, I can't say -- I can't really say that. I don't want to say that. I can't assume that.
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I don't know. I rather use embellishing.
You could use whatever you want. I want to know what you're testifying to.

Well, we can't figure out his cognitive problems.
Okay. Then why are you in Court? Why are you
getting paid to testify if you can't figure out his
cognitive problems?
That's what they said. Every one of them said the
same thing: We can't figure out the cognitive deficits of
Mark Perez. Okay.
Dr. Greenwald figured it out.
Dr. Gordon figured it out.
Dr. Fayer figured it out.
Dr. Schwartz figured it out.
But they can't figure it out and whose fault is it that they can't figure it out? (Pointing.) Right.

Isn't that the implication? That it's Mark's
fault. They can't figure it out because he's a malingerer.
So, what do they want you to do with it? What did do they want you to do with it?

What do you do with that? I don't know what to do what with that.

Okay. Let me see, he's had four brain surgeries, the poor kid almost died and he can't plan. He can't concentrate well, but they want to sell you that he has been
planning to malinger since the beginning. It's ridiculous. It's not only absurd, just remember the word I called it. Insulting.
"He can use a computer but often forgets passwords and needs help with navigating the Internet.
"Do you doubt that, Dr. Ambrose?
"No.
"He feels depressed and cries occasionally. Do you doubt that?
"No.
"He worries about the future. Do you doubt that? "No."
Then what are you saying? What the heck are you saying? Say it already.

I know what you're saying. You're sowing the seeds of doubt. That's what you're doing. One witness after another.

Dr. Jordan. You remember him. There he is. I said to him: "Are you saying that when you made that statement, you didn't make the statement understanding whether malingering requires intent?" Intent.

Here's what he said: "I don't know what his intent was."

What? What? Am I on the moon? If you're saying he's a malingerer, it has to be intentional. That's what it
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means. I don't know what his intent was. Okay.
You know why? Because he doesn't want to call him a name because he doesn't want to upset anybody in the
Court. Wonder who that would be, okay, but they want a discount. So, take some mud, throw it on the wall. See if it sticks.

But they haven't told you what to do. They haven't told you what to do because they don't have a defense.
"You don't know if this poor effort on your testing was intentional. Is that a correct statement?"
"It's hard for me to answer that. I mean, I -- I don't think it was physiological."

I don't know what the heck that means.
So I ask him: "I didn't ask you that. I asked you whether it was intentional." I kept going. "It's hard for me to make that assumption. I don't want to make that assumption."

I'm sure you don't.
"I can't testify about his intention, but there was embellishment of the performance. I can't testify as to his intention. "

Well, you know, jurors, you have to, because if you're saying he's malingering, that's what it means. But they're not going to. So, now I say:

Okay. Now you have a new word in the game.
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of false or exaggerated symptoms?"
Dr. Gordon says: "Yes."
So, now, I asked Dr. Jordan about it:
"Do you agree with me," I said, "that the
definition of malingering in your field actually requires
intent?" I want to know.
You have the right to know what they're saying.
You have the right to know. Don't just throw stuff out
there. Don't just try to muddy up a case that has no mud.
Don't do that. Say what you're saying and say what is the
reason. Say it was intentional if that's what you think. I can deal with the straight talk once in a while.

Here's his answer: "Hard for me to answer that because it almost sounds like a legal definition as opposed to a medical. I don't know."

What the heck does that mean? Really? What does
that mean? Is this the person who you want to rely on to give this boy less than he deserves? Are you kidding me?

So I say-- I'm not done. "Are you saying that
when you made that statement you didn't make that statement understanding requires intent?"
"I don't know what his intent was."
I guess he doesn't know. I guess he doesn't know what malingering means and I guess he doesn't mean it in that way.
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So, I don't know what his testimony could possibly mean to you.

Here's what Dr. Gordon says about malingering and this is very important for me to talk to you about with reference to what this really is because you've heard so much about it and I know that it's important that we don't confuse the issues in this case because the issues are quite clear and if there's confusion that does enure to Mark's benefit.

So, when I saw that his scores were essentially the same over this three year period.

Now, remember that Dr. Gordon tested mark 22 tests, 2015, 2018. "I felt that the change in the TOMM score was a replication of his overall distress because you can view malingering as either a state or a trait." Okay.

So, now this is very important because if it's one, it could vary and it's understandable, but if it's a trait, if it's something you're doing all the time it wouldn't.

So, if it's a state, it means it's something that fluctuates from time to time like many things do, characteristics of the person. If it's a trait and it's an enduring characteristic of the person, okay.

So, basically, clearly it wasn't a trait because basically there were differences in his performance on the TOMM and to me there were fluctuations that basically
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indicated that he was distressed.
I'm going to talk about that even more because you're going to see that's the explanation from somebody who actually has tested him, spent hours with him on two different occasions three years apart and is giving you his testimony.

It's not somebody who read records, never met him and made a decision to come in here and affect this case.

So, let's now deal with the next doctor in the
case. I say that they're all sowing the seeds of doubt and that's Dr. Doyle.

Now, it was probably very telling to you, as it was telling to me, that counsel spent time trying to clear up Dr. Doyle, make him look better, explain to you why it looked so bad, but I think you remember his testimony and how he acted and how he looked and the last thing he said to me was "Oh, sorry, wasn't paying attention to you."

That's the last thing he said to me. That's after he was inappropriate with the Judge, and what's the answer to that? That he's a rookie? That ain't an answer.

So, let's talk about what he really did.
He started deceiving in August of 2019 when he met with Mark, his brother and my associate, David. They were all at this meeting and he was telling Mark he was acting as his doctor.
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cross-examining her on her report I said oh, so you told them that you were doing a medical examination for the defendants. Did you tell them that?
4 I did.
5 You told them for a reason?
She said: Yeah, because it's ethical to do that. She said that. Ethical.

And I asked her point blank: Is it unethical if you weren't doing that, if you were to make the person who is the injured person, the victim, the plaintiff in this case, to think that you were there to help him, that would be unethical.

She said, and that's just what this guy did, just what he did. And says: Did it help Mark? Well, he's getting paid $\$ 20,000$ to diminish Mark to you, and the interesting thing is that I thought I was in dentistry trying to get out of him that he was actually getting paid and the reason that I asked him that question, you should understand, is because of what -- it was talked about in the meeting that he said certain things about getting paid, so I questioned him about it.

That's the reason why I wanted to know. I could care less if he's getting $5,000,10,20,30,50$. Doesn't matter to me.

My point was that what he was doing that day was
Now, when I was listening to the closing of counsel, I was listening to this explanation that he was being this great guy, this good doctor, Hippocratic oath. I even heard about when he was having this meeting that he was getting paid money to work Live Nation Worldwide. That's who he was working for, the lawyers of Live Nation Worldwide, and I'm going to refer you to something that I think might be very telling for you.

In this case, the lawyers for the defense have relied heavily on Dr. Ambrose. So, let's find out what Dr. Ambrose thinks about the Hippocratic oath that Dr. Doyle was telling these gentlemen, you know, I'm like a real doctor.

He admitted he was selling how great NYU was and how he is the best in the world and he's done 25,000 surgeries and if he ever wanted, if Mark ever wanted to come to him as a doctor, oh, that would be great and he could probably help him.

I don't know what he was going to help him with if he then said he didn't have epilepsy. I don't know what he's going to help him with because that is what he does.

So, he's ingratiating himself to Mark and the other two young men and not telling him straight.

So, what did Dr. Ambrose say about this?
Well, Dr. Ambrose said because when I was
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not cool, was unethical. He was trying to deceive.
So, he says -- and now I'm questioning him and I don't know, I thought I was asking straightforward questions. He says to me: We're like the same. We're the same. Like you and me, we're the same, he says to me. We're both trying to help our clients.

Well, Doctor, you don't have a client.
Oh, I know what you mean. Your client, the lawyers, and I'm trying to help my client, the injured but we're the same. We're the same, but he said what he really meant because he's working for his client.

You're not trying to be a doctor. You're not a doctor when you come in and testify that now you don't think he has epilepsy or you're not sure.
(Continued on next page.)
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(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: There's Dr. Barr, and he is an interesting guy. He is the only witness to conclude that Mark Perez has a mild traumatic brain injury, the only one in the whole case, and I said to him, When you said that you reach all of your conclusions based on a review of the records, you didn't meet Mark; you didn't have an interview with him; you never tested him, so all you can rely on were the records, so I want to know, who was it that you read? What was it? What record was it? What doctor was it that you read, that he had a mild traumatic brain injury? And what did he say to me?

I saw no other examiner in this case who came to that conclusion.

Really? So now you just say that, and that's okay? You came to that conclusion why? To look good to the lawyers?

But then he said what he really meant. Look what he said:

I'm asked to form a legal opinion about the relationship of an accident and an outcome, and I need to know, what are the attorneys interested in.

By the way, I didn't tell him to say that. That wasn't in my question. That's what he says. Because you know what he was doing. He is trying to help the lawyers
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person is more credible than you or me? No. That's ridiculous. That's ridiculous.

Swearing to tell the truth. Listen to the judge when the judge tells you the charge of falsus in uno,
because you are allowed -- you are the judges of the facts.
6 We spoke about that in jury selection. You are the judges
of the facts. Not us. Not the judge. He can't invade your
8 province on that. You are the judges of the facts. Nobody
gets involved with that but you. Only you. And when you do
10 that, if you think that somebody didn't tell you something truthfully, you don't have to take it into consideration. You can take part of it into consideration or none of it into consideration. That's up to you. Not me. I know what I think.

Defendant Live Nation Worldwide's witnesses are talking out of two sides of their mouths. You can't say, I don't want to say something bad, and then try to say to you, in a very nice way, that he's trying to fool you. Because that's what this case is. There's no defense to this case, there's no defense to this case. None, zero. There's no evidence. This kid is planning and plotting since
2013-1415? He is having brain surgeries? It's craziness.
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: So let's judge the demeanor, and swearing to tell the truth. Let's not just listen to what
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someone says, but how they say it and how they look when they say it. I would say to you, remember Dr. Ambrose. Remember Dr. Doyle. Remember, okay, exactly how they looked on my questions. Dr. Ambrose wouldn't say for sure, Mark has traumatic epilepsy. She changed her opinion about unemployability.

Now, I know that you know, it's easy to, you know, stand up in front of you and try to clear up all the problems in the case, but to say that the only changes in the draft reports are typing errors or spelling errors is just not accurate, and not true.

She changed her opinion about employability.
12
13
14
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 Dr. Jordan doesn't know the extent of Mark's cognitive deficits. He does only two tests, and he says that Mark lacks effort. Dr. Doyle changed his opinion in court about Mark having epilepsy. You know what he said in his report? He said he believed Mark had epilepsy, and that's when he was talking to the boys. You know, I'm the world's expert in this? I could be your doctor? I could make you better? If he wasn't talking about epilepsy, and he didn't think Mark had epilepsy, why is he telling Mark these things? That's all he does. He might be a genius, but he ain't telling you straight. So now, in his report he says that -he comes into court, changed his opinion. I don't know. That's not a typo.(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: Now, I was very careful to listen closely to these stray comments that have been made by the defense lawyers in this case representing Live Nation Worldwide. They don't have proof. They just have a lot of talk. Talk a lot, prove a little.

Subjective and objective. That was, like, the main topic of the beginning. Subjective, objective. Then they realize all the objective proof is with us. Then maybe they stopped talking about it.

Maybe he is not taking his meds. Remember that? Some person in this case, with absolutely no proof, said, Maybe he's not taking his meds. Just throw it out there. Maybe you will grab onto it. Oh, okay. Maybe the reason that that's happening is he is not taking his meds. Okay. No proof.

Maybe he doesn't have epilepsy. Really? Okay. And by the way, that's still their position. Maybe he has got it, may be he doesn't. They don't care, but they don't want to pay for it. They don't want to pay for it. No objective prove. Proof of seizures.

He could be a doorman. I love that. I love that. I love it to death. I wake up in the middle of the night thinking about Dr. Ambrose saying, maybe he could be a doorman. Okay. And then, after she says this, this person
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that they are relying on -- because if you don't buy
Dr. Ambrose, you can't buy Kushner and Friefelder. You
know, with all these credentials and PhDs . You take it and you throw it right in the trash, because they are relying on Give him the money. You know, all these charts were here.
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that he's unemployable, so leave it alone. Uh, uh, uh. No, no, no. I want to you think about it, because this is the person who says he needs seven-day care, seven days a week. He needs supervision seven days a week, but only four hours 5 a day. Four hours. I don't know how she came up with it. Four hours.

Now, what he does for the other 20 hours, I haven't the foggiest idea, but within these four hours, they have to prepare all his meals, make sure he takes his meds. Are they coming one hour in the morning, one in the afternoon, one a little later, and one late at night? Are the four hours at the end of the day? Are the four hours at the beginning of the day? Maybe the four hours are while he is being a doorman. That's even better. I love that.

Oh, excuse me. This is my -- oh, what's your name again? That's my -- my caregiver.

Insulting. You got to really dissect this to realize what they are trying to do here. You have got to rip it to shreds. Doorman.

Dr. Doyle has seen worse brain damage, anatomically. I don't know what the hell that means, but I know he is working for his clients. Then he had the audacity to say to me that he came to court to help Mark, as a doctor. Really.
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
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MR. B. MORELLI: So now I'll talk about a couple of hese people that you have heard about in the records, but you never saw them in court. So that is a very difficult name, and I'm not going to pronounce it, so I'm going say Dr. Joelle, okay, agrees with Dr. Gordon. Those are the questions I asked Dr. Gordon, and this is what Dr. Efthimou -- maybe that's right -- says, Mark has limited insight awareness.

That's what Dr. Joelle says, and now I ask Dr. Gordon about it and Dr. Gordon says, Limited awareness s a hallmark of traumatic brain injury. Due to the injury, individuals with a TBI are often not aware of the changes in their cognitive function, nor day-to-day function.

My question: "So what would you expect somebody to be able to report, if they have a limited insight?
"Answer: Well, I would expect that they would think that they could do things that were beyond them."

That's what Dr. Gordon says.
So, we then heard about Dr. Kristen Dams-O'Connor, and that was January 2016.
"I'm going to ask you to read to the jury just the portions that I highlighted," and what is next is him reading to you from Dams-O'Connor's report.
"Speech rate was slightly slowed. Mr. Perez would often provide verbose answers to questions. That suggested
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difficulty organizing and summarizing his thoughts.
Mr. Perez is currently experienced cognitive psychological and social challenges that impact his daily life.
"Question: And does that comport with what you found?
"Answer: Yes. I agree with her, and it confirms my findings."
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: Dr. Kusnetz, now.
"Dr. Gordon, you were also asked many questions about Sophir-Kusnetz. Do you remember that?
"Answer: Yes, do I.
"I'm going to ask you to look at her summary and recommendations.
"Answer: Evidence of slowed processing speed, and an impulsive response style were apparent throughout the testing sessions."

It's funny how some words just mean a lot. Dr. Kusnetz was making a decision about what was going on, and remember we heard from Dr. Barr that all of this stuff is just arithmetic. It's arithmetic. He could read all of these findings, and he could tell you exactly what's going on, but actually, you need to be there, because if it's apparent, it means you are looking at the person, and she found evidence of slow processing and impulsive response
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style because she could she Mark Perez. Not reading records 2 years and years later, so you can get a discount for your 3 client.
4 Impulsive response style, would that be an example 5 of somebody who would begin performing a task that they were 6 asked to do before the instructions had been completed?
That's what Dr. Gordon said. Consistent or inconsistent 8 with what you found? Totally consistent. Dr. Kusnetz says his performance was also -- listen carefully -- most likely confounded by increasing levels of pain. Dr. Kusnetz said that. Well, she was unable to differentiate whether his performance was due to the brain injury or his chronic pain. And what have they been selling you all of this time? The opposite of that. Pain can't affect it. Depression can't affect it. Yeah, Dr. Barr. Let's sum it all up. You didn't change your opinion about anything? Oh, no. I didn't change my opinion.

I think it's the right time, Judge.
THE COURT: Very good.
Ladies and Gentlemen, we are going to take a break for lunch. Just one thing I want to remind you, that the case hasn't been submitted to you yet for deliberations, which means you still can't do any of the research, any talking about the case amongst yourselves, any asking anybody any questions or anything else. So we are going to
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pick back up. I'm going to have you report back downstairs
at $2: 00$ and we can begin at $2: 15$, to finish up with
Mr. Morelli, and I'll give you my instructions; all right? THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. (Whereupon, the jury was excused from the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Anything? MR. O'HARA: Yes, your Honor.
So once again, we made the application beforehand. It is inappropriate for Mr. Morelli to suggest something is insulting to him. That is not a fair comment on the
evidence. He has said that on multiple occasions. We made an application in anticipation of him doing that. We would ask that you admonish him to stop it.

Specifically, he said, "I know what I think," again, taking off on the comments that he said on multiple occasions about being personally insulted. That's inappropriate. He is not permitted to do that.

MR. B. MORELLI: Where is the law on it? Let me see the law on it.

MR. O'HARA: We gave you our brief, and we filed it with the Court before this was even raised, or before -MR. B. MORELLI: I think everything has been fair comment.

THE COURT: First of all, maybe I misunderstood
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where I thought that we were this morning, when at least somebody needed to make an objection when something was happening, and I heard none.

MR. O'HARA: Fair. We knew it was coming, Judge. We gave you a brief. We specifically said --

THE COURT: And what you specifically said was if -- I know you gave me a brief. I read your brief. I agree with some of it, not entirely all of it, but where we ended the discussion, I think even on the record, and before we started the summation was, we are not going to -- I left it to your discretion as to sidebars and things of that nature; I would ask for one if I felt that I needed one; but I think what was very clear was objections still need to be made.

MR. O'HARA: Understood. Thank you, your Honor. (Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
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(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.)
MR. MORELLI: (Continuing) So, I want to come back to Dr. Ambrose who stated that she wanted to err on the side of overtreating Mark. She says she wants to err on the side of overtreating Mark, but she only wants to give him help and supervision four hours a day, seven days a week not 24/7 and not by somebody with medical training.

I submit to you that doesn't make any sense. It would make more sense if she said he needed nothing, than four hours a day because once you say that he needs something seven days a week, he needs to be able to take his medications. He has to remember. He has to be able to do the thing that he has to do.

So, I submit to you it makes no sense. They say they want to give him the benefit of the doubt.

Well, I haven't heard anything during this case where they're trying to give Mark the benefit of the doubt. I think they just want a discount which is what I've been telling you and I want you to keep your eye on the ball with reference to that.

Let's talk about the neuropsych testing of Dr. Jordan.

I spoke with Dr. Jordan after he talked about the test I gave MoCA and Rey and I said: "Doctor, now the tests such as MoCA and Rey and you have heard of the TOMM test
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He says: "Yes."
I said, "there's been a lot of studies about the TOMM test, have there not?"

He said "some, perhaps, not all of them. It is not in my area of expertise," and he said, "I have never administered the TOMM test nor do I know how to."

Now, why is that an important slide, important testimony in the case? Because there's been testimony by Dr, Barr that the TOMM test and all of these tests they're just like adding up numbers. They're very simple. Anybody can do it. You know, you add this up. You add that up and he says also and it was just the other day, he says Well, you know, a neurologist gives the test. Brain injury doctors give the test because it's very simple to do.

Here's Dr. Jordan from Harvard Medical School who happens to be a neurologist with those good credentials and he doesn't know how to administer the TOMM test.

So, it's very important to weave the testimony together. So, you have a tapestry of exactly what the plaintiffs have put forth here and what the defendants are trying to put forth here. It's very, very important.

I'm not afraid of the evidence and I just want to be able to bring it to you so that you see exactly what the real evidence is in this case.
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So, what's the defense? And I spent a lot of time thinking about what this defense is.

Well, the first defense that we have is to deny.
One of the things that they're denying in this case is that
he has traumatic epilepsy because they right now, I'm not so
sure, you know, if they feel definitively one way about it,
but I'm telling you that the sense is that they're denying
traumatic epilepsy and it doesn't matter that there's
evidence of it.
They want to deceive the effects of cognitive problems. That's very, very important to think about and it's one of the things that I harped on, you know, before the lunch break that they've all said the same thing.

We can't figure out the extent of the cognitive problems that Mark is suffering from and I basically was cross-examining and I say Well, who's fault is this? What do you mean you can't figure it out? I think, without saying it outright, they blamed Mark, okay.

So, that's the deceiving part. We got the denial. We got the deceiving and we've got the defaming.

So, they went now, from C's to D's. Credentials and credibility to the D category. What are they doing? They're claiming malingering and if I understand what they're putting forth in the case and I think I do, they're saying he's not making a full effort.
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You can say that in a lot of ways. I mean, you can -- "embellishing" is not a term that can be used but, you know, whether you say he's faking or something like that, that's what they're doing. They're defaming this young man and saying that he's not trying to give you the full picture of who he is now and I don't agree with that.

So, here's the changing stories because we have some changing stories in this case and they're not typos and they're not spelling errors.

Dr. Ambrose. Her draft report said specifically that Mark Perez was unemployable.

Now, we've heard from all the other witnesses that the defense has brought forward and I think they are now saying he's unemployable. So, they've sort of, you know, evolved their defenses, evolved, but she said in her draft report unemployable and it just happened that she brought the draft report with her or we wouldn't have known because she was reading off the draft report, and I was reading off the only report that I had and that's how we found out that the final report said can't return to his prior employment.

I submit to you that's not the same thing. Okay. That's not a typo and that's not clarification. That's a big time change.

Now, Dr. Jordan. His first report said Mark Perez is faking. That's his first report.
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I want you to know that you just heard earlier today from the defense for Live Nation Worldwide that none of the changes were substantive. They were just changes that had to be made, you know, spelling, typing.

So, the first report said Mark Perez is faking.
Dr. Jordan's next report, that term disappears. He doesn't want to commit to malingering, but wants to use embellishing. He can't determine Mark's intent. Which I showed you earlier, so we don't have to beat it to death. But that's not the same.

First you're saying he's faking and then you're backing off it and he also said in his entire career he's never used that term and he's like 30-plus years he is a doctor. He's never used the term before. He reserved that term "faking" for this young man right there.

Then he pulled it because he probably had a conversation with somebody about it and they said you ain't putting that in there.

What about Dr. Doyle? His report. Quote. Quote: "I do believe Mark Perez has epilepsy." That's from his report. That's a quote. This isn't like I'm trying to figure out what the testimony is. This is the testimony. In Court. You were there. You heard him. He might have epilepsy.

So, now, I do believe Mark Perez has epilepsy. In
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court: He might have epilepsy. It's not the same thing. It's not the same thing.

So, this is this whole deceiving thing that has
been going on and I know you remember that he says that he 5 has a medical and a legal opinion. You can't make this stuff up.
7 Okay, because this wasn't me saying: Do you have a 8 medical opinion and legal opinion. He says he has a medical 9 and legal opinion. Dr. Doyle has two opinions. I leave that to you as to what that means exactly.

So, let's talk about Mark because Mark, he can't do anything that the defendant's lawyers, for Live Nation Worldwide, will say is okay. Because he's damned if he does and he's damned if he doesn't.

Let's investigate that.
Defendant says Mark lacks effort, but he actually keeps trying. He actually keeps trying. Tried Yoga. He failed. He had a seizure. Well, it might not have been an epileptic seizure because it was Hot Yoga. They're not giving into that.

Tried the bike at the gym. He had a seizure.
Failed again.
Tried to live alone in his own apartment. Failed again. Had to go back home.

He didn't have to tell anybody this. He wants to
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be okay. He wants to be independent. He wants to be a 35 , 36 year old grown man. That's what he wants to be. He doesn't want to be here with you. 5 life. Wants to have a good life. So, he keeps trying and they want to get credit for that.

They want to bring him down. Tried to do his own laundry. He failed. He blew up the washing machine.

Tried to do work that he used to do. He failed. He can't do it and it's frustrating and it's difficult.

Defendant wants to penalize him rather than crediting him for his efforts.

So, here's the interesting thing and you know when you do something, when you do something it speaks volumes.

What did they put into evidence today and I want you to take a good look at it. They put into evidence that Mark Perez who told you that he tried driving has a valid driver's license. That's what they put into evidence because this is going to change your mind about something, that he has a valid driver's license.

The doctor has now told him that he can't drive. So, he hasn't for a couple of years but that's not good enough. Put in a valid driver's license.

Maybe the jury will think something about that when, in fact, he never hid it. He never hid it.
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So, let's really look at what this case is really about, because images don't lie.

That's his brain. (Indicating.) That's a CAT scan of Mark's brain and on this side over here is all the fractures of his skull and the bleeding outside the brain because that's the brain there.

And this is when it first happened, okay.
So, the brain hadn't changed that much at that time except for the blood, okay, and the fractures and you can see here that it's displaced.

You could see it displaced here, separated, the bone and that's not, you know -- let's go back there. That's not subjective. That's objective.

This is the CAT scan from the beginning.
So, now, let's see about if you remember Dr. Lipton who came in. He's a neuroradiologist and he testified. He read the films in this case. He's the only person who testified about reading the films and showing you the actual films, the CAT scans.

He took an MRI in the case. We'll talk about that in a minute and he told us about it, what we see on the CAT scans. Skull on the right side. Multiple fractures. Some of which are displaced, which I just showed you.

Facial fractures around the orbit or eye socket and I showed you that right on the model.
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Large hematoma, bleeding outside the brain on the right side.

Now, when I was showing you the image and it was on the left, as he told you, that's the right side because it's turned around. So, when I was showing you that white, that bleeding outside the brain on the right side, that's what he's talking about.

Diffuse swelling. What does that mean? It's all over. It's all over. Midline shift because the brain is so soft and when this bleeding occurs inside the skull, it squashes the brain and pushes it over to the other side.

So, the midline shift is if you were looking at the brain, the brain, the midline of it, the way you would if you cut it in half because, don't forget, you're looking down on it. It's a slice on the brain. So, you're looking down on it.

So, if you were looking down on it and you would say this is around the mid point, that mid point would be over here because that's called a midline shift and it actually means that it's been a severe trauma because that wouldn't happen otherwise because it pushes it over to the side.

Mass effect. Potential for herniation. Enough pressure inside the head to cause the brain to deform and be moved around. Could ultimately be fatal and the mass effect
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1 just shows how much of the brain in this particular case was 2 affected.
3 It was, as the word says, massively affected.
4 "After decompressive hemicraniectomy," that's the
5 surgery that relieved the pressure, image shows end of the
6
7

So, that's the serious consequence of not doing
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this surgery.
So, what does Dr. Lipton say? He talked about focal injury and diffuse injury. He said in this case we find both. The focal injury is important because, especially as is seen on the subsequent imaging studies he has done, that there is permanent damage and loss of brain tissue. Areas that are permanently affected.

So, there's going to be loss of brain function now.
The diffuse injury is actually probably even more important for his actual functioning because it limits the brain to do what it really does best, which is process information.

So, his whole network is messed up and this is somebody -- this is what Dr. Lipton does. He does these films. He reports to the doctors who are doing surgery about what they have to do. This is his specialty, neuroradiology.

So, now, Dr. Lipton did his own MRI and we're going to see that in a minute and he did that because, understand, we were just looking at CAT scans that were from way, way before this.

This is 2017 already, right.
So, what does he say? He did an MRI which is different from a CAT scan. We're going to look at it in a minute.

He did MRI and says: "Defects in the surface of the brain which is called encephalomalacia because when you bruise the brain, it dies and it doesn't grow back."

Encephalomalacia is actually dead brain tissue. Dead brain tissue. As he says: The brain doesn't regenerate. It doesn't grow back.

So, you'll see when I show you the image that there's holes in Mark's brain; and, you're left with these sort of divots or empty spaces in the brain filled with fluid where the dead tissue gets reabsorbed over a period of months by the body.

So, the fluid is in there first. Then the body absorbs the fluid and it leaves holes and you'll see in this film that there's a loss of brain tissue in one portion is very, very obvious and that's the one he references as the size of a grape and there's also bleeding in the brain.

So, this was the situation that not only was there bleeding outside when he got hurt, there was bleeding inside the skull outside the brain.

There was also bleeding inside the brain and if this doesn't sound so good for somebody to have, it's because it isn't so good for somebody to have.

So, he has atrophy. The brain has a shriveled or shrunken appearance. So, everybody knows what atrophy is, I believe and that is if you don't use your limb -- let's
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assume you didn't use your leg for a long time, you would loss the muscle tone of it and it would get smaller, it would shrink, and that's atrophy.

Well, in Mark's case, that happened to his brain and so, this atrophy we will see on the MRI image of $4 / 24 / 2017$ there it is right there.

So, let's take a look at it.
This is where the skull is missing and this dark spot right here, that's encephalomalacia. That is an encephalomalacia. That's the loss of brain tissue.
(Continued on next page.) 7 brain tissue, and at the same time we have a brain that is 8 shrunk, and it ain't coming back; okay? It's not. So for 9 anybody to say, Well, you know he is really functioning 10 really well, and he can do all of these things, there's a 11 lot of reasons why he can't, and this is evidence, MRI 12 evidence, that he has got, unfortunately, a major problem.

13
14
15 16 y
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: So what does Dr. Lipton say?
In the vast majority of traumatic brain injuries, you can't see anything when you look at the images.

Wow. That's really important, and most people don't know that, and that is that if you can visualize the damage on an image, on a film, that shows right away, just from that image how absolutely damaged the brain is, and how severe it is, because even in people who have a fairly severe injury, 75 to 85 percent of the time, you can't see it on an image. So Dr. Lipton says, it's probably in the range of 75 percent, three quarters, if not more, of the times that you can't visualize the damage on a scan. If you
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can, you know how severe it is.
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: So just to summarize what Dr. Lipton says, there are injuries that are outside the brain, fractures to the skull. Two lawyers of membranes that protect the brain. Bleeding, in this case, was both outside of those, between those, and underneath those. So we have multiple layers of bleeding. Something that happens as a result of trauma.

In addition to that, as we move from the outside, there's also multiple areas where there is injury to the surface of the brain. The brain impacts inside the skull. It compresses, and twists, and it tears.
(Whereupon, an item was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: And you know, I know that he showed you this, but what is important to know, because I was watching closely when he showed you, that this brain is hard. A real brain, any of us, is soft, like jelly, and here there was tearing of the tissues of the brain. The brain was compressed and twisted out of shape, and what he is talking about here, that it now has a problem, because inside the skull is hard, and it's not smooth, so that when the brain has this problem, it impacts this skull and it tears it, and damages it. So this was the extent of what was going on with Mark Perez. The real world, the real case
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1 of what happened to Mark Perez. This is what happened. One of the things that happened.

You will see as we go through, there's no dispute as to any of this, because how could there be?
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: This is Dr. Greenwald, and you remember he told you that the brain is everything we are. The brain is everything, so it's our personality, it's our mood, our movement, our vision, our hearing, all wrapped up in that crazy-looking thing. And the frontal lobes is the largest of the lobes, and that's where the big problem was for Mark Perez, the frontal lobes.

Now, what do the frontal lobes do? Well, this is some of the things they do. Very important for emotions, for behavior, for memory, and for what we call higher-level thinking. So when -- and the biggest damage that Mark had was to the frontal lobes, the biggest damage. And so he has -- all of these are problems with emotions and behavior, and you heard about behavioral dysregulation, meaning that you can't always know what he's going to do next. He can't know; right? So insight, judgment, all of this executive functioning, which means all these things that we have to do when we are planning and trying to figure out our lives, even on a daily basis, he can't do that like a normal person. He can't, because he is too damaged.
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And it's our personality. So it's not only all of that, and he also talks, now, is the temporal lobe, which is near the ear. It's also very important for movement, sensation and memory. Now, we know that he has, you know memory problems.
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: So Dr. Lipton tells us:
"So the areas that are commonly affected would be the types of things that I just described, things related to what we generally refer to as higher cognitive and emotional functioning."

So the things I mentioned, such as the ability to pay attention, to regulate your sleep, to plan, to control your mood, personality, language function.

And I submit to you that when someone is trying to sell you a bill of goods -- and there has been some salesmanship going on here, and they're trying to tell you that this young man has been doing all of this planning; you know? They don't want to say it straight up, but that's what they mean. It doesn't make any sense, because it's not the medicine in the case, and it's certainly not what's going on with Mark's brain.
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: What are his deficits? Some of his deficits. I made it a very straightforward slide. Poor
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judgment. Memory loss, which includes a lot of different
kinds of memory. Behavior dysregulation, which is what I
3 just spoke about. Failure to plan. Attention. Processing speed. Visual perception. Intellectual function.
5 Executive functions. Anxiety. Depression. These are all 6 of the problems that Mark has.
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: Now, he has -- Dr.^ Greenwald spoke to this, and said, he talked about it involving the two frontal lobes, the two temporal lobes, which are critical for who we are, for our cognition, memory, thinking, attention, concentration, personality, emotions. And he said a diffuse severe traumatic brain injury makes it that much likely that he will recover; okay? A severe brain injury is very difficult to come back from. A diffuse severe traumatic brain injury makes it that much more likely that he won't, and that's what he has to live with, and that's what he has to think about.
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The one thing that I remember the most about it was the noise. It sounded like The Exorcist. This odd, high-pitched screeching, wailing, uncontrolled noise came out of his throat. I thought he was choking at first on his dinner, and he was spitting up food, and it looked like his head was locked off to the left, and I noticed one of his left hands was curling up. After five minutes or so he came out of it, and he was really shaken up. The neurosurgeon came in and explained he had one during the operation, and one after. You know, that was a new level to this whole thing, with the way he was.

That's what his brother saw at the time.
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: So let's hear about what Dr. Greenwald has to say about this increased risk of seizures in the future, because he says, in Mark Perez's case, we have all the objective -- meaning nobody can deny it -- evidence of all this trauma, and we know that the number one cause of seizures in the United States is actually traumatic brain injury, like we see in Mark's case. 25 percent lifetime risk. So his whole life, even if he had not had a seizure up until today, he would continue to have that risk, over his lifetime.

We know that the risk of seizures is not just short-term, after such a traumatic brain injury. Like I
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said, it lasts over his whole life; okay? So -- and when you have this brain surgery; another surgery related to his brain injury; the taking out of the bone; putting the bone back in; the infections that he had, so certainly something that is more traumatizing to the body like surgery, when you need to be under anesthesia, where your body experiences pain, these lower the seizure threshold, and bring that seizure out. It is not that it wasn't sitting there already, but it sort of makes it clear that this gentlemen, meaning Mark, is going to have a seizure, and a seizure disorder. And that's what this case is really about.

Can we take a short break, Judge?
THE COURT: Sure.
THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting.
(Whereupon, the jury was excused from the courtroom.)
(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering. (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.)
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: So getting back to Dr. Schwartz, and I'll just highlight a couple of things here, he considered that Mark's traumatic epilepsy is intractable at this point, and he says that you can diagnose epilepsy based
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on subjective complaints, as well as EEG. And you have to 2 also understand, if somebody has an EEG, unless they have a 3 seizure right while you are doing an EEG, it's not going to
limitations with, and he is more off kilter than usual. His
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sense of balance and direction is terrible. He doesn't talk much. He has trouble with his speech. So that's what the medication does to him. But he has to take the medication, and he needs his brother to make sure that he takes it.
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: This is Dr. Lubliner. There's no dispute as to the orthopedic injuries in this case, but I thought somewhat of Dr. Lubliner said is important.

Skull on the right side above the ear, the temporal lobe, that was broken all the way down to the roof of the orbit. That's here, above the eye, which is the eyeball. Fracture of the right cheek bone that went all around to the bottom of the eye. Then he says, he had a big force that his body had to absorb, and still had so much force that it made ten fractures and separations. So when he fell on his head on the concrete, okay, it fractured here. It wasn't all around. It fractured his shoulder, it then went around, fractured seven ribs, went around to his back, fractured two bones in his back (indicating).

That's the force of this. There's no dispute as to this. If somebody only had the orthopedic injuries that Mark has in had case, they would say, That's a big case. That's very serious. And that's the least of his problems.

The separated shoulder, six broken ribs. And the ribs were broken in more than one place. And then, what
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1 happened with one of the ribs that broke, it punctured his
2 lu
3 p

I don't have a problem with trying or applying myself, but I often get confused and frustrated with myself because I can't stick with something long enough to finish it. So I get up and I walk away, I come back, and I keep on trying, refusing to figure give up. But I know that it's not -- it's not as good as it used to be, and it makes me hate myself, because I know I can do better, but I can't. My brain has been damaged.

That's what Mark says, and I submit to you that the way he feels is very important for you to assess his conscious pain and suffering.

Dr. Fayer says that he has gotten used to the fact that he is now a very dependent person. He is disabled. He can't work. Social fears. Very self-conscious about his appearance. This is somebody who really cared about how he looked.

Mark talks about when he put that helmet on and he felt -- It make me feel worse about myself, and he went to the store and, I knew I was wearing the helmet because my skull was damaged. It made me feel very disabled. I wanted the kid to look up to me. Because the kid asked him what team he played for, and he looked at the kid and he said,
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I'm sorry, but I'm disabled, buddy. And that hurt him. (Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: He has gotten used to the fact that he is now dependent, so you know what kind of self esteem problem you would have with that, but what about his 6 relationship with his girlfriend? His girlfriend Kristy. 7 They were together since he was 22 years old, for eight 8 years. They did everything together. Planned to get engaged. But look what Mark says about it. You could read it for yourself. You don't need me.
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: That's them together.
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: And this is what Mark says:
"She broke up with me after I returned from the
hospital, after this accident."
I said: "Did you discuss, with any member of your family, about how you felt?"

He said: "I tried to change the subject when they had asked me, because it hurt so much to talk about. I felt like a failure, and I know I shouldn't have felt that way."
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: Then he talked about how he went to the yoga class, because this young woman who he was
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interested in recommended it to him, and he said:
"This poor group of women had helped me get on the ambulance covered with sweat and everything, and I went to the bathroom on myself.
"So, did that affect you dating this person? Did it?
"I think it scared her away from me.
"What did you feel about the incident happening where it happened?
"I was embarrassed, and it scared me."
This is what Mark has lived through, and now Mark is trapped in his own body.
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: And this is what he says:
"It's really hard for me. Sometimes I can't
express how I feel, and it's very frustrating. My family
tries so hard to make sure I'm safe, and make good choices, and a lot of time I don't see it that way, and they just
want me to be safe, but I still feel trapped, and I know
I've lost my independence, and I'm still trying to accept
this, because I feel like I want to be normal, and I don't think I can."

Now, I want you to understand that there's a very big difference between me telling you something that I believe you should do in this case, and me telling you that

LISA A. CASEY - SENIOR COURT REPORTER

1 I suggest; you decide. That's all I do, I suggest. It's 2 your decision to make. Only yours. It's not mine; okay?

3
4
51
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96 0 tomorrow, and he could live ten years longer than his life expectancy. Nobody knows that. But one of the thing on his mind, and one of the things that he is worried about is that he could die sooner, and that's mental and emotional conscious pain and suffering. But if you say, Well, I'm only going to award money based on the fact that he's going to live 6.7 years less time, and he outlives it, and outlives his life expectancy, you have short-changed him, and we can't come back. We can't come back. There's no other day. There's only this day.

And I submit to you for the conscious pain and suffering, for the past, I think $\$ 35$ million is the correct number. I suggest. You decide. You know that if the lawyers for Live Nation Worldwide, the way they tried this case, told you 10 million, you can only imagine. And his life expectancy, for a full life, is 42 more years. But
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that's the future. I'm only talking about the past.
Mark had professional goals. Let's talk about that.
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: He had professional goals. His plans, into the future, were to have his own ink company, and that's ink for tattoos, because you know he was working with this fellow, Tattoo Lou, who happened to have owned a lot of companies. "To partner out into business
developments with my father and myself. To keep us all, you know, under the protective umbrella, to have this media conglomeration." He was a very ambitious young man.

Some people aren't cut out for school. They are cut out for the world.
(Whereupon, an image was displayed to the jury.)
MR. B. MORELLI: He was doing advanced programming, web design, negotiated deals, taught web design and programming, ran service for these companies. He was the director of the marketing, graphic design and installations. And these are some of the companies that he worked for: Macy's, Bed, Bath and Beyond, J.C. Penney, Victoria Classics, and a lot of bedding companies. That's what he was doing.

Now, this is a very different picture from the picture that pigeon holed him at 33,000 a year. The
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interesting thing is that if all of us were pigeon holed at what we accomplished by the time we were 29 , a lot of us would be really limited. He had his whole life ahead of him, and he was just starting to break out.
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lucky. A lot of us are lucky that, you know, he was lucky he didn't die in 2013. But he has to be taken care of. He'll need future care, custodial care 24/7.
Transportation costs. Extensive medical care and expenses. Rehabilitation care. And he's unemployable. There's no dispute about that.

Now, please understand that the defense put into evidence that he could get free transportation if he's going like to a hospital or something like that with
Access-A-Ride, but if he wants to go out to the store or he wants to go to a friend, he has to be kept to not spending more money. It's got to be free and there's no reason for it.

They caused it, they have to pay for it. That's just the way it is. There's no discounts here. This isn't Target. There's no discounts.

So, let's talk about what I think is the smallest part of this case. This is the past lost earnings $\$ 487,986$.
That's for his full life. That's for his full life.
Next to it we put up the reduced life and it's the same because it wouldn't reduce the past, right.

The future, it does reduce, the future is $\$ 5,201,902$ for his full life expectancy which is 42 years and the reduced is $\$ 4,666,052$.

You can have these numbers any time you want them.
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You don't have to memorize anything.
For the total lost earnings, past and future, \$5,689,888.

Now, you're not wedded to these numbers, you're not wedded to it. You're the jury, you decide. You're not wedded.

Now, we said he will make around $\$ 84,000$. They say, oh, my God, $\$ 84,000$. $\$ 33,000$ and he's lucky we're giving him that.

MR. O'HARA: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. MORELLI: We're probably overstating it. We're probably overstating it.

The defendant's expert, Dr. Friefelder.
Mark's future lost earnings projection $\$ 33,799$. That's forever. Solely based on his tax returns, could be an overstatement. I'm not exaggerating. Could be an overstatement. That's what he said.

So, now, let's look at the life care.
Full life expectancy future medical: $\$ 2,752,072$.
Future rehabilitation: $\$ 307,707$.
Future custodial care: $\$ 10,083,694$ for a total of \$13,143,473.

Now, you see how that changes if you reduce his life expectancy. They're looking for a discount in three
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different ways:
One, he's not going to live. Discount
Two -- and the first word was future medical.
Two, he should only get $\$ 33,000$, that's an
overstatement. Discount.
And he doesn't need 24/7 care. Four hours a day.
Discount.
That's what they're telling you.
So, when they say, hey, look, we're giving him more, we're giving him another visit to the doctor. We're giving another this. No, you're not, because you're saying throw him a bone. Let's give him another visit to the doctor, but let's take 20 hours a day away.

Let's reduce his life expectancy and it was really generous that they didn't use nine years, they only used
6.7. Here's a bone, Mark. Another bone for you. Only bones.

Mark is worried about his future and he is allowed your consideration and to be awarded for his mental and emotional distress, which is huge in this case, huge. He's worried now about dying early, especially after this trial.

MR. O'HARA: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. MORELLI: Parkinson's disease. He has a two to three times increased risk of getting Parkinson's disease
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and getting it earlier.
verdict sheet, and you're getting to past pain and suffering
and loss of enjoyment of life, you not only have to fill in
the number for that, but you also have to fill in, you have
to figure out how long, what his past lost earnings are.
Okay. And then when you go to the future for the
future conscious pain and suffering. You have to fill in
the number of years that you're awarding it for. If it's 42
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because you believe that he'll live, his life expectancy is 42. It's whatever you say it is.

And I submit to you that what we prove to you for the economic loss in this case, he's entitled to it for his full life expectancy because if he lives it, he can't come back and I tell you for the future pain and suffering and loss of enjoyment of life, I think he's entitled to $\$ 50$ million. That's my opinion.

I suggest you decide. All of the others, future lost earnings. You know the numbers.

Future medical expenses. You know the numbers.
Future custodial care. Rehabilitation expenses. You know the numbers. That's my suggestion. That's what I think this is all about. That's what I think it's worth. You're smarter than me.

Thanks.
THE COURT: Thank you.
Ladies and Gentlemen, I need a couple of minutes, so take a break, please.
(Whereupon, the jury leaves the courtroom.)
THE COURT: Anybody have any thoughts on charging today or Monday?

MR. MORELLI: I think you should charge them today and bring them back to deliberate.

MR. O'HARA: Yes, they can start deliberating.
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THE COURT: I'm just throwing it out there.
MR. O'HARA: We don't clear the courtroom until

MR. MORELLI: I agree.
THE COURT: Okay. Do we want to do our jury lottery in front of the jury or do it now?

MR. MORELLI: After you charge them we'll --
THE COURT: We don't have to tell them now.
MR. HAWORTH: Why don't we do it and be done with it while they take a break.

THE COURT: We'll pick the first two out of the hopper who will be alternates.

The first two to come out will be alternates.
First alternate is?
COURT CLERK: Seven.
THE COURT: Our second?
COURT CLERK: Five.
THE COURT: Seven and five are our alternates and I'll let them know after I do my charges.

Anything else before we take a break?
MR. O'HARA: No, your Honor.
MR. SIROTKIN: No, your Honor.
(Whereupon, the jury enters the courtroom.)
THE COURT: Okay, Ladies and Gentlemen, we've now come to that portion of trial where I'm going to instruct

LISA DE CRESCENZO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
you as to the law that applies to this case.

The interest or lack of interest in the witness in
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the outcome of the case.
The bias or prejudice, if there be any.
The age, the appearance, the manner in which the witness gives testimony on the stand.

The opportunity that the witness has to observe the facts about which he or she testifies.

The probability or improbability of the witness' testimony when considered in light of all the other evidence
in the case. These are all things you can consider in
deciding how much weight, if any, you give to a particular witness' testimony.

Again, if it appears that there is a conflict in the evidence, you're going to have to consider whether that conflict can be reconciled by fitting the different versions together.

If, however, that is not possible, you are going to have to decide which of the conflicting versions that you accept.

A matter is a material fact -- excuse me -- a
material fact is something that you decide is important.
If you find that any witness has willfully
testified falsely about a material fact, the law permits you to completely disregard that witness' entire testimony upon the principle that one who testifies falsely about one material fact is likely to testify falsely about everything.
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6 In this case, the plaintiff claims that the accident on June 26, 2013, caused him to sustain severe personal emotional and financial damages.

The plaintiff has the burden of proving that these damages were caused by the accident.

The defendant acknowledges that the accident caused injuries to the plaintiff, however, it claims that Mr. Perez did not do everything that he could do to mitigate his damages.

The term "mitigate damages" means a person's failure to lessen the effects of his injuries.

The defendant has the burden of proving that the plaintiff did not mitigate his damages.

In deciding this case, you may consider only the exhibits that have been admitted into evidence and the testimony of the witnesses, both in this courtroom and given at an examination before trial and also any facts that may have been stipulated by the parties as being true.

I remind you that the arguments, remarks and summation of the attorneys were not evidence nor is anything
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that I have said.
Although you were encouraged to use all your life's experiences in analyzing the testimony and reaching a fair verdict, you may not communicate any personal, professional 5 expertise that you may have or any other facts that are not 6 in evidence to the other jurors during your deliberations. 11 are not in evidence or any matters that are outside of this case.

In reaching your verdict, you are not to be affected by any outside considerations. That includes sympathy for any of the parties or the potential reaction of anyone to your verdict. You only should consider the testimony and exhibits to decide what you believe and then apply the law as I now give it to you.

Now, you'll recall that medical doctors: Brian Greenwald, Steven Fayer, Gerry Lubliner, Michael Lipton, Theodore Schwartz, Ann Ambrose, Barry Jordan and Werner Doyle and neuropsychologist, Wayne Gordon and William Barr life care planners, Edmond Provder and Kimberly Kushner and economist Debra Dwyer and Leonard Freifelder testified concerning their qualifications in respective fields of
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expertise and they gave their opinions concerning issues in this case.

When a case involves matters of science or art, or requires special knowledge or skill that most people don't have, qualified witnesses are permitted to state their opinions for the information of the Court and the jury.

The opinions of these witnesses -- excuse me -- the opinions that these witnesses stated were based upon particular facts as they obtained knowledge of them and testified about them or as the attorney who questioned them asked them to assume.

You may reject any opinion if you find the facts to be different from the facts that form the basis of the opinion.

You also may reject an opinion if, after careful consideration of the evidence, including the expert's cross-examination or I should say the cross-examination of the expert, you decide that the opinion is not convincing.

In other words, you are not required to accept any opinion to the exclusion of the facts and circumstances that were disclosed by the other evidence.

Opinion testimony should be evaluated in the same way as the testimony of other witnesses. It is given to assist you in reaching a proper conclusion. It is entitled to such weight as you find the witness' qualification in the
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field warrant. It must be considered by you but it is not controlling upon your judgment.

Mark Perez testified before you and is a party to this action. Mr. Perez is considered an interested witness.

An interested witness is not necessarily less believable than a disinterested witness. The fact that a person is interested in the outcome of the case does not mean that he or she has not told the truth.

It is for you to decide from the demeanor of the witness on the stand and from such other tests and as your experience dictates whether the testimony has been intentionally or unintentionally influenced by his or her interest.

You can decide that you don't believe the testimony of an interested witness even though the testimony is not otherwise challenged or contradicted.

However, you are not required to reject an interested witness' testimony. You may accept all or any part of the testimony that you find is reliable and you may reject any part that you find is unreliable.

You've heard the lawyers read portions of a document referred to as an examination before trial. And you may have also heard it referred to as a deposition or an EBT. These terms all basically mean the same thing.

I want to remind you that before this trial began,
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some of the witnesses answered questions under oath, a stenographer recorded the questions and answers and transcribed them into a document that the witnesses later signed before a notary public.

The portions of the transcript of the examination before a trial that you heard are to be considered as if the witness was testifying live on the witness stand.

I now want to talk to you about the issue that you're going to be deciding which is the plaintiff's damages.

I'm instructing you that there is no issue of liability in this case.

Under the law, Live Nation is solely responsible for the plaintiff's damages.

In making their closing remarks, both counsel suggested specific dollar amounts that they believed to be appropriate compensation for specific element of Mr. Perez's damages.

In fact, an attorney is permitted to suggest the amount that should be awarded, but those suggestions are argument only, not evidence and should not be considered by you as evidence of the plaintiff's damages.

The determination of damages is solely for you, the jury, to decide.

The plaintiff is entitled to recover a sum of money
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that will justly and fairly compensate him for any injury, disability and conscious pain and suffering to date that was caused by the defendant.

Loss of enjoyment of life -- give me one second, please.
6 second?

THE COURT: Yes.
(Side-bar discussion held off the record.)
THE COURT: Loss of enjoyment of life involves the loss of ability to perform daily tasks, to participate in the activities part of the person's life before the injury and to experience the pleasures of life.

If you find that as a result of his injuries
Mr. Perez suffered some loss of ability to enjoy life, then you may take that loss into consideration in determining the
amount to be awarded to Mr. Perez for pain and suffering.
With respect to any of his injuries or disabilities, Mr. Perez also is entitled to recover for future pain and suffering, future pain, suffering, disability and the loss of his ability to enjoy life.

In making this determination, you should take into consideration the period of time that the injuries or disabilities are expected to continue.

If you find that the injuries or disabilities are
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permanent, than you should take that period of time that the plaintiff can be expected to live into consideration.
In accordance with statistical life expectancy
table, Mr. Perez has a life expectancy of 78.4 years. Such
a table, however, provides nothing more than a statistical
average. It does not guarantee that he'll live an
additional 39.9 years nor does it mean he'll not live
longer.
You are not bound by the life expectancy figure I just gave you, but in deciding Mr. Perez's life expectancy, you should consider it together with your own experience and with the evidence that you've heard concerning the condition of Mr. Perez's health, habits, employments and activities.

Your verdict also must include damages for any mental suffering, any emotion or psychologic injuries and any physical consequences that Mr. Perez suffered in connection with this incident.

Mr. Perez is entitled to recover the amount of reasonable expenditures for medical services and medicines.

The parties have agreed to the amount of medical expenses that Mr. Perez has incurred from the date of the accident to today, so that's not something that you're going to have to make a determination on.

If you find that Mr. Perez will need medical,
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future, your verdict also should include an amount for those anticipated expenses that are reasonably certain to be endured in the future and that were necessitated by the plaintiff's injuries.

For any future medical expenses that you award, your verdict will set forth a dollar amount that covers the entire period that you find that he will incur them.

After you decide the amount for that total period, we'll ask you to state the number of years over which that award is intended to provide compensation.

In other words, when you're making an award, do not state an amount per year, state the total amount for however many years that your award covers and then tell us how many years that that award would include.

The plaintiff is also entitled to be reimbursed for any earnings that he lost as a result of his injuries that were caused by the accident from the time of the accident until today.

Furthermore, if you find that those injuries caused the plaintiff to suffer reduction in his capacity to earn money in the future, then he's also entitled to be reimbursed for future loss earnings.

You may not speculate on the amount to award for lost earnings up until today. Any award must be calculated from the number of days that you find that the plaintiff was
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disabled from working by his injuries and the amount that you find that he would have earned had he not been disabled.

Any award that you make for reduction of
Mr. Perez's future earning capacity should be determined on the basis of his earnings before the accident, the condition of his health, his prospects for advancement, the probabilities with respect to future earnings before the accident, the extent to which you find that those prospects or probabilities have been reduced by the injuries, the length of time you find he would have reasonably been expected to work had he not been injured, the nature and hazard business and any other circumstances which you feel would have an effect on his earning capacity.
(Continued on next page.)

1 THE COURT: Mr. Perez is now 36 years old, an according to the same tables I referred to earlier, his work
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neuropsychologist; hematologist; and ophthalmologist.
The next category is going to be future
rehabilitation expenses. That category would include neuropsychological and psychological services; cognitive rehabilitation therapy; physical therapy;
neuro-ophthalmology services; rehabilitation services; case management and counseling.

The final category is going to be custodial care, which may include expenses for a home attendant, nursing care, assisted living, and nursing home care.

The same instructions that I gave you pertaining to future pain and suffering and future lost wages apply here. Again, you are going to set forth the total amount of damages that you find for the entire period, and then tell us over how many years that was intended to cover. With regard to any item of damages, if you decide to make an award -- not make an award as to any amount of damages for something, you are simply going to insert the word "none" into the space that's provided.

A person who has been injured is not permitted to recover for damages that could have been avoided by using means which a reasonably prudent person would have used to minimize the effects of their injury. The defendant claims that if the plaintiff submitted to a cranioplasty and psychotherapy --
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Craniotomy; right?
MR. B. MORELLI: Cranioplasty.
THE COURT: Cranioplasty. I didn't know if this was correct, versus --

MR. B. MORELLI: It's cranioplasty.
THE COURT: The defendant claims that if the plaintiff submitted to a cranioplasty and to psychotherapy for his injuries, his injuries and pain would be greatly alleviated, and that the operation and treatment is not dangerous. The plaintiff claims that he made an effort to mitigate by meeting doctors from Johns Hopkins University
Hospital and with Dr. Theodore Schwartz of Weill Cornell Medicine to discuss having a cranioplasty.

He further asserts that he acted and continues to act as reasonably prudent person would have acted, in light of the risks of further surgery an complications from the four brain surgeries that he already has incurred.

The defendant has the burden of proving that the plaintiff failed to avail himself of a reasonably safe procedure that would have greatly alleviated his injury.

If you find that the plaintiff is entitled to recover in this action, then in deciding the nature and permanence of his injuries and what damages he may recover for that injury, you must decide whether or not -- excuse me. You must decide whether, in not yet undergoing the
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 cranioplasty or psychotherapy services, he acte | 1 answered |
| 2 reasonably prudent person would have acted under the | 2 If any of you have a question, please write it down |
| 3 | 3 on the form that the court officer will give you, and the |
| 4 | 4 court officer will deliver the question to me. For legal |
| 5 consideration the evidence concerning the nature of th | 5 reasons, I must decide whether and how the question may be |
| 6 treatments, the extent to which the operation or treatments | 6 asked, and what procedure to follow. So that you are aware, |
| 7 involve danger to the plaintiff, and the results that were | 7 you may have testimony read back to you, but you may not ask |
| 8 expected to be obtained from the | 8 additional questions to get more facts. |
| 9 If you find that in deciding not | 9 I've now outline for you the rules that apply to |
| 10 operation or psychotherapy, the plaintiff acted as a | 10 this case and the processes by which you are going to weigh |
| 11 reasonably prudent person would have acted, then the | 11 the evidence and decide the facts. Before I go any further, |
| 12 plaintiff is entitled to recover for his injuries as y | 12 under the law, we have -- I told you about this in the |
| 13 find them to be. If, however, you find that a reasonably | 13 beginning. Six regular jurors, that are going to deliberate |
| 14 prudent person would have submitted to the operations | 14 on the case. Two of you are going to be alternates. While |
| 15 treatment, and that the operations or treatment would have | 15 you were out of the room last time, my court clerk, Lewis, |
| 16 alleviated the injury, then you will take that fact into | 16 put your numbers the hopper and drew out two people to serve |
| 17 consideration in deciding the amount of damages that you | 17 as alternates. It's going to be Juror 7 and Juror 5. You |
| 18 | 18 are going to be our alternates. |
| 19 Now | 19 Did we want to change the seat assignments? |
| 20 recollection of any part of the testimony should fail or | 20 MR. O'HARA: Excuse me? |
| 21 you have any questions concerning my instructions on the | 21 THE COURT: Change the seat assignments? I know I |
| 22 law, you have a right to return to the courtroom to have any | 22 have this in my instructions. |
| 23 question about my instruction answered, or to have any | 23 MR. HAWORTH: Doesn't matter. |
| 24 testimony read back | 24 MR. B. MORELLI: In terms of |
| 25 This case is going to be decided on the | 25 THE COURT: I think so, because of the verdict |
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| 1 answers that you give to certain written questions, whic | 1 sheet. |
| 2 talked about, it's the document that's call the verdict | 2 So what's going to happen, let me just simply |
| 3 sheet. Each question is going to call for either a yes or | 3 explain this, because on the verdict sheet you are going to |
| 4 no answer, a dollar amount, or a number of years. In | 4 be signing next to your numbers; okay? So the seating now |
| 5 answering the questions, if you decide not to make an award | 5 is going to change for the six regular jurors. You are |
| 6 for any damages, then you should insert the word "none" or | 6 still One, Two, Three and Four. Juror Number Six is now |
| 7 "not applicable" in your | 7 Juror Number Five, so you are going to be moving over this |
| 8 While it is important that the views of all jurors | 8 way one seat; and Juror Number Eight is Juror Number Six. |
| 9 be considered, five of our six jurors who are going to -- | 9 You are going to be moving over two seats. And then our two |
| 10 I'll talk to you about that in a second -- five of the six | 10 alternates are going to be in the back corner. You can stay |
| 11 deliberating jurors must agree on the answers to any | 11 right where you are, and you can go over there. That's just |
| 12 question, but the same five persons need not agree on all of | 12 to simplify things, simply because where you're going to be |
| 13 the answers. When five of you have agreed on any answer, | 13 signing on the verdict sheet are going to correspond to your |
| 14 the foreperson of the jury will write the answer in the | 14 juror numbers. |
| 15 space provided for each answer, and each of you will sign in | 15 In few minutes you are going to be retiring to the |
| 16 the appropriate place to indicate your agreement or your | 16 jury room for your final deliberations, and in order that |
| 17 disagreement. Each question will be followed by an | 17 your deliberations can proceed in an orderly fashion, you |
| 18 instruction as to how to proceed based on your answer to | 18 are going to have to have a foreperson. The foreperson's |
| 19 that question | 19 vote is not entitled to any greater weight than that any of |
| 20 Now, if you disagree with an answer that five of | 20 the other jurors. Traditionally, Juror Number One acts as |
| 21 the other jurors have agreed upon, you should not stop | 21 the foreperson, however that is not required. The first |
| 22 deliberating. When you get to the next question, it starts | 22 thing that you should be doing, for the six deliberating |
| 23 all over again. The same five people do not need to agree | 23 jurors, when you go back to the jury room is going to be to |
| 24 on the answers to any of these questions. We just need to | 24 select who the foreperson is going to be. |
| 25 have five people agreeing on every question that is | 25 Now, when you are in the jury room, please listen |
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| Jury Charge Page 1829 | Jury Charge Page 1831 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 to each other, and discuss the evidence in the case among | 1 at the bench between the Court and counsel.) |
| 2 yourselves. Your function, which is to reach a fair | 2 (Whereupon Court's Exhibit II, was marked and |
| 3 decision from the law and evidence, is an important one, an | 3 received.) |
| 4 it's the duty of each of you as jurors to consult with one | 4 THE COURT: The reason why I just wanted to have |
| 5 another, to deliberate with a view towards reaching an | 5 that discussion right here is because, as I was starting to |
| 6 agreement on a verdict, if you can do so without violating | 6 give you the instructions, or halfway through |
| 7 your individual ju | 7 instructions my computer up and died, which is where I had |
| 8 | 8 the latest version of the instructions, and counsel was kind |
| 9 convictions about what the truth is, and the weight and the | 9 enough to give me a copy to read from, but I think I misread |
| 10 effect of the evidence on your minds, and while each of you | 10 a couple of things. What I want to do is I want to talk to |
| 11 must decide the case for yourselves and not merely consent | 11 the attorneys very quickly and make sure that any |
| 12 to the decision of your fellow jurors, you should examine | 12 instructions that I may have misspoken on get fixed, so just |
| 13 the issues and the evidence before you with candor, | 13 bear with me for just a couple of seconds. |
| 14 frankness, and with proper respect and regard for the | 14 THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting. |
| 15 opinions of each other. Please remember in your | 15 (Whereupon, the jury was excused from the |
| 16 deliberations that this dispute between these parties is | 16 courtroom.) |
| 17 very important to them. They and the Court rely on you to | 17 (Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.) |
| 18 give full and conscientious deliberation and consideration | 18 THE COURT: On the record, please. |
| 19 to all of the evidence that was presented to | 19 So as I understand it, after conferring with |
| 20 So, here is how this is going to work at this point | 20 counsel, the charges will stand as -- the charges will stand |
| 21 in time. Our two alternates, you are not excused from jury | 21 as read. Obviously the objections to the substance that we |
| 22 duty. I'm anticipating that you may still be needed, but | 22 had talked about before the charges, you know, those apply, |
| 23 the way this works is you are not back in the same jury | 23 but I think we all agree that the language that was read is |
| 24 room. We are putting you someplace else, and the same rules | 24 the correct language. |
| 25 that I have given you throughout the trial about the | 25 MR. B. MORELLI: Okay |
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| onic devices, about talking about the ca | 1 MR. O'HARA: That's fine. |
| 2 can't talk about the case among yourselves. All of those | 2 THE COURT: So now what I'm going to do is I'm |
| 3 rules still apply to you; okay? You will be able to use | 3 going to bring them out and send the six jurors back for |
| 4 your electronic devices while you are sequestered in a | 4 deliberations, and the two alternates for sequestration. I |
| 5 different room, but you have to follow the rules that I gave | 5 can actually send the two alternates home, at this point. |
| 6 | 6 MR. B. MORELLI: Might as well. They have until |
| 7 The rest of the jurors, as | 7 five o'clock, or no? |
| 8 beginning of the case, my officer is going to be collecting | 8 THE COURT: I can give them until quarter of. |
| 9 any electronic devices that you brought. We don't want to | 9 MR. B. MORELLI: All right. |
| 10 have any distractions or improprieties going on in the jury | 10 MR. O'HARA: Let them get started. |
| 11 room. I'm sorry to have to do that, but believe it or not, | 11 (Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.) |
| 12 I have had a problem where I had to declare a mistrial | 12 THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering. |
| 13 during a jury deliberation for that very reason. Obviously | 13 (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.) |
| 14 we don't want something stupid like that happening. So the | 14 THE COURT: Okay. So what's going to happen from |
| 15 officer is going to be taking these devic | 15 here, now, is Jurors 1 through 6, you are going to go back, |
| 16 I also want to tell you one other thing, before I | 16 and we are going to get started on this. We are going to be |
| 17 forget. Jury deliberations can only occur in that room; | 17 going until about a quarter to 4:00 today -- |
| 18 okay? You can't call up each other at night and try to get | 18 MR. B. MORELLI: 5:00. |
| 19 a jump start on the next day's deliberations. You can't go | 19 THE COURT: Yes. Thank you. |
| 20 out to lunch together and get a table and decide the case, | 20 Quarter to 5:00 today, and then we are going to be |
| 21 or whatever. Any time that you have anything to discuss | 21 coming back, everybody coming back, at 9:15 on Monday |
| 22 about this case, can only be done while you are in the jury | 22 morning. As soon as everybody is here, our six main jurors |
| 23 room, and at no other plac | 23 are here, you can get going on your way on the |
| 24 Can I see counsel, just very quick | 24 deliberations. |
| 25 (Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion took place | 25 Remember if there are any exhibits that you want, |
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1 any testimony you want read back, you have access to 2 anything that is in evidence.

Jurors, now, Number 7 and 8, there's no reason that you need to stick around for the rest of the day doing 5 nothing, so we'll just see you back on Monday morning; okay?

All right. Thank you, everyone.
THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury exiting.
(Whereupon, the jury was excused from the courtroom.)
(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
THE COURT: Okay, Ladies and Gentlemen. I'm going to be letting the jury go. I'm going to ask that you just hang out until they clear out, and we'll see you back Monday morning.
(Whereupon, the proceedings were adjourned to Monday, December 9, 2019 at 9:15 a.m.)
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\hline 1741:3 \& spoke (5) \& statements (1) \& 1796:22;1803:10 \& 1793:1 <br>
\hline sow (3) \& 1668:21;1762:6; \& 1687:9 \& stored (2) \& submission (1) <br>
\hline 1732:2,5,10 \& 1772:23;1789:3,9 \& States (1) \& 1739:10,18 \& 1704:23 <br>
\hline Sowing (4) \& spoken (1) \& 1791:19 \& stories (2) \& submit (20) <br>
\hline 1731:19;1748:6; \& 1688:19 \& statistic (1) \& 1775:7,8 \& 1667:7;1669:22; <br>
\hline 1751:15;1756:10 \& sponsor (1) \& 1799:9 \& storing (1) \& 1670:15;1687:14; <br>
\hline space (3) \& 1679:8 \& statistical (4) \& 1739:22 \& 1701:5,9;1704:24; <br>
\hline 1680:8;1823:19; \& sponsors (1) \& 1711:8;1818:3,5; \& story (2) \& 1715:16;1724:5; <br>
\hline 1826:15 \& 1679:4 \& $$
1821: 4
$$ \& $$
1719: 18 ; 1746: 18
$$ \& 1772:8,14;1775:21; <br>
\hline spaces (1) \& sponsorship (4) \& statistically (3) \& stove (1) \& 1788:15;1795:21; <br>
\hline 1783:9 \& 1678:16,19;1679:2; \& 1710:11;1711:14; \& 1802:6 \& 1796:1,12;1799:20; <br>
\hline speak (3) \& 1683:2 \& 1721:17 \& straddled (1) \& 1802:21;1806:16; <br>
\hline 1724:25;1738:12; \& sponsorships (2) \& statistics (1) \& 1702:17 \& 1807:3 <br>
\hline 1739:15 \& 1678:8,10 \& 1710:6 \& straight (8) \& submitted (5) <br>
\hline speaks (3) \& $\boldsymbol{s p o t}(1)$ \& stay (6) \& 1726:1;1729:8 \& 1704:25;1769:22; <br>
\hline 1778:14;1795:15; \& 1784:9 \& 1721:2;1724:21,21; \& 1730:24;1737:24; \& 1823:24;1824:7; <br>
\hline 1796:3 \& spots (1) \& 1729:22,23;1828:10 \& 1754:12;1757:23; \& 1825:14 <br>
\hline special (6) \& 1785:4 \& stayed (1) \& 1763:23;1788:19 \& subsequent (1) <br>
\hline 1666:18;1668:18; \& squashes (1) \& 1699:20 \& straightforward (3) \& 1782:5 <br>
\hline 1669:4,9;1671:9; \& 1780:11 \& steering (1) \& 1725:11;1759:3; \& substance (3) <br>
\hline 1814:4 \& squired (1) \& 1691:18 \& 1788:25 \& 1703:3,8;1831:21 <br>
\hline specialist (1) \& 1781:7 \& stenographer (1) \& strange (1) \& substantive (2) <br>
\hline 1789:25 \& squirting (1) \& 1816:2 \& 1698:18 \& 1703:15;1776:3 <br>
\hline specialty (1) \& 1781:19 \& steps (2) \& strategy (1) \& substantively (1) <br>
\hline 1782:16 \& St (1) \& 1690:11;1720:18 \& 1672:13 \& 1659:11 <br>
\hline specific (3) \& 1741:16 \& sterile (1) \& stray (2) \& success (1) <br>
\hline 1726:13;1816:16, \& stand (9) \& 1739:11 \& 1764:3;1765:16 \& 1822:6 <br>
\hline 17 \& 1673:8;1686:22 \& Steven (1) \& street (1) \& successful (2) <br>
\hline specifically (11) \& 1724:6;1763:8; \& 1813:20 \& 1802:18 \& 1733:9;1765:18 <br>
\hline 1660:2;1664:10; \& 1810:4;1815:10; \& stick (3) \& strength (3) \& suddenly (1) <br>
\hline 1695:7;1702:10; \& 1816:7;1831:20,20 \& 1691:17;1796:6; \& 1692:4;1693:20 \& 1790:25 <br>
\hline 1711:7;1729:15; \& standard (3) \& 1833:4 \& 1741:19 \& suffer (2) <br>
\hline 1731:25;1770:15; \& 1691:17;1703:12, \& sticking (1) \& stress (1) \& 1819:20;1821:12 <br>
\hline 1771:5,6;1775:10 \& 24 \& 1714:12 \& 1821:16 \& suffered (2)
1817:15.1818.16 <br>
\hline specificity (4) \& standing (2) \& sticks (1) \& stricken (1) \& 1817:15;1818:16
suffering (34) <br>
\hline 1664:23;1670:2; \& 1694:10;1710:3 \& 1752:6 \& 1809:19 \& suffering (34) <br>
\hline 1671:17,21 \& stands (1) \& still (21) \& strike (1) \& 1666:5,8;1700:18; <br>
\hline speculate (2)
$1813 \cdot 10 \cdot 1819.23$ \& 1713:4
start (4) \& 1660:10;1686:10,
$10 \cdot 1690 \cdot 20 \cdot 1715 \cdot 5$. \& 1718:2 \& 1705:12;1722:9,10;
$1723 \cdot 1,4,23,25$. <br>
\hline 1813:10;1819:23 \& start (4) \& 10;1690:20;1715:5; \& structure (5) \& 1723:1,4,23,25; <br>
\hline speech (5) \& 1725:2;1761:22; \& 1725:8,18;1728:21; \& 1679:3;1683:4,5; \& 1735:23,24;1736:7, <br>
\hline 1741:8,21,22; \& 1807:25;1830:19 \& 1731:17;1753:11; \& 1684:12;1722:5 \& 17,18;1741:11; <br>
\hline 1767:24;1794:2 \& started (5) \& 1764:18;1769:23; \& structures (2) \& 1774:15;1795:22,23, <br>
\hline speed (2) \& 1728:20;1756:22; \& 1771:13;1794:14; \& 1679:19;1789:21 \& 24;1796:14;1799:3,4, <br>
\hline 1768:15;1789:4

spelling (3) \& 1771:10;1832:10,16 \& 1798:19,20;1822:9; \& studies (2) \& 14,21;1806:19,24; <br>
\hline spelling (3)
1763:10;1775:9; \& starting (3)
1801 \& 1828:6;1829:22; \& $1773: 3 ; 1782: 5$
stuff (5) \& 1807:6;1817:2,17,20, <br>
\hline 1763:10;1775:9;
$1776: 4$ \& 1801:4;1822:16;

$1831: 5$ \& 1830:1,3 \& | stuff (5) |
| :--- |
| 1671:14, 19•1754:8; | \& 20;1818:15;1823:12 <br>

\hline $$
\begin{array}{r}
1776: 4 \\
\text { spend (1) }
\end{array}
$$ \& $1831: 5$

starts (2) \& $$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { stipulated (4) } \\
1659: 13 ; 1660: 1
\end{array}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1671: 14,19 ; 1754: 8 ; \\
& 1768: 20 ; 1777: 6
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { suggest (11) } \\
1687: 20 ; 1693: 2 ;
\end{array}
$$
\] <br>

\hline 1802:9 \& 1707:19;1826:22 \& 22;1812:23 \& stupid (2) \& 1702:6;1723:22; <br>
\hline spending (1) \& state (12) \& stipulation (1) \& 1703:16;1830:14 \& 1742:9;1770:10; <br>

\hline 1803:11 \& 1672:9;1703:6,7; \& $$
1659: 18
$$ \& style (5) \& 1799:1,1,22;1807:9; <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}
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| 19 | $176$ | $172$ | 1682:2,8;1684:11; | 1717:8,14;1718:14; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sugg | 182 | ta | 1800:8 | 1815:24;1827:24 |
| 1673:14;169 | surgery (5) | 1668:19;1 | tattoos | rrible (1) |
| 13,14;1767: | 1689:16;1 | k | 00: | 1794•1 |
|  | $9: 16,22 ; 1700: 7$ | 仿 | ght | terrific (1) |
| sugg | 11;1713:15;1714:7 | 89:11:1707:22 | 1668:2 | 1761:17 |
| 22;1712:1 | 1720:1;1738:22 | 1708:20;1709:2 | 00:1 | rror (1) |
| suggestion (2) | 1739:2,23;1740:1,4, | 1710:2;1711:11 | $\boldsymbol{t a x}$ (2) | 1736:21 |
| 1693:11;1807 | 10,15,18,25;1744:8 | 1712.21 $24 \cdot 1713$ | 1661:7 | st (19) |
| sugge | 18,19,23,23;1745:4,7, | 1725:9;1727:10,22; | $\boldsymbol{t a x e s}(1)$ | 94 |
| 1816:20 | 8,9,15,20,22;1746:2, | 1728:13,13;1730:19; | 1661:2 | 696:23;1697:2,3,22; |
| sugge | 2,3,4,7,8,13,21,21; | 31:17;1732:24,25; | TBI | 701:23,2 |
| 1702:3 | 1747:11,17,19 | 1733:12;1735:22; | 1767:12 | 702:11,17;1772:24 |
| sum | -12.1765 | 1737:1,19;1744:16 | teach (1) | 5;1773:4,7,10,14,15 |
| 1673:5 | 82:1, | 1746:18;1748:7; | 1733:20 | 18 |
| 1688:17;1769:1 | 1790:22;1792:2,2,5 | 1753:20;1754:12 | teacher | tested (3) |
| 1816:25 | 93:14;1806:5,6 | 55:4;1756:2,2 | 667:18 | 55:12 |
| mmarize | 1824:16 | 1764:6,6;1767:1 | team (2) | 760:8 |
| 1689:9;1 | su | 1772:21;1777:1 | 1728:4 | testified (9) |
| mmarizi | 1713:18;1737 | 1779:20;1790:3; |  | (1) |
| 1768:1 | surrender | 1794:1;1795:8,9, | 1786:19 | 779:16,18;180 |
| sumn |  | 800:2; | tears ( | 10:22;1813:2 |
| 1768:13 | susta | 1801:5;1803:17; | 1786:1 | 1814:10;1815:3 |
| mm | 12 | 16:8;1826:10; | chnicia | testifies (2) |
| 1685:17 | su | 830:2;1831:10 | 8:10 | 810:6,2 |
| 1771:10;1812 | 74:7 | talked (16) | teeth | testify (8) |
| mmation | 1732:18,20;1736:1 | 1668:15 | 726: | 1694:14 |
| 1673:3;1685: | 1804:11;1805:23; | 1696:22;1704:13 | television | 740:22; |
| super (1) | 1821:22,24 | 1709:10;1717:18 | 1690: | 52:19,20;1759:13 |
| 1733:2 | swallows | 1726:7;1758:19 | telling (2 | 10. |
| pervisi | 1667:8 | 1772:23;1782:2 | 1728.10 | testifying (4) |
| 1766:4;1772:6 | sw | 1789:9;1790:4 | 24;1746:18;1749:13; | 731:1;1748:1 |
| support (6) | S | 1797:24;1826:2 | 1756:12,13,24 | 750:3;1816: |
| 1712:7,13; | Swearing | 1831:22 | 1757:8,12,23; | testimony (66) |
| 18;1717:11;1737:1 | 1762:3, | talking (28) | 1763:21,23;1765:15; | $1663: 2,20 ; 1665$ |
| supports (1) | sweat (1) | 1665:15;1 | 1772:19;1774:7; | ;1667:18,21; |
| 1811:22 |  | 1674:11;1693: | 1798:24,25;1805: | 668:21;1677:21 |
| supposed | swelling | 1704:19;1726:13,14; | 1821:18 | 1678:3;1686:15; |
| 1720:5 | 89:2 | 1727:5,16;1732:15; | tells (10) | 1692:1;1709:11; |
| re (23) | 1781 | 1735:24;1736:15; | 1687:3;1688:1 | 1710:17;1711:2; |
| 1666:1 |  | 1740:5,15;1742:2 | 693:9;1699:24 | 1714:5;1716:10,2 |
| 24;1674:23;1682:5 | 1700:15 | 1753:9,10;1762:16; | 1717:24;1729:16 | 1717:25;1718:10; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1684:22;1692:15; } \\ & \text { 1695:14;1698:15; } \end{aligned}$ | sy | 1763:18,20;1764:10; | $\begin{aligned} & 1736: 8 ; 1762: 4 \\ & 1788: 7 ; 1789: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1723:20;1726:12,13, } \\ & \text { 16;1727:14;1728:14 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $1706: 1$ | sym | 786:21;1793:18 | temper | $730: 5,9,$ |
| 1748:16,18;1752: | 1665:8 | 1800:1;1802:2; | 1675:1;171 | 1731:24;1732: |
| 1759:14;1761:17 |  | 1830 | temporal (4) | 1733:25;17 |
| 1763:4;1766:9 | system | talks (6) | 1788:2;1789 | 1755:1;1756:6,15; |
| 1774:6;1792 | 1687:3,5;172 | 1729•1 | 1794:9 | 1761:19;1773:9,9,19; |
| 1794:4;1798:17; |  |  | ten (7) | 1776:22,22;1809:18, |
| $\begin{gathered} 1831: 11 \\ \text { surface (2) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 179 \\ \text { tapest } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 707: 7 ; 1718: 4 ; \\ & 737: 25 ; 1794: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21;1810:4,8,11,23; } \\ & \text { 1811:3,7,13;1812:21; } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1783:1;1786 |  | 1773 | 1795:17,17;1799:10 | 813:3,17;1814:22, |
| urgeon (5) | 1818:4,5;1830:20 |  |  | 3;1815:11,14,15,18 |
| 1744:12;1745 | tables (3) | 1803:16 | 66 | 19;1825:20,24; |
| 12;1746:12;1822:25 | 1710:5;1 |  | term | 1827:7;1833:1 |
| surgeons (5) | tactics (2) | , | 730:4;17 | testing (13) |
| 1739:14,15; | 1672:13;1743: | tasks (4) | 1761:13;1775:2 | 1694:1;1695:6 |
| 1745:22;1746: | talent (4) | 90:2 | 1776:6,13,14,15; | 1696:22;1700:4 |
| surgeries (13) | 1684:8,10 | 1715 | 1812 | 1701:18,19,22 |
| 1687:22;174 | 1822:2 | Tattoo (11) | terms (9) | 1702:17;1752: |
| 20;1745:21;1747:3, | talented (3) | 1679:8;1680:11; | $1661: 6 ; 1665: 4$ | 1753:13;1768:17; |
| 20;1750:23;1757:16; | 1684:22;1703:19; | 1681:1,4,10,16,24; | 1666:16;1671:4; | 1772:21;1793:9 |
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| tests (14) | throw (6) | 10,18 | 1798:12,19 | 1692:14;1693:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1696:25;1697:20, | 1752:5;1754:8 | tomorrow | trash (1) | truss (1) |
| 20,21,24;1700:8,12; | 1764:13;1765:4,13; | 1799:10 | 1765: | 1735:1 |
| 1755:12;1763:14; | 1805:12 | tone (4) | trauma ( | trust (1) |
| 1772:24;1773:10; | throwing (2) | 692:4,10;1698:7 | 1780:20;1786: | 1660:18 |
| 1809:22,23;1815:10 | 1731:22;1808 | 1784:2 | 1791:18 | truth (4) |
| Thanks (1) | tier (3) | took (8) | traumatic (19) | 1762:3,25;1815:8; |
| 1807:16 | 1683:5,12,13 | 1667:14;1671:1 | 1737:3;1738: | 1829:9 |
| Theodore (2) | tight (1) | 1685:10;1705:19 | 1741:14;1760:4, | truthful (1) |
| 1813:21;1824:12 | 1734:1 | 1707:14;1745:3; | 1763:5;1767:1 | 1719:5 |
| therapeutic (1) | tighter (1) | 1779:20;1830:25 | 1774:5,8;1781:10,13; | truthfully (1) |
| 1712:13 | 1734:14 | top (1) | 1785:15;1789:13,16; | 1762:11 |
| therapies (3) | timely (1) | 1662:1 | 1790:2;1791:20,25; | try (8) |
| 1664:19,19;1716 | 1673:14 | topic (4) | 1792:24;1793:10 | 1689:20;1694:3; |
| therapy (8) | times (12) | 1668:15;1701:17 | traumatizing (1) | 1720:18;1721:2; |
| 1741:8,8,9,9,14,21; | 1679:4;1701:1 | 1764:8;1790:3 | 1792:5 | 1754:9;1762:17; |
| 1823:5,5 | 1709:15;1713:9, | topics (3) | Treat (1) | 1763:8;1830:18 |
| thinking (9) | 16;1735:4;1749:21 | 1667:5;1686:18 | 1731:13 | trying (34) |
| 1675:2;1702:8 | 22;1785:25;1805:25; | 1717:17 | treated (2) | 1679:11;1690:22; |
| 1732:4,5;1740:8; | $1806: 2$ | total (11) | 1696:9;1719:2 | 1697:11;1708:20; |
| 1764:24;1774:2; | tissue (9) | 1687:25;1710:22 | treaters (2) | 1717:8;1728:16 |
| 1787:16;1789:12 | 1782:7;178 | 24;1728:24;1744:21; | 1712:12;1717 | 734:7;1741:6 |
| third (3) | 10,14;1784:10; | 1804:2,22;1819:8,12; | treating (5) | 1749:5,10;1753:13, |
| 1690:4;1694:15 | 1785:5,7;1789:2 | 1821:18;1823:13 | 1694:13;1696: | 16;1756:13;1758:17; |
| 1705:1 | tissues (1) | totally (3) | 1704:14;1712: | 759:1,6,9 |
| though (3) | 1786:19 | 1673:7;1769: | 1720:12 | 1760:25;1762:18; |
| 1659:11;1721:20 | titan | 1811:2 | treatment (13) | 1766:18;1772:17; |
| 1815:15 | 1745:9,16 | touch (3) | 1687:23;168 | 773:22; |
| thought (16) | today (11) | 1694:24;1695:4 | 1698:11;1701: | 1776:21;1777:17,17; |
| 1659:14,17;1661:2 | 1699:24;177 | tough (2) | 1712:7;1715:9 | 1778:5;1787:23; |
| 1671:24;1674:11; | 1778:15;1791:22 | 1719:10;1795 | 1716:5,11;1717: | 1788:15,17;1796:4,8; |
| 1676:21;1710:2; | 1807:22,23;1818:22 | toughest (1) | 1719:7;1824:9; | 1798:20 |
| 1727:4;1735:19; | 1819:18,24;1832:17, | 1723:3 | 1825:15,15 | tube (6) |
| 1736:20;1740:23; | 20 | toward (1) | treatments (2) | 1737:17,17,1 |
| 1758:16;1759:3; | together | 1668:11 | 1825:6,6 | 1738:13;1795:5 |
| 1771:1;1791:4; | 1670:24;1671:1 | towards (1) | tremendously ( | tune (1) |
| 1794:8 | 1679:9;1681:6; | 1829:5 | 1730:13 | 1716:9 |
| thoughts (2) | 1684:2;1724:3; | tows (1) | trial (20) | tuning (1) |
| $1768: 1 ; 1807: 21$ | 1773:20;1797:7,8,13; | 1672:24 | 1675:5;1678:3, | 1694:24 |
| thousand (1) | 1810:15;1818:11; | trach (1) | 1689:9,11;1727:2, | turn (1) |
| 1728:10 | 1821:7;1830:20 | 1737:17 | 1738:17;1742:11; | 1734:12 |
| three (22) | told (43) | Traditiona | 1805:21;1808:25 | turned (2) |
| 1669:25;1683:23 | 1659:21;1661:6 | 1828:20 | 1809:7,8,15;1812:22; | 1728:21;1780 |
| 1692:2;1700:15; | 1679:6;1685:15; | traffic (4) | 1813:8;1815:22,25; | turning (1) |
| 1705:3;1707:3,7; | 1686:16;1687:10, | 1691:5,9 | 1816:6;1829:25 | 1671:6 |
| 1718:20;1735:4; | 1694:16;1695:23 | training (10) | tried (15) | twice (1) |
| 1740:19;1745:8,20, | 1699:9;1700:5; | 1667:13,17,19; | 1676:24;1687:1; | 1693:19 |
| 22;1746:3;1755:11; | 1705:24;1708:25; | 1668:4,4;1692:11; | 1690:19;1703:5; | twisted (1) |
| 1756:5;1785:24; | 1712:10,10;1715:10; | 1695:21;1708:15; | 1734:5;1735:17; | 1786:20 |
| 1804:25;1805:25; | 1717:19;1719:17; | 1772:7;1822:2 | 1753:15;1777:17,21, | twists (1) |
| 1806:2;1822:19; | 1720:12;1727:17; | trait (4) | 23;1778:7,9,17; | 1786:13 |
| 1828:6 | 1729:2,8;1734:6; | 1755:15,17,21 | 1797:20;1799:23 | two (51) |
| threshold (1) | 1740:19;1742:2,18, | transcribed (1) | tries (1) | 1664:8;1665:17; |
| 1792:7 | 20;1748:21;1752:7,8; | 1816:3 | 1798:17 | 1676:21;1683:19 |
| threw (1) | 1758:1,5;1778:17,21; | transcript (2) | triple-degreed (1) | 1691:10;1699:13,23; |
| 1765:23 | 1779:21;1780:4; | 1661:23;1816:5 | 1705:20 | 1700:1;1701:1; |
| throat (2) | 1787:7;1793:5; | transmission (1) | trouble (1) | 1707:7;1709:15 |
| 1738:14;1791:4 | 1799:24;1809:7; | 1691:17 | 1794:2 | 1711:13;1714:12,17; |
| throughout (7) | 1815:8;1827:12; | transportation (7) | true (6) | 1718:6;1720:11,15; |
| 1668:19;1696:8; | 1830:7 | 1714:18,20,23; | 1700:17;1709:8; | 1724:3;1740:19,24; |
| 1699:1;1703:11; | TOMM (8) | 1715:3,16;1803:4,8 | 1753:17;1763:11; | 1745:21,22;1746:13, |
| 1707:15;1768:16; | 1697:22;1755 | Trapped (4) | $1811: 3 ; 1812: 23$ | $15,16 ; 1756: 4$ |
| 18 | 25;1772:25;1773:4,7, | 1729:11,13; | truly (2) | 1757:23;1761:20; |
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| 1762:16;1763:14; | 1755:17 | 1729:6,6;1730:13; | 1702:21;1778:17, | $1755: 14 ; 1829: 5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1777:9;1786:5; | understands (3) | 1733:15;1734:13; | 20,23 | viewed (1) |
| 1789:10,10;1794:18; | 1672:18;1718:13, | 1736:3,3,4;1739:2,4, | validity (1) | 1790:17 |
| 1805:3,4,24;1806:2; | 13 | 24;1740:1,21; | 1697:21 | views (1) |
| 1808:11,13;1822:16, | Understood (4) | 1742:24;1749:17; | valuation (1) | 1826:8 |
| 16;1827:14,16; | 1660:14;1675:4,7; | 1754:9;1756:13; | 1705:23 | vinyl (1) |
| 1828:6,9,9;1829:21; | 1771:15 | 1762:13;1763:8,8; | value (8) | 1679:16 |
| 1832:4,5 | undoes (1) | 1764:23;1766:5; | 1690:25;1695:16, | violating (1) |
| two- (1) | 1667:11 | 1769:15;1770:1,2 | 17,17,18,19;1710:22; | 1829:6 |
| 1680:12 | unemployability (1) | 1773:11,12,12; | 1723:12 | violinists (1) |
| type (4) | 1763:6 | 1777:6;1778:8; | variability (2) | 1667:5 |
| 1669:1,3;1679:7; | unemployable (6) | 1781:15;1782:13; | 1701:20;1702:22 | vision (1) |
| 1700:7 | 1766:1;1775:11,14, | 1787:9;1788:19; | variety (1) | 1787:9 |
| types (2) | 16;1801:6;1803:5 | 1790:4,24,25;1791:5, | 1665:12 | visit (2) |
| 1664:18;1788:9 | unequivocally (1) | 7,8,22;1793:4;1796:1, | various (4) | 1805:10,12 |
| typing (2) | 1687:15 | 7,8,24;1797:16; | 1664:18;1676:1 | Visual (1) |
| 1763:10;1776:4 | unethical (3) | 1802:5;1803:20; | 1703:1;1719:13 | 1789:4 |
| typo (2) | 1758:8,12;1759:1 | 1819:24;1830:18; | vary (1) | visualize (2) |
| 1763:25;1775:22 | unfair (2) | 1831:7 | 1755:17 | 1785:18,25 |
| typographical (2) | 1705:18;1706:1 | upon (23) | vast (1) | vocational (1) |
| $1659: 10 ; 1703: 4$ | unfortunately (3) | 1666:24;1667:16; | 1785:15 | $1667: 21$ |
| typos (1) | 1734:21;1749:9; | 1679:14;1684:7; | vehicle (3) | voir (3) |
| 1775:8 | 1785:12 | 1689:3;1693:22; | 1691:3,16,18 | 1703:20;1723:6; |
| $\mathbf{U}$ | unintentionally (1) 1815:12 | $\begin{aligned} & 1694: 6 ; 1696: 19 ; \\ & 1698: 3 ; 1702: 11 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { vendor (2) } \\ 1680: 7.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { 1724:7 } \\ \text { volumes (1) } \end{array}$ |
|  | union (2) | 1708:11;1711:14; | ventilator (1) | 1778:14 |
| uh (3) | 1681:19;1683:6 | 1712:17;1721:13; | 1737:15 | vote (1) |
| 1766:1,1,1 | unique (4) | 1722:15;1723:20; | verbose (1) | 1828:19 |
| ultimately (2) $1690 \cdot 5 \cdot 1780 \cdot 25$ | 1699:16;1701:6,7 | 1724:7;1810:23; | 1767:25 |  |
| 1690:5;1780:25 umbrella (1) | 1723:2 | 1813:8;1814:8; | verdict (35) | W |
| umbrelia (1) $1800: 11$ | $1737: 3 ; 1741: 7$ | $1826: 21$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1661: 9 ; 1662: 16 ; \\ & 1669: 21,23 ; 1670: 1,7, \end{aligned}$ | wages (3) |
| unable (2) | United (1) | upset (2) | 9,23;1671:1,2,7,19; | 1707:20;1736:6; |
| 1769:11;1812:2 | 1791:19 | 1705:2;1752:3 | 1688:16;1705:4; | $1823: 12$ |
| unacceptable (1) | University (8) | upsetting (1) | 1721:7;1722:5,10; | wailing (1) |
| $1811: 2$ | $1698: 24,25$ | $1730: 1$ | 1736:3;1738:8; | 1791:3 |
| unanswered (1) | 1705:21;1719:15; | use (17) | 1806:17,19;1813:4, | waist (1) |
| 1809:18 | 1736:25;1744:11; | 1691:19;1718:16, | $13,16 ; 1818: 14$ | 1698:8 |
| unanticipated (1) | $1761: 25 ; 1824: 11$ | 17;1731:16;1749:16; | 1819:1,6;1821:10 | wait (1) |
| 1724:1 | unless (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1750:1,2;1751:4; } \\ & 1753 \cdot 16 \cdot 1776 \cdot 7 \end{aligned}$ | $1822: 11,15 ; 1826: 2$ | 1707:11 |
| unbelievable (2) 1693:13;1709:18 | 1793:2 uno (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1753:15;1776:7; } \\ & \text { 1783:25;1784:1; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1827: 25 ; 1828: 3,13 ; \\ & 1829: 6 \end{aligned}$ | waiting (2) 1734:25;1742:7 |
| unbelievably (2) | 1762:4 | $1805: 15 ; 1809: 22$ | 18ersion (2) | wake (1) |
| 1733:9;1765:15 | unqualified (1) | 1813:2;1829:25; | 1670:9;1831:8 | 1764:23 |
| uncontrolled (1) | 1705:17 | 1830:3 | versions (2) | walk (5) |
| $1791: 3$ | unreasonable (1) | used (12) | 1810:14,17 | 1696:18;1697:5; |
| uncontroverted (4) | 1747:23 | 1665:3;1693:25; | versus (2) | 1719:22;1796:7; |
| 1690:6;1710:17; | unreliable (1) | 1745:19;1775:2; | 1694:25;1824:4 | 1802:19 |
| 1711:2;1719:11 | 1815:20 | 1776:13,14;1778:9; | vertically (1) | walking (3) |
| under (13) | unsuccessful (1) | 1796:9,15;1797:3; | 1681:7 | 1727:16;1732:15; |
| 1671:3,4;1674:16; $1675 \cdot 8 \cdot 1740 \cdot 2$. | 1708:24 | 1805:15;1823:22 | vice (2) | 1802:16 |
| 1675:8;1740:2; | up (81) | using (7) | 1678:8,10 | wall (1) |
| $1753: 7 ; 1792: 6 ;$ $1800 \cdot 11 \cdot 1801 \cdot 14$ | $1664: 14 ; 1668: 8$ | $1692: 12 ; 1708: 7$ $1743 \cdot 4 \cdot 1745 \cdot 17.18$ | vicinity (1) | $1752: 5$ wants (17) |
| 1800:11;1801:14; <br> 1816:1,13;1825:2; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1673:5,8;1674:14,23; } \\ & 1677: 7 ; 1679: 11 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1743: 4 ; 1745: 17,18 \\ & 1753: 8 ; 1823: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $1685: 19$ <br> victim (2) | wants (17) <br> 1660:16;1673:24; |
| 1827:12 | $1681: 7,18 ; 1683: 18$ | usual (1) | 1725:19;1758:10 | 1727:9;1733:10; |
| undergoing (1) | 1684:5;1695:14; | 1793:25 | Victoria (1) | 1747:3;1772:4,5; |
| 1824:25 | 1698:1;1701:20,21, | usually (1) | 1800:21 | 1776:7;1777:25; |
| undergone (1) | 24;1703:22;1707:6, | 1725:12 | video (1) | $1778: 1,1,2,4,5,11$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 1690: 15 \\ \text { underneath (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 ; 1708: 21 ; 1709: 19 \\ & 1713: 4 ; 1715: 5 \end{aligned}$ | V | $\begin{aligned} & 1790: 14 \\ & \text { view (6) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1803: 10,11 \\ \text { warrant (2) } \end{gathered}$ |
| $1786: 7$ | 1717:2;1718:10; |  | 1667:4;1684:16; | 1673:18;1815:1 |
| understandable (1) | 1722:4,11;1724:6; | valid (4) | 1699:14;1726:11; | washing (2) |
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| $2 \cdot 5$ | 3 | 1787:5;1788:6,23; | witnessed | orks (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| waste (1) | 1689:5;1735:3 | 1789:7,19;1790:1,15; | 1735:22 | 829:23 |
| 66 | weigh | 1791:13;1792:15,17, | (19) | world (8) |
| watch (6) | 1709:18 | 20,21;1793:16; | 1725:25;1726 | 1693:4;1695:2 |
| 1689:5, | 1717:16;1827: | 1794:5;1795:7 | 29:2,3;1730:23,24, | 698:21;1699:1; |
| 1715:21;1730 | ighed (3) | 1797:2,11,12,14,23; | 25;1738:18;1762:15; | 1700:20;1757:15; |
| watched (1) | , | 1798:13;1800:4,15; | 775:12;1811:6,16; | 1786:25;1800:14 |
| 1726:4 | weighing | 1807:20;1808:23; | 812:21;1814:5,7, | world-renown (1) |
| watc | 1721:12 | 830:25;1831:2,15, | 3;1816:1,3 | 1761:15 |
| 1786:1 | weighs (1) | ; 1832:11,13; | woke (1) | orld-renowned (2) |
| way (48) | 1812:1 | 1833:8,10,16 | 1790:24 | 1761:13,14 |
| 1664:15 | weight (8) | white (1) | woman (1) | world's (1) |
| 1681:18;1691:7; | 1692:11;1809:20 | 1780:5 | 1797:25 | 1763:18 |
| 1693:11;1694:23, | 1810:10;1811:7,1 | whole (15) | en | Worldwide (24) |
| 1695:25;1696:18; | 1814:25;1828:19; | 1712:23 | 798: | 1725:22;1726:1; |
| 1706:2;1719:19; | 1829:9 | 16;1735:20;1738: | Wonder | 1727:10,11;1730:20; |
| 1720:3 | weights | 45 | 1752: | 1731:12;1732:4,16; |
| 1722:5;1724:7 | 1692:9;17 | 1760:5;1761:13 | wonderfu | 1734:16;1735:6; |
| 1725:19;1731:5 | Weill (1) | 1777:3;1782:13; | 1708:8 | 1736:11;1747:9; |
| 1733:16,17;1739:16; | 1824:12 | 1791:10,21;1792: | word (18) | 1753:21;1757:5,7; |
| 1740:17;1741:7,10; | well-crede | 1801:3 | 1662:23;1665:3,2 | 1761:1,1;1764:5; |
| 1742:9;1743:1; | 99:15 | wh | 1672:23;1674:19 | 1765:9,13;1776:2; |
| 1744:6;1746:24 | well-found | 1774:16 | 1675:2;1700:14; | 1777:13;1799:23; |
| 1754:25;1760:23 | 1694:6 | whose (5) | 1701:24;1708: | 1802:12 |
| 1762:18;1764:18 | weren't | 93 | 1715:22;1749:16,17; | Worldwide |
| 1774:6;1780:13; | 1702:18;17 | 1702:17;1750: | 1751:2;1752:25; | 1762:15 |
| 1781:18;1782:20,2 | Werner (1) | wide (1) | 1781:3;1805:3 | Worried (11) |
| 1791:11;1794:10; | 1813:2 | 1693: | 1823:18;1826 | 1729:17,18, |
| 1796:13;1797:22; | Wharton | w | words (10) | 19,21;1799:1 |
| 1798:18;1799:23 | 1705:21 | 08 | 63:25; | 1805:18,21;1806:14, |
| 1803:15;1806:5; | what's (15) | willfully | 10;1729:1 | 15 |
| 1814:23;1828:8; | 1692:17.17 | 10:2 | 1730:18;1731:16 | worries (1) |
| 1829:23;1832:23 | 1716:8;17 | William (1) | 1741:22;1768:18; | 1751:11 |
| Wayne (1) | 1723:4;17 | 1813:22 | 1814:19;1819:11 | worry (1) |
| 1813:22 | 1756:19;1766:15 | willing (1) | work (26) | 1806:3 |
| ways (2) | 1768:22;1774:1; | 1711:12 | 1669:2,3 | worse (2) |
| 1775:1;180 | 1785:2;1788:21; | winter (1) | 690:19,20;1691:25; | 1766:20;1796:21 |
| weakness (1) | 1828:2;1832:14 | 1739:21 | 1694:11,23,23; | worst (1) |
| 1698:7 | whatsoe | withdrawi | 1697:2;1705:8,23; | 1740:13 |
| wear (1) | 1691:1;1830: | 14:10 | 1718:19;1719:13; | worth (6) |
| 1723:15 | wheel (2) | withdrawn (2) | 1724:15;1733:14; | 1714:2;1723:5; |
| wearing (4) | 1691:3, | 1676:14;1714 | 1739:13;1745:23; | 724:7;1728:10; |
| 1719:20,2 | whenever | withdrew (1) | 1757:5;1778:9; | 1765:14;1807:14 |
| 1796:22 | 64:8 | 08:2 | 1796:17;1801:13; | worthy (2) |
| weave (1) | Where's | within | 1806:7;1820:11; | 1701:13;1811:14 |
| 1773:19 | 1747:13 | 1766:8 | 1821:2;1829:20 | wound (4) |
| web (16) | Whereupon (71) | without (13) | worked (6) | 1740:21;174 |
| 1667:1 | 1677:24;1685:1 | 1660:17;1674 | 1692:1,2;17 | 19;1746:13 |
| 1668:22,22,23,23, | 24;1686:2,5;1701:1 | 1685:8;1687:5 | 1710:24;1800:20; | Wow (1) |
| 1669:2,3,7;1708:13, | 1705:14;1725:4,6; | 1688:3;1690:16 | 1801: | 1785:17 |
| 18;1800:17,17; | 1727:24;1728:5 | 1691:23;1692:8; | working (12) | wrapped (1) |
| 1821:21 | 1730:2;1731:9 | 1702:1;1704:11; | 1661:24;1678:20 | 1787:9 |
| website (1) | 1732:23;1734:10; | 1722:14;1774:17 | 1681:19;1683:24; | wrestler (1) |
| 1669:9 | 1735:11;1736:23 | 1829:6 | 1684:2;1741:18; | 1733:24 |
| website-re | 1737:21;1738:15; | witness (17) | 1757:6;1759:11; | write (5) |
| 1709:1 | 1740:6;1741:2,13 | 1703:5,17 | 1761:9;1766:22; | 1662:23;1703:23; |
| wedded (3) | 1742:4;1743:10; | 1760:3;1809:21,25; | 1800:7;1820 | 713:8; |
| 1804:4,5, | 1744:1;1760:1; | 1810:4,5,21;1811:2; | work-life (1) | 1827:2 |
| week (11) | 1761:11;1762:23 | 1815:4,5,6,10,15; | 1821:6 | written (2) |
| 1692:3;1716:15,19, | 1764:1;1766:25 | 1816:7,7 | workout (3) | 1675:19; |
| 25;1718:20;1720:9,9; | 1768:8;1770:5; | witness' (5) | 1717:23;1718:7, | wrong (5) |
| 1766:3,4;1772:6,11 | 1771:16;1772:1; | $1810: 7,11,23$ | work-related (1) 1690.21 | $1665: 4,5 ; 1701: 6$ |
| weeks (4) | 1785:13;1786:2,14; | 1814:25;1815:18 | 1690:21 | 1714:2,3 |
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