1	CASE NUMBER:	JCCP4621
2	CASE NAME:	TOYOTA MOTOR CASES
3	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA	THURSDAY, AUGUST 8, 2013
4	DEPARTMENT 322	HON. LEE SMALLEY EDMON, JUDGE
5	APPEARANCES:	(AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)
6	REPORTER:	CLAUDIA VECCHI-CORTEZ,
7		CSR NO. 11630
8	TIME:	A.M. SESSION
9		
10		
11	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS	
12	WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT	
13	OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)	
14		
15	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING.	
16	IN UNISON: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.	
17	THE COURT: ALL RIGH	HT. WE WILL BEGIN THIS MORNING
18	WITH THE PRE-INSTRUCTIONS THAT WERE PREVIOUSLY AGREED	
19	TO. I HAVE THAT SET BEFORE ME, AND THEN WE'LL PROCEED	
20	WITH OPENING STATEMENTS.	
21	MR. MARDIROSSIAN, I DON'T KNOW HOW LONG	
22	YOUR OPENING IS, BUT I SUS	SPECT WE'LL READ INSTRUCTIONS;
23	AND THAT WILL TAKE US TO 9:30 OR SO AND THEN YOU WILL	
24	START.	
25	AT SOME POINT, WE NEED TO TAKE A MORNING	
26	BREAK; SO I'M JUST GOING TO ASK YOU TO KEEP YOUR EYES ON	
27	THE CLOCK. AND WHENEVER I	IT IS A CONVENIENT TIME FOR YOU
28	TO TAKE A BREAK, LET'S DO	THAT SO THAT THEY CAN HAVE A

MORNING BREAK. OKAY? 1 MR. MARDIROSSIAN: PERFECT. I WILL DO THAT, YOUR 3 HONOR. THE COURT: ANYTHING THAT WE NEED TO ADDRESS THIS 4 5 MORNING BEFORE WE SEE THE JURY? 6 MR. GALVIN: I DON'T THINK SO, YOUR HONOR. 7 MR. MARDIROSSIAN: I THINK WE'RE GOOD, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: OKAY. I RECEIVED THIS MORNING ANOTHER 8 9 BRIEF FROM TOYOTA ON THE ISSUE OF EXPRESS WARRANTY. I'VE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THAT. THE PROBLEM I 10 HAVE WITH THE DISCOVERY REQUESTS IS THAT THE 11 DISCOVERY WAS -- PARTICULAR INTERROGATORY WAS COMPOUND. 12 1.3 AND I CAN'T FIND ANYWHERE WHERE, AT LEAST IN THE 14 DOCUMENTS THAT HAVE BEEN PRESENTED TO ME, IT'S CLEAR 15 THAT THE PLAINTIFFS HAVE RESPONDED THIS IS ALL -- THESE ARE ALL THE FACTS THAT WE HAVE IN SUPPORT OF OUR 16 17 CONTENTIONS IN SUPPORT OF THE EXPRESS WARRANTY CLAIM. AND WITHOUT THAT, I THINK IT'S DIFFICULT TO 18 19 LIMIT THAT ISSUE AT THIS POINT. 20 MR. BERRY: THAT'S FINE, YOUR HONOR. I JUST 21 DIDN'T WANT IT -- I DIDN'T WANT THE RECORD LEFT THAT WE HADN'T FOLLOWED UP AND PURSUED THIS DISCOVERY. 2.2 23 THE COURT: OKAY. 24 MR. GALVIN: IN PART, IT WAS -- IF YOU NOTE THAT

MEET-AND-CONFER PROCESS OCCURRED LATE IN THE GAME RIGHT BEFORE EXPERT DISCOVERY. SO I THINK FROM OUR

27 PERSPECTIVE, FROM A PRACTICAL POINT OF VIEW, THE

25

26

28 ANTICIPATION WAS WE WOULD HEAR FROM THE EXPERTS. OF

COURSE THEIR FOCUS IN THE EXPERTS DEPOSITIONS WAS LACK 1 OF B.O.S. SO FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE IN HINDSIGHT, I GUESS 3 YOU CAN DO THINGS DIFFERENTLY. BUT LOOKING AT IT FROM A DISCOVERY POINT OF VIEW, THAT'S WHAT THEIR CLAIMS WERE. 4 5 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I THINK THE RECORD IS 6 COMPLETE. I JUST DON'T THINK IT ADVANCES THE BALL IN 7 TERMS OF THAT ISSUE. 8 MR. AKARAGIAN. 9 MR. AKARAGIAN: YES. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. I DID YESTERDAY, WITH THE COURT'S SUGGESTION, LOOK AT 10 COMMERCIAL CODE 2313. AND I THINK WHERE THE CODE IS 11 FOCUSING, AND THE COURT IS FOCUSING ON, IS THIS 1-C 12 1.3 ABOUT "THE WHOLE OF THE GOOD SHALL CONFORM: I THINK 14 THAT'S WHAT THE COURT WAS SAYING, "WELL, THEN THE WHOLE 15 GOODS COULD OPEN UP TO THE INDUSTRY." AND I MAY BE MISTAKEN ON THAT BECAUSE 2313 IS A BROAD STATUTE. 16 17 AND WHEN YOU LOOK AT ACTUALLY 1230 OF THE C.A.C.I. INSTRUCTIONS, THE ONE THAT WE WERE SUGGESTING 18 19 WOULD BE THE BREACH OF WARRANTY CLAIM.. 20 THE COURT: 1230? 21 MR. AKARAGIAN: YEAH, C.A.C.I. 1230. IT'S ON 2.2 PAGE 736 OF MY VERSION. I DON'T KNOW WHAT VERSION THE 23 COURT HAS. 24 THE COURT: NOT THAT VERSION APPARENTLY.

MR. AKARAGIAN: WOULD YOU LIKE THIS VERSION, YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: HERE. OKAY. I'VE GOT MY VERSION.

25

26

MR. AKARAGIAN: OKAY. SO THE C.A.C.I. 1230, WE

LOOK AT ELEMENT 1, THAT NAME OF DEFENDANT -- INSERT ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING. SEE THESE -- THE FOLLOWING ARE ALL SEPARATED BY "ORS." THE ONE THAT WE'RE FOCUSED ON IS THE FIRST ONE: "MADE A STATEMENT-OF-FACT PROMISED THAT THE PRODUCT WAS SAFE," BASICALLY. SO WE'RE NOT LOOKING AT, "GIVE A SAMPLE OR MODEL OF THE PRODUCT, DESCRIPTION OF THE PRODUCT"; WE ARE FOCUSED ON THE FIRST ONE.

2.2

2.7

SO I THINK WHAT THE COURT WAS TALKING ABOUT WAS THIS SAMPLE OR THE GOODS -- YOU KNOW, THE WHOLE -- THE ALLOTTED GOODS. BUT WE'RE NOT ON THAT TOPIC, BECAUSE WE'RE NOT SAYING THAT THEY GAVE HER A SAMPLE.

AND THE REST OF IT WE'RE ALSO NOT GOOD WITH IT. WE'RE SAYING THAT -- OR I'M SORRY -- THAT IT CONFORMED TO THE SAMPLE. WE'RE SAYING ON THIS SPECIFIC PRODUCT.

BUT, YOU KNOW, THIS ALL -- THIS EXPRESS
WARRANTY DISCUSSION IS SORT OF ESOTERIC IN A SENSE
BECAUSE WE DID CITE ALSO ABOUT CONSUMER EXPECTATION,
ABOUT HOW ADVERTISING, MARKETING IS RELEVANT ON THAT
TOPIC. AND WE CITED THE BULLOCK CASE YESTERDAY. SO IF
THE COURT'S THOUGHTS ALSO WERE TO ALLOW ADVERTISING
UNDER THE CONSUMER EXPECTATION TEST, THEN, YOU KNOW,
THIS EXPRESS WARRANTY TOPIC COULD BECOME MOOT. WE'RE
JUST NOT SURE WHAT THE COURT'S INCLINATION IS AT THIS
POINT.

MR. BERRY: IF I CAN ADDRESS THAT, YOUR HONOR. IT

DOESN'T BECOME MOOT BECAUSE THE ISSUE RELATES TO WHAT'S

RELEVANT EVIDENCE WITH RESPECT TO THE BREACH OF EXPRESS

WARRANTY CLAIM. IF THEY WANT TO PURSUE A BREACH OF 1 EXPRESS WARRANTY CLAIM UNDER 1230, THEY'RE PUTTING AT 3 ISSUE THE MEANING OF THE WORD "SAFE." 4 THE COURT: I MAY HAVE MISUNDERSTOOD, 5 MR. AKARAGIAN. I THOUGHT HE WAS SAYING -- AND CORRECT 6 ME IF I'M WRONG -- I THOUGHT YOU WERE SAYING IF YOU CAN 7 GET THAT EVIDENCE IN UNDER THE CONSUMER EXPECTATION 8 TEST, YOU WOULD DROP THE EXPRESS WARRANTY CLAIM. 9 MR. AKARAGIAN: THAT'S CORRECT. 10 THE COURT: GO AHEAD. MR. BERRY: OKAY. WELL, OUR POSITION IS THAT THIS 11 IS NOT A CONSUMER EXPECTATION TEST -- CASE. OUR 12 1.3 POSITION IS, AS WE ARTICULATED BEFORE, AT THE END OF THE 14 DAY, IT'S A RISK BENEFIT TEST. CONSUMERS DON'T HAVE A 15 REASONABLE EXPECTATION WITH RESPECT TO AN ALLEGED SAFETY 16 DEVICE THAT WASN'T EVEN EQUIPPED IN VEHICLES BROADLY 17 UNTIL 2010 AND LATER; SO I DON'T BELIEVE IT'S A CONSUMER EXPECTATION CASE. SETTING THAT ASIDE, IF YOU LOOK AT 18 19 THE CASE, THEY CITE BULLOCK, BULLOCK VS. --20 THE COURT: -- PHILIP MORRIS. 21 MR. BERRY: PHILIP MORRIS. IT APPEARS IN BULLOCK 2.2 THAT THE COURT OF APPEAL DID ALLOW ADVERTISING, 23 MARKETING, EVIDENCE WITH RESPECT TO FORMING CONSUMER 24 EXPECTATIONS; HOWEVER, IT WAS A VASTLY DIFFERENT KIND OF 25 EVIDENCE. IT WAS YEARS AND YEARS OF THE TOBACCO COMPANY 26 DENYING THE LINK BETWEEN CANCER AND CIGARETTES -- YOU 2.7 KNOW, ALLEGEDLY STEERING SCIENTIFIC STUDIES AND MAKING

STATEMENTS TO CONGRESS AND ON AND ON.

THE ALLEGED ADVERTISING CAMPAIGN HERE IS AT BEST TWO PRINT ADS THAT TALK ABOUT, YOU KNOW, "SAFE AND SEXY." SO I DON'T THINK THIS CASE ARISES TO THE LEVEL OF THE KIND OF ADVERTISING MARKETING EFFORT THAT THE COURT OF APPEAL IN BULLOCK SAID WOULD BE SUFFICIENT TO BE INFORMATIVE OF CONSUMER EXPECTATIONS.

1.3

2.2

2.7

BUT, YOU KNOW, IF THEY WANT TO -- IF THEY
DROP THE BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY CLAIM, WE CAN
DISCUSS THE ADMISSIBILITY OR LACK OF ADMISSIBILITY OF
SAFETY REPRESENTATIONS WHEN IT'S OFFERED.

THE COURT: I THINK PLAINTIFFS DO NEED TO MAKE A DECISION ABOUT THIS ONE WAY OR THE OTHER. IF YOU ARE GOING FORWARD WITH THE EXPRESS WARRANTY CLAIM, THEN YOU NEED TO PROVIDE ME WITH A BRIEF WHY THIS OTHER EVIDENCE DOESN'T COME IN, BECAUSE I THINK IT DOES. IF YOU DECIDE THAT YOU ARE DROPPING IT AND THEN -- AND GOING SIMPLY WITH THE CONSUMER EXPECTATION ISSUE, I ACTUALLY THINK CONSUMER EXPECTATION IS BROADER THAN HAS BEEN ARTICULATED BY THE DEFENDANT.

I DON'T THINK THE ISSUE IS -- WAS A CONSUMER EXPECTATION ABOUT HOW THE BRAKE OVERRIDE SYSTEM WOULD WORK, BUT I THINK IT'S SIMPLY THAT -- HOW THEY THOUGHT THE CAR WOULD BEHAVE AND WOULD STOP IF YOU PRESSED ON THE BRAKE.

AND SO -- BUT I DO THINK THAT THE BULLOCK

VS. PHILLIP MORRIS CASE IS A VERY DIFFERENT CASE THAN

THIS ONE. IT IS A VERY BRIEF DISCUSSION ABOUT IT

APPLYING IN THE CONSUMER EXPECTATION CONTEXT IN THAT

```
1 CASE. AND IT WAS SPECIFICALLY WITH RESPECT TO A
```

- 2 | TARGETED, LENGTHY CAMPAIGN THAT WAS RELEVANT TO THAT
- 3 PARTICULAR ISSUE. I THINK WHAT WE HAVE HERE IS VERY
- 4 DIFFERENT.
- 5 SO I THINK YOU JUST NEED TO LOOK AT IT AND
- 6 DECIDE WHICH WAY YOU WANT TO GO AND PROVIDE ME WITH A
- 7 BRIEF, IF THERE'S FURTHER SUPPORT OTHER THAN THE PHILIP
- 8 CASE --

- 10 MR. MARDIROSSIAN: CAN WE BE GIVEN UNTIL NEXT
- 11 COURT DATE, WHICH WOULD BE TUESDAY.
- 12 THE COURT: OF COURSE.
- 13 MR. AKARAGIAN: AND, YOUR HONOR, THAT'S FINE.
- 14 IT'S JUST A MATTER OF GUIDANCE, THOUGH, AS FAR AS THE
- 15 EXPRESS WARRANTY AREA. BECAUSE, AGAIN, I'M -- IN MY
- 16 | READING OF THE STATUTE, I DON'T SEE WHERE ANALYSIS WOULD
- 17 COME IN WITH THE INDUSTRY, NOR DO I SEE WHERE OTHER
- 18 | MOTIONS IN LIMINE'S RULINGS WOULD BE UPSET.
- 19 AND, I MEAN, I LOOKED AT 2313. AND IF
- 20 THERE'S ANY GUIDANCE THE COURT CAN GIVE ON, WELL, HERE'S
- 21 AN ISSUE I REALLY WANT YOU TO FOCUS ON, WE WOULD BE
- 22 | HAPPY TO DO THAT.
- THE COURT: LET'S DO THIS. I DON'T HAVE THE
- 24 | STATUTE BEFORE ME RIGHT NOW. WHEN WE TAKE A BREAK, I'LL
- 25 TAKE A LOOK AT IT AND WE'LL DISCUSS IT FURTHER AT ONE OF
- 26 THE BREAKS TODAY.
- 27 MR. MARDIROSSIAN: OKAY. IT COULD BE DONE AT THE
- 28 | END OF THE DAY TODAY.

THE COURT: I ACTUALLY HAVE A 4:30 CONFERENCE CALL
IN ANOTHER CASE, AND SO I'M GOING TO HAVE TO STOP RIGHT
AT 4:30 TODAY.

MR. MARDIROSSIAN: WE ARE HOPING TODAY, AFTER
OPENING STATEMENTS, YOUR HONOR, THAT WE PUT ON SOME LIVE
WITNESSES, AND I'VE ALREADY SHARED THOSE NAMES WITH

COUNSEL. AND WE MAY FINISH BEFORE 4:30. THOSE WITNESS

SHOULD NOT TAKE VERY LONG. THEY'RE EYEWITNESSS.

THE COURT: OKAY.

1.3

2.2

2.7

MR. BERRY: AND, YOUR HONOR, ON THE SUBJECT OF WITNESSES, I THINK WE HAD THIS DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD; SO I JUST WANTED TO CONFIRM. WE'RE DOING 24 HOURS' NOTICE OF WITNESSES. YOU KNOW, I LOOKED IN THE TRANSCRIPTS, AND I COULDN'T FIND IT IN ANY OF THE ON-RECORD DISCUSSIONS. BUT I THOUGHT YOU HAD GIVEN US YOUR VIEW OF --

MR. MARDIROSSIAN: HOW ABOUT THE EVENING BEFORE WE SHARE WITH THEM WHAT WITNESSES WE'RE GOING TO HAVE?

THE COURT: I THOUGHT IT WAS THE END OF THE COURT DAY BEFORE THE NEXT DAY, YOU WERE GOING TO ADVISE THE OTHER SIDE.

MR. BERRY: END OF THE COURT DAY BEING 5:00. I MEAN, "EVENING BEFORE" SOMETIMES TURNS INTO 11:59.

THE COURT: NO. BEFORE YOU LEAVE COURT THE DAY BEFOREHAND, LET THE OTHER SIDE KNOW WHO IS COMING THE NEXT DAY.

MR. BERRY: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

MR. MARDIROSSIAN: FAIR ENOUGH.

YOUR HONOR, I KNOW THAT I'LL BE MOVING 1 AROUND AND MOVING INTO THE WELL AGAIN, JUST SO THE COURT 3 RECOGNIZES THAT I'LL BE BREACHING THAT AREA. THE COURT: THAT'S FINE. 4 5 MR. MARDIROSSIAN: YOUR HONOR, AS I REFER TO THE 6 EXHIBITS, WOULD YOU LIKE ME TO GIVE THE NUMBER THE FIRST 7 TIME, OR ARE WE ALL OKAY WITH NOT HAVING TO GIVE 8 NUMBERS? 9 MR. GALVIN: FOR OPENING, I'M FINE JUST BEING 10 CASUAL. THE COURT: THAT'S FINE. 11 12 MR. MARDIROSSIAN: OKAY. THANK YOU. THE COURT: OKAY. JURORS ARE ALL HERE. IS 13 14 EVERYBODY READY TO PROCEED? 15 MR. AKARAGIAN: WE ARE. 16 17 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT IN 18 19 THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 20 21 THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, EVERYONE. 2.2 IN UNISON: GOOD MORNING. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THIS IS THE CASE OF UNO 23 24 VS. TOYOTA, ET AL. 25 COUNSELOR, ARE YOU READY TO PROCEED? 26 MR. MARDIROSSIAN: WE ARE. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 2.7 MR. GALVIN: YES, YOUR HONOR. 28 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'RE GOING TO START THIS

MORNING WITH SOME PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTIONS; SO I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO LISTEN VERY CAREFULLY. I'M GOING TO READ THE INSTRUCTIONS, AND THEN WE'RE GOING TO GO RIGHT INTO OPENING STATEMENTS.

2.2

YOU HAVE NOW BEEN SWORN AS JURORS IN THIS

CASE. I WANT TO IMPRESS ON YOU THE SERIOUSNESS AND

IMPORTANCE OF SERVING ON A JURY. TRIAL BY JURY IS A

FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT IN CALIFORNIA. THE PARTIES HAVE A

RIGHT TO A JURY THAT IS SELECTED FAIRLY, THAT COMES TO

THE CASE WITHOUT BIAS, AND THAT WILL ATTEMPT TO REACH A

VERDICT BASED ON THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED.

MUST CONDUCT YOURSELF DURING THE TRIAL. DO NOT ALLOW
ANYTHING THAT HAPPENS OUTSIDE THIS COURTROOM TO AFFECT
YOUR DECISION. DURING THE TRIAL, DO NOT TALK ABOUT THIS
CASE OR THE PEOPLE INVOLVED IN IT WITH ANYONE, INCLUDING
FAMILY AND PERSONS LIVING IN YOUR HOUSEHOLD, FRIENDS,
AND COWORKERS, SPIRITUAL LEADERS, ADVISORS, OR
THERAPISTS. YOU MAY SAY YOU ARE ON A JURY AND HOW LONG
THE TRIAL MAY TAKE, BUT THAT IS ALL. YOU MUST NOT EVEN
TALK ABOUT THE CASE WITH THE OTHER JURORS UNTIL AFTER I
TELL YOU THAT IT IS TIME FOR YOU TO DECIDE THE CASE.

THIS PROHIBITION IS NOT LIMITED TO

FACE-TO-FACE CONVERSATIONS. IT ALSO EXTENDS TO ALL

FORMS OF ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS. DO NOT USE ANY

ELECTRONIC DEVICE OR MEDIA, SUCH AS A CELL PHONE OR A

SMART PHONE, P.D.A., COMPUTER, THE INTERNET, ANY

INTERNET SERVICE, ANY TEXT OR INSTANT MESSAGING SERVICE,

ANY INTERNET CHAT ROOM, BLOG, OR WEBSITE, INCLUDING

SOCIAL NETWORKING, WEBSITES, AND ON-LINE DIARIES TO SEND

OR RECEIVE ANY INFORMATION TO OR FROM ANYONE ABOUT THIS

CASE OR YOUR EXPERIENCE AS A JURY UNTIL AFTER YOU HAVE

BEEN DISCHARGED FROM YOUR JURY DUTY.

2.2

2.7

ANYONE ELSE TALK ABOUT THE CASE OR THE PEOPLE INVOLVED IN THE CASE. YOU MUST AVOID ANY CONTACT WITH THE PARTIES, THE LAWYERS, THE WITNESSES, AND ANYONE ELSE WHO MAY HAVE A CONNECTION TO THE CASE. IF ANYONE TRIES TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT THIS CASE, TELL THAT PERSON THAT YOU CANNOT DISCUSS IT BECAUSE YOU ARE A JUROR. IF HE OR SHE KEEPS TALKING TO YOU, SIMPLY WALK AWAY AND REPORT THE INCIDENT TO THE COURT AS SOON AS YOU CAN. AFTER THE TRIAL IS OVER AND I HAVE RELEASED YOU FROM JURY DUTY, YOU MAY DISCUSS THE CASE WITH ANYONE BUT YOU ARE NOT REQUIRED TO DO SO.

DURING THE TRIAL, DO NOT READ, LISTEN TO,
OR WATCH ANY NEWS REPORTS ABOUT THIS CASE. THIS
PROHIBITION EXTENDS TO USE OF THE INTERNET IN ANY WAY,
INCLUDING READING ANY BLOG ABOUT THE CASE OR ABOUT
ANYONE INVOLVED IN IT. IF YOU RECEIVE ANY INFORMATION
ABOUT THIS CASE FROM ANY SOURCE OUTSIDE OF THE
COURTROOM, PROMPTLY REPORT IT TO THE COURT ATTENDANT OR
BAILIFF. IT IS IMPORTANT THAT ALL JURORS SEE AND HEAR
THE SAME EVIDENCE AT THE SAME TIME.

DO NOT DO ANY RESEARCH ON YOUR OWN OR AS A GROUP. DO NOT USE DICTIONARIES, THE INTERNET OR OTHER

REFERENCE MATERIALS. DO NOT INVESTIGATE THE CASE OR CONDUCT ANY EXPERIMENTS. DO NOT CONTACT ANYONE TO ASSIST YOU, SUCH AS A FAMILY ACCOUNTANT, DOCTOR, OR LAWYER. DO NOT VISIT OR VIEW THE SCENE OF ANY EVENT INVOLVED IN THIS CASE OR USE ANY INTERNET MAPS OR MAPPING PROGRAMS OR ANY OTHER PROGRAM OR DEVICE TO SEARCH FOR OR TO VIEW ANY PLACE DISCUSSED IN THE TESTIMONY.

1.3

2.2

2.7

IF YOU HAPPEN TO PASS BY THE SCENE, DO NOT STOP OR INVESTIGATE. IF YOU DO NEED TO VIEW THE SCENE DURING THE TRIAL, YOU WILL BE TAKEN THERE AS A GROUP UNDER PROPER SUPERVISION. IF YOU VIOLATE ANY OF THESE PROHIBITIONS ON COMMUNICATIONS AND RESEARCH, INCLUDING PROHIBITIONS ON ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS AND RESEARCH, YOU MAY BE HELD IN CONTEMPT OF COURT OR FACE OTHER SANCTIONS. THAT MEANS THAT YOU MAY HAVE TO SERVE TIME IN JAIL, PAY A FINE, OR FACE OTHER PUNISHMENT FOR THAT VIOLATION.

IT IS IMPORTANT THAT YOU KEEP AN OPEN MIND
THROUGHOUT THIS TRIAL. EVIDENCE CAN ONLY BE PRESENTED A
PIECE AT A TIME. DO NOT FORM OR EXPRESS AN OPINION
ABOUT THIS CASE WHILE THE TRIAL IS GOING ON. YOU MUST
NOT DECIDE ON A VERDICT UNTIL AFTER YOU HAVE HEARD ALL
THE EVIDENCE AND YOU HAVE DISCUSSED IT THOROUGHLY WITH
YOUR FELLOW JURORS IN YOUR DELIBERATIONS.

DO NOT CONCERN YOURSELF WITH THE REASONS

FOR THE RULINGS I WILL MAKE DURING THE COURSE OF THE

TRIAL. DO NOT GUESS WHAT I MAY THINK YOUR VERDICT

SHOULD BE FROM ANYTHING I MIGHT SAY OR DO. WHEN YOU
BEGIN YOUR DELIBERATIONS, YOU MAY DISCUSS THE CASE ONLY
IN THE JURY ROOM AND ONLY WHEN ALL THE JURORS ARE
PRESENT.

2.2

2.7

YOU MUST DECIDE WHAT THE FACTS ARE IN THIS CASE. DO NOT LET BIAS, SYMPATHY, PREJUDICE, OR PUBLIC OPINION INFLUENCE YOUR VERDICT. AT THE END OF THE TRIAL, I WILL EXPLAIN THE LAW THAT YOU MUST FOLLOW TO REACH YOUR VERDICT. YOU MUST FOLLOW THE LAW AS I EXPLAIN IT TO YOU, EVEN IF YOU DO NOT AGREE WITH THE LAW.

YOU WILL BE GIVEN NOTEBOOKS AND MAY TAKE
NOTES DURING THE TRIAL. DO NOT TAKE THE NOTEBOOKS OUT
OF THE COURTROOM OR THE JURY ROOM AT ANY TIME DURING THE
TRIAL. YOU MAY TAKE YOUR NOTES INTO THE JURY ROOM
DURING DELIBERATIONS. YOU SHOULD USE YOUR NOTES ONLY TO
REMIND YOURSELF OF WHAT HAPPENED DURING THE TRIAL.

DO NOT LET YOUR NOTE-TAKING INTERFERE WITH YOUR ABILITY TO LISTEN CAREFULLY TO ALL THE EVIDENCE AND TO WATCH THE WITNESSES AS THEY TESTIFY, NOR SHOULD YOU ALLOW YOUR IMPRESSION OF A WITNESS OR OTHER EVIDENCE TO BE INFLUENCED BY WHETHER OR NOT OTHER JURORS ARE TAKING NOTES. YOUR INDEPENDENT RECOLLECTION OF THE EVIDENCE SHOULD GOVERN YOUR VERDICT, AND YOU SHOULD NOT ALLOW YOURSELF TO BE INFLUENCED BY THE NOTES OF OTHER JURORS IF THOSE NOTES DIFFER FROM WHAT YOU REMEMBER.

THE COURT REPORTER IS MAKING A RECORD OF EVERYTHING THAT IS SAID. IF DURING DELIBERATIONS YOU

HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT WHAT THE WITNESS SAID, YOU SHOULD 1 ASK THAT THE COURT REPORTER'S RECORDS BE READ TO YOU. 3 YOU MUST ACCEPT THE COURT REPORTER'S RECORD AS ACCURATE. 4 AT THE END OF THE TRIAL, YOUR NOTES WILL BE COLLECTED 5 AND DESTROYED BUT NOT AS A PART OF THE CASE RECORD. THERE ARE TWO DEFENDANTS IN THIS TRIAL. 6 7 YOU SHOULD DECIDE THE CASE AGAINST EACH DEFENDANT 8 SEPARATELY AS IF IT WERE A SEPARATE LAWSUIT. EACH 9 DEFENDANT IS ENTITLED TO SEPARATE CONSIDERATION OF HIS OR HER OWN DEFENSES. 10 DIFFERENT ASPECTS OF THIS CASE INVOLVE 11 12 DIFFERENT PARTIES. EACH INSTRUCTION WILL IDENTIFY THE 1.3 PARTIES TO WHOM IT APPLIES. PAY PARTICULAR ATTENTION TO 14 THE PARTIES NAMED IN EACH INSTRUCTION. 15 SEVERAL CORPORATIONS ARE DEFENDANTS IN THIS 16 LAWSUIT. THE TOYOTA DEFENDANTS ARE ENTITLED TO THE SAME 17 FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TREATMENT THAT YOU WOULD GIVE TO AN INDIVIDUAL. YOU MUST DECIDE THIS CASE WITH THE SAME 18 19 FAIRNESS THAT YOU WOULD USE IF YOU WERE DECIDING THE 20 CASE BETWEEN INDIVIDUALS. WHEN I USE WORDS LIKE 21 "PERSON" OR "HE" OR "SHE" IN THESE INSTRUCTIONS TO REFER 2.2 TO A PARTY, THOSE INSTRUCTIONS ALSO APPLY TO THE TOYOTA 23 DEFENDANTS.

YOU MUST NOT CONSIDER WHETHER ANY OF THE PARTIES IN THIS CASE HAS INSURANCE. THE PRESENCE OR ABSENCE OF INSURANCE IS TOTALLY IRRELEVANT. YOU MUST DECIDE THIS CASE BASED ONLY ON THE LAW AND THE EVIDENCE.
YOU MUST DECIDE WHAT THE FACTS ARE IN THIS CASE ONLY

24

25

26

2.7

1 FROM THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU SEE OR HEAR DURING THE TRIAL.

2 SWORN TESTIMONY DOCUMENTS OR ANYTHING ELSE

3 MAY BE ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE. YOU MAY NOT CONSIDER AS

4 EVIDENCE ANYTHING THAT YOU SEE OR HEAR WHEN COURT IS NOT

5 IN SESSION, EVEN SOMETHING SAID OR DONE BY ONE OF THE

6 PARTIES, ATTORNEYS, OR WITNESSES.

2.2

2.7

WHAT THE ATTORNEYS SAY DURING THE TRIAL IS NOT EVIDENCE. IN THEIR OPENING STATEMENTS AND CLOSING ARGUMENTS, THE ATTORNEYS WILL TALK TO YOU ABOUT THE LAW AND THE EVIDENCE. WHAT THE LAWYERS SAY MAY HELP YOU UNDERSTAND THE LAW AND THE EVIDENCE, BUT THEIR STATEMENTS AND ARGUMENTS ARE NOT EVIDENCE.

THE ATTORNEY'S QUESTIONS ARE NOT EVIDENCE.

ONLY THE WITNESS'S ANSWERS ARE EVIDENCE. YOU SHOULD NOT

THINK THAT SOMETHING IS TRUE JUST BECAUSE AN ATTORNEY'S

QUESTION SUGGESTS THAT IT'S TRUE.

HOWEVER, THE ATTORNEYS FOR BOTH SIDES CAN
AGREE THAT CERTAIN FACTS ARE TRUE. THIS AGREEMENT IS
CALLED A STIPULATION. NO OTHER PROOF IS NEEDED, AND YOU
MUST ACCEPT THOSE FACTS AS TRUE IN THIS TRIAL.

EACH SIDE HAS THE RIGHT TO OBJECT TO

EVIDENCE OFFERED BY THE OTHER SIDE. IF I DO NOT AGREE

WITH THE OBJECTION, I WILL SAY IT IS OVERRULED. IF I

OVERRULE AN OBJECTION, THE WITNESS WILL ANSWER AND YOU

MAY CONSIDER THE EVIDENCE.

IF I AGREE WITH THE OBJECTION, I WILL SAY

IT'S SUSTAINED. IF I SUSTAIN AN OBJECTION, YOU MUST

IGNORE THE QUESTION. IF THE WITNESS DID NOT ANSWER, YOU

MUST NOT GUESS WHAT HE OR SHE MIGHT HAVE SAID OR WHY I SUSTAINED THE OBJECTION. IF THE WITNESS HAS ALREADY ANSWERED, YOU MUST IGNORE THE ANSWER.

2.2

2.7

AN ATTORNEY MAY MAKE A MOTION TO STRIKE
TESTIMONY THAT YOU HAVE HEARD. IF I GRANT THE MOTION,
YOU MUST TOTALLY DISREGARD THAT TESTIMONY. YOU MUST
TREAT IT AS THOUGH IT DID NOT EXIST.

A WITNESS IS A PERSON WHO HAS KNOWLEDGE
RELATED TO THIS CASE. YOU WILL HAVE TO DECIDE WHETHER
YOU BELIEVE EACH WITNESS AND HOW IMPORTANT EACH
WITNESS'S TESTIMONY IS TO THE CASE. YOU MAY BELIEVE
ALL, PART, OR NONE OF A WITNESS'S TESTIMONY.

IN DECIDING WHETHER TO BELIEVE A WITNESS'S
TESTIMONY, YOU MAY CONSIDER AMONG OTHER FACTORS THE
FOLLOWING: HOW WELL DID THE WITNESS SEE, HEAR, OR
OTHERWISE SENSE WHAT HE OR SHE DESCRIBED IN COURT? HOW
WELL DID THE WITNESS REMEMBER AND DESCRIBE WHAT
HAPPENED? HOW DID THE WITNESS LOOK, ACT, AND SPEAK
WHILE TESTIFYING? DID THE WITNESS HAVE ANY REASON TO
SAY SOMETHING THAT WAS NOT TRUE? FOR EXAMPLE, DID THE
WITNESS SHOW ANY BIAS OR PREJUDICE OR HAVE A PERSONAL
RELATIONSHIP WITH ANY OF THE PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE
CASE OR HAVE A PERSONAL STAKE IN HOW THE CASE IS
DECIDED? WHAT WAS THE WITNESS'S ATTITUDE TOWARDS THIS
CASE OR ABOUT GIVING TESTIMONY?

SOMETIMES A WITNESS MAY SAY SOMETHING THAT

IS NOT CONSISTENT WITH SOMETHING ELSE HE OR SHE SAID.

SOMETIMES DIFFERENT WITNESSES WILL GIVE DIFFERENT

VERSIONS OF WHAT HAPPENED. PEOPLE OFTEN FORGET THINGS
OR MAKE MISTAKES IN WHAT THEY REMEMBER.

2.2

2.7

ALSO, TWO PEOPLE MAY SEE THE SAME EVENT BUT REMEMBER IT DIFFERENTLY. YOU MAY CONSIDER THESE DIFFERENCES, BUT DO NOT DECIDE THE TESTIMONY IS UNTRUE JUST BECAUSE IT DIFFERS FROM OTHER TESTIMONY; HOWEVER, IF YOU DECIDE THAT A WITNESS HAS DELIBERATELY TESTIFIED UNTRUTHFULLY ABOUT SOMETHING IMPORTANT, YOU MAY CHOOSE NOT TO BELIEVE ANYTHING THAT WITNESS SAID. ON THE OTHER HAND, IF YOU THINK THE WITNESS TESTIFIED UNTRUTHFULLY ABOUT SOME THINGS BUT TOLD THE TRUTH ABOUT OTHERS, YOU MAY ACCEPT THE PART YOU THINK IS TRUE AND IGNORE THE REST.

DO NOT MAKE ANY DECISIONS SIMPLY BECAUSE THERE WERE MORE WITNESSES ON ONE SIDE THAN THE OTHER. IF YOU BELIEVE IT IS TRUE, THE TESTIMONY OF A SINGLE WITNESS IS ENOUGH TO PROVE A FACT.

SOME TESTIMONY MAY BE GIVEN IN JAPANESE.

AN INTERPRETER WILL PROVIDE A TRANSLATION FOR YOU AT THE TIME THE TESTIMONY IS GIVEN. YOU MUST RELY SOLELY ON THE TRANSLATION PROVIDED BY THE INTERPRETER, EVEN IF YOU UNDERSTAND THE LANGUAGE SPOKEN BY THE WITNESS. DO NOT RETRANSLATE ANY TESTIMONY FOR OTHER JURORS. IF YOU BELIEVE THE COURT REPORTER TRANSLATED TESTIMONY INCORRECTLY, LET ME KNOW IMMEDIATELY BY WRITING A NOTE -- I'M SORRY -- IF YOU BELIEVE THE COURT INTERPRETER TRANSLATED TESTIMONY INCORRECTLY, LET ME KNOW IMMEDIATELY BY WRITING A NOTE AND GIVING IT TO THE

1 CLERK.

2.2

2.7

NOW, SOME OF YOU ARE ALTERNATE JURORS. AS ALTERNATE JURORS, YOU ARE BOUND BY THE SAME RULES THAT GOVERN THE CONDUCT OF JURORS WHO ARE SITTING ON THE PANEL. YOU WILL OBSERVE THE SAME TRIAL AND SHOULD PAY ATTENTION TO ALL OF MY INSTRUCTIONS JUST AS IF YOU WERE SITTING ON THE PANEL. SOMETIMES A JUROR NEEDS TO BE EXCUSED DURING A TRIAL FOR ILLNESS OR SOME OTHER REASON. IF THAT HAPPENS, AN ALTERNATE WILL BE SELECTED TO TAKE THAT JUROR'S PLACE.

THAT YOU BELIEVE SHOULD BE ASKED OF A WITNESS, YOU MAY WRITE OUT THE QUESTION AND SEND IT TO ME THROUGH MY COURTROOM STAFF. I WILL SHARE YOUR QUESTIONS WITH THE ATTORNEYS AND DECIDE WHETHER IT MAY BE ASKED. DO NOT FEEL DISAPPOINTED IF YOUR QUESTION IS NOT ASKED. YOUR QUESTION MAY NOT BE ASKED FOR A VARIETY OF REASONS. FOR EXAMPLE, THE QUESTION MAY CALL FOR AN ANSWER THAT IS NOT ALLOWED FOR LEGAL REASONS.

ALSO YOU SHOULD NOT TRY TO GUESS THE REASON WHY A QUESTION IS NOT ASKED OR SPECULATE ABOUT WHAT THE ANSWER MIGHT HAVE BEEN. BECAUSE THE DECISION WHETHER TO ALLOW THE QUESTION IS MINE ALONE, DO NOT HOLD IT AGAINST ANY OF THE ATTORNEYS OR THEIR CLIENTS IF YOUR QUESTION IS NOT ASKED. REMEMBER THAT YOU ARE NOT AN ADVOCATE FOR ONE SIDE OR THE OTHER.

EACH OF YOU IS AN IMPARTIAL JUDGE OF THE FACTS. YOUR QUESTIONS SHOULD BE POSED IN AS NEUTRAL A

FASHION AS POSSIBLE. DO NOT DISCUSS ANY QUESTION ASKED
BY ANY JUROR WITH ANY OTHER JUROR UNTIL AFTER
DELIBERATIONS BEGIN.

2.2

2.7

EACH ONE OF US HAS BIASES ABOUT OR CERTAIN PERCEPTIONS OR STEREOTYPES OF OTHER PEOPLE. WE MAY BE AWARE OF SOME OF OUR BIASES, THOUGH WE MAY NOT SHARE THEM WITH OTHERS. WE MAY NOT BE FULLY AWARE OF SOME OF OUR OTHER BIASES. OUR BIASES OFTEN AFFECT HOW WE ACT FAVORABLY OR UNFAVORABLY TOWARD SOMEONE. BIAS CAN AFFECT OUR THOUGHTS, HOW WE REMEMBER, WHAT WE SEE AND HEAR, WHOM WE BELIEVE OR DISBELIEVE, AND HOW WE MAKE IMPORTANT DECISIONS.

AS JURORS YOU ARE BEING ASKED TO MAKE VERY IMPORTANT DECISIONS IN THIS CASE. YOU MUST NOT LET BIAS, PREJUDICE, OR PUBLIC OPINION INFLUENCE YOUR DECISION. YOU MUST NOT BE BIASED IN FAVOR OF OR AGAINST ANY PARTY OR WITNESS BECAUSE OF HIS OR HER DISABILITY, GENDER, RACE, RELIGION, ETHNICITY, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, AGE, NATIONAL ORIGIN, OR SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS. YOUR VERDICT MUST BE BASED SOLELY ON THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED. YOU MUST CAREFULLY EVALUATE THE EVIDENCE AND RESIST ANY URGE TO REACH A VERDICT THAT IS INFLUENCED BY BIAS FOR OR AGAINST ANY PARTY OR WITNESS.

FROM TIME TO TIME DURING THE TRIAL, IT MAY

BE NECESSARY FOR ME TO TALK WITH THE ATTORNEYS OUT OF

THE HEARING OF THE JURY EITHER BY HAVING A CONFERENCE AT

THE BENCH WHEN THE JURY IS PRESENT AT THE COURTROOM OR

BY CALLING A RECESS TO DISCUSS MATTERS OUTSIDE OF YOUR

PRESENCE. THE PURPOSE OF THESE CONFERENCES IS NOT TO

KEEP RELEVANT INFORMATION FROM YOU BUT TO DECIDE HOW

CERTAIN EVIDENCE IS TO BE TREATED UNDER THE RULES OF

EVIDENCE.

1.3

2.2

2.7

DO NOT BE CONCERNED ABOUT OUR DISCUSSIONS

OR TRY TO GUESS ABOUT WHAT IS BEING SAID. I MAY NOT

ALWAYS GRANT AN ATTORNEY'S REQUEST FOR A CONFERENCE. DO

NOT CONSIDER MY GRANTING OR DENYING A REQUEST FOR A

CONFERENCE AS ANY INDICATION OF MY OPINION OF THE CASE

OR OF MY VIEW OF THE EVIDENCE.

I KNOW THAT MANY OF US ARE USED TO

COMMUNICATING, AND PERHAPS EVEN LEARNING, BY ELECTRONIC

COMMUNICATION AND RESEARCH; HOWEVER, THERE ARE GOOD

REASONS WHY YOU MUST NOT ELECTRONICALLY COMMUNICATE OR

DO ANY RESEARCH ON ANYTHING HAVING TO DO WITH THIS TRIAL

OR THE PARTIES.

IN COURT JURORS MUST MAKE IMPORTANT

DECISIONS THAT HAVE CONSEQUENCES FOR THE PARTIES. THOSE

DECISIONS MUST BE BASED ONLY ON THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU

HEAR IN THIS COURTROOM. THE EVIDENCE THAT IS PRESENTED

IN COURT CAN BE TESTED. IT CAN BE SHOWN TO BE RIGHT OR

WRONG BY EITHER SIDE. IT CAN BE QUESTIONED, AND IT CAN

BE CONTRADICTED BY OTHER EVIDENCE.

WHAT YOU MIGHT READ OR HEAR ON YOUR OWN
COULD EASILY BE WRONG, OUT OF DATE, OR INAPPLICABLE TO
THIS CASE. THE PARTIES CAN RECEIVE A FAIR TRIAL ONLY IF
THE FACTS AND INFORMATION ON WHICH YOU BASE YOUR
DECISIONS ARE PRESENTED TO YOU AS A GROUP WITH EACH

JUROR HAVING THE SAME OPPORTUNITY TO SEE, HEAR, AND EVALUATE THE EVIDENCE. ALSO, A TRIAL IS A PUBLIC PROCESS THAT DEPENDS ON DISCLOSURE IN THE COURTROOM OF FACTS AND EVIDENCE. USING INFORMATION GATHERED IN SECRET BY ONE OR MORE JURORS UNDERMINES THE PUBLIC PROCESS AND VIOLATES THE RIGHTS OF THE PARTIES.

2.2

2.7

A PARTY MUST PERSUADE YOU BY THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED IN COURT THAT WHAT HE OR SHE IS REQUIRED TO PROVE IS MORE LIKELY TO BE TRUE THAN NOT TRUE. THIS IS REFERRED TO AS THE BURDEN OF PROOF. AFTER WEIGHING ALL OF THE EVIDENCE, IF YOU CANNOT DECIDE THAT SOMETHING IS MORE LIKELY TO BE TRUE THAN NOT TRUE, YOU MUST CONCLUDE THAT THE PARTY DID NOT PROVE IT. YOU SHOULD CONSIDER ALL THE EVIDENCE NO MATTER WHICH PARTY PRODUCED THE EVIDENCE.

IN CRIMINAL TRIALS, THE PROSECUTION MUST
PROVE THAT THE DEFENDANT IS GUILTY BEYOND A REASONABLE
DOUBT. BUT IN CIVIL TRIALS SUCH AS THIS ONE, THE PARTY
WHO IS REQUIRED TO PROVE SOMETHING NEED PROVE ONLY THAT
IT IS MORE LIKELY TO BE TRUE THAN NOT TRUE. CERTAIN
FACTS MUST BE PROVED BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE,
WHICH IS A HIGHER BURDEN OF PROOF. THIS MEANS THE PARTY
MUST PERSUADE YOU THAT IT IS HIGHLY PROBABLE THAT THE
FACT IS TRUE. I'LL TELL YOU SPECIFICALLY WHICH FACTS
MUST BE PROVED BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE.

EVIDENCE CAN COME IN MANY FORMS. IT CAN BE TESTIMONY ABOUT WHAT SOMEONE SAW OR HEARD OR SMELLED.

IT CAN BE AN EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE. IT CAN BE

1 | SOMEONE'S OPINION.

2.2

2.7

DIRECT EVIDENCE CAN PROVE A FACT BY ITSELF.

FOR EXAMPLE, IF A WITNESS TESTIFIES SHE SAW A JET PLANE

FLYING ACROSS THE SKY, THAT TESTIMONY IS DIRECT EVIDENCE

THAT A PLANE FLEW ACROSS THE SKY.

SOME EVIDENCE PROVES A FACT INDIRECTLY.

FOR EXAMPLE, A WITNESS TESTIFIES THAT HE SAW ONLY THE

WHITE TRAIL THAT JET PLANES OFTEN LEAVE. THIS INDIRECT

EVIDENCE IS SOMETIMES REFERRED TO AS CIRCUMSTANTIAL

EVIDENCE.

IN EITHER INSTANCE, THE WITNESS'S TESTIMONY
IS EVIDENCE THAT A JET PLANE FLEW ACROSS THE SKY. AS
FAR AS THE LAW IS CONCERNED, IT MAKES NO DIFFERENCE
WHETHER EVIDENCE IS DIRECT OR INDIRECT. YOU MAY CHOOSE
TO BELIEVE OR DISBELIEVE EITHER KIND. WHETHER IT IS
DIRECT OR INDIRECT, YOU SHOULD GIVE EVERY PIECE OF
EVIDENCE WHATEVER WEIGHT YOU THINK IT DESERVES.

DURING THE TRIAL, I MAY EXPLAIN TO YOU THAT
CERTAIN EVIDENCE IS ADMITTED FOR A LIMITED PURPOSE. YOU
MAY CONSIDER THAT EVIDENCE ONLY FOR THE LIMITED PURPOSE
THAT I DESCRIBE AND NOT FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE. DURING
THE TRIAL, I MAY EXPLAIN TO YOU THAT CERTAIN EVIDENCE
CAN BE CONSIDERED AS TO ONE OR MORE PARTIES BUT NOT TO
EVERY PARTY. YOU MAY NOT CONSIDER THAT EVIDENCE AS TO
ANY OTHER PARTY.

DURING THE TRIAL, YOU WILL RECEIVE

DEPOSITION TESTIMONY THAT WAS EITHER -- THAT WILL BE

SHOWN FROM A VIDEOTAPE OR READ FROM A DEPOSITION

TRANSCRIPT. A DEPOSITION IS THE TESTIMONY OF A PERSON
TAKEN BEFORE TRIAL. AT A DEPOSITION, THE PERSON IS
SWORN TO TELL THE TRUTH AND IS QUESTIONED BY THE
ATTORNEYS. YOU MUST CONSIDER THE DEPOSITION TESTIMONY
THAT IS PRESENTED TO YOU IN THE SAME WAY AS YOU CONSIDER
TESTIMONY GIVEN IN COURT.

1.3

2.2

2.7

- BEFORE TRIAL EACH PARTY HAS THE RIGHT TO

 ASK THE OTHER PARTIES TO ANSWER WRITTEN QUESTIONS.

 THESE QUESTIONS ARE CALLED "INTERROGATORIES." THE

 ANSWERS ARE ALSO IN WRITING AND ARE GIVEN UNDER OATH.

 YOU MUST CONSIDER THE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS THAT ARE

 READ TO YOU THE SAME AS IF THE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ARE

 GIVEN IN COURT.
- BEFORE TRIAL EACH PARTY HAS THE RIGHT TO
 ASK ANOTHER PARTY TO ADMIT IN WRITING THAT CERTAIN
 MATTERS ARE TRUE. IF THE OTHER PARTY ADMITS THOSE
 MATTERS, YOU MUST ACCEPT THEM AS TRUE. NO FURTHER
 EVIDENCE IS REQUIRED TO PROVE THEM; HOWEVER, THESE
 MATTERS MUST BE CONSIDERED TRUE ONLY AS THEY APPLY TO
 THE PARTY WHO ADMITTED THEY WERE TRUE.
- DURING THE TRIAL, YOU ARE GOING TO HEAR
 TESTIMONY FROM EXPERT WITNESSES. THE LAW ALLOWS AN
 EXPERT TO STATE OPINIONS ABOUT MATTERS IN HIS OR HER
 FIELD OF EXPERTISE, EVEN IF HE OR SHE HAS NOT WITNESSED
 ANY OF THE EVENTS INVOLVED IN THE TRIAL.
- YOU DO NOT HAVE TO ACCEPT AN EXPERT'S

 OPINION. AS WITH ANY OTHER WITNESS, IT'S UP TO YOU TO

 DECIDE WHETHER YOU BELIEVE THE EXPERT'S TESTIMONY AND

CHOOSE TO USE IT AS A BASIS FOR YOUR DECISION. YOU MAY

BELIEVE ALL, PART, OR NONE OF AN EXPERT'S TESTIMONY. IN

DECIDING WHETHER TO BELIEVE AN EXPERT'S TESTIMONY, YOU

SHOULD CONSIDER THE EXPERT'S TRAINING AND EXPERIENCE,

THE FACTS THE EXPERT HAS RELIED ON, AND THE REASONS FOR

THE EXPERT'S OPINION.

2.2

2.7

THE LAW ALLOWS EXPERT WITNESSES TO BE ASKED QUESTIONS THAT ARE BASED ON ASSUMED FACTS. THESE ARE SOMETIMES CALLED "HYPOTHETICAL QUESTIONS." IN DETERMINING THE WEIGHT TO GIVE TO THE EXPERT'S OPINION THAT IS BASED ON ASSUMED FACTS, YOU SHOULD CONSIDER WHETHER THE ASSUMED FACTS ARE TRUE.

IF THE EXPERT WITNESSES DISAGREED WITH ONE ANOTHER, YOU SHOULD WEIGH EACH OPINION AGAINST THE OTHERS. YOU SHOULD EXAMINE THE REASONS GIVEN FOR EACH OPINION AND THE FACTS OR OTHER MATTERS THAT EACH WITNESS RELIES ON. YOU MAY ALSO COMPARE THE EXPERT'S OUALIFICATIONS.

A WITNESS WHO IS NOT TESTIFYING AS AN EXPERT MAY GIVE AN OPINION DURING THE TRIAL. YOU MAY BUT ARE NOT REQUIRED TO ACCEPT THAT OPINION. YOU MAY GIVE THE OPINION WHATEVER WEIGHT YOU THINK IS APPROPRIATE.

CONSIDER THE EXTENT OF THE WITNESS'S

OPPORTUNITY TO PERCEIVE THE MATTERS ON WHICH THE OPINION

IS BASED, THE REASONS THE WITNESS GIVES FOR THE OPINION

AND THE FACTS OR INFORMATION ON WHICH THE WITNESS RELIES

IN FORMING THAT OPINION. YOU MUST DECIDE WHETHER THE

1 INFORMATION ON WHICH THE WITNESS RELIES IS TRUE AND
2 ACCURATE. YOU MAY DISREGARD ALL OR ANY PART OF AN
3 OPINION THAT YOU FIND UNBELIEVABLE, UNREASONABLE, OR

UNSUPPORTED BY THE EVIDENCE.

2.2

2.7

ALL RIGHT. ON THAT NOTE, WE'VE FINISHED WITH THE PRE-INSTRUCTIONS, AND NOW WE'RE GOING TO GO INTO OPENING STATEMENTS.

MR. MARDIROSSIAN, YOU CAN BEGIN.

MR. MARDIROSSIAN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. I'M

JUST WAITING A MOMENT AS WE HAVE THESE NOTEBOOKS BEING

PASSED OUT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: YOU KNOW, I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO DO SOMETHING, IF YOU WOULD. WHEN YOU GET YOUR NOTEBOOK, WHY DON'T YOU JUST SET IT ON THE FLOOR RIGHT BY YOUR CHAIR, BECAUSE WHAT I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO DO IS YOU'RE GOING TO LISTEN TO THE OPENING STATEMENTS FROM THE LAWYERS.

AS I INDICATED AT THE BEGINNING, THE OPENING STATEMENTS BY THE LAWYERS ARE NOT EVIDENCE, AND SO I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO BEGIN TAKING NOTES ONCE WE GET TO THE EVIDENCE IN THE CASE. FOR RIGHT NOW, YOU CAN JUST SET YOUR NOTEBOOKS DOWN.

MR. MARDIROSSIAN: GOOD MORNING.

IN UNISON: GOOD MORNING.

MR. MARDIROSSIAN: NO ONE IS ALLOWED TO PUT THE PUBLIC IN DANGER NEEDLESSLY. DRIVERS MUST STOP AT STOP SIGNS. IF A DRIVER DOES NOT STOP AT A STOP SIGN AND DOES NOT SEE WHAT IS THERE TO BE SEEN AND CAUSES HARM,

THEN THAT DRIVER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE HARM. 1 2 COMPANIES THAT MAKE PRODUCTS MUST MAKE 3 THOSE PRODUCTS AS TECHNOLOGICALLY FEASIBLE AND FINANCIALLY FEASIBLE. COMPANIES MUST MAKE SURE THAT 4 5 THEIR PRODUCTS GUARD THE PUBLIC FROM THE RISKS IN THOSE 6 PRODUCTS. WHEN A COMPANY DOES NOT AND SOMEONE IS 7 HARMED, THEN THE COMPANY IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT HARM. 8 NOW, LET ME TELL YOU THE STORY OF THIS CASE. TOYOTA IS A COMPANY THAT WAS ESTABLISHED OVER 9 10 70 YEARS AGO. TOYOTA MADE A PLEDGE MORE THAN 70 YEARS AGO THAT TOYOTA WOULD PROVIDE CUSTOMERS WITH THE SAFEST 11 AND MOST RELIABLE VEHICLES IN THE WORLD. 12 13 NOW, THAT WAS BY A GENTLEMAN NAMED "LENTZ." 14 MR. JAMES LENTZ IS THE C.E.O., THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE 15 OFFICER, OF TOYOTA OF AMERICA. TOYOTA AND SAFETY -- TOYOTA'S APPROACH TO 16 17 SAFETY FOR TODAY AND FOR TOMORROW -- TOYOTA SAYS IT 18 BELIEVES THAT A RESPONSIBLE COMPANY MUST BE PROACTIVE, 19 PREDICTING PROBLEMS AND TAKING CORRECTIVE MEASURES 20 BEFORE THEY HAPPEN. FURTHER TOYOTA SAYS THE SAFETY 21 MEASURES WE ARE UNDERTAKING INCLUDE THE INCORPORATION OF 2.2 A BRAKE OVERRIDE SYSTEM THAT CUTS ENGINE POWER IF THE 23 ACCELERATOR AND THE BRAKE ARE DEPRESSED AT THE SAME 24 TIME. 25 NOW, WE KNOW THAT THESE VEHICLES HAVE 26 CERTAIN SAFETY SYSTEMS IN THEM, INCLUDING SURESTOP 2.7 TECHNOLOGY. SURESTOP TECHNOLOGY AUTOMATICALLY REDUCES

ENGINE POWER WHEN THE BRAKE PEDAL IS PUSHED IF THE

1 ACCELERATOR PEDAL OR THROTTLE IS IN OPEN POSITION 2 BRINGING THE VEHICLE TO A QUICK, SAFE STOP.

2.2

2.7

WHAT I'M GOING TO DO IN A MOMENT IS

DEMONSTRATE FOR YOU SOME OF THESE PRINCIPLES. AND I'M

GOING TO DEMONSTRATE THOSE TO YOU WITH SOME

THREE-DIMENSIONAL MODELS THAT I HAVE HERE FOR YOU.

YOU SEE, BACK -- LET ME JUST BRING YOU UP
TO DATE A LITTLE BIT, BECAUSE WE TALKED ABOUT 70 YEARS
AGO AND TOYOTA. BUT BACK IN ABOUT 1987, A COMPANY NAMED
BOSCH, A GERMAN COMPANY, PATENTED A SAFETY FEATURE
CALLED "B.O.S.S.," BRAKE OVERRIDE SAFETY SYSTEM.

TOYOTA TOOK NOTE OF THAT. NOW, THAT

PARTICULAR PATENT DEALT WITH BRAKE OVERRIDES IN VEHICLES

THAT ARE "DRIVE BY WIRE," AS OPPOSED TO VEHICLES THAT

WERE "DRIVE BY CABLE." NOW, WHAT AM I TALKING ABOUT?

I HAVE BEFORE YOU HERE AN EXHIBIT THAT HAS
THE DRIVE-BY-CABLE MODEL. THIS EXHIBIT SHOWS A THROTTLE
BODY WHICH IS RIGHT HERE WHERE MY RIGHT HAND IS AND A
PEDAL. SO THIS THROTTLE BODY, WHEN IT OPENS UP, IT
ALLOWS AIR TO GO INTO THE ENGINE. AND THEN WHEN AIR
COMES IN, THE ENGINE THEN KNOWS HOW MUCH FUEL TO SEND
INTO THE CYLINDERS SO THAT THE PISTONS CAN FIRE AND THE
ENGINE CAN OPERATE. AND YOU WILL SEE A DEMONSTRATION OF
THAT LATER.

BUT THIS IS A SYSTEM THAT WE USED TO HAVE
IN VEHICLES THAT IS DRIVE BY CABLE. AS YOU CAN SEE, AS
I PRESS ON THE ACCELERATOR PEDAL, THE THROTTLE BODY
OPENS UP AND IT CLOSES. WELL, THERE HAVE BEEN

SIGNIFICANT ADVANCEMENTS IN VEHICLES AND VEHICLE
COMPONENTS. AND THOSE ADVANCEMENTS INCLUDE THE
ELECTRONIC THROTTLE CONTROL SYSTEM.

2.2

2.7

WHEN THOSE SYSTEMS CAME INTO BEING OVER A
DECADE AGO, IT MADE THINGS A LOT EASIER AND IT GAVE
MANUFACTURERS THE OPPORTUNITY TO INCORPORATE MANY MORE
THINGS MUCH MORE SIMPLER INTO THE VEHICLE BECAUSE MANY
OF THESE DIFFERENT THINGS THAT WERE BEING CONTROLLED BY
CABLE COULD NOW BE CONTROLLED BY AN E.C.U., ELECTRONIC
CONTROL UNIT, OR ELECTRONIC CONTROL MODULE. AND THAT IS
NOTHING MORE THAN A COMPUTER, A LOT LIKE THE COMPUTERS
WE KNOW ABOUT. THOSE COMPUTERS CAN THEN RECEIVE
SIGNALS, SEND SIGNALS, AND REGULATE MANY FEATURES IN THE
VEHICLE.

NOW LET'S GET BACK TO THESE MODELS. SO
THIS IS THE CABLE OPERATED (INDICATING), AND I'M GOING
TO SET THIS DOWN. AND THIS ONE HERE IS ONE THAT WOULD
BE OPERATED BY WIRE OR BY ELECTRONICS. THIS IS THE
MANIFOLD OF THE ENGINE. THE MANIFOLD -- THIS IS AN
INTAKE MANIFOLD. IT INTAKES AIR AND SENDS AIR TO THE
PISTONS WHERE THE FIRING TAKES PLACE.

THIS IS A THROTTLE BODY OF AN ELECTRONIC

THROTTLE CONTROL SYSTEM. THIS THROTTLE BODY -- A LOT

LIKE THE OTHER ONE I SHOWED YOU -- ALSO HAS A -- AN ITEM

IN HERE THAT OPENS AND CLOSES, AND IT'S CONTROLLED BY

THIS PLUG THAT WIRES WILL COME INTO. SO THIS IS

OPERATED BY A MOTOR.

AND THE MOTOR TURNS THIS THROTTLE BODY OPEN

AND CLOSED ALLOWING AIR IN, AND IT SENSES THE AMOUNT OF AIR THAT'S COMING IN. AND IT GIVES THE FUEL THAT IS NECESSARY TO MAKE THE VEHICLE GO. AND THAT FUEL, ALONG WITH THE AIR MIXTURE, THEN COMBINES AND GOES INTO THE CYLINDERS WHERE THE PISTONS GO UP AND DOWN.

2.2

2.7

I'M GOING TO DEMONSTRATE TO YOU HOW THIS
SORT OF WORKS ELECTRONICALLY ON THIS MODEL. HERE IS A
SYSTEM THAT HAS THE BRAKE PEDAL, AN ACCELERATOR PEDAL.
IT HAS A BATTERY FROM A MOTORCYCLE, JUST TO HELP US
OPERATE IT. IT HAS AN EXEMPLAR OF WHAT AN ELECTRONIC
CONTROL MODULE OR UNIT WOULD LOOK LIKE. RIGHT HERE.
THIS LITTLE BOX (INDICATING). AND IT ALSO HAS THE
THROTTLE BODY THAT WE SAW ON THESE OTHER TWO COMPONENTS
THAT I JUST SHOWED YOU.

SO THIS THROTTLE BODY GETS ITS INFORMATION
BY A WIRE. AS YOU CAN SEE HERE, THESE WIRES -- IT GETS
ITS INFORMATION BY WIRE INSTEAD OF BY CABLE SO THAT WHEN
ONE PRESSES ON THE ACCELERATOR, THE THROTTLE BODY -- IN
THIS CASE, I'VE GOT IT ALL THE WAY DOWN; SO IT'S OPENED
UP ALL THE WAY. AS THIS IS OPENED UP ALL THE WAY, AIR
GOES INTO THE MANIFOLD AND THE VEHICLE SENSES, FROM THE
PEDAL POSITION AND FROM THE AIR SUPPLY, TO GIVE THE
NECESSARY FUEL TO MAKE THE VEHICLE GO. WHEN I LET GO OF
THIS, IT SHUTS.

THIS IS THE BRAKE PEDAL (INDICATING). THE BRAKE PEDAL AND THE ACCELERATOR PEDAL END UP COMMUNICATING WITH ONE ANOTHER IN A BRAKE OVERRIDE SAFETY SYSTEM WHERE THE BRAKE SAYS, "I AM THE BOSS OF

YOU," B-O-S-S, THAT WHEN I PRESS ON THE BRAKE, I DON'T CARE HOW WIDE THE ACCELERATOR IS OPEN, THE BRAKE WILL MAKE IT SHUT THE SLIGHTEST AMOUNT, JUST ENOUGH TO HAVE THE BRAKE LIGHT IN THE REAR OF YOUR CAR GO ON, AS WE CAN SEE IN THIS MODEL (INDICATING).

2.2

2.7

SO THE SLIGHTEST AMOUNT -- AS SOON AS THE BRAKE LIGHT GOES ON, THAT MEANS THERE'S ENOUGH INFORMATION NOW GOING INTO THE ACCELERATOR PEDAL AND TO THE THROTTLE BODY THROUGH THE E.C.U., THROUGH THE COMPUTER, TO SAY, "I AM THE BOSS OF YOU." AND THEN THE THROTTLE BODY SHUTS DOWN AND THE VEHICLE GOES TO IDLE.

SO A VEHICLE DOESN'T JUST TURN OFF WHEN THE THROTTLE BODY SHUTS DOWN, BECAUSE THERE'S AN IDLE CIRCUIT. AND YOU WILL HEAR EXPERTS TALK ABOUT THIS. THE IDLE CIRCUIT WILL ALLOW THE VEHICLE TO IDLE.

AS WE KNOW, WHEN WE HAVE OUR CAR IN DRIVE,
YOU HAVE IT IN IDLE, IT WILL MOVE, BUT IT WON'T MOVE
VERY FAST. AND THAT'S WHAT WILL HAPPEN HERE SO THAT
YOUR ENGINE IS STILL RUNNING, IT'S STILL CREATING VACUUM
FOR YOUR OTHER SYSTEMS, AND IT STILL GIVES YOU POWER
STEERING, POWER BRAKES, ET CETERA. SO THE BRAKE
OVERRIDE SYSTEM OVERRIDES THE ACCELERATOR, AND ALL IT
TAKES IS THE SLIGHTEST OF DEPRESSION OF THE BRAKE PEDAL.

NOW, TOYOTA FURTHER SAYS, "WE BELIEVE EVERYONE HAS A RIGHT TO BE SAFE. BRING THE VEHICLE TO A STOP EVEN IF THE ACCELERATOR PEDAL IS FULLY DEPRESSED."

NOW, THE 2006 CAMRY IS A VEHICLE THAT CAME TO BE AS A PART OF GENERATION 5 OF CAMRYS. THERE WERE FOUR

GENERATIONS OF CAMRYS BEFORE IT. SO THIS WAS THE FIFTH
GENERATION. THE FIFTH GENERATION AND THE SIXTH
GENERATION ARE VERY SIMILAR, AND THE SIXTH GENERATION
BEGAN IN 2007 RIGHT AFTER THE 2006.

2.2

2.7

AND THIS IS DIRECTLY FROM A TOYOTA

MARKETING BROCHURE. IT SAYS "OUR VEHICLES, THE

GENERATION FIVE, ARE SAFE, SEXY." AND THEN THEY GO ON

TO SAY "HOW MUCH MORE CONTEMPORARY CAN YOU GET?"

YOU SEE, THE B.O.S.S. SYSTEM WAS PLACED IN VEHICLES BY TOYOTA AS EARLY AS 2001. THEY WERE IN VEHICLES LIKE THE COROLLA, LIKE THE YARIS, LIKE THE CRUISER. EIGHT DIFFERENT MODELS OF VEHICLES HAD THE B.O.S.S. SYSTEM AS EARLY AS 2001. THEY WERE IN EUROPE AND OVERSEAS IN ASIA BUT NOT IN AMERICA. THE 2001 THROUGH 2006, OR THE FIFTH GENERATION CAMRY, DID NOT HAVE THE B.O.S.S. SYSTEM.

TOYOTA FURTHER SAYS "INCLUDED IN CAMRY'S IMPRESSIVE FEATURES IS A PEACE OF MIND. WE MADE SURE SAFETY IS AN INTEGRAL PART OF EVERY CAMRY LE. AND BY THE WAY, OUR VEHICLE WAS A CAMRY LE. THE VEHICLES THAT WERE BEING SOLD IN EUROPE WITH THE B.O.S.S. SYSTEM WERE VEHICLES THAT WERE LESS EXPENSIVE THAN THE TOYOTA CAMRY, THE 2006 CAMRY.

WHAT TOYOTA FURTHER SAID IN THEIR MARKETING
BROCHURE IS THAT: "A CAR THAT'S COMFORTABLE, SAFE, AND
ALWAYS AND FOREVER FUN. SIMPLY PUT, THIS CAR NEEDS TO
BE AS PERFECT AS A CAR CAN BE." IN TERMS OF SAFETY,
TOYOTA FURTHER SHOWED IN ITS MARKETING STRATEGY THAT THE

1 KEY MESSAGE BY GRADE IS THIS VEHICLE, THE LE, IS SAFE. 2 IN TERMS OF DEMOGRAPHICS, WHO WAS IT THIS 3 VEHICLE WAS BEING MARKETED TO, THE PEOPLE? HE AGES? TOYOTA FOUND THAT THE CAMRY ATTRACTS OLDER BUYERS, NOT 4 5 JUST OLDER BUYERS, BUT BUYERS OVER 60 AND FEMALE; PREDOMINANTLY FEMALE. A HIGH PERCENTAGE OF BUYERS ARE 6 7 60 AND OLDER. SO 44 PERCENT OF THE CAMRY LE IS BEING 8 PURCHASED BY FEMALES; FEMALES OVER 60 YEARS OF AGE. 9 ON AUGUST 28, 2009, OLGA BELLO, 86 YEARS OF AGE, PULLS UP TO A STOP SIGN. BUT SHE DRIVES THROUGH 10 11 IT, DRIVING OVER FOUR MILES AN HOUR, AND AT TEN MILES AN 12 HOUR PLUS STRIKES AND BROADSIDES A TOYOTA CAMRY, A 2006 13 EMERALD GREEN TOYOTA CAMRY. 14 WHAT I HAVE BEFORE YOU IS A MODEL OF THE 15 SCENE OF THIS ACCIDENT, THIS CRASH. FROM THE POINT OF 16 THE INITIAL CRASH TO WHERE THE VEHICLE FINALLY ENDED UP 17 AT A TREE IS A LITTLE OVER HALF A MILE LONG, OVER 2,600 FEET. THE STOP SIGN IS AT 23RD AND EUCLID. 18 EUCLID IS STATE HIGHWAY 83. IT IS A WIDE STREET. 19 ΙT 20 HAS TWO LANES GOING NORTH, TWO LANES GOING SOUTH 21 SEPARATED BY A TREE-LINED CENTER MEDIAN, A WIDE CENTER 2.2 MEDIAN. 23 AT ABOUT 4:00 P.M. IN THE AFTERNOON OF 24 AUGUST 28, 2009, AFTER THE OLGA BELLO VEHICLE, A 2003 LEXUS 430, BROADSIDES THE EMERALD GREEN TOYOTA, THE 25 26 CAMRY IS SPUN AROUND AND THEN ACCELERATES AND GOES

AROUND THE 23RD STREET INTERSECTION AND BEGINS TO HEAD

SOUTHBOUND IN THE NORTHBOUND LANES AND MAKES THAT TURN

2.7

AT A HIGH RATE OF SPEED AND STARTS ACCELERATING ALL THE WAY THROUGH, REACHING SPEEDS OF APPROXIMATELY 100 MILES PER HOUR.

2.2

2.7

THERE ARE A DOZEN WITNESSES TO THIS EVENT.

THOSE WITNESSES WILL COME AND TESTIFY IN THIS TRIAL. AS

THE TOYOTA IS SPEEDING DOWN, THERE ARE VEHICLES COMING

THE OPPOSITE DIRECTION. THE TOYOTA DOES NOT STRIKE THE

OTHER VEHICLES.

AT ABOUT THIS POINT, THE TOYOTA GOES OFF
THE ROAD AND STRIKES A TELEPHONE POLE, COMES BACK ON THE
ROAD, STRIKES ANOTHER POLE. AND THEN AS IT STARTS GOING
SIDEWAYS, GOES THROUGH TWO OBJECTS, A POLE AND A STOP
SIGN, GOES AIRBORNE SIDEWAYS AND, AT A VERY HIGH SPEED,
HITS A SMALL PEPPER TREE, GOES RIGHT THROUGH THAT, AND
THEN HITS A LARGER PEPPER TREE WHERE IT COMES TO A
SUDDEN STOP.

WITNESSES HEAR THIS VEHICLE GOING BY.

WITNESSES HEAR WHAT THEY WILL DESCRIBE TO YOU AS THOUGH

THE ACCELERATOR WAS FULLY DEPRESSED. WITNESSES WILL

COME TESTIFY WHAT IT IS THAT THE TOYOTA DID AS IT WENT

DOWN EUCLID AVENUE.

HERE IS A STOP SIGN THAT THE LEXUS WAS SUPPOSED TO STOP AT (INDICATING). HERE IS THE FRONT END OF THE LEXUS. HERE IS WHERE THE TOYOTA CAME TO REST, THE EMERALD GREEN 2006 TOYOTA CAMRY. WHEN THE EMERGENCY RESPONDERS CAME TO THE SCENE, THEY FOUND NEXT TO NORIKO UNO'S BODY THAT HER EMERGENCY HAND BRAKE WAS PULLED UP.

WE HAVE HERE PETER UNO. WHO WAS MARRIED

```
FOR 35 YEARS TO NORIKO UNO, AND THEIR SON, THEIR ONLY
 1
 2
    CHILD, JEFFREY UNO, WHO IS 37 YEARS OLD. WE ARE SUING
 3
    BOTH TOYOTA AND OLGA BELLO BECAUSE THEY BOTH CONTRIBUTED
    TO HER DEATH. WE ARE SUING OLGA BELLO BECAUSE SHE
 4
 5
    VIOLATED RULES OF THE ROAD BY NOT STOPPING AT A STOP
    SIGN AND SEEING WHAT SHE NEEDS TO SEE AND ENDANGERING
 6
 7
    THE PUBLIC AND BROADSIDING MRS. UNO'S TOYOTA CAMRY.
 8
                 MRS. BELLO CLAIMED UNDER OATH THAT SHE DID
 9
    COME TO A STOP. SHE TOLD THE POLICE WHO ARRIVED AT THE
    SCENE THAT SHE WAS STOPPED BEHIND THE LIMIT LINE AND
10
11
    THAT SOME HIT-AND-RUN VEHICLE CAME AND HIT HER AND TOOK
12
    OFF AND NEVER SAW IT BEFORE AND NEVER SAW IT AFTER.
13
                 THE 2003 LEXUS SHE WAS DRIVING HAD A BLACK
14
    BOX IN IT. ENGINEERS WERE ABLE TO READ THAT BLACK BOX.
15
    IT'S SORT OF LIKE THIS WHERE THERE'S A COMPUTER, AND
16
    IT'S HOOKED UP TO THE BLACK BOX, THAT THING IN THE
    FOREGROUND WITH THE YELLOW STICKER ON IT (INDICATING).
17
    AND THEY WERE ABLE TO READ WHAT THE BLACK BOX RECORDED
18
19
    JUST A FEW SECONDS AND DURING THE IMPACT.
20
                 SEE, THE PRE-CRASH DATA THAT IS REFERRED TO
21
    HERE FOR UP TO FIVE SECONDS BEFORE THE CRASH SPEAKS
2.2
    LOUDLY. IT SAYS THAT THE OLGA BELLO VEHICLE, AT THESE
23
    DIFFERENT INTERVALS, WAS TRAVELING THESE SPEEDS. IT WAS
24
    GOING TEN MILES AN HOUR, IT SLOWED DOWN TO FOUR, NEVER
```

AND YOU WILL SEE, AS WE GO INTO THE R.P.M. CHART OF THE SAME EXHIBIT, HOW THE R.P.M.'S WENT UP AS THE VEHICLE BEGAN TO ACCELERATE, R.P.M.'S MEANING

CAME TO A STOP, THEN IT ACCELERATED.

25

26

27

```
ROTATIONS PER MINUTE OF THE ENGINE OF A VEHICLE, WHICH
 1
    CORRESPOND WITH SPEEDS. THEN THE VEHICLE, NEVER COMING
 3
    TO A STOP, ROLLED RIGHT THROUGH, PICKED UP SPEED --
 4
    PICKED UP SPEED UP TO TEN-PLUS MILES AN HOUR, AND THEN
 5
    CRASHED INTO THE EMERALD GREEN CAMRY THAT NORIKO UNO WAS
 6
    DRIVING.
 7
                 HERE IS THE LEXUS, THE FRONT END OF THE
 8
    LEXUS (INDICATING). THE PHOTO WAS TAKEN BY THE POLICE.
 9
    YOU SEE IN THE BACKGROUND -- THIS IS AT THE SCENE.
    THAT'S AN UPLAND POLICE DEPARTMENT VEHICLE. THAT'S
10
11
    EMERGENCY PERSONNEL AT THE SCENE (INDICATING).
12
                 THIS IS THE FRONT END OF THE VEHICLE
13
    (INDICATING). WHAT YOU SEE IS A HEAVY BUMPER, A GRILLE
14
    THAT IS DAMAGED, SOME PIECES MISSING FROM THE FRONT OF
15
    THE VEHICLE. WHAT YOU DON'T SEE IS THE EXTENT OF THE
    DAMAGE TO THE VEHICLE THAT'S BEHIND THE PLASTIC
16
17
    COVERING.
                 THE VEHICLE HAD $13,552 WORTH OF DAMAGE.
18
19
    THAT DAMAGE INCLUDED FRAME DAMAGE TO THE VEHICLE; SO
20
    THAT WHEN IT STRUCK THE SOFT PART OF THE CAMRY, THE SIDE
21
    OF THE CAMRY, THE DOOR, DRIVER'S DOOR, AND PASSENGER
2.2
    DOOR, IT ALSO WAS DAMAGED. AND YOU CAN SEE FROM THIS
23
    ESTIMATE THE FRAME LABOR AND THE BODY SHOP LABOR TO
24
    REPAIR THE CAR FOR A TOTAL OF $13,552.
25
                 WHAT IS IT THAT OLGA BELLO SHOULD HAVE
26
    DONE? OLGA BELLO SHOULD HAVE COME TO THAT STOP SIGN AND
```

27 STOPPED AND WATCHED FOR TRAFFIC TO SEE WHAT SHE COULD
28 SEE AND ALLOW THE TRAFFIC THAT HAS RIGHT-OF-WAY TO

PROCEED AND NOT PROCEED FORWARD AND ENDANGER THE PUBLIC.

AND OBVIOUSLY HAD SHE COME TO THAT STOP, WE WOULDN'T BE

HERE. NORIKO UNO WOULD STILL BE ALIVE.

2.2

2.7

WHY ARE WE SUING TOYOTA? WELL, THE ANSWER IS BECAUSE TOYOTA KNEW FOR MANY YEARS THAT STUCK PEDALS ARE A PHENOMENON WITH AUTOMOBILES. HERE IS A TOYOTA DOCUMENT THAT SAYS "A STUCK, OPEN ACCELERATOR PEDAL MAY RESULT IN VERY HIGH VEHICLE SPEEDS AND MAKE IT DIFFICULT TO STOP THE VEHICLE, WHICH COULD CAUSE A CRASH, SERIOUS INJURY, OR DEATH." SO TOYOTA KNEW THAT STUCK PEDALS ARE DANGEROUS AND COULD CAUSE DEATH.

A TOYOTA EXPERT, A GENTLEMAN THAT'S BEEN IN THE AUTOMOTIVE FIELD AND HAS BEEN AN EXPERT IN THIS CASE FOR SOME TIME NOW, BY THE NAME OF LEE CARR CLEARLY SAID THAT "TOYOTA KNEW THAT FOR 100 YEARS THAT STUCK PEDALS ARE A PHENOMENON THAT WE ARE AWARE OF, THAT WE MUST GUARD AGAINST."

HERE IS A PHOTO TAKEN BY THE UPLAND POLICE
DEPARTMENT PHOTOGRAPHER SHOWING OFFICER ARRIAGA HERE AS
HE'S GOT THE FLASHLIGHT POINTING DOWN AT THE PEDALS. AS
YOU CAN SEE HERE, THE EMERGENCY HAND BRAKE IS EXTENDED,
PULLED UP.

TOYOTA MADE A DECISION TO LEAVE OUT THE BRAKE OVERRIDE SAFETY SYSTEM FROM THE AMERICAN VEHICLES, INCLUDING THIS 2006 CAMRY. AND IT WASN'T UNTIL 2007 THAT THEY BEGAN TO PUT THEM IN THE CAMRY. AND THEY CONTINUE TO PUT THEM.

NOW, HOW DO WE KNOW THAT? BECAUSE TOYOTA

ADMITS IT. TOYOTA ADMITS THAT "WE DID NOT PUT BRAKE

OVERRIDE SYSTEM IN AMERICA IN TOYOTAS UNTIL AFTER 2007."

2.2

2.7

NOW, HOW DO WE KNOW THAT THE BRAKE OVERRIDE SYSTEM WOULD HAVE MATTERED IN THIS CASE? WELL, THE FIRST THING WE HAVE, OF COURSE, IS WE SEE AT THE END. BECAUSE WHEN WE LOOK AT THESE CASES, THESE INCIDENTS, BACKWARDS SORT OF, WE SEE WHERE THE VEHICLE ENDED UP. WE LOOK AT THE VEHICLE TO SEE WHAT THE VEHICLE TELLS US, AND THEN WE GO BACKWARDS AND TRY TO MAKE SENSE OUT OF WHAT HAPPENED.

FIRST THING WE NOTICE IS THAT THE HAND
BRAKE, THE EMERGENCY BRAKE, IS EXTENDED. NEXT, WE KNOW
FROM WITNESSES WHAT IT IS THAT THEY SAW AND HEARD. A
WITNESS BY THE NAME OF MICHELLE PEEPLES SAW THE TOYOTA
DRIVEN BY MRS. UNO FOR FIVE TO SIX SECONDS AS SHE SAW IT
PASSING HER.

TO GIVE YOU AN IDEA, MS. PEEPLES IS DRIVING NORTHBOUND IN THE NORTHBOUND LANES. THE CAMRY IS HEADING SOUTHBOUND. SHE SEES THE CAMRY AT A DISTANCE AND THINKS TO HERSELF, "OH, MY GOD. LOOKS LIKE IT'S COMING THE WRONG DIRECTION." AND FURTHER THINKS TO HERSELF, "THAT'S MY MOM," BECAUSE HER MOM HAS A TOYOTA CAMRY JUST LIKE THIS ONE COMING THE OPPOSITE DIRECTION.

AND SHE STARES AT THAT VEHICLE AS IT'S

COMING AT HER, AND SHE KEEPS HER EYE ON THAT VEHICLE AS

IT'S COMING AT HER. AS IT GOES BY, SHE TURNS AND SHE

LOOKS. SHE SEES THAT VEHICLE.

SHE SEES THE BACK OF THE VEHICLE. SHE SEES

THE BRAKE LIGHTS GO ON, STAY ON, THEN GO OFF, THEN GO ON, THEN GO OFF, AND SO FORTH, UNTIL IT STRIKES THESE OBJECTS THAT I SPOKE ABOUT. AND THERE'S A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF DUST THAT FLIES AS THE VEHICLE ENTERS THE CENTER MEDIAN.

2.2

2.7

WE HAVE OTHER WITNESSES THAT SEE THE TOYOTA CAMRY COMING AT THEM. FOR INSTANCE, WE MAY VERY WELL TODAY SEE ONE OF OUR WITNESSES IN THE AFTERNOON, THE WUNSCHES. MR. AND MRS. WUNSCH WERE TRAVELING NORTHBOUND ON EUCLID WHEN THEY SAW A TOYOTA CAMRY COMING AT THEM. THEY SAW IT MAKE THE TURN AT A HIGH RATE OF SPEED AND BEGIN TO APPROACH THEM. THEY WATCHED IT AS IT DROVE BY.

JUST ABOUT EVERY WITNESS, HOWEVER, DID NOT LOOK BACK TO SEE THE BACK OF THE VEHICLE. THEY CAN'T TELL US WHETHER THERE WERE LIGHTS ON OR NOT. THE ONLY OTHER WITNESS THAT LOOKED TO THE BACK OF THE VEHICLE WAS A MR. EPPERSON WHO WAS IN A DRIVEWAY PULLING OUT, AND THAT'S WHEN THE TOYOTA VEERED AWAY FROM HIM AND WENT INTO THE CENTER MEDIAN STRIKING TWO POLES.

AND HE WILL BE TESTIFYING THAT THE DRIVER WAS IN CONTROL. EVERY ONE OF THESE WITNESSES WILL SAY THAT THE DRIVER HAD HER HANDS 10:00 O'CLOCK,
2:00 O'CLOCK, LOOKING FORWARD HORRIFIED. EVERY ONE OF THESE WITNESSES WILL SAY SHE WAS STEERING THE VEHICLE.

ONE CANNOT DRIVE A VEHICLE FOR HALF A MILE AND GO STRAIGHT WITHOUT STEERING INPUTS. THESE ROADS, AS WITH MOST, HAVE CROWNS IN THEM FOR RAIN PURPOSES; SO IF THERE'S ANY KIND OF WATER BUILD UP, DRAINAGE SYSTEM

ALLOWS THE WATER NOT TO GATHER IN THE MIDDLE OF THE ROAD. SO IT GOES OFF TO THE SIDES.

2.2

2.7

THAT CROWN ALSO ACTS AS A -- AS A MOUND
THAT MAKES THE VEHICLE PULL TO ONE SIDE OR THE OTHER.
HERE, FOR THIS VEHICLE TO TRAVEL FROM 23RD STREET ALL
THE WAY DOWN TO 21ST STREET, SOME HALF A MILE, MANY
STEERING INPUTS NEED TO BE PUT INTO THAT STEERING;
OTHERWISE, IT WOULD GO OFF THE ROAD. MRS. UNO MADE
THOSE STEERING INPUTS.

THE SPEED OF THIS VEHICLE -- IT HAS A TOP

SPEED OF ABOUT 120 MILES AN HOUR. IT'S BEEN TESTED ON A

TRACK. IT ONLY REACHED -- I SAY "ONLY" -- ABOUT 80 TO

90 MILES AN HOUR WHEN IT CAME IN CONTACT WITH THE POLE.

THERE WAS BRAKING IN BETWEEN 23RD AND WHEN MS. PEEPLES

FIRST SAW IT THAT KEPT THE SPEED FROM BEING AS HIGH AS

120 MILES AN HOUR.

EXPERTS WILL COME IN AND TESTIFY THAT IN
THAT DISTANCE WITH THE THROTTLE OPEN SOME 50 OR 70 OR
80 PERCENT, NOT 100 PERCENT, WILL REACH TOP SPEED OF
120 MILES PER HOUR, BUT FOR BRAKING BY MS. UNO.
FURTHER, BECAUSE THIS ROAD LEADS YOU UP TO MOUNT BALDY,
THIS ROAD HAS A GRADE, A 3 PERCENT GRADE DOWNHILL.

HAD TOYOTA PLACED THE BRAKE OVERRIDE SYSTEM
IN THIS VEHICLE, THIS VEHICLE WOULD HAVE COME TO A STOP.
THE COST OF ADDING BRAKE OVERRIDE TO THE SYSTEM IS ZERO.
IT'S MINIMAL BECAUSE IT ONLY TAKES ABOUT TWO KILOBYTES
OF SPACE TO PROGRAM AND TO FLASH THESE E.C.U.'S SO THAT
IT WOULD HAVE THE BRAKE OVERRIDE SYSTEM IN IT.

TWO KILOBYTES OF SPACE IS LIKE PULLING UP A DOCUMENT AND TYPING IN THE WORD B-O-S-S. THAT'S ALL THE SPACE THE COMPUTER NEEDED TO HAVE THE LOGIC, THE SIMPLE LOGIC, OF SAYING WHEN THE ACCELERATOR IS DEPRESSED AND THEN THE BRAKE ALSO IS DEPRESSED SIMULTANEOUSLY, THE ACCELERATOR BECOMES SUBSERVIENT AND THE BRAKE BECOMES THE BOSS OVER THE ACCELERATOR. THAT'S THE SPEED, UP TO ABOUT 100 MILES AN HOUR THAT WITNESSES SPOKE ABOUT.

2.2

2.7

NOW, TOYOTA'S POSITION ON BRAKE OVERRIDE,
BY THE WAY, IS THAT "THE SYSTEM SHALL OPERATE WITH BRAKE
PEDAL FORCE THAT ANYONE CAN DEPRESS LESS THAN 90N'S OR
NEWTONS OF PEDAL FORCE." WHAT DOES THAT TRANSLATE TO?
90 NEWTONS IS LIKE 20 POUNDS, WHICH STUDIES SHOW
SOMEBODY IN AN EMERGENCY WILL APPLY 20 POUNDS OF BRAKE
FORCE AGAINST A PEDAL. AND 20 POUNDS IS NOT VERY HEAVY.
CERTAINLY IT'S SOMETHING THAT A 66-YEAR-OLD WOMAN WOULD
BE ABLE TO EXERT.

EXEMPLAR, AN EXEMPLAR VEHICLE AND A SURROGATE. AND
BEFORE I TALK TO YOU ABOUT THAT, LET ME JUST TELL YOU
ONE OTHER REASON THAT WE'RE SUING TOYOTA. THE OTHER
IS -- OTHER THAN THE BRAKE OVERRIDE SYSTEM, IS THAT
TOYOTA USED TO USE ACCELERATOR PEDALS THAT WERE HINGED,
WHICH REQUIRED A SPRING RIGHT WHERE I'M HOLDING THIS
(INDICATING). SO THIS IS A HINGED PEDAL. AND THIS
SPRING IS WHAT CAUSES THE PEDAL TO GO BACK JUST AT THIS
PIVOT POINT. NOT UP HERE BUT RIGHT HERE (INDICATING).

HOWEVER, FOR THE GENERATION 5 TOYOTA, THEY

- CHOSE TO PUT IN THIS TYPE OF PEDAL, ONE THAT DOESN'T

 HAVE A HINGE, THAT IS SOLID. AND THAT IS RELEVANT

 BECAUSE WHEN IT COMES TO STUCK ACCELERATOR PEDALS, THE

 HINGE PEDAL GIVES THE OPERATOR MORE OF AN OPPORTUNITY TO

 GET THEIR FOOT OUT, WHILE THE SOLID PEDAL DOES NOT. AND

 THAT'S SOMETHING THAT TOYOTA KNEW.
 - I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU REAL QUICKLY HERE ONE MORE MODEL WE HAVE. THIS IS BASICALLY HOW AN EMERGENCY BRAKE SYSTEM WORKS. THIS VEHICLE HAD DRUM BRAKES IN THE REAR.

1.3

2.2

- WHAT WE'VE DONE HERE IS TAKEN A DRUM BRAKE

 SYSTEM FROM TOYOTA AND CUT OUT SO YOU COULD SEE INSIDE

 THE SYSTEM ITSELF. WE HAVE A COUPLE OF LUG NUTS HERE

 THAT I CAN TAKE OFF AND EXPOSE THE BRAKE SYSTEM, JUST TO

 DEMONSTRATE FOR YOU HOW IT WORKS. AS THIS DRUM COMES

 OFF, YOU WILL SEE INSIDE OF IT THESE TWO YELLOW ITEMS

 THAT ARE BRAKE SHOES.
- BRAKE SHOES HAVE PADS ON THEM. AND THEN WE HAVE A LITTLE CYLINDER HERE AT THE BRAKE, AND THEN WE HAVE ADJUSTMENT SPRINGS. THIS LITTLE CYLINDER IS HYDRAULICALLY OPERATED. THAT'S FOR THE MAIN BRAKE SYSTEM; HOWEVER, THE EMERGENCY BRAKE SYSTEM OPERATES ON CABLE. SO IT'S A DIRECT DRIVE SORT OF. IT'S ON A CABLE.
- SO IF I COULD JUST ROLL IT, PLEASE.
- 26 MR. GALVIN: I DON'T THINK THAT WAS WHAT WE WERE 27 PLANNING ON.
- 28 MR. MARDIROSSIAN: I'M NOT GOING TO STOP THIS.

THE COURT: YOU CAN CONTINUE.

2.2

2.7

MR. MARDIROSSIAN: CAN YOU JUST PULL ON IT,
PLEASE. AS ARMEN PULLS ON THAT, THESE OPEN UP. THEY
WIDEN. AS THEY WIDEN, THEY SPREAD OUT. THEY CREATE
FRICTION AGAINST THIS DRUM SURFACE, AND THAT FRICTION IS
WHAT STOPS A CAR, WHETHER YOU USE THE SERVICE BRAKES OR
USE THE EMERGENCY BRAKES. BASICALLY, AS THE EMERGENCY
BRAKE IS PULLED, IT WILL STOP THIS WHEEL FROM TURNING.
AND IT'S THE CABLES THAT ARE ATTACHED TO EACH OF THE
REAR WHEELS THAT WILL CAUSE THE SHOES TO EXPAND AND
CREATE FRICTION AND STOP THE WHEEL FROM TURNING.

WHAT HAPPENED THAT CAUSED THIS VEHICLE TO ACCELERATE,
AND WE KNOW, FROM THEIR OWN EXPERTS AND THEIR OWN
EMPLOYEES THAT WORK AT TOYOTA, THAT THERE ARE MANY WAYS
THAT AN ACCELERATOR PEDAL CAN GET STUCK. AND YOU WILL
HEAR THEIR EXPERTS TALK ABOUT IT. IT COULD BE GROCERIES
THAT GET STUCK. IT COULD BE A FLOOR MAT. IT COULD BE
ANYTHING THAT SOMEHOW GETS IN THE WAY OF THAT
ACCELERATOR PEDAL AND HOLDS IT DOWN. AND TOYOTA KNEW
THIS. A BRAKE OVERRIDE SAFETY SYSTEM WOULD MAKE A
VEHICLE STOP ONCE THE BRAKE IS TOUCHED, EVEN IF THE
ACCELERATOR IS STUCK BY ANY FOREIGN OBJECT OR ANY OBJECT
AT ALL.

WHAT WE DID IS WE TOOK AN EXEMPLAR VEHICLE,
AND WE PLACED A SURROGATE IN IT WITH SANDALS. AND
MS. UNO WAS WEARING SANDALS LIKE THIS (INDICATING). AND
WE HAD THE SURROGATE, WHILE SITTING IN THE VEHICLE,

DEMONSTRATE HOW A FOOT CAN GET STUCK WHILE THE HEEL IS
ON THE ACCELERATOR PEDAL AND THE TOES ARE STUCK
UNDERNEATH THE BRAKE PEDAL. HOW COULD THAT PHENOMENON
OCCUR?

2.2

IN THIS CASE, AS MS. UNO IS DRIVING
SOUTHBOUND ON EUCLID, SHE GETS BROADSIDED BY THE BELLO
VEHICLE. THE VEHICLE SPINS. AND EXPERTS WILL TESTIFY
THAT THE BODY MOVES TO THE LEFT. THE BODY, ALONG WITH
THE FOOT, MOVES TO THE LEFT WITH THE HEEL ON THE
ACCELERATOR PEDAL. THE TOES MOVE TO THE LEFT UNDERNEATH
THE BRAKE PEDAL AND GET STUCK.

NOW, WE HAD TESTS DONE BOTH WITH OUR OWN EXPERT WHO DID SOME DEMONSTRATIONS JUST TO SHOW WHAT CLEARANCE SPACE THERE IS ON HIS OWN FOOT, AND WE ALSO USED A SURROGATE WHO IS ABOUT THE SAME SIZE AND WEIGHT WITH THE SAME SIZE FOOT AS MRS. UNO. MRS. UNO WAS WEARING APPROXIMATELY SIZE 10 SANDALS, EVEN THOUGH HER FOOT WAS MORE LIKE A SIZE 6, 7; SO SHE WAS WEARING SANDALS THAT WERE A BIT LARGE FOR HER. THAT'S BECAUSE SHE HAD LARGER FEET, "LARGE" MEANING HEAVIER FEET.

THEY WERE WIDER. SHE WAS A BIT HEAVY FOR HER SIZE. SHE WAS ABOUT 5-FOOT TALL AND WEIGHED AROUND 150, 160 POUNDS.

WHEN I WAS JUST RELATING TO YOU WHY I

BELIEVE A BRAKE OVERRIDE SYSTEM WOULD HAVE HELPED AND I

SPOKE TO YOU ABOUT THE FACT THAT EMERGENCY BRAKE WAS

PULLED, WE HAD MS. PEEPLES THAT SAW THE BRAKE LIGHTS.

AND THIS PARTICULAR EXHIBIT -- WHAT WE'RE GOING TO DO

1 RIGHT NOW IS ACTUALLY PLAY THIS VIDEO FOR YOU OF WHAT
2 HAPPENS WHEN SOMEBODY GETS --

3 MR. GALVIN: I DON'T MIND IF YOU PUT THE VOLUME 4 UP.

2.2

2.7

MR. MARDIROSSIAN: SO WHAT IS HAPPENING THERE IS WITH HER RIGHT HEEL ON THE ACCELERATOR PEDAL, THE LEFT -- TO THE LEFT OF IT IS THE BRAKE PEDAL. YOU SEE HER TOES AND THE UPPER PART OF HER FOOT RIGHT UNDERNEATH THE BRAKE PEDAL. AND TAKE NOTE OF THAT BECAUSE WE'RE GOING TO SEE SOME PICTURES, AND I'VE GOT TO WARN YOU NOW THEY ARE A LITTLE GRAPHIC OF HER FOOT AND HOW IT IS THAT HER FOOT WAS CUT, LACERATED WITH HER BONES IN THAT FOOT BROKEN CONSISTENT WITH HER FOOT BEING STUCK IN THIS POSITION.

PLUS, AS YOU CAN SEE, HER FOOT THERE

UNDERNEATH THE BRAKE PEDAL, THE BRAKE PEDAL HAS A -
THERE'S A PAD. I KNOW MOST OF US DON'T EVEN NOTICE IT,

BUT THERE'S A PAD OVER THE BRAKE PEDAL. THERE'S METAL

BELOW AND A PAD THAT COVERS IT. THE BRAKE PEDAL OF HER

VEHICLE, AFTER THE ACCIDENT, WAS FOUND TO BE LIKE SO

(INDICATING) WITH THIS PAD PEELED UP RIGHT WHERE YOU SEE

THAT TOE, RIGHT WHERE YOU SEE THE BIG TOE AND THE DORSAL

PART OF THE -- UPPER PART OF THE FOOT. AND EXPERTS WILL

BE TESTIFYING THAT THAT HAPPENED BECAUSE HER FOOT WAS

UNDERNEATH IT AND PULLED UP DURING THE ACCIDENT AND

CAUSED THIS PAD TO COME UP.

HERE IS THE PHOTO OF THE ACTUAL BRAKE PAD AND THE BRAKE PEDAL. AND YOU CAN SEE HERE IS THE PEDAL

ITSELF, LOOKING AT IT FROM TOP DOWN. AND HERE IS THE SIDE OF IT (INDICATING). SO THE ORIENTATION IS A LITTLE UNUSUAL HERE, BUT THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT WE'RE SHOWING YOU IN THAT OTHER PHOTO. THAT'S THE TOP RIGHT OF THE BRAKE PEDAL WHERE YOU SEE THE SEPARATION, THE SPACE BETWEEN THIS METAL BACKING AND THIS RUBBER PAD (INDICATING).

2.2

2.7

AS I WARNED YOU, I AM GOING TO SHOW YOU A COUPLE OF PHOTOS HERE THAT ARE A BIT GRAPHIC TO DEMONSTRATE THE INJURY. YOU CAN SEE IN THIS PHOTO THE TOP OF MS. UNO'S FOOT AND THE CUT RIGHT ABOUT WHERE WE SHOWED YOU IN THAT PHOTO WITH THE SURROGATE. WHAT YOU ALSO NOTICE HERE ARE THE BROKEN BONES, AND THIS ANKLE IS ACTUALLY LOCKED IN POSITION WITH THE BROKEN BONES. AND WE'LL HAVE EXPERTS COME AND TESTIFY THAT IS CONSISTENT WITH HER FOOT BEING UNDERNEATH THE PEDAL, THE BRAKE PEDAL AND BRAKING.

NEXT, THIS IS ANOTHER PHOTO SHOWING THE OTHER SIDE, AND THAT SHOWS YOU THE SANDAL SHE WAS WEARING AND HOW WIDE HER FEET WERE AND THE CUT RIGHT -- STARTING FROM HERE, GOING ALL THE WAY UP TO THE TOP OF HER FOOT (INDICATING).

NOW, WHAT CAN TOYOTA HAVE DONE TO PREVENT
THIS? THEY COULD HAVE HAD THE BRAKE OVERRIDE SYSTEM IN
THE VEHICLE. THEY COULD HAVE INCORPORATED A HINGED
PEDAL INSTEAD OF GOING TO A FIXED PEDAL. AND, OF
COURSE, THEY COULD HAVE WARNED.

IS THIS A GOOD TIME, YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: THIS WOULD BE A PERFECT TIME. WE'RE

1	GOING TO TAKE OUR MORNING BREAK. YOU ARE REMINDED NOT			
2	TO DISCUSS THIS MATTER AMONG YOURSELVES OR WITH ANY			
3	OTHER PERSON, NOT TO FORM OR EXPRESS AN OPINION ON THE			
4	MATTER UNTIL IT'S SUBMITTED TO YOU.			
5	WE'LL TAKE A 15-MINUTE BREAK. START UP			
6	PROMPTLY AT 10:45. THANK YOU.			
7				
8	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS			
9	WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT			
10	OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)			
11				
12	THE COURT: DURING BREAKS, THE JURY WILL BE BACK			
13	IN THE JURY ROOM, WHICH IS ACROSS FROM MY CHAMBERS.			
14	IS THERE ANYTHING FOR THE RECORD BEFORE WE			
15	BREAK?			
16	MR. GALVIN: NOT FROM TOYOTA.			
17	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SEE YOU AT 10:45.			
18	MR. FAUCHER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.			
19				
20	(A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)			
21				
22	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS			
23	WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT IN			
24	THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)			
25				
26	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WELCOME BACK, EVERYBODY.			
27	MR. MARDIROSSIAN, YOU MAY PROCEED.			
28	MR. MARDIROSSIAN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.			

GOOD MORNING AGAIN.

IN UNISON: GOOD MORNING.

1.3

2.2

2.7

MR. MARDIROSSIAN: JUST AS WE TOOK OUR BREAK, I

JUST BEGAN TO TALK ABOUT WARNINGS. LET ME JUST TELL YOU

WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT. A COMPANY LIKE TOYOTA HAS AN

OBLIGATION TO WARN ITS CUSTOMERS OF KNOWN RISKS AND

DANGERS. HERE, BECAUSE TOYOTA KNEW FOR SOME 70-PLUS

YEARS IN ITS EXISTENCE THAT STUCK ACCELERATORS ARE A

PROBLEM, THEY HAD AN OBLIGATION TO WARN.

AND HOW DO WE KNOW THAT, THAT THEY DIDN'T WARN? WELL, FIRST TOYOTA ADMITS IT. THEY ADMIT THEY DIDN'T WARN ABOUT WHAT TO DO IN CASE OF A STUCK ACCELERATOR.

AND HOW WOULD IT HAVE MATTERED? BECAUSE IT WOULD HAVE GIVEN NORIKO UNO AT LEAST A FIGHTING CHANCE. SHE WOULD HAVE AT LEAST HAD SOME NOTICE THAT IF THIS HAPPENS, HERE ARE THE THINGS YOU CAN DO. AND THERE ARE THINGS THAT ONE THAT IS NOT AS HORRIFIED AS SHE WAS COULD HAVE DONE, WHETHER IT IS TURNING THE ENGINE OFF -- WHICH HAS ITS OWN RISKS, BUT AT LEAST IT WOULD HAVE KEPT THE VEHICLE FROM ACCELERATING -- OR TRYING TO PUT THE VEHICLE IN NEUTRAL.

BUT THESE ARE THE KINDS OF WARNINGS THAT
TOYOTA SHOULD HAVE GIVEN IN THEIR OWN DOCUMENTS, IN
THEIR OWN BROCHURES, IN THEIR OWN OWNERS MANUALS; AND
YET TOYOTA DID NOT. SO THAT'S YET ANOTHER REASON WHY
TOYOTA IS IN THIS CASE. YOU SEE, THE RISKS, OF COURSE,
IN TURNING OFF AN IGNITION IS THAT IF YOU TURN OFF THE

1 IGNITION, YOU LOSE A LOT OF THE POWER THAT THE VEHICLE
2 NEEDS TO GO ON.

2.2

2.7

EARLIER, YOU LOSE THE VACUUM POWER; OR AS THIS VEHICLE
AND MANY VEHICLES HAVE POWER STEERING, YOU LOSE YOUR
POWER STEERING ONCE THAT ENGINE IS NO LONGER RUNNING BY
TURNING THE IGNITION OFF. AND YOUR BRAKE SYSTEM,
BECAUSE IT DOES ALSO OPERATE ON VACUUM, WILL ALSO NOT BE
AS EFFICIENT. AND THERE ARE MANY OTHER THINGS THAT GO
WRONG WITH THE ENGINE RUNNING THAT WILL NO LONGER BE
AVAILABLE ONCE THAT ENGINE IS TURNED OFF. AND, OF
COURSE, PUTTING IT IN NEUTRAL IS NOT AS EASY AS IT
SOUNDS. THESE ARE THINGS THAT TOYOTA NEVER WARNED,
NEVER GAVE NORIKO UNO AT LEAST AN OPPORTUNITY TO LEARN
ABOUT.

BEFORE WE -- AND THE UNO FAMILY HAD DECIDED TO BRING THIS CASE FORWARD -- BEFORE DOING SO, WE LOOKED AT VARIOUS THINGS. WE CONSIDERED MANY DIFFERENT THINGS, INCLUDING DID NORIKO UNO HAVE A HEALTH CONDITION THAT WOULD HAVE CAUSED THIS. SO WE LOOKED. AND WE FOUND, THROUGH MEDICAL RECORDS AND THROUGH HER OWN BLOOD SUGAR LEVELS, THAT EVERYTHING WAS NORMAL.

AS A MATTER OF FACT, WHEN THE POLICE CAME
TO THE SCENE AND THEY INVESTIGATED AND THE CORONERS TOOK
OVER THE CASE AND THEY CHECKED HER BLOOD LEVELS, THEY
FOUND THAT THERE WERE NO ABUSING DRUGS OR ANYTHING THAT
WOULD BE MIND ALTERING, ANY KIND OF DRUG IN HER SYSTEM
THAT WOULD AFFECT HER DRIVING. NO ALCOHOL IN HER

1 SYSTEM. THE CORONER RULED OUT STROKE OR HEART ATTACK OR
2 ANYTHING LIKE THAT THAT COULD HAVE POSSIBLY CONTRIBUTED.
3 ALL THOSE WERE RULED OUT.

1.3

2.2

2.7

WE OBTAINED HER MEDICAL RECORDS GOING ALL
THE WAY BACK TO THE 1990'S TO SEE WHAT HER CONDITION WAS
LIKE. SHE WAS A TYPE 2 DIABETIC, AND SHE WAS ON
GLYBURIDE, WHICH IS JUST AN ORAL MEDICATION UNTIL JUST A
COUPLE OF MONTHS BEFORE AUGUST OF 2009 WHEN SHE GOT
STARTED ON LEVEMIR, WHICH IS AN INSULIN SHOT. AND SHE
WAS GIVEN THE LOWEST POSSIBLE DOSAGES, 10 MILLIGRAMS.

AND SHE WAS COMPLIANT. HER CHARTS -- SHE
KEPT METICULOUS CHARTS, AS YOU COULD IMAGINE. SHE USED
TO WORK AT A BANK BEFORE OPENING UP A SUSHI RESTAURANT,
WHICH I'LL GET TO IN A WHILE. SO SHE WAS METICULOUS
ABOUT KEEPING RECORDS, AND WE HAVE IT ALL IN HER
HANDWRITING FOR THE TWO MONTHS BEFORE THIS ACCIDENT,
BEFORE THIS CRASH.

AND WE ALSO HAVE WHAT IS CALLED AN "A1C" -A1C VALUES THAT GO BACK THREE MONTHS OR MORE TO SEE HOW
SHE WAS DOING WITH HER SUGAR IN HER BLOOD. AND ALL
THOSE VALUES WERE EXCELLENT. HER NUMBERS WERE IN THE
SEVENS, WHICH YOU WILL HEAR THE EXPERTS TALK ABOUT, SAY
THAT IS EXCELLENT. SHE HAD AN 83, AND YOU WILL HEAR
EXPERTS TALK ABOUT HOW A NUMBER BETWEEN 70 AND 110 IS
NORMAL FOR HER.

SHE HAD A DOCTOR THAT WAS FOLLOWING HER

THAT SHE HAD SEEN JUST WHEN SHE WENT ON TO INSULIN. A

DR. AQEEL, RUBINA AQEEL. SHE'S A MEDICAL DOCTOR. SHE

1 | WILL BE COMING IN HERE TO TESTIFY.

1.3

2.2

2.7

SHE'S LOOKED AT ALL THE RECORDS. SHE WILL

BE TESTIFYING IN HERE TO TELL YOU THAT IT WOULD BE

VIRTUALLY IMPOSSIBLE FOR HER TO HAVE A HYPOGLYCEMIC

EVENT, GIVEN HER HISTORY, GIVEN HER BLOOD SUGAR LEVEL,

WHICH WAS 83 THAT MORNING, JUST SIX HOURS BEFORE THIS

CRASH. ESPECIALLY SINCE THAT MORNING SHE HAD BREAKFAST

WITH HER HUSBAND, PETER, AND THEN SHE HAD LUNCH WITH HER

SON, JEFFREY, WHO WENT TO WORK A BIT LATE. HE WAS

SUFFERING FROM A COLD. JEFFREY WAS.

SHE ALSO SAW HER PRIMARY CARE PHYSICIAN

THAT SHE WOULD SEE ON AN ANNUAL BASIS WHO DID AN

ALL-SYSTEMS CHECK, EVERYTHING FROM HER EXTREMITIES, HER

DERMATOMES. THEY CHECKED TO SEE HOW SHE WAS DOING, JUST

A SYSTEMS CHECK. THEY FOUND HER TO BE IN VERY GOOD

HEALTH.

AS A MATTER OF FACT, THE LAST TIME SHE SAW

DR. AQEEL, THE DOCTOR THAT WAS FOLLOWING HER SUGAR

LEVELS, DR. AQEEL BASICALLY TOLD HER, "THINGS LOOK GOOD.

I'LL SEE YOU IN A COUPLE OF MONTHS." THAT WAS WITHIN A

SHORT TIME OF THIS CRASH.

NOW, THAT MORNING, AUGUST 28, 2009, WAS LIKE ANY OTHER MORNING FOR NORIKO UNO. SHE HAD GOTTEN UP IN THE MORNING, MADE BREAKFAST FOR PETER. THEY SAT DOWN. THEY ATE TOGETHER.

HE WENT OFF TO THE FAMILY BUSINESS, A SUSHI
RESTAURANT RIGHT DOWN THE STREET ON FOOTHILL. SHE
WAITED UNTIL JEFFREY WOKE UP. HE WAS A LITTLE SICK WITH

A COLD AND MADE A STEAK LUNCH FOR HIM, AND THEY HAD

STEAK TOGETHER BEFORE HE LEFT TO WORK.

1.3

2.2

2.7

WHEN SHE LEFT RIGHT AROUND 3:00, HE SAID, "GOODBYE" TO HER. EVERYTHING WAS NORMAL.

SHE NEEDED TO GO DOWN TO RUN SOME ERRANDS. SHE HAD THE CASH AND THE RECEIPTS FROM THE RESTAURANT FROM THE PRIOR WEEK, AND SO SHE WAS GOING TO TAKE THAT DOWN TO THE BANK. AND THE BANK WAS A BANK OF AMERICA, AS YOU DRIVE DOWN EUCLID AND GET TO FOOTHILL, WHICH ISN'T TOO FAR DOWN THE ROAD. IT WOULD BE RIGHT ON THE CORNER OF EUCLID AND FOOTHILL. SO SHE WAS JUST GOING TO GO DOWN AND PULL INTO THAT DRIVEWAY, AND THEN THEIR SUSHI RESTAURANT IS JUST A COUPLE OF BLOCKS WEST OF THAT.

IN ORDER FOR HER TO GET TO THIS LOCATION AT 23RD AND EUCLID, SHE HAD TO MAKE SOME VERY SIGNIFICANT MANEUVERS. BY THAT I MEAN THEY LIVE UP IN THE HILLS ON A STREET THAT IS ALSO NAMED "EUCLID." IT'S EAST CRESCENT EUCLID AVENUE, AND IT GOES ALL THE WAY UP OVER A MILE AWAY UP IN THE HILLS.

SHE HAD TO MAKE -- AND I'M GOING TO HAVE

THIS PLAYED FOR YOU -- SHE HAD TO MAKE SEVERAL TURNS TO

GET TO THIS POINT. HER CAR WAS PARKED IN THE GARAGE,

AND WE'LL PICK UP FROM THE GARAGE AND WE'LL PLAY THIS

FOR YOU. THIS IS THE GARAGE THAT SHE PULLED OUT FROM AS

SHE BACKS UP (INDICATING).

SHE HAS TO MAKE BASICALLY A THREE-POINT TURN. AND AFTER SHE'S REVERSED, SHE'S GOT TO GO

```
FORWARD. AND HERE JUST BY WAY OF TURNS, IF YOU COUNT
 1
    THEM, THERE WILL BE 11 SEPARATE TURNS THAT SHE HAD TO
 3
    NEGOTIATE, FOUR STOP SIGNS THAT SHE HAD TO NEGOTIATE.
    AND YOU WILL SEE THIS VIEW THAT THERE'S CONSTANT
 4
 5
    STEERING BY HER AS SHE'S WINDING HER WAY DOWN, DOWN THE
 6
    HILL, DOWN TO EUCLID AND 24TH WHERE THERE'S A FIRE
 7
    STATION.
 8
                 AND AS WE APPROACH THAT, YOU WILL SEE THAT
 9
    IF SHE WASN'T PAYING 100 PERCENT ATTENTION, IT WOULD
10
    HAVE BEEN EASY TO GO STRAIGHT DOWN THE WRONG WAY AT
    24TH, BUT SHE DID NOT DO THAT. SHE MADE A RIGHT TURN
11
    AND THEN MADE ANOTHER LEFT. AND I'LL DEMONSTRATE THAT
12
1.3
    FOR YOU IN ANOTHER PART OF THE MODEL IN A MOMENT. LET'S
14
    WATCH THIS THROUGH SO YOU CAN APPRECIATE THE MOVEMENTS
15
    THAT SHE MADE.
                 THIS MAY LOOK LIKE WHOEVER IS DRIVING THIS
16
17
    CAR IS SPEEDING, BUT TRUST ME THEY'RE NOT. HERE IS THE
    INTERSECTION OF 24TH AND EUCLID (INDICATING). HERE --
18
19
                 STOP IF YOU CAN, PLEASE.
20
                 THIS IS EUCLID SOUTHBOUND LANES -- EXCUSE
21
    ME -- NORTHBOUND LANES (INDICATING). THIS IS EUCLID
2.2
    SOUTHBOUND LANES (INDICATING).
23
                 HAD SHE JUST CONTINUED STRAIGHT DOWN HERE
24
    AT 24TH, SHE WOULD BE GOING DOWN THE WRONG WAY. INSTEAD
25
    SHE STOPPED, MADE A RIGHT TURN, STOPPED, MADE A LEFT
26
    TURN.
```

27 CONTINUE, PLEASE. STOP THERE, PLEASE 28 (INDICATING).

SO THE FIRE STATION I'M SPEAKING OF WOULD 1 BE JUST TO THE RIGHT FROM US IN THIS VANTAGE POINT, AND 3 SO HERE'S THE GAS STATION AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER. FIRE STATION WOULD HAVE BEEN RIGHT ACROSS FROM THE 4 5 CENTER MEDIAN THAT WE SPOKE ABOUT EARLIER. SO IT'S 6 BASICALLY UP HERE (INDICATING). 7 AND WHILE I'M AT THIS, LET ME JUST 8 DEMONSTRATE THAT FOR YOU FOLKS REAL QUICKLY. RIGHT 9 WHERE THAT VEHICLE IS STOPPED IN THIS VIEW IS WHAT WE'RE 10 SEEING IN THIS LITTLE PIECE. THIS IS THE INTERSECTION, AND RIGHT WHERE THIS CAR IS, IS WHERE WE'RE SEEING THAT 11 12 VIEW (INDICATING). 13 IF YOU CAN GO BACK JUST A LITTLE BIT, 14 PLEASE. 15 RIGHT THERE IS WHERE WE ARE HERE, AND 16 THERE'S A STOP SIGN THAT WE SEE (INDICATING). AND RIGHT 17 HERE IS THE FIRE STATION. SO AS SHE'S COMING DOWN, SHE COMES TO THIS STOP POSITION (INDICATING). 18 19 PLEASE CONTINUE. 20 AND RIGHT HERE IS THIS STOP POSITION. 21 THANK YOU. 2.2 NOW, SHE'S MAKING THIS TURN AND GOING DOWN 23 THE SOUTHBOUND LANES OF EUCLID AVENUE. SO THIS IS THE 24 INTERSECTION NORTH OF THIS CORNER AT 23RD AND EUCLID 25 (INDICATING). THIS IS 24TH AND EUCLID (INDICATING). 26 CONTINUE, PLEASE. 2.7 HERE YOU CAN SEE THE TREE-LINED CENTER MEDIAN (INDICATING). YOU SEE THE SPEED LIMIT ON THE 28

GROUND INDICATING 45 MILES PER HOUR.

STOP.

1.3

2.2

2.7

HERE IS THE STOP SIGN, LIMIT LINE, AND THE STOP SIGN FOR OLGA BELLO (INDICATING). THIS IS WHERE THE CRASH OCCURS AND THE TOYOTA SPINS AROUND (INDICATING). JUST AS SHE'S STRUCK AND THE VEHICLE SPINS AROUND, THE VEHICLE THEN ACCELERATES THROUGH THIS TURN (INDICATING) AND DRIVES FOR HALF A MILE, AVOIDING OVER A HALF A DOZEN VEHICLES COMING THE OPPOSITE DIRECTION. AND WITNESSES ALONG THIS PATHWAY AND IN THE CENTER MEDIAN WILL COME IN AND TESTIFY THAT THEY HEARD AN ENGINE AS THOUGH IT WAS AT FULL THROTTLE TO THEM, WHAT THEY COULD HEAR.

AS SHE WAS HEADING SOUTHBOUND IN THESE

NORTHBOUND LANES, EVERY WITNESS THAT COULD SEE INSIDE

THE VEHICLE WILL BE TESTIFYING THAT SHE WAS IN CONTROL,

THAT HER HANDS WERE ON THE STEERING WHEEL. SHE WAS

HORRIFIED FOR THAT ENTIRE DISTANCE. AS SHE CAME AROUND

THIS INTERSECTION AFTER BEING SPUN AROUND -- 23RD STREET

IS A RESIDENTIAL STREET.

HAD SHE CHOSEN THAT, IT WOULD HAVE
ENDANGERED KIDS AND PEDESTRIANS IN THE AREA. SHE CHOSE
THE WIDEST ROAD AS SHE WENT DOWN EUCLID IN THE WRONG
LANE IN THE WRONG DIRECTION BECAUSE THE ACCELERATOR WAS
STUCK. HER VEHICLE WAS A RUNAWAY.

SHE TRIED TO STOP THE CAR ON NUMEROUS OCCASIONS. HAD THIS VEHICLE BEEN EQUIPPED WITH B.O.S.S., IT WOULD HAVE COME TO A STOP. SHE TRIED

EVERYTHING SHE COULD, FROM EMERGENCY BRAKES TO STEPPING
ON THE BRAKE. BUT IN THE END, AS MR. EPPERSON WILL
TESTIFY THAT SHE SAW HIM COMING OUT INTO THE STREET AND
SHE STEERED TO HER RIGHT TO AVOID HIM AND STRUCK THAT
FIRST POLE, CAME BACK ON THE ROAD, STRUCK THE SECOND

2.2

2.7

POLE.

DAMAGE WAS DONE TO HER UNDERCARRIAGE AND TO HER RIGHT SIDE AXLE. AND SHE LEFT TIRE MARKS FROM THE EMERGENCY BRAKE AS THE VEHICLE WENT AIRBORNE AFTER GOING OVER THIS CENTER MEDIAN CURB, STRIKING THAT FIRST TREE, BREAKING RIGHT THROUGH IT, AND THEN HITTING THE TREE THAT YOU SAW THE PICTURE OF.

HAD SHE GONE STRAIGHT -- AND EYEWITNESSS
WILL TESTIFY, WE HAD A CAR WITH A MOM IN IT MS. BRANDT,
CAMILLE BRANDT. SHE HAD SIX KIDS IN THE CAR. SHE SAW
THE TOYOTA COMING AT HER. SHE WAS HORRIFIED, AND SHE
WAS VERY ELATED, OF COURSE, WHEN SHE SAW THE TOYOTA
STEER AWAY FROM HER RATHER THAN CRASH INTO HER. BOTH
LANES WERE OCCUPIED BY VEHICLES COMING AT HER WHEN SHE
WENT INTO THE CENTER MEDIAN.

MRS. UNO ALSO HAD NON-ALCOHOL-RELATED LIVER CIRRHOSIS, FOR WHICH SHE HAD TAKEN MEDICATION. AND IT WAS UNDER CONTROL. SHE HAD NO SYMPTOMS. A COUPLE OF YEARS EARLIER -- A YEAR AND A HALF OR SO EARLIER, SHE HAD VARIOUS ISSUES, THREW UP SOME BLOOD, WENT TO THE DOCTOR. THEY CHECKED IT OUT, AND THEY DID A PROCEDURE ON HER AND SHE HAD NO PROBLEMS.

AND HER DOCTOR WHO WILL COME IN HERE AND

TESTIFY -- WILL BE TESTIFYING THAT HER LIVER FUNCTIONS
WERE NORMAL AND THAT SHE WAS IN GOOD SHAPE AS FAR AS
FUNCTIONALITY IS CONCERNED, THAT BOTH OF HER EXTREMITIES
WERE TESTED. IT'S SOMETHING SORT OF UNUSUAL TO TALK
ABOUT, BUT ONE OF THE THINGS THAT THE EXPERTS WILL TALK
ABOUT IS THE FACT THAT HER EXTREMITIES HAVE FULL
SENSATION AND FULL CIRCULATION. THOSE PICTURES THAT WE
SHOWED YOU OF HER FEET ACTUALLY SHOWS THAT THERE'S HAIR
ON HER TOES, WHICH MEANS THAT THERE'S BLOOD CIRCULATION
AND THERE'S GOOD SENSATION IN THE AREA AND THAT DIABETES
OR ANYTHING ELSE WAS NOT AFFECTING HER SENSATION AND HER
RANGE OF MOTION IN HER FEET.

2.2

2.7

I SPOKE A LITTLE BIT EARLIER ABOUT TIRE
TRACKS, AND THESE ARE THE TRACKS LEFT BY THE TOYOTA FROM
THE REAR EMERGENCY BRAKE BEING PULLED. HERE IS TOYOTA'S
DOCUMENT WHICH SAYS "YOU SHOULD ALSO INSTALL A NEWLY
DESIGNED OVERRIDE SYSTEM ON NON-HYBRID CAMRY VEHICLES TO
PROVIDE AN EXTRA MEASURE OF CONFIDENCE. THIS SYSTEM
WILL CUT ENGINE POWER IN CASE OF SIMULTANEOUS
APPLICATION OF BOTH ACCELERATOR AND BRAKE PEDALS AT
CERTAIN SPEEDS AND DRIVING CONDITIONS."

SO YOU REMEMBER WHEN I SHOWED THAT TO YOU, IF THE ACCELERATOR PEDAL IS DEPRESSED AND DOWN AND THE BRAKE PEDAL IS ALSO THEN SIMULTANEOUSLY DEPRESSED, THE BRAKE ACTS AS THE BOSS AND CUTS OFF THE ACCELERATION OF THE VEHICLE. AND SO TOYOTA DID PUT THIS IN THEIR VEHICLES FROM 2007 THROUGH 2010 AND BEYOND, STARTING IN 2010. '11 IT BECAME STANDARD. AND IN 2007 CAMRY IS

JUST ABOUT IDENTICAL TO THE 2006 CAMRY: SAME ENGINE,
SAME BRAKE SYSTEMS, SUBSTANTIALLY SIMILAR HORSEPOWER,
SUBSTANTIALLY SIMILAR STOPPING POWER, STOPPING DISTANCE.

2.2

2.7

WE ALSO DETERMINED FROM THE WITNESSES,
INCLUDING OLGA BELLO, THAT AFTER THE IMPACT -- AFTER THE
CRASH WHEN HER VEHICLE SPUN AROUND -- YOU KNOW, YOU HAVE
THE CRASH. AND THEN ALL OF A SUDDEN OUT OF NOWHERE, THE
VEHICLE SPUN AROUND. THE VEHICLE CONTINUES TO
ACCELERATE AND GOES AROUND A CORNER.

OLGA BELLO TELLS THE POLICE, "I DO NOT KNOW WHAT HIT ME AND WHERE IT WENT. I DIDN'T SEE IT BEFORE AND I DIDN'T SEE IT AFTER." EXPERTS WILL TESTIFY THAT'S IMPORTANT BECAUSE ONE WOULD EXPECT THAT WHEN AN ACCIDENT OCCURS, THE FIRST THING YOU DO IS YOU LOOK TO SEE WHO HIT YOU. AND SHE LOOKED AND DIDN'T SEE THE TOYOTA.

BECAUSE THIS WAS A VERY FAST EVENT, A VERY QUICK EVENT.

ONCE THE VEHICLE SPUN AROUND AND KEPT ACCELERATING, WENT AROUND THAT CORNER REAL FAST, THEN SHE WAS GONE. AND OLGA BELLO DIDN'T EVEN SEE THE TOYOTA DRIVEN BY MRS. UNO.

WE ANSWER DEFENSE ALLEGATIONS, WHETHER IT
BE BELLO OR TOYOTA, THAT THE VEHICLE ACTUALLY CAME TO A
THREE-, FOUR-, FIVE-SECOND STOP AND THEN ACCELERATED OUT
OF THERE. AND SHE COULD HAVE JUST WALKED OUT OF HER CAR
BECAUSE THE VEHICLE WAS STOPPED, BUT THE EVIDENCE WILL
SHOW YOU THAT IT WOULD NOT COME TO ANY STOP.

IT DID CHANGE DIRECTIONS BECAUSE IT SPUN
AROUND, BUT IT DIDN'T SPIN AROUND AT 180 DEGREES. SO IF

IT'S POINTING IN THIS DIRECTION, IT CAME AROUND AND POINTED IN THAT DIRECTION WHERE IT'S ABOUT A 160-DEGREE CHANGE RATHER THAN A 180. AND IT CONTINUED TO MOVE.

2.2

2.7

WE LOOKED TO SEE WHAT IT IS THAT COULD HAVE CAUSED THE EMERGENCY BRAKE TO BE PULLED OTHER THAN MRS. UNO ACTUALLY PULLING ON THE EMERGENCY BRAKE. WE CONSIDERED ALLEGATIONS BY TOYOTA OR BELLO THAT THE EMERGENCY BRAKE PULLED ITSELF AT THE TIME OF THE ACCIDENT, THAT WHEN IT HIT THE TREE, IT JUST WENT UP ON ITS OWN OR SOMETHING RUBBED AGAINST IT OR CAUSED IT TO PULL UP. WE SAW ALL THE EVIDENCE AND SAW THAT THAT COULD NOT HAPPEN, ALTHOUGH IT MAY BE SUGGESTED.

THE BRAKE LIGHTS THAT PEOPLE SAW, WE LOOKED TO SEE IF THOSE BRAKE LIGHTS COULD GO ON FOR ANY OTHER REASON THAN SOMEBODY ACTUALLY APPLYING THE BRAKES, EVEN THOUGH THERE WILL BE SUGGESTIONS BY THE BELLOS OR TOYOTA THAT SOMETIMES BRAKE SIGNALS AND BRAKE SWITCHES

MALFUNCTION AND THEY FLICKER ON AND OFF, ESPECIALLY WHEN THEY HIT POLES. AND MAYBE WHAT SHE SAW WAS A PHENOMENON WHERE THE SWITCH GOES BAD RIGHT AT THAT MOMENT, AND THAT'S WHY THOSE BRAKE LIGHTS WERE GOING ON AND OFF, ON AND OFF, AND SO ON. SO WE CONSIDERED THOSE AND FOUND NO EVIDENCE OF THAT.

TOYOTA EVEN DID A CRASH TEST WHERE THEY

TOOK A SIMILAR TOYOTA CAMRY AND RAN IT INTO AN OBJECT TO

SEE DURING THAT CRASH WHAT KIND OF DAMAGE THE TOYOTA

CAMRY WOULD SUSTAIN; HOWEVER, RATHER THAN TESTING FOR

THE EMERGENCY BRAKES TO SEE IF IT WOULD GO UP IN A

CRASH, THEY DID NOT TEST FOR THAT.

1.3

2.2

2.7

NOW, I SPOKE TO YOU A BIT EARLIER ABOUT VACUUMING AND HOW THAT BECOMES AN ISSUE IN THIS CASE BECAUSE THE DEFENSE HAVE RAISED THAT. AND VACUUMING IS WHAT'S CREATED INSIDE AN ENGINE BECAUSE THE CYLINDERS THAT HAVE THE PISTONS INSIDE THEM ARE THESE CHAMBERS THAT, WHEN EACH PISTON GOES DOWN, IT SORT OF SUCKS AIR IN, CREATING A VACUUM.

AND THAT VACUUM IS USED IN POWER BRAKES
BECAUSE THE POWER BRAKE BOOSTER USES THAT VACUUM TO
MULTIPLY THE AMOUNT OF PRESSURE THAT ONE PUTS AGAINST
THE BRAKE PEDAL. SO THAT IF YOU'RE PUTTING IN, JUST AS
AN EXAMPLE, ONLY 20 POUNDS, THE POWER BOOSTER WILL
MULTIPLY THAT BY MANY TIMES, DEPENDING ON THE RATE.

HOWEVER, STUDIES HAVE SHOWN THAT WHEN ONE IS ACCELERATING AND THEN ATTEMPTS TO PUT THE BRAKE ON, THE VEHICLE WILL NOT BEHAVE THE SAME AS PUTTING THE BRAKE ON WITHOUT ACCELERATION. AND SO STUDIES HAVE SHOWN THAT PEOPLE WILL LET GO OF THE BRAKE AND PRESS IT AGAIN NOT KNOWING THAT LETTING GO OF THE BRAKE AND PRESSING IT AGAIN ONCE OR TWICE WILL CAUSE THE VACUUM TO BE DEPLETED; THEREFORE, YOU HAVE NO MORE POWER BOOSTER IN THE BRAKE SYSTEM ASSISTING WITH THE BRAKING.

NOW, I'M GOING TO SEE IF I CAN DEMONSTRATE THAT FOR YOU IN THIS QUICK LITTLE DEMONSTRATION.

STOP. THANK YOU.

SO YOU WILL SEE THE BLUE AND THEN THE RED,
THE RED BEING WHERE THERE'S COMBUSTION. THIS ITEM HERE

IS A PISTON THAT IS A ROD THAT'S CONNECTED TO A CRANK SHAFT (INDICATING). THESE ITEMS HERE ARE VALVES THAT ARE CONNECTED TO CAMS THAT PUSH THEM UP AND DOWN (INDICATING). THESE VALVES ARE WHAT ALLOWS AIR AND FUEL TO COME INTO THE COMBUSTION CHAMBER (INDICATING).

CONTINUE, PLEASE.

AND EVERY TIME THIS CYLINDER GOES DOWN, IT CREATES VACUUM. SO THIS IS BASICALLY HOW AN INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINE WORKS: CAMS, CRANKSHAFT IN THE BOTTOM, PISTON.

NEXT.

1.3

2.2

2.7

NOW, TOYOTA, THROUGH ONE OF ITS FOUNDERS,
SAID THAT "TOYOTA MUST BE AT THE VANGUARD OF TIMES
THROUGH ENDLESS CREATIVITY, INQUISITIVENESS, AND PURSUIT
OF IMPROVEMENT." THEY FURTHER SAID THAT "NEVER ASK
WORTH OF PRODUCTS TO CUSTOMER WITHOUT ADEQUATE TESTING."
THIS IS IMPORTANT BECAUSE THIS PHENOMENON OF ACCELERATOR
DOWN AND THEN BRAKE WAS NEVER TESTED BY TOYOTA. IT WAS
NEVER TESTED, EVEN THOUGH IT'S FOUNDER MANY, MANY YEARS
EARLIER HAD SAID THIS IS THE VISION OF TOYOTA.

"IF A BRAKE SYSTEM IS DESIGNED PROPERLY,
EVEN THE VERY WEAKEST DRIVER SHOULD BE CAPABLE OF
GENERATING ENOUGH LEG FORCE TO DECELERATE THE VEHICLE."
AND SO THIS IS THE DOCUMENT WE SAW EARLIER THAT SAYS
TOYOTA, IN ITS OWN BRAKE SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS, SURVEYED
EMERGENCY PEDAL FORCE ON THE BRAKE THE WEAK CAN ONLY
DEPRESS ABOUT 90 NEWTONS, WHICH IS ONLY 20 POUNDS.

NOW, WE LOOKED TO SEE WHAT OTHER FACTS MAY

HAVE INFLUENCED TOYOTA'S DECISION TO NOT PLACE BRAKE 1 OVERRIDE SYSTEMS IN VEHICLES IN AMERICA. VEHICLES 3 OVERSEAS THAT HAD THE BRAKE OVERRIDE SAFETY SYSTEM ALL HAD A ELECTRONIC CONTROL MODULE OR UNIT THAT WERE 4 5 MANUFACTURED BY BOSCH, THAT GERMAN COMPANY I SPOKE ABOUT, THE COMPANY THAT HAD THE PATENT. EVERY ONE OF 6 7 THE ONES THAT WERE SOLD IN AMERICA WERE ANOTHER COMPANY 8 NAMED "DENSO," A JAPANESE COMPANY THAT TOYOTA OWNS A 9 SUBSTANTIAL PORTION OF. SO THEY CHOSE TO USE DENSO E.C.U.'S THAT DID NOT CONTAIN BRAKE OVERRIDE SAFETY 10 11 SYSTEMS IN IT FOR AMERICANS, BUT FOR OVERSEAS THEY USED 12 BOSCH THAT HAD THE B.O.S.S. IN THEM, BRAKE OVERRIDE

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

SAFETY SYSTEM.

YOU WILL HEAR FROM AN EXPERT BY THE NAME OF NEIL HANNEMANN. NEIL HANNEMANN SPENT HIS WHOLE LIFE AS AN ENGINEER IN THE AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRY. HE SPENT OVER 18 YEARS AT CHRYSLER. HE'S A GUY THAT WILL COME IN TO TESTIFY ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS.

HE HAS DESIGNED SOME OF THE MOST FAMOUS

SUPER CARS IN THE WORLD, STARTING WITH THE FORD GT. HE

WAS THE CHIEF ENGINEER FOR FORD MOTOR COMPANY WHEN THEY

DESIGNED THEIR PREMIERE SUPER CAR, THE FORD GT. HE WAS

ALSO AN ENGINEER ON THE PROJECT FOR THE CHRYSLER VIPERS,

THE INITIAL FIRST GENERATION VIPERS. THOSE ARE

CHRYSLERS OR DODGE'S SUPER CAR.

HE WAS ALSO THE ENGINEER FOR THE SALEEN,

THE FORD MUSTANG SALEEN SPECIAL MODEL RACE CAR. HE WAS

ALSO WITH MERCEDES WHEN THEY DESIGNED THE MCLAREN SUPER

CAR. HE WAS ALSO AN ENGINEER THERE.

1.3

2.2

2.7

GIVES IT TO YOU.

HE WILL BE COMING IN. HE DID A LOT OF THE TESTING FOR US IN THIS CASE. HE'S NOT SOMEONE THAT HAS A LONG HISTORY OF TESTIFYING IN TRIALS. AS A MATTER OF FACT, A VERY SHORT HISTORY OF BEING A FORENSIC EXPERT.

THE DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE WILL ALSO HAVE EXPERTS, MANY OF WHOM YOU WILL HEAR. AND MANY IN THIS CASE AND THEIR EXPERIENCE AND THEIR BACKGROUND AS EXPERTS IN TRIALS, AND YOU WILL TAKE THAT INTO CONSIDERATION.

IN ORDER FOR US TO MOVE FORWARD IN THIS

CASE AGAINST TOYOTA FOR A PRODUCTS CASE THAT WE HAVE

AGAINST THEM, WE NEED TO SHOW THAT THERE WAS A PROBLEM

WITH THE CAMRY THAT MS. UNO WAS DRIVING AND THAT PROBLEM

CAUSED HER HARM. ONCE WE'VE DONE THAT, THEN TOYOTA HAS

TO SHOW YOU WHY IT WAS OKAY FOR THAT PROBLEM TO EXIST,

IN THIS CASE, WHY IT WAS OKAY NOT TO PUT A BRAKE

OVERRIDE SAFETY SYSTEM IN HER CAR. THEY HAVE TO SHOW

YOU THAT IT WAS MAYBE TECHNOLOGICALLY --

THAT MISSTATES THE LAW IN A WAY THAT I THINK IS -
THE COURT: WELL, THE JURY IS REMINDED THAT YOU

WILL HEAR THE INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE COURT, AND YOU WILL

BE REQUIRED TO FOLLOW THE INSTRUCTIONS AS THE COURT

MR. GALVIN: YOUR HONOR, I'M GOING TO OBJECT.

MR. MARDIROSSIAN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. SO WHEN WE TALK ABOUT WHAT IT IS -- WHAT ONE SIDE HAS TO SHOW AND THE OTHER SIDE HAS TO SHOW, YOU WILL SEE FROM WHAT

YOUR HONOR EDMON WILL BE GIVING YOU ABOUT THE LAW IN

THIS CASE AT THE END OF THE TRIAL HOW WHAT IT IS

TOYOTA'S OBLIGATIONS ARE. AND WE WILL PROVE TO YOU THAT

THEY CANNOT MEET THOSE OBLIGATIONS.

1.3

2.2

2.7

BY THE WAY, THE ONE WITNESS THAT WAS
PULLING OUT OF THE DRIVEWAY, MR. EPPERSON, HE ONLY SAW
THE CAMRY OR THE BACK OF THE CAMRY FOR ABOUT 100,
150 FEET, JUST FOR A SHORT PERIOD. AND HE ONLY SAW IT
AFTER SHE HIT THE POLE. MS. PEEPLES HAD SEEN THE CAMRY
LONG BEFORE THE CAMRY HAD HIT THE POLE.

AND WHEN MR. EPPERSON LOOKED AT THE BACK OF THE CAMRY, HE DID NOT SEE BRAKE LIGHTS. BUT FOR THAT SHORT PERIOD, IT COULD HAVE BEEN IN THE OFF PHASE. AND IT WAS AFTER SHE HIT THE POLES. BUT HE'S ALSO THE GENTLEMAN THAT SAYS "SHE WAS CLEARLY IN CONTROL, AND SHE SAVED MY LIFE BY STEERING AWAY FROM ME."

NOW, BACK TO WHY WE'RE HERE. NORIKO UNO WAS 66 YEARS OLD AT THE TIME OF THIS CRASH. NORIKO UNO MARRIED PETER UNO BACK 35 YEARS BEFORE THAT DATE, BACK IN 1974. SHE MET HIM AT A CHRISTMAS PARTY JUST A COUPLE OF BLOCKS AWAY ON WILSHIRE AND VERMONT AT A BUSINESS. THEY MET AT CHRISTMAS 1973. THEY GOT MARRIED IN '74, AND THEN THE NEXT YEAR THEIR ONLY CHILD, JEFFREY, WAS BORN.

MR. PETER UNO WAS A COLLEGE GRADUATE AND AN ENGINEER. BUT IN AMERICA HE WANTED TO LIVE THE AMERICAN DREAM, OWN HIS OWN BUSINESS. AND SO HE OPENED UP A JAPANESE RESTAURANT IN A LOCATION WHERE THERE WERE NO

JAPANESE RESTAURANTS IN THE INLAND VALLEY, IN THE INLAND EMPIRE. THEY OPENED UP A RESTAURANT NAMED "KISHI," AND THEY RAN THAT RESTAURANT STARTING IN 1982 TO THE PRESENT DAY. THAT RESTAURANT WAS THEIR LIFE. IT'S A JAPANESE RESTAURANT SUSHI BAR.

2.2

2.7

WHEN THEY BOUGHT THAT LOCATION, THEY

STARTED THAT RESTAURANT, JEFFREY WAS ABOUT SEVEN YEARS

OLD. HE WOULD NOT GO HOME. HE WOULD COME TO THE

RESTAURANT AND DO HIS HOMEWORK IN THE BACK. AND WHEN HE

WAS DONE WITH HIS HOMEWORK, HE WOULD SEAT CUSTOMERS.

PETER WAS A SUSHI CHEF. NORIKO DID ALL THE BOOKS AND HELPED WITH ALL THE SHOPPING FOR THE BUSINESS, INCLUDING ALL THE VEGETABLES, ALL THE MEATS, ALL THE FISH. SHE WOULD DO ALL THAT WORK FOR THE BUSINESS, FOR THE RESTAURANT. AND SHE DID THAT FOR MANY YEARS.

BUT SHE ALSO TOOK CARE OF THE HOME. SHE
DID EVERYTHING AROUND THE HOUSE. AND SHE HAD HER LOVE
OF GARDENS AND PLANTS. SHE HAD HER OWN GARDEN WITH MANY
TREES, FROM FIG TO APPLE TO PLUM. SHE HAD ALL THESE
TREES THAT SHE WOULD TEND TO. SHE HAD HER OWN GARDEN IN
THE BACKYARD.

THEY HAD A SWIMMING POOL. SHE USED TO SWIM REGULARLY. AND SHE, OF COURSE, HELPED RAISE JEFFREY, AND SHE GAVE HIM GUIDANCE AND INSTILLED IN HIM THE NEED TO GO TO SCHOOL.

EVEN THOUGH HE WAS AT THE BUSINESS EVERY

DAY HELPING RUN THE FAMILY BUSINESS, HE CONTINUED ON TO

COLLEGE GOING TO A U.C. COLLEGE AND THEN WENT TO LAW

SCHOOL JUST A COUPLE BLOCKS FROM HERE AT SOUTHWESTERN 1 2 WHERE HE GRADUATED, PASSED THE BAR. BUT BECAUSE THIS 3 FAMILY UNIT WAS SO STRONG, HE STAYED IN THE BUSINESS OF 4 THE RESTAURANT. AND EVERY ONCE IN A WHILE, HE WOULD 5 HELP SOMEBODY OUT WITH THE LAW. BUT THE RESTAURANT WAS HIS NUMBER ONE PRIORITY, TO BE WITH MOM, TO BE WITH DAD,

AND TO RUN THE RESTAURANT.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

THEY HAD PLANS OF JEFFREY GOING ON AND DOING SOMETHING ELSE, WHICH IS WHAT MOM WANTED HIM TO DO. GO TO LAW SCHOOL, BECOME A LAWYER, PRACTICE LAW, BE DONE WITH THE SUSHI RESTAURANT. THOSE PLANS HAD TO CHANGE BECAUSE NOW PETER IS ALONE.

PETER HAS TO HAVE KIDNEY DIALYSIS THREE TIMES A WEEK WHERE HE HAS TO BE HOOKED UP TO A DIALYSIS MACHINE ABOUT -- WITH A CABLE ABOUT 10 FEET LONG FOR FOUR HOURS OR MORE THREE TIMES A WEEK TO CLEAN HIS BLOOD. HE'S BEEN DOING THAT FOR MANY YEARS AND WAS DOING IT EVEN BEFORE NORIKO'S PASSING. NORIKO USED TO SIT NEXT TO HIM AND KEEP HIM COMPANY AND TALK TO HIM AND TELL JOKES.

BY THE WAY, THAT'S WHAT PETER DOES A LOT. AT THE RESTAURANT WHEN HE GREETS PEOPLE, SITS THEM DOWN AT THE TABLE, AND HE TELLS JOKES. HE STILL TRIES. NOW GOES TO THOSE KIDNEY DIALYSIS VISITS THREE TIMES A WEEK ALONE. THERE'S A MEMORIAL AT THE TREE WHERE NORIKO PASSED AWAY. HE'S THERE EVERY DAY WITH NOTES, WITH FLOWERS.

SHE LOVED GAMBLING, AND THEY GOT MARRIED IN

1 LAS VEGAS. AND SHE LOVED GOING TO VEGAS. FIRST

2 | OPPORTUNITY TO GET ANY VACATION, FIRST THING THEY WANT

- 3 TO TALK ABOUT, GOING ANYWHERE. YEAH, THEY TRAVELED A
- 4 LOT, BUT IT WAS ALWAYS "LET'S GO TO ONE OF THE CASINOS.
- 5 | LET'S GO TO VEGAS." AND YOU WILL HEAR ABOUT HER LOVE OF
- 6 LIFE. HER FAMILY WAS ALWAYS NUMBER 2, WITH JEFFREY AND
- 7 | WITH PETER.

8 I THINK THE DEFENDANTS AGREE THAT THIS WAS

9 A VERY, VERY TRAGIC LOSS. BUT YOU CAN'T LET YOUR

10 SYMPATHY PLAY A ROLE IN THIS CASE. THAT'S OUTSIDE THE

11 BOX IN TERMS OF THIS CASE.

12 YOU CAN CONSIDER THE LAW. YOU CAN CONSIDER

13 THE EVIDENCE. AND ALONG WITH THE JUDGE'S GUIDANCE IN

14 | TERMS OF THE LAW, WE'LL GIVE YOU THE TOOLS WITH WHICH TO

15 | TRY TO FIX THIS HARM, TRY TO COMPENSATE THIS LOSS TO

16 BALANCE THE BOOKS SORT OF BECAUSE THEY HAVE LOST SO MUCH

17 IN LOSING A MOTHER AND A WIFE.

18 AS YOU WOULD EXPECT, SHE WAS THERE EVERY

19 TIME JEFFREY HAD A COLD. SHE WAS THERE EVERY TIME

20 | JEFFREY HAD A PROBLEM WITH ANYTHING TO HELP HIM. AND HE

21 | LIVED AT HOME AS MUCH AS HE COULD EXCEPT WHEN HE WAS IN

22 | LAW SCHOOL, EXCEPT WHEN HE WAS IN COLLEGE, ON VACATION,

23 AND THEN HE WOULD COME BACK. HE NOW LIVES AGAIN BACK

24 WITH HIS DAD IN THEIR FAMILY HOME. YOU WILL HEAR FROM

25 WITNESSES THAT KNEW NORIKO FROM THE RESTAURANT AND FROM

26 OUTSIDE THE RESTAURANT ABOUT WHAT SHE MEANT TO BOTH

27 | JEFFREY AND TO PETER AND ABOUT WHAT A CATASTROPHIC LOSS

28 THIS IS TO THE FAMILY.

IN OUR SYSTEM OF JUSTICE, THE ONLY WAY YOU
COULD FIX THAT HARM IS THROUGH A MONETARY AWARD, A
MONETARY COMPENSATION. AND WITH THE RULES THAT YOU WILL
BE GIVEN AND WITH GUIDANCE FROM THE COURT, WE'LL SHOW
WHAT YOU THE REASONABLE SUM WILL BE, WITH THIS TYPE OF
TRAGIC LOSS, WITH THIS TYPE OF CATASTROPHIC LOSS.

2.2

2.7

YOU TO COMPENSATE THIS FAMILY TO TRY TO FIX THE HARM THE BEST YOU CAN. AND I KNOW THE NUMBER WILL SEEM LARGE TO YOU NOW BECAUSE YOU DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HER YET.

I'VE GIVEN YOU INSIGHT, BUT THAT'S JUST THE TIP OF THE ICEBERG. AND AS YOU HEAR WITNESSES TALK ABOUT HER, AS YOU HEAR FRIENDS AND FAMILY, CUSTOMERS TALK ABOUT WHO SHE WAS, WHAT SHE WAS, IT WILL MAKE SENSE. BUT AT THE CLOSE OF THIS TRIAL, I WILL BE ASKING YOU TO COMPENSATE THE FAMILY WITH \$20 MILLION FOR THIS TRAGEDY. THIS TRAGEDY SHOULD NOT HAVE OCCURRED, BUT IT DID AND HERE WE ARE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN.

THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. GALVIN.

MR. GALVIN: YOUR HONOR, I'D -- I NEED ROOM; SO I NEED ALL OF THIS STUFF TAKEN OUT.

MR. MARDIROSSIAN: WE'VE AGREED THAT WE WILL MOVE EVERYTHING OUT. WE PROBABLY NEED A FEW MINUTES. MAYBE A QUICK BREAK.

THE COURT: WE CAN TAKE A QUICK BREAK.

MR. GALVIN: I HAVE MY STUFF TO SET UP. MAYBE IT
WOULD BE -- IF WE TOOK AN EARLY LUNCH AND CAME BACK
EARLY, MAYBE THAT'S AN OPTION. BUT IT'S YOUR COURTROOM.

1	THE COURT: OKAY. WE'LL TAKE OUR LUNCH BREAK NOW,			
2	AND WE'LL BREAK UNTIL 1:00 O'CLOCK. AND WE'LL ASK YOU			
3	TO COME BACK AT 1:00 O'CLOCK, AND WE'LL RESUME AGAIN.			
4	ALL RIGHT?			
5	YOU ARE REMINDED NOT TO DISCUSS THIS MATTER			
6	AMONG YOURSELVES OR WITH ANY OTHER PERSON, NOT TO FORM			
7	OR EXPRESS AN OPINION ON THE MATTER UNTIL IT'S SUBMITTED			
8	TO YOU. THANK YOU.			
9				
10	(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS			
11	WERE HELD IN OPEN COURT			
12	OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)			
13				
14	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ANYTHING FOR THE			
15	RECORD BEFORE WE BREAK?			
16	MR. GALVIN: NO, YOUR HONOR.			
17	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU SO MUCH.			
18				
19	(A LUNCH RECESS WAS TAKEN.)			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
3	DEPARTMENT 322 HON. LEE SMALLEY EDMON, JUDGE		
4			
5	COORDINATION PROCEEDINGS)		
6	SPECIAL TITLE (RULE 1550 (B))		
7	TOYOTA MOTOR CASES) JCCP4621		
8))		
9			
10			
11			
12	REPORTER'S DAILY TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS		
13	THURSDAY, AUGUST 8, 2013		
14	A.M. SESSION		
15	APPEARANCES:		
16			
17	FOR PLAINTIFF: MARDIROSSIAN & ASSOCIATES, INC. BY: GARO MARDIROSSIAN, ESQ.		
18	ARMEN AKARAGIAN, ÉSQ. 6311 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD		
19	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90048		
20	FOR DEFENDANT: BOWMAN AND BROOKE LLP		
21	BY: VINCENT GALVIN, JR., ESQ. CURTIS E. JIMERSON, ESQ.		
22	1741 TECHNOLOGY DRIVE, SUITE 200 SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95110		
23			
24	BY: MARK V. BERRY, ESQ., ESQ. 879 WEST 190TH STREET		
25	SUITE 700 GARDENA, CALIFORNIA 90248		
26			
27	CLAUDIA VECCHI-CORTEZ, CSR NO. 11630		
28	OFFICIAL PRO TEM COURT REPORTER		

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (CONTINUED):			
2	FOR PLAINTIFF			
3	OLGA BELLO:	LAW OFFICES OF GRAY & DUFFY, LLP BY: JOHN J. DUFFY, ESQ.		
4 5		RENÉ FAUCHER, ESQ. 15760 VENTURA BOULEVARD 16TH FLOOR		
6		ENCINO, CALIFORNIA 91436		
7				
8				
9				
10				
11 12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23 24				
25				
26				
27				
28				