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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE (Court reconvened at 1:50 p.m.)

THE COURT: Good afternoon. If we could bring out our jury, please.

If everyone could make sure your cell phone is turned off. If you're thrown out because your cell phone is on, you will not be permitted back in.

THE COURT CRIER: May I, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes, thank you.
THE COURT CRIER: Everyone please remain seated as the jury enters the courtroom. Jurors.
(The jury enters the courtroom at this time.)

THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.

THE JURY: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Before we get started with Mr. Richard Sprague's closing argument, I wanted to address two points with you.

First, prior to Mr. Snyder's closing, all counsel knew that Mr. Roth would not be in the courtroom and that he had a very valid reason for it. So you should not consider his

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13:51:29 | 2 | absence in any way against Mr. Roth. I believe |
| 13:51:29 | 3 | that some of you may have looked where Mr. Roth |
| 13:51:32 | 4 | has been sitting and there was an empty chair |
| 13:51:34 | 5 | there. So you're not to hold that against Mr. |
| 13:51:36 | 6 | Roth in any way. |
| 13:51:37 | 7 | Also, during Mr. Snyder's closing |
| 13:51:40 | 8 | arguments he addressed Mr. Wigrizer on more |
| 13:51:43 | 9 | than one occasion by name and gesture. On at |
| 13:51:46 | 10 | least one of those occasions Mr. Snyder |
| 13:51:49 | 11 | incorrectly attributed certain statements to |
| 13:51:52 | 12 | Mr. Wigrizer, specifically statements, or |
| 13:51:55 | 13 | arguments, relating to John Mondlak, Allen |
| 13:51:58 | 14 | Greenberger, or to the legal duty or acts of |
| 13:52:00 | 15 | L\&I. Mr. Wigrizer never made such statements, |
| 13:52:03 | 16 | and you will disregard those arguments made by |
| 13:52:06 | 17 | Mr. Snyder as referencing Mr. Wigrizer. |
| 13:52:12 | 18 | With that, Mr. Sprague, we will hear |
| 13:52:14 | 19 | your closing argument. |
| 13:52:18 | 20 | MR. R. SPRAGUE: First, can everybody |
| 13:52:20 | 21 | hear me? |
| 13:52:21 | 22 | THE JURY: Yes. |
| 13:52:21 | 23 | MR. R. SPRAGUE: May it please the |
| 13:52:23 | 24 | Court and all counsel, I'm a fellow that all of |
| 13:52:31 | 25 | you saw sitting back there for four-and-a-half |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13:52:35 | 2 | months. I'm the fellow that hasn't spoken to |
| 13:52:42 | 3 | you, and I'm the fellow that's going to talk to |
| 13:52:45 | 4 | you now. |
| 13:52:46 | 5 | I have an hour and 40 minutes. I |
| 13:52:49 | 6 | probably won't use all of it, but I want to |
| 13:52:53 | 7 | talk to you from my heart. And it's important |
| 13:53:00 | 8 | that each of you realize the appreciation to |
| 13:53:09 | 9 | this group. I've been in front of many juries. |
| 13:53:15 | 10 | This has been over four-and-a-half months. You |
| 13:53:21 | 11 | have sat. You have been interested. You paid |
| 13:53:27 | 12 | attention. When they've had those innumerable |
| 13:53:33 | 13 | sidebar conferences up there, usually jurors |
| 13:53:36 | 14 | go, My God, another conference. There's been |
| 13:53:41 | 15 | smiles on your faces. You chat while you're in |
| 13:53:45 | 16 | the jury box, and I think I echo for all |
| 13:53:48 | 17 | counsel here, in my experience, you're |
| 13:53:53 | 18 | remarkable. |
| 13:53:55 | 19 | Having said that, I also want to say |
| 13:54:01 | 20 | that you have been witnesses to great lawyers. |
| 13:54:10 | 21 | Every one of these lawyers, we may have been on |
| 13:54:13 | 22 | opposite sides, but when you think about this |
| 13:54:18 | 23 | case after your duties are over, you're going |
| 13:54:22 | 24 | to reflect you had a rare opportunity to see |
| 13:54:28 | 25 | top-notch lawyering. Every one of these |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13:54:32 | 2 | lawyers has been, in my opinion, stupendous. |
| 13:54:41 | 3 | Great. And you have literally sat as though |
| 13:54:46 | 4 | you're watching a Broadway play here presented |
| 13:54:49 | 5 | in front of you. And you got to understand, |
| 13:54:56 | 6 | all of us lawyers -- that includes me -- we're |
| 13:55:01 | 7 | actors. You hear loud, thunderous voices. You |
| 13:55:10 | 8 | hear witnesses yelling back, "You're a |
| 13:55:12 | 9 | blusterer." He's no blusterer. He knows how |
| 13:55:20 | 10 | to perform to be effective in front of a jury. |
| 13:55:24 | 11 | In fact -- he won't like it -- he's a pussy |
| 13:55:28 | 12 | cat, in fact. |
| 13:55:30 | 13 | And the other lawyers that you've heard |
| 13:55:32 | 14 | here have been outstanding. Both sides. And I |
| 13:55:38 | 15 | think that when this is all done, while we |
| 13:55:44 | 16 | appreciate you, I think you should appreciate |
| 13:55:49 | 17 | what you have been able to sit and observe of a |
| 13:55:56 | 18 | first-rate trial. |
| 13:55:58 | 19 | It's also important that you realize |
| 13:56:07 | 20 | and you know way back when you were selected, |
| 13:56:10 | 21 | over 42 percent of people who are called for |
| 13:56:15 | 22 | jury service in Philadelphia don't even bother |
| 13:56:19 | 23 | to appear. Just think of that. And how many |
| 13:56:27 | 24 | when they were called on that original panel, |
| 13:56:32 | 25 | as soon as they heard -- and you weren't told |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13:56:35 | 2 | initially four-and-a-half. You were told three |
| 13:56:39 | 3 | months, maybe. But how many hands went up on |
| 13:56:44 | 4 | that panel of people who said, "We can't |
| 13:56:48 | 5 | serve." And you, you're extraordinary. And |
| 13:56:55 | 6 | you came and you have served. |
| 13:57:00 | 7 | But with that service, and I want to |
| 13:57:02 | 8 | emphasize this so much, comes doing your duty, |
| 13:57:12 | 9 | and doing your duty under the oath that you |
| 13:57:17 | 10 | have sworn as jurors. In this case, Her Honor |
| 13:57:25 | 11 | has sworn as a judge to render justice and Her |
| 13:57:32 | 12 | Honor has done her job in this trial. She has |
| 13:57:38 | 13 | lived up to her oath. Lawyers may not have |
| 13:57:41 | 14 | agreed on various rulings, but that's |
| 13:57:44 | 15 | unimportant. Her Honor has shown each and |
| 13:57:48 | 16 | every one of you that when you take an oath, |
| 13:57:53 | 17 | you live up to that oath. Every one of these |
| 13:57:56 | 18 | lawyers here has taken oaths as lawyers, and |
| 13:58:05 | 19 | every one of them has lived up to their oath |
| 13:58:08 | 20 | and has represented their client fully. |
| 13:58:11 | 21 | And each one of you -- and before I get |
| 13:58:16 | 22 | to you, I also want to give credit in this case |
| 13:58:20 | 23 | to Mr. Hardaway, who has lived up to his oath. |
| 13:58:26 | 24 | He's a wonderful court officer. Mr. Purnell, |
| 13:58:30 | 25 | and I lost the name of the other officer. But |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13:58:33 | 2 | I thank him, too. |
| 13:58:34 | 3 | THE COURT: Mr. Massitelli. |
| 13:58:36 | 4 | MR. R. SPRAGUE: That's it. Thank you, |
| 13:58:37 | 5 | Your Honor. |
| 13:58:38 | 6 | She's always there to help out. But |
| 13:58:43 | 7 | they also have oaths in terms of being in |
| 13:58:47 | 8 | charge of the jury and they have lived up to |
| 13:58:51 | 9 | it. |
| 13:58:54 | 10 | And now this case, this part of this |
| 13:58:56 | 11 | case is going to go into your hands. And it is |
| 13:59:01 | 12 | so important, God, so important, that you live |
| 13:59:07 | 13 | up to your oath. Your oath is to render |
| 13:59:11 | 14 | justice, to deliberate fairly, fully, not in |
| 13:59:26 | 15 | any way having race, sex, or anything of that |
| 13:59:30 | 16 | nature, enter into your deliberations. Each |
| 13:59:34 | 17 | one of you swore individually, when you were |
| 13:59:37 | 18 | selected, that you will decide the facts of |
| 13:59:41 | 19 | this case on the facts. And from my |
| 13:59:50 | 20 | observations, sitting back there for |
| 13:59:52 | 21 | four-and-a-half months, you're going to live up |
| 13:59:55 | 22 | to that. |
| 13:59:56 | 23 | It may be hard. It's been hard on |
| 14:00:02 | 24 | everybody. When you come to deliberate, you |
| 14:00:08 | 25 | don't give any regard to what you think may be |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:00:11 | 2 |  |
| 14:00:16 | 3 | regard to what you think the newspapers may |
| 14:00:19 | 4 | say. They weren't in here, and you know how |
| 14:00:23 | 5 | many times they get the facts wrong. You are |
| 14:00:27 | 6 | the judge of the facts and you are to decide |
| 14:00:31 | 7 | them honestly. And when you do, and you go |
| 14:00:39 | 8 | home, you breathe that air and you'll feel you |
| 14:00:47 | 9 | have accomplished what is so important. |
| 14:00:53 | 10 | You know, people here the other day, |
| 14:00:55 | 11 | they gave you quotes. I want to give you a |
| 14:00:58 | 12 | quote. It came from a President of the United |
| 14:01:10 | 13 | States as he was leaving office. His last |
| 14:01:13 | 14 | speech to all of us, which he said, "I believe |
| 14:01:20 | 15 | in this country. I believe in the American |
| 14:01:22 | 16 | people." What great words. And I want to add |
| 14:01:30 | 17 | to them. I believe in the jury system. It is |
| 14:01:36 | 18 | the jury system that is made up of the American |
| 14:01:42 | 19 | people, and you now represent the American |
| 14:01:47 | 20 | people and our system of justice. And when you |
| 14:01:55 | 21 | retire for your verdict, live up to where you |
| 14:01:59 | 22 | stand and represent for all of us so we may be |
| 14:02:07 | 23 | truly proud that that verdict is an honest |
| 14:02:12 | 24 | verdict. |

Now, we have heard an awful lot in this

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:02:24 | 2 | case. Four-and-a-half months of it. I would |
| 14:02:29 | 3 | suggest much of it was not necessarily that |
| 14:02:38 | 4 | much on point. Much of it could have been |
| 14:02:42 | 5 | shortened, but we're stuck with what we got. |
| 14:02:45 | 6 | But what is this case about? Let's think about |
| 14:02:49 | 7 | it. We know that on Wednesday, June 5, 2013, |
| 14:03:03 | 8 | there was a horrible, horrible catastrophe in |
| 14:03:08 | 9 | this city. Let nobody, don't think anybody on |
| 14:03:15 | 10 | the defense understates that horrible |
| 14:03:22 | 11 | catastrophe. People were killed, injured |
| 14:03:28 | 12 | seriously, who were totally innocent. There's |
| 14:03:34 | 13 | nothing that they did that was in any way their |
| 14:03:39 | 14 | fault. This was a terrible catastrophe of |
| 14:03:43 | 15 | totally innocent people, suffering. And one |
| 14:03:54 | 16 | would be inhuman not to feel the agony those |
| 14:04:03 | 17 | people have suffered, their families and the |
| 14:04:05 | 18 | survivors. And I think for a moment of |
| 14:04:15 | 19 | silence, as we all think of them. |
| 14:04:25 | 20 | But we have to understand with a |
| 14:04:29 | 21 | horrible catastrophe, what is it that we're in |
| 14:04:33 | 22 | court about? Not to decide, Hey, there's a |
| 14:04:41 | 23 | horrible catastrophe. What is the cause of the |
| 14:04:48 | 24 | catastrophe? That is one of the issues for |
| 14:04:53 | 25 | you, the jury. What is the cause of it? And, |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:04:59 | 2 | secondly, who is responsible? Those are the |
| 14:05:11 | 3 | two basic questions. They can talk all they |
| 14:05:14 | 4 | want about this part of a building, that part |
| 14:05:17 | 5 | of a building. I go to sleep and I dream about |
| 14:05:20 | 6 | poles falling down. They can talk all they |
| 14:05:24 | 7 | want about that, but the bottom two questions |
| 14:05:26 | 8 | are what caused this catastrophe in which so |
| 14:05:31 | 9 | many innocent people suffered and who caused |
| 14:05:38 | 10 | it? |
| 14:05:38 | 11 | Now, I heard in their opening a |
| 14:05:50 | 12 | reference by the plaintiffs saying they want |
| 14:06:01 | 13 | justice, but do they? I really suggest when |
| 14:06:08 | 14 | you think of the approach that's been taken in |
| 14:06:12 | 15 | this court, no way do they want justice. They |
| 14:06:18 | 16 | seek revenge, and revenge can blind you. |
| 14:06:24 | 17 | Revenge can have you just reach out to get |
| 14:06:29 | 18 | people, whoever you can get, to say they're |
| 14:06:36 | 19 | responsible, whether they had anything to do |
| 14:06:39 | 20 | with it or not. |
| 14:06:41 | 21 | I mean, just think for a second. If |
| 14:06:47 | 22 | someone out there had been walking on Market |
| 14:06:52 | 23 | Street that day, they might be in this dock |
| 14:06:56 | 24 | here, sued, for in some way violating a duty, |
| 14:07:05 | 25 | and, therefore, they got to pay for lawyers |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:07:07 | 2 | sitting over there. Just think, we got Jack |
| 14:07:16 | 3 | Higgins. What have you heard about Jack |
| 14:07:19 | 4 | Higgins's -- his lawyers sit back here -- in |
| 14:07:21 | 5 | this whole case? What do they have on Jack |
| 14:07:28 | 6 | Higgins? They end up saying Jack Higgins, this |
| 14:07:30 | 7 | architect for The Salvation Army, should have |
| 14:07:32 | 8 | done more than what he was hired to do. Why in |
| 14:07:37 | 9 | the world was Jack Higgins sued? Ask |
| 14:07:42 | 10 | yourselves that. Are they just reaching out to |
| 14:07:46 | 11 | just get more people? Anybody that they can |
| 14:07:50 | 12 | reach out to who's responsible? |
| 14:07:53 | 13 | Why in the world do they shoot -- sue |
| 14:07:58 | 14 | Mrs. Basciano, the wife of Mr. Basciano? |
| 14:08:03 | 15 | What's she got to do with it? She was standing |
| 14:08:08 | 16 | there on that day of the 5th. She's not an |
| 14:08:12 | 17 | owner of STB. Got nothing to do with the |
| 14:08:15 | 18 | realty company. She was standing there. But |
| 14:08:19 | 19 | they sued her, too. |
| 14:08:20 | 20 | THE COURT: Mr. Sprague, do not argue |
| 14:08:22 | 21 | facts not in evidence. |
| 14:08:24 | 22 | MR. R. SPRAGUE: I believe that is in |
| 14:08:25 | 23 | evidence in questions that Mr. Sprague had |
| 14:08:29 | 24 | asked. |
| 14:08:30 | 25 | THE COURT: All right. It's the jury's |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:08:32 | 2 | recollection which will control. |
| 14:08:34 | 3 | MR. R. SPRAGUE: See, the judge and I |
| 14:08:36 | 4 | disagree, but she does her duty. |
| 14:08:41 | 5 | We also know they sued two other |
| 14:08:45 | 6 | corporations, the buildings that were the other |
| 14:08:51 | 7 | side of the Hoagie City. Why'd they sue them? |
| 14:08:54 | 8 | Those are two separate corporations. They're |
| 14:08:59 | 9 | no longer in the case now. Why'd they sue |
| 14:09:02 | 10 | them? Reaching out just to try to grasp in |
| 14:09:05 | 11 | their net anybody that they can. That's not to |
| 14:09:10 | 12 | say there wasn't reason to sue some of the |
| 14:09:14 | 13 | people that are here. They would have reasons |
| 14:09:17 | 14 | for suing others. But when you go out to |
| 14:09:23 | 15 | deliberate, you got to consider that as well. |
| 14:09:25 | 16 | Now, members of the jury, you also have |
| 14:09:38 | 17 | to understand, as I said earlier, we lawyers |
| 14:09:43 | 18 | are part of this spectacle that is being put on |
| 14:09:49 | 19 | in front of you. When a lawyer stands over |
| 14:09:52 | 20 | here and yells at a witness, "Admit you're |
| 14:10:02 | 21 | lying. Turn to that jury. Tell them you just |
| 14:10:04 | 22 | made that up." |
| 14:10:08 | 23 | That's very dramatic, and it's done to |
| 14:10:16 | 24 | really make an impression on you. It is done |
| 14:10:22 | 25 | to get the thought process in your own mind, |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:10:27 | 2 | Gee, a lawyer wouldn't be saying that. He must |
| 14:10:30 | 3 | know he's lying. But you've heard Her Honor |
| 14:10:33 | 4 | tell you time and time again, it's not the |
| 14:10:39 | 5 | questions by the lawyers. These lawyers -- me, |
| 14:10:44 | 6 | we can ask anything we want. We're not bound |
| 14:10:48 | 7 | by anything. It's that witness who's on the |
| 14:10:51 | 8 | stand. He's the one that swore to tell the |
| 14:10:54 | 9 | truth, not the lawyer. |
| 14:10:56 | 10 | And that's why Her Honor has told you |
| 14:10:59 | 11 | time and time again, it's not the question. |
| 14:11:05 | 12 | That lawyer can ask whatever he wants. You got |
| 14:11:08 | 13 | to focus on what the answer is. And that's |
| 14:11:12 | 14 | very important, because a number of questions |
| 14:11:15 | 15 | really were, if you look at the answers, those |
| 14:11:20 | 16 | questions were meaningless. |
| 14:11:23 | 17 | I also spoke about us being actors. My |
| 14:11:28 | 18 | dear friend over here knows that in general if |
| 14:11:32 | 19 | you just stand in one spot and you're going on |
| 14:11:36 | 20 | for a long, long time, you kind of get dazed. |
| 14:11:41 | 21 | You move your attention. You start to look |
| 14:11:44 | 22 | away over there, up there. But he's learned |
| 14:11:48 | 23 | that -- he does it very effectively -- if you |
| 14:11:52 | 24 | walk -- I can't do it because I'm blocked in |
| 14:11:54 | 25 | here -- but you all know walking serenade, |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:11:59 | 2 | walking from here all the way around here and |
| 14:12:02 | 3 | back, that's part of the act. It's part of |
| 14:12:07 | 4 | what's done to say, Boy, they'll keep their |
| 14:12:11 | 5 | eyes on me because I'm moving. You got to |
| 14:12:14 | 6 | understand it that way. |
| 14:12:16 | 7 | In fact, except for Mr. Wigrizer over |
| 14:12:19 | 8 | there who today is wearing a blue shirt but |
| 14:12:25 | 9 | yesterday was wearing a white shirt, you'll |
| 14:12:29 | 10 | know that every lawyer here, except me, in |
| 14:12:35 | 11 | arguing to you is wearing a white shirt. How |
| 14:12:39 | 12 | come? Ain't that a remarkable coincidence? |
| 14:12:43 | 13 | All these lawyers, white shirts. Because |
| 14:12:46 | 14 | there's a folklore, there's a myth among |
| 14:12:48 | 15 | lawyers that if you wear a white shirt, that |
| 14:12:52 | 16 | will sort of convey truthfulness. Well, that's |
| 14:12:56 | 17 | a lot of baloney. It's not the clothes that |
| 14:13:02 | 18 | the lawyer wears. It's the content of the |
| 14:13:06 | 19 | evidence that matters. |
| 14:13:09 | 20 | Now, just the other day, yesterday, and |
| 14:13:18 | 21 | you got to be very careful here. And just to |
| 14:13:23 | 22 | show you what I'm talking about, Mr. Wigrizer |
| 14:13:27 | 23 | made a claim that there was a plan to a |
| 14:13:35 | 24 | dangerous case on June 2nd, 2013, and it came |
| 14:13:41 | 25 | from Mr. Basciano. In fact -- and this is all |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:13:52 | 2 | in evidence. It's in front of you. By the |
| 14:13:54 | 3 | way, I do want to tell you, I said at the |
| 14:13:57 | 4 | beginning I'm going to talk to you from my |
| 14:13:59 | 5 | heart. I'm not presenting to you one slide. |
| 14:14:07 | 6 | I'm going to talk to you. I'm not presenting |
| 14:14:10 | 7 | to you any diagrams. You've seen them. You |
| 14:14:15 | 8 | don't need to keep seeing them over and over, |
| 14:14:18 | 9 | in my view. Now's the time to talk to you. |
| 14:14:25 | 10 | But in terms of that claim by Mr. |
| 14:14:28 | 11 | Wigrizer, Mr. Marinakos said -- and I'm reading |
| 14:14:32 | 12 | from an e-mail of his -- "This is our thought |
| 14:14:40 | 13 | with the chimney. We will take our building |
| 14:14:44 | 14 | down." |
| 14:14:45 | 15 | Remember that? That's what Mr. |
| 14:14:47 | 16 | Wigrizer referred to and said that was from Mr. |
| 14:14:51 | 17 | Basciano. In fact, the testimony, on a |
| 14:14:57 | 18 | question by Mr. Snyder, and this was a |
| 14:15:10 | 19 | question. "Now, you mentioned yesterday there |
| 14:15:14 | 20 | was part of this e-mail from June 2nd, where it |
| 14:15:17 | 21 | said that Mr. Basciano had been there that |
| 14:15:20 | 22 | weekend and seemed pleased with the progress; |
| 14:15:26 | 23 | is that correct? |
| 14:15:26 | 24 | "ANSWER: Yes." |
| 14:15:28 | 25 | Then -- this of Mr. Marinakos. |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:15:30 | 2 | "QUESTION: And then you said that it |
| 14:15:32 | 3 | was our thought, our thought" -- which is what |
| 14:15:38 | 4 | Mr. Wigrizer tried to tell you was with Mr. |
| 14:15:41 | 5 | Basciano and his plan. "And then you said that |
| 14:15:44 | 6 | it was our thought that we would take the |
| 14:15:46 | 7 | building back to the chimney and then go to L\&I |
| 14:15:51 | 8 | and have them cite The Salvation Army for what |
| 14:15:55 | 9 | you called a dangerous case, correct?" |
| 14:16:00 | 10 | Remember, he went on and on about how |
| 14:16:03 | 11 | terrible that was and how disgusting that was |
| 14:16:04 | 12 | and Mr. Basciano? But what was the answer? |
| 14:16:10 | 13 | "And then when you said it was our |
| 14:16:12 | 14 | thought, who are you talking about?" |
| 14:16:15 | 15 | The answer, "Well, this information was |
| 14:16:20 | 16 | relayed by Mr. Campbell and Mr. Wagenhoffer." |
| 14:16:28 | 17 | Not Mr. Basciano. Now, you know, I |
| 14:16:33 | 18 | should also say to you that in my talk with, |
| 14:16:40 | 19 | you ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to refer to |
| 14:16:46 | 20 | what I say is the law and I'm going to read |
| 14:16:51 | 21 | from testimony and I'm going to read my notes |
| 14:16:54 | 22 | at certain parts. But let me make it clear, I |
| 14:16:58 | 23 | do not deliberately misstate the law. I do my |
| 14:17:05 | 24 | best, but I'm a human being and I'm not |
| 14:17:08 | 25 | necessarily always correct. The law you will |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:17:13 | 2 | get from the Court and you will follow the |
| 14:17:17 | 3 | instructions of the Court as to the law. If |
| 14:17:20 | 4 | I've said something in the law and the Court |
| 14:17:24 | 5 | says something different, strike out what I |
| 14:17:28 | 6 | said. You follow what Her Honor tells you. |
| 14:17:32 | 7 | Secondly, you've been told over and |
| 14:17:37 | 8 | over that the facts are for you. And even when |
| 14:17:42 | 9 | I read from testimony or read from my notes, |
| 14:17:49 | 10 | that still doesn't bind you. If you have |
| 14:17:52 | 11 | different recollections, you stick to your |
| 14:17:55 | 12 | recollections. |
| 14:17:57 | 13 | And by the way, you're not inhuman |
| 14:18:04 | 14 | people. You're not from Mars or somewhere. |
| 14:18:07 | 15 | There's none of you, not one of you who could |
| 14:18:09 | 16 | remember everything in this case. No way. The |
| 14:18:12 | 17 | whole theory of our jury system is that when |
| 14:18:16 | 18 | you get back in that jury room and you |
| 14:18:20 | 19 | deliberate, what one may have forgotten another |
| 14:18:24 | 20 | will recall. And it's when you put together |
| 14:18:27 | 21 | your combined, combined recollection, those are |
| 14:18:33 | 22 | the facts that will govern this case. And I |
| 14:18:37 | 23 | want to remind you that in those deliberations, |
| 14:18:41 | 24 | you fully express what you believe and recall. |
| 14:18:47 | 25 | Now, as I said, in this case, the basic |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:18:54 | 2 | two questions: What caused the collapse and |
| 14:18:59 | 3 | who's responsible for it? |
| 14:19:04 | 4 | I also want to read to you two other |
| 14:19:07 | 5 | quotes before I get into that. Mr. Mongeluzzi |
| 14:19:15 | 6 | asked Mr. Basciano when he was on the stand -- |
| 14:19:18 | 7 | by the way, Mr. Wigrizer tried to make it look |
| 14:19:21 | 8 | like Mr. Basciano came to court wanting to just |
| 14:19:28 | 9 | get sympathy from you. They called him. They |
| 14:19:33 | 10 | put him on the stand. He didn't come here |
| 14:19:35 | 11 | because he just was wallowing, just wanting to |
| 14:19:39 | 12 | get on the stand and get sympathy from you. |
| 14:19:42 | 13 | They put him on. And Mr. Mongeluzzi asked |
| 14:19:46 | 14 | him, "Don't you think it's the victims of this |
| 14:19:49 | 15 | collapse that have gone through hell, sir?" |
| 14:19:54 | 16 | And Basciano's response, and I'm sure |
| 14:19:58 | 17 | that you may have forgotten many things, it's |
| 14:20:00 | 18 | one -- does somebody have to go -- |
| 14:20:04 | 19 | THE COURT: No. |
| 14:20:05 | 20 | MR. R. SPRAGUE: Okay. I wasn't sure. |
| 14:20:08 | 21 | And Mr. Basciano's response, and I'm |
| 14:20:11 | 22 | sure it's one of the things you all remember, |
| 14:20:14 | 23 | he sort of broke down on the stand, and said, |
| 14:20:20 | 24 | "This is exactly why I'm going through hell. |
| 14:20:25 | 25 | When poor people die. I'm brokenhearted about |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:20:29 | 2 | it. I'm brokenhearted about it. I'm |
| 14:20:34 | 3 | brokenhearted." |
| 14:20:36 | 4 | And he had to leave the stand. |
| 14:20:39 | 5 | By the way, there is nobody that wanted |
| 14:20:51 | 6 | anybody to die. You can say what you want |
| 14:20:53 | 7 | about Mr. Benschop. You can say what you want |
| 14:20:57 | 8 | about Mr. Campbell. You can say what you want |
| 14:21:00 | 9 | about Mr. Marinakos. You can say what you want |
| 14:21:06 | 10 | about Mr. Basciano. You can say what you want |
| 14:21:10 | 11 | about the people from The Salvation Army. Mr. |
| 14:21:14 | 12 | Higgins I said has no business even being here. |
| 14:21:20 | 13 | But it is clear to all of you that not |
| 14:21:24 | 14 | one of them, whatever it is that they did, did |
| 14:21:26 | 15 | it trying to harm people. The deaths that |
| 14:21:33 | 16 | resulted, resulted from what we're going to go |
| 14:21:35 | 17 | into. But nobody, nobody in this case tried to |
| 14:21:40 | 18 | harm a single soul. Nobody in this case tried |
| 14:21:45 | 19 | to have people killed. Nobody in this case |
| 14:21:48 | 20 | tried to cause deliberately a catastrophe. |
| 14:21:54 | 21 | Now, let's go through some of the |
| 14:22:03 | 22 | points that are important. And we should |
| 14:22:10 | 23 | start, I would suggest, with Mr. Benschop. And |
| 14:22:15 | 24 | keep this in mind. There is not one expert, |
| 14:22:23 | 25 | not one who didn't tell you, under oath, that |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:22:30 | 2 | what Benschop did caused the collapse. |
| 14:22:36 | 3 | Now, you may have heard people say, |
| 14:22:38 | 4 | Well, that was the straw that broke the camel's |
| 14:22:41 | 5 | back, saying, I guess, Mr. Basciano's mother |
| 14:22:47 | 6 | and father were at fault because they had him |
| 14:22:50 | 7 | as a child and that then went and produced what |
| 14:22:53 | 8 | finally happened here. They say, Oh, that's |
| 14:22:58 | 9 | what the cause was from hiring who they did. |
| 14:23:02 | 10 | But they all agree. And of course the other |
| 14:23:06 | 11 | words were, with Benschop, it was like having a |
| 14:23:10 | 12 | bull in a China shop. There is not one who |
| 14:23:14 | 13 | doesn't tell you that what Benschop did on that |
| 14:23:19 | 14 | morning of June 5 was the cause of the collapse |
| 14:23:28 | 15 | when it, in fact, happened. |
| 14:23:30 | 16 | What else do all of you know? You |
| 14:23:38 | 17 | know, a big deal was made of the guilty plea of |
| 14:23:50 | 18 | Benschop. It's unfortunate in the sense that |
| 14:23:53 | 19 | what he did led to these deaths and he had to |
| 14:23:56 | 20 | pay a penalty. |
| 14:23:58 | 21 | What about Mr. Campbell? And we're |
| 14:24:01 | 22 | going to get into it in greater detail. Yes, I |
| 14:24:07 | 23 | know a jury convicted Mr. Campbell, but if you |
| 14:24:13 | 24 | accept certain testimony, Mr. Campbell was |
| 14:24:20 | 25 | seduced, was seduced by an architect named |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:24:25 | 2 | Plato Marinakos. And we'll go into those |
| 14:24:29 | 3 | details. And what else do we know? That Plato |
| 14:24:34 | 4 | Marinakos was able to get a deal from the |
| 14:24:38 | 5 | district attorney's office here in |
| 14:24:41 | 6 | Philadelphia, where he got immunity and |
| 14:24:47 | 7 | testified and convicted -- helped convict Mr. |
| 14:24:53 | 8 | Campbell and sent him to prison. Maybe Mr. |
| 14:24:57 | 9 | Campbell is the one that should have got that |
| 14:24:59 | 10 | immunity and the wrong one was prosecuted, as |
| 14:25:04 | 11 | we'll go into as we hear testimony in this |
| 14:25:06 | 12 | case. |
| 14:25:10 | 13 | Let's first take up what Benschop did. |
| 14:25:35 | 14 | This is from testimony in this case. Benschop, |
| 14:25:41 | 15 | on the video that was presented to you. The |
| 14:25:45 | 16 | question was made -- was asked, "You made the |
| 14:25:49 | 17 | decision to use your excavator on June 4th and |
| 14:25:54 | 18 | June 5th when The Salvation Army was opened for |
| 14:25:57 | 19 | business, correct? |
| 14:26:00 | 20 | "ANSWER: Yes, I did. |
| 14:26:04 | 21 | "QUESTION: And because of what you |
| 14:26:06 | 22 | did, because of the fact that you used your |
| 14:26:09 | 23 | excavator on June 5th, that's why those people |
| 14:26:13 | 24 | died, isn't it? |
| 14:26:18 | 25 | "ANSWER: Yes, I did." |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:26:22 | 2 | Let's continue. |
| 14:26:26 | 3 | "QUESTION: If you had been there" -- I |
| 14:26:36 | 4 | want to make sure it's the right witness I'm |
| 14:26:37 | 5 | reading here. Yes. This is testimony through |
| 14:26:43 | 6 | the video of Griffin Campbell. |
| 14:26:47 | 7 | "QUESTION: If you had been there on |
| 14:26:50 | 8 | June 5, 2013, and saw Mr. Benschop -- Benschop |
| 14:26:55 | 9 | start to move towards those columns to try and |
| 14:26:59 | 10 | take them out, what would you have done? |
| 14:27:03 | 11 | "ANSWER: That's a dangerous situation |
| 14:27:06 | 12 | there. |
| 14:27:08 | 13 | "QUESTION: Would you have done |
| 14:27:10 | 14 | something? |
| 14:27:11 | 15 | "ANSWER: Yes. I probably would have |
| 14:27:14 | 16 | went in The Salvation Army and notified them |
| 14:27:21 | 17 | and I would've called Plato." |
| 14:27:25 | 18 | Why would Campbell have called Plato, |
| 14:27:28 | 19 | by the way? And we'll get into it as we go |
| 14:27:31 | 20 | along, because Mr. Campbell's testimony is he |
| 14:27:35 | 21 | took this job of bringing -- of demolishing |
| 14:27:42 | 22 | these buildings at the suggestion of Mr. |
| 14:27:47 | 23 | Marinakos. Remember, Mr. Marinakos -- as I |
| 14:27:51 | 24 | said, we'll get into it -- told Mr. Campbell, |
| 14:27:56 | 25 | Hey, I got this lined up for you. Mr. Campbell |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:27:59 | 2 | said he didn't know even what to bid. And it |
| 14:28:05 | 3 | was Plato Marinakos who told him exactly the |
| 14:28:10 | 4 | figure. |
| 14:28:10 | 5 | By the way, they make so much to-do |
| 14:28:14 | 6 | about what they say is the low figure. You got |
| 14:28:19 | 7 | to understand it from a prosecutor's |
| 14:28:24 | 8 | standpoint. Mr. Marinakos wanted Mr. Campbell |
| 14:28:30 | 9 | to be the contractor. He wanted Mr. -- |
| 14:28:35 | 10 | Marinakos wanted Mr. Campbell to work with him. |
| 14:28:39 | 11 | This is a big job. They're going to be |
| 14:28:42 | 12 | building buildings, offices, shops, whatever. |
| 14:28:50 | 13 | And by the way, think of Mr. Campbell, |
| 14:28:55 | 14 | a guy -- he didn't grow up with a daddy already |
| 14:29:03 | 15 | in the business that he's going to rise and get |
| 14:29:07 | 16 | into, or a granddaddy who's in that same |
| 14:29:11 | 17 | business that is giving him all the benefits |
| 14:29:15 | 18 | that they have. Mr. Campbell was in the street |
| 14:29:20 | 19 | and he worked his way up. And give the guy |
| 14:29:24 | 20 | credit, for as he worked from one lowly job to |
| 14:29:31 | 21 | another, cleaning up, street cleaning, here and |
| 14:29:34 | 22 | there, getting into construction and getting |
| 14:29:36 | 23 | his own people to work for him. And, yes, they |
| 14:29:39 | 24 | sneer at him and call him inept and |
| 14:29:42 | 25 | incompetent. But he was coming up in the world |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:29:46 | 2 | and he meets Mr. Marinakos who uses him, |
| 14:29:51 | 3 | seduces him. You know, they sneer about that |
| 14:29:56 | 4 | money. Mr. Marinakos, who wanted Plato to get |
| 14:30:00 | 5 | this job, he knows he's dealing with a |
| 14:30:03 | 6 | businessman, Mr. Basciano. And they try to |
| 14:30:08 | 7 | say, Oh, isn't it terrible? He took the low |
| 14:30:11 | 8 | price. How many business people, if they think |
| 14:30:19 | 9 | they've got somebody that's competent, don't |
| 14:30:21 | 10 | take the low price? How many people out there, |
| 14:30:25 | 11 | sitting here in this courtroom, and out in |
| 14:30:28 | 12 | wherever, if they've got a contractor coming in |
| 14:30:33 | 13 | to do work for them and they got bids from some |
| 14:30:37 | 14 | and they're satisfied that the lowest bid is |
| 14:30:42 | 15 | somebody that, based on what they've heard they |
| 14:30:45 | 16 | want to accept, you think they go and say, No, |
| 14:30:49 | 17 | I want to pay $\$ 500,000$ ? Come on, you're |
| 14:30:53 | 18 | kidding yourself. And they know it. |
| 14:30:57 | 19 | So getting back to what we have here -- |
| 14:31:01 | 20 | and by the way, you know, when I heard Mr. |
| 14:31:12 | 21 | Clain speak to you this morning, the part of |
| 14:31:15 | 22 | his speech where he dealt with the cause of the |
| 14:31:17 | 23 | accident, that was great. I thought he stole |
| 14:31:21 | 24 | my own speech, it was that good. |
| 14:31:23 | 25 | (Laughter.) |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:31:24 | 2 | MR. R. SPRAGUE: But where he's talking |
| 14:31:26 | 3 | about Mr. Marinakos, as though he's such an |
| 14:31:29 | 4 | innocent little lamb, I suggest we depart from |
| 14:31:36 | 5 | him here as go through the testimony. |
| 14:31:40 | 6 | But getting back to my quotes that I |
| 14:31:44 | 7 | was reading to you -- I got so many papers I |
| 14:31:53 | 8 | got to find where they are. Another quote -- I |
| 14:32:04 | 9 | want to read to you another question. Mr. |
| 14:32:10 | 10 | Campbell, by again Mr. Mongeluzzi. |
| 14:32:14 | 11 | "QUESTION:" -- to Campbell, in front of |
| 14:32:20 | 12 | you -- "Did you -- and I'm talking about back |
| 14:32:25 | 13 | then, not now, did you trust that Plato |
| 14:32:30 | 14 | Marinakos, the owner's representative for STB, |
| 14:32:34 | 15 | did you trust him that he knew what he was |
| 14:32:38 | 16 | doing when he told you what to do?" |
| 14:32:44 | 17 | And what was Mr. Campbell's, I think, |
| 14:32:47 | 18 | pathetic answer to that question? This guy |
| 14:32:51 | 19 | that's trying to work his way up in the world? |
| 14:32:55 | 20 | Campbell's answer, "I think I trusted |
| 14:32:59 | 21 | him a little bit more than I should have." |
| 14:33:03 | 22 | Well, think of that as we go on with |
| 14:33:06 | 23 | this testimony here. And I'm reading -- I got |
| 14:33:27 | 24 | to put my glasses on. I apologize for that. |
| 14:33:34 | 25 | This was questions of their expert, |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:33:40 | 2 | plaintiffs' experts, Mr. Estrin. |
| 14:33:47 | 3 | "QUESTION: The building collapsed at |
| 14:33:53 | 4 | 10:41 because the structural integrity of the |
| 14:33:57 | 5 | building was further robbed by Mr. Benschop, |
| 14:34:02 | 6 | correct? |
| 14:34:03 | 7 | "ANSWER: No. Mr. Benschop imposed a |
| 14:34:08 | 8 | force, an external force at the top of the east |
| 14:34:13 | 9 | wall which induced the lateral movement in the |
| 14:34:16 | 10 | east wall to the west. And had that building |
| 14:34:20 | 11 | been stabilized structurally, what he was doing |
| 14:34:24 | 12 | would not have caused that wall to topple. |
| 14:34:28 | 13 | "QUESTION: Yes, sir. The building was |
| 14:34:31 | 14 | in the condition it was in, correct? |
| 14:34:34 | 15 | "ANSWER: Yes. |
| 14:34:36 | 16 | "QUESTION: When Mr. Benschop acted on |
| 14:34:39 | 17 | June 5th, his actions caused the building to |
| 14:34:44 | 18 | collapse, correct? |
| 14:34:46 | 19 | "ANSWER: Yes. |
| 14:34:51 | 20 | "QUESTION: So all this talk about the |
| 14:34:55 | 21 | force and the fact that he was chipping on the |
| 14:34:59 | 22 | east wall, you agree that on June 5th, his |
| 14:35:03 | 23 | chipping on the building caused the building to |
| 14:35:05 | 24 | collapse, correct? |
| 14:35:07 | 25 | "ANSWER: He was -- well, you know, the |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:35:11 | 2 | old adage about a thousand straws that broke |
| 14:35:13 | 3 | the camel's back. |
| 14:35:17 | 4 | "Sir, yes or no? |
| 14:35:20 | 5 | "ANSWER: I'm answering your question. |
| 14:35:24 | 6 | It is not the thousand straws that broke the |
| 14:35:27 | 7 | camel's back. It's the last one. The last |
| 14:35:38 | 8 | one." |
| 14:35:38 | 9 | And then the question, "Yes, sir. If |
| 14:35:41 | 10 | there was no last one, this building would have |
| 14:35:43 | 11 | still been there June 5th, 2011, at 10:41, |
| 14:35:48 | 12 | correct? That's if there was not the last act |
| 14:35:51 | 13 | by Benschop. |
| 14:35:52 | 14 | "ANSWER: That is correct." |
| 14:35:56 | 15 | There's no question about it. |
| 14:36:05 | 16 | Now, let me have the plea. Excuse me |
| 14:36:41 | 17 | one second here. |
| 14:36:42 | 18 | THE COURT: Mr. Sprague, you can use |
| 14:36:43 | 19 | the bar of the court, if you wish, if that |
| 14:36:47 | 20 | would be helpful. |
| 14:36:48 | 21 | MR. R. SPRAGUE: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 14:36:49 | 22 | As always, helpful. |
| 14:36:50 | 23 | Things don't always go the way you |
| 14:37:08 | 24 | want. There was testimony in this case, which |
| 14:37:14 | 25 | I hope you remember. It was the video of Mr. |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:37:20 | 2 | Benschop where he's asked questions about what |
| 14:37:24 | 3 | he did on that date, June 5. And he relates |
| 14:37:33 | 4 | how he was using his excavator. He's |
| 14:37:37 | 5 | testifying and he's answering questions in |
| 14:37:40 | 6 | terms of he saw all of these joists coming |
| 14:37:48 | 7 | down. He saw the pinnings down. He doesn't |
| 14:37:52 | 8 | know how they came down. And, yes, he said he |
| 14:37:56 | 9 | was responsible for that collapse. That's also |
| 14:38:00 | 10 | his testimony. |
| 14:38:01 | 11 | Now, let's go from Mr. -- by the way, |
| 14:38:09 | 12 | he also testified, and I'm not going to read it |
| 14:38:12 | 13 | to you now. I hope you recall it. That he -- |
| 14:38:15 | 14 | he says that the reason he was doing that -- |
| 14:38:23 | 15 | ripping things apart was because he was told by |
| 14:38:29 | 16 | Mr. Campbell to do it. I don't know if you |
| 14:38:32 | 17 | recall that. And Mr. Campbell disputes that. |
| 14:38:36 | 18 | Mr. Campbell said, "No, he told me he needed |
| 14:38:40 | 19 | some money that day and could I give him some |
| 14:38:43 | 20 | work to do that day. And I told him, Well, |
| 14:38:47 | 21 | fine. Use your excavator just to pick up some |
| 14:38:50 | 22 | metal." |
| 14:38:51 | 23 | We're back on track here. |
| 14:38:55 | 24 | Let me read again from testimony. |
| 14:39:04 | 25 | "QUESTION:" -- this is of Mr. Benschop |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:39:07 | 2 | in that video. |
| 14:39:09 | 3 | "QUESTION: Mr. Campbell also testified |
| 14:39:13 | 4 | that he told you, okay, Sean, you can get down |
| 14:39:17 | 5 | there. You can use your machine, but you can |
| 14:39:21 | 6 | only pick up metal and put it in the dumpster. |
| 14:39:28 | 7 | Did I tell you that -- did he tell you that? |
| 14:39:33 | 8 | "ANSWER:" -- by Mr. Benschop -- "He |
| 14:39:36 | 9 | didn't told me that. He didn't told me that, |
| 14:39:41 | 10 | are you going to run a machine all day to pick |
| 14:39:44 | 11 | just metal up. |
| 14:39:48 | 12 | "QUESTION: What was the plan for |
| 14:39:53 | 13 | Wednesday? What was it that you were supposed |
| 14:39:57 | 14 | to do or the plan was and who told you that? |
| 14:40:02 | 15 | "ANSWER:" -- by Benschop -- "Griffin |
| 14:40:09 | 16 | say he want me to take down the left wall. He |
| 14:40:12 | 17 | said go there and take down the left wall |
| 14:40:16 | 18 | because his guys take some of the bricks down |
| 14:40:19 | 19 | from The Salvation Army so he say go ahead and |
| 14:40:23 | 20 | take down the left wall. And when you finish |
| 14:40:27 | 21 | taking the left wall down, you load the metal |
| 14:40:31 | 22 | into the dumpster." |
| 14:40:32 | 23 | Then we have Griffin Campbell. I'm not |
| 14:40:43 | 24 | reading it all, but his testimony was in no way |
| 14:40:48 | 25 | did he tell Benschop to use that excavator on |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:40:52 | 2 | the wall. He was giving him work that day and |
| 14:40:56 | 3 | he just wanted him to pick up metal. |
| 14:41:00 | 4 | Now, members of the jury, none of you |
| 14:41:03 | 5 | were there. None of them were there. I wasn't |
| 14:41:07 | 6 | there. How do we decide? Because you have to |
| 14:41:13 | 7 | decide between them, because this gets to the |
| 14:41:17 | 8 | responsibility of Benschop and the |
| 14:41:21 | 9 | responsibility of Campbell. How do you decide |
| 14:41:27 | 10 | when one is saying this and the other is saying |
| 14:41:29 | 11 | that? There's no magic wand that you have. |
| 14:41:33 | 12 | Nobody gives you a lie detector test to use. |
| 14:41:37 | 13 | You got -- here's where you got to do your own |
| 14:41:41 | 14 | thinking. |
| 14:41:42 | 15 | Now, what do we know? Now, we got |
| 14:41:49 | 16 | Campbell who says just pick up metal and put it |
| 14:41:52 | 17 | in the dumpster. Benschop says, "No, he told |
| 14:41:56 | 18 | me to use that excavator on the wall." But |
| 14:42:01 | 19 | don't we also know there was a dumpster brought |
| 14:42:06 | 20 | there that morning? Who arranged for the |
| 14:42:12 | 21 | dumpster? Mr. Campbell. Doesn't that support |
| 14:42:18 | 22 | Mr. Campbell when he says, "I told Benschop, |
| 14:42:24 | 23 | Yeah, you can come and do some work, but use |
| 14:42:27 | 24 | your excavator only to pick up metal and put it |
| 14:42:29 | 25 | in the dumpster and I have arranged for the |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:42:32 | 2 | dumpster to be there at 11 a.m." And the |
| 14:42:36 | 3 | dumpster was there. That's -- you know when |
| 14:42:39 | 4 | you have to analyze cases, it's not all spoon |
| 14:42:43 | 5 | fed to you. You got to do your own analysis |
| 14:42:45 | 6 | and your own thinking. So right now, when you |
| 14:42:50 | 7 | got to weigh who's telling the truth, I would |
| 14:42:52 | 8 | say that suggests more on Mr. Campbell's side |
| 14:42:56 | 9 | because that's why he arranged for a dumpster |
| 14:43:03 | 10 | to be there. |
| 14:43:04 | 11 | What else do we know? I'm going to |
| 14:43:13 | 12 | jump to one area that I'm just dying to talk to |
| 14:43:16 | 13 | you about. Remember -- I almost laugh as I'm |
| 14:43:22 | 14 | going to get into this area, so pardon me. |
| 14:43:25 | 15 | Members of the jury, I was going to say |
| 14:43:28 | 16 | at the beginning, if you've learned anything in |
| 14:43:33 | 17 | this case, experts are a dime a dozen. But the |
| 14:43:37 | 18 | words shouldn't be a dime a dozen. The words |
| 14:43:42 | 19 | should be hundreds of thousands of dollars for |
| 14:43:45 | 20 | each of them. Call yourself an expert, and you |
| 14:43:47 | 21 | can say what you want. And as you see in this |
| 14:43:52 | 22 | case, and part of what I suggest should have |
| 14:43:56 | 23 | been entertaining to you, everybody had their |
| 14:43:58 | 24 | experts. There's -- if there's an expert on |
| 14:44:03 | 25 | that side, there's an expert on that side. |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:44:09 | 2 | Back and forth they go. |
| 14:44:13 | 3 | But just the fact that somebody calls |
| 14:44:16 | 4 | themselves an expert doesn't mean that's God |
| 14:44:22 | 5 | speaking to you from up high and we got to take |
| 14:44:26 | 6 | it and accept it. I mean, if you really look |
| 14:44:29 | 7 | at what these experts said, from my view, you |
| 14:44:37 | 8 | can almost discard them, because what every one |
| 14:44:44 | 9 | of you have, and maybe those experts don't |
| 14:44:50 | 10 | have, is common sense. When you left home and |
| 14:44:58 | 11 | came into this jury box, you didn't leave your |
| 14:45:03 | 12 | common sense at home. But look at what these |
| 14:45:07 | 13 | experts said. I don't -- I won't even bother |
| 14:45:10 | 14 | reading one, because I'm sure you remember. By |
| 14:45:14 | 15 | the way, I'm terrible with names, so forgive me |
| 14:45:17 | 16 | if I just refer to them and don't bother with |
| 14:45:20 | 17 | the name. Names don't mean that much. |
| 14:45:23 | 18 | But remember there's an expert from |
| 14:45:25 | 19 | Indiana, I think he was, who made the claim and |
| 14:45:29 | 20 | said to you he's an expert under oath. Well, |
| 14:45:34 | 21 | STB -- since that chimney on The Salvation Army |
| 14:45:38 | 22 | was a problem, STB has the right to go onto The |
| 14:45:48 | 23 | Salvation Army's property, up on the roof, do |
| 14:45:54 | 24 | what they want there, cut the chimney down |
| 14:45:58 | 25 | without any agreement whatsoever by The |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:46:00 | 2 | Salvation Army. |
| 14:46:03 | 3 | Members of the jury, I can't think of a |
| 14:46:06 | 4 | better way to get shot here in Philadelphia, if |
| 14:46:10 | 5 | you just go on your neighbor's property and |
| 14:46:13 | 6 | say, Hey, I'm doing some work on my house and |
| 14:46:16 | 7 | some expert from Indiana told me I can go right |
| 14:46:20 | 8 | up there and take care of the problem and |
| 14:46:22 | 9 | nothing you can do about it. That's the kind |
| 14:46:28 | 10 | of testimony you got. |
| 14:46:30 | 11 | Another expert, without reading it, |
| 14:46:37 | 12 | suggested, when it was pointed out, they were |
| 14:46:41 | 13 | saying, the plaintiffs, that, Hey, Mr. Basciano |
| 14:46:43 | 14 | and Simmonds, they could see that there were |
| 14:46:48 | 15 | these OSHA violations. And then it came out, |
| 14:46:54 | 16 | Well, how would they have seen it? Because |
| 14:46:58 | 17 | they weren't there. The answer then came from |
| 14:47:04 | 18 | their expert. And that expert's name, by the |
| 14:47:11 | 19 | way, was Rust. I'm not sure whose expert. He |
| 14:47:24 | 20 | wasn't ours but he might have been Salvation |
| 14:47:27 | 21 | Army's. |
| 14:47:27 | 22 | But what was that expert's statement in |
| 14:47:30 | 23 | terms of Basciano and Simmonds? Well, they |
| 14:47:33 | 24 | were sent pictures, these pictures that were |
| 14:47:38 | 25 | taken -- and by the way, if you use these |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:47:41 | 2 | little cameras, you look at the size of those |
| 14:47:44 | 3 | pictures. They're not like you saw them on |
| 14:47:47 | 4 | that screen here. Don't think that for a |
| 14:47:49 | 5 | second. They're little things that size. |
| 14:47:53 | 6 | But their expert is saying that |
| 14:47:55 | 7 | Basciano and Simmonds, all they had to do was |
| 14:47:59 | 8 | look at those pictures that were sent to them |
| 14:48:02 | 9 | and they would see the OSHA violations. Comes |
| 14:48:04 | 10 | over, Hot dog, we got Basciano and Simmonds |
| 14:48:07 | 11 | now. Because they could see that. |
| 14:48:12 | 12 | One, it ignores the testimony of |
| 14:48:14 | 13 | Basciano and Simmonds. They didn't know -- |
| 14:48:18 | 14 | they didn't know -- I just went blank on the |
| 14:48:23 | 15 | word OSHA. They didn't know OSHA from a hole |
| 14:48:30 | 16 | in the wall. They didn't know anything about |
| 14:48:32 | 17 | it. |
| 14:48:34 | 18 | Even if the picture was the size of |
| 14:48:37 | 19 | that screen, they're supposed to look at it and |
| 14:48:43 | 20 | know OSHA violations when they testified to |
| 14:48:45 | 21 | you. They don't know OSHA at all. So when an |
| 14:48:49 | 22 | expert says, Well, they knew of OSHA violations |
| 14:48:52 | 23 | because they're sent pictures, he ignores all |
| 14:48:56 | 24 | together the testimony of those two. They |
| 14:48:59 | 25 | don't know OSHA at all. |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:49:02 | 2 | You have heard OSHA being presented |
| 14:49:06 | 3 | here, and you've heard what they presented to |
| 14:49:10 | 4 | suggest to the jury that these two people knew |
| 14:49:14 | 5 | they were violating OSHA because they were sent |
| 14:49:17 | 6 | pictures when they don't know what OSHA is and |
| 14:49:21 | 7 | don't know any of its regulations. It's |
| 14:49:24 | 8 | absurd. That's the kind of testimony that |
| 14:49:28 | 9 | these experts are giving you. |
| 14:49:30 | 10 | Why do I say that? Because in our |
| 14:49:46 | 11 | case, they're saying Mr. Basciano is in some |
| 14:49:55 | 12 | way responsible for what occurred. You don't |
| 14:50:00 | 13 | need more argument or testimony from me how |
| 14:50:05 | 14 | this accident, this catastrophe occurred. |
| 14:50:08 | 15 | There is no doubt that this building was being |
| 14:50:12 | 16 | put up not from a safety standpoint. |
| 14:50:16 | 17 | And by the way, what did Mr. Campbell |
| 14:50:19 | 18 | tell you when he said he took this job? Mr. |
| 14:50:22 | 19 | Marinakos also told him, Campbell, because |
| 14:50:29 | 20 | Campbell recognized this was too big a job for |
| 14:50:32 | 21 | him. But Marinakos says, Don't worry. I'll |
| 14:50:38 | 22 | take care of you. I'll tell you what to do. |
| 14:50:42 | 23 | By the way, with all their talking about how |
| 14:50:46 | 24 | terrible Mr. Campbell is, keep in mind, members |
| 14:50:51 | 25 | of the jury, before we get to Hoagie City, even |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:50:56 | 2 | under the crappy, crappy instructions by |
| 14:50:59 | 3 | Marinakos -- by Mr. Marinakos, Mr. Campbell had |
| 14:51:07 | 4 | taken down four buildings successfully. He |
| 14:51:11 | 5 | took down The Forum Theater. He took down a |
| 14:51:15 | 6 | building behind it. I don't remember the |
| 14:51:17 | 7 | number of it. He took down the two buildings |
| 14:51:21 | 8 | at 2102 and 4. |
| 14:51:26 | 9 | And by the way, when they attack Mr. |
| 14:51:31 | 10 | Basciano, what had he seen before they get to |
| 14:51:35 | 11 | work on Hoagie City? Mr. Campbell, the general |
| 14:51:43 | 12 | contractor, even given that he's being directed |
| 14:51:48 | 13 | by Marinakos, has taken down four buildings |
| 14:51:51 | 14 | successfully. Keep that in mind. |
| 14:51:55 | 15 | Now, let's get back to an important |
| 14:52:02 | 16 | principle in this case. And when I said |
| 14:52:07 | 17 | earlier you got to have the courage to do the |
| 14:52:09 | 18 | right thing, independent of what you feel out |
| 14:52:12 | 19 | there from the public or friends or anybody |
| 14:52:14 | 20 | else. |
| 14:52:15 | 21 | There's a general feeling that when |
| 14:52:19 | 22 | you're the owner of property, and something |
| 14:52:22 | 23 | happens on your property to the next property, |
| 14:52:25 | 24 | you're automatically liable. Well, as you'll |
| 14:52:30 | 25 | hear from the Court in instructions, that's not |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:52:33 | 2 | quite true. They've referred to if you've got |
| 14:52:37 | 3 | an employee -- and I don't remember which |
| 14:52:41 | 4 | lawyer said it yesterday. But one of them |
| 14:52:43 | 5 | said, When you have an employee, you're |
| 14:52:47 | 6 | responsible for everything that he does. Well, |
| 14:52:51 | 7 | that's a bunch of hokum. Just think of it. |
| 14:52:56 | 8 | You have an employee and he goes out and robs a |
| 14:53:01 | 9 | bank. Do you think you're responsible for his |
| 14:53:04 | 10 | robbing the bank just because he's your |
| 14:53:06 | 11 | employee? Of course not. If he does something |
| 14:53:11 | 12 | that is outside of what he's been employed for, |
| 14:53:15 | 13 | you're not responsible for that. It would be |
| 14:53:19 | 14 | foolish for the law to say everything he does |
| 14:53:21 | 15 | you're responsible for. |
| 14:53:23 | 16 | But more importantly, and the other |
| 14:53:26 | 17 | principle, you've been hearing the words of an |
| 14:53:29 | 18 | independent contractor. What in the world is |
| 14:53:36 | 19 | an independent contractor? It's somebody who |
| 14:53:40 | 20 | has his own business, who has his own |
| 14:53:46 | 21 | profession, who has his own workers. He may or |
| 14:53:49 | 22 | he may not do it himself. |
| 14:53:52 | 23 | When you call -- I'm sure we all |
| 14:53:55 | 24 | sometimes have this problem. We got to call a |
| 14:53:58 | 25 | plumber for the bathroom, for the toilet. That |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:54:04 | 2 | plumber isn't your employee. When you call and |
| 14:54:09 | 3 | ask for the plumber to come, he's not becoming |
| 14:54:14 | 4 | your employee. He's an independent contractor. |
| 14:54:16 | 5 | He's got his own business, and it's up to him |
| 14:54:20 | 6 | then to fix the toilet. |
| 14:54:22 | 7 | Now, let's say you call this plumber, |
| 14:54:28 | 8 | we all do, and he does a crappy job. And as a |
| 14:54:38 | 9 | result, the water from the toilet keeps |
| 14:54:43 | 10 | flowing, keeps -- runs over the toilet and on |
| 14:54:47 | 11 | your bathroom floor. And then it leaks and it |
| 14:54:50 | 12 | goes downstairs on the neighbor's apartment and |
| 14:54:54 | 13 | God knows what happens there. You're not |
| 14:54:58 | 14 | responsible. The one that's responsible for |
| 14:55:02 | 15 | that is the plumber. He's an independent |
| 14:55:06 | 16 | contractor, and he is responsible for his own |
| 14:55:13 | 17 | fault and his own negligence. |
| 14:55:15 | 18 | Now, the only exception to that, which |
| 14:55:19 | 19 | is what they're, in their desperation to find |
| 14:55:24 | 20 | anybody and everybody accountable, the only |
| 14:55:29 | 21 | exception, if when you called that plumber you |
| 14:55:34 | 22 | already knew that he is the world's worst |
| 14:55:38 | 23 | plumber. Everywhere he goes, he screws it up |
| 14:55:44 | 24 | and toilets run over and you still call him, |
| 14:55:49 | 25 | then you're going to be responsible because you |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:55:53 | 2 | were negligent in bringing him on. And that's |
| 14:55:59 | 3 | what they're hanging their hat on here, |
| 14:56:02 | 4 | basically, in terms of STB and Mr. Basciano. |
| 14:56:05 | 5 | Now, you've heard over and over in this |
| 14:56:14 | 6 | case "reasonable." What's reasonable? Was |
| 14:56:17 | 7 | this a reasonable thing to do? When people |
| 14:56:26 | 8 | need a doctor, do you think they run to some |
| 14:56:31 | 9 | Blue Book, some granddaddy or some father? No. |
| 14:56:39 | 10 | It's by word of mouth. Everybody knows it. |
| 14:56:42 | 11 | Everybody out there knows it. You usually use |
| 14:56:48 | 12 | who? Your family doctor. Or you have friends |
| 14:56:52 | 13 | who've told you that they've gone to doctor X |
| 14:56:55 | 14 | or doctor Y. And you have a comfort level in |
| 14:57:00 | 15 | your friend. If someone needs a doctor for |
| 14:57:07 | 16 | their child, do you think they run to a Blue |
| 14:57:10 | 17 | Book and flip through the pages? And say, |
| 14:57:15 | 18 | Ah-Ha, let's see Dr. So-and-so. I'll pick him |
| 14:57:21 | 19 | out. No. They talk to friends, if they don't |
| 14:57:24 | 20 | know already of one. |
| 14:57:25 | 21 | You go to people on -- if you're in an |
| 14:57:28 | 22 | apartment -- get back to my plumber. If you |
| 14:57:31 | 23 | don't already have word or know yourself about |
| 14:57:34 | 24 | a plumber, you'll ask like the superintendent |
| 14:57:38 | 25 | of the apartment, Do we have a plumber here? |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:57:41 | 2 | Do you have a plumber to recommend? And if |
| 14:57:46 | 3 | that's the superintendent that you deal with |
| 14:57:49 | 4 | and you have faith in, do you think when that |
| 14:57:51 | 5 | plumber comes in, you say, Hey, wait a minute. |
| 14:57:55 | 6 | That toilet, that's running, but by God, you |
| 14:57:59 | 7 | sit down here and I want to know where you went |
| 14:58:04 | 8 | to school. You better tell me that. And I |
| 14:58:08 | 9 | want to know who else's toilets you worked on. |
| 14:58:15 | 10 | The whole time your toilet is running there. |
| 14:58:17 | 11 | And you don't do that and you know you don't do |
| 14:58:24 | 12 | it. What is reasonable is that you were told |
| 14:58:28 | 13 | by the superintendent here at the building |
| 14:58:31 | 14 | that's who we use, so you use him. |
| 14:58:36 | 15 | Let me give another illustration. |
| 14:58:42 | 16 | Everybody goes to a doctor, to a dentist. Do |
| 14:58:47 | 17 | they go to a Blue Book for it? No. They go on |
| 14:58:55 | 18 | the basis of what they've heard from people |
| 14:59:00 | 19 | that they are comfortable with, that they rely |
| 14:59:04 | 20 | on. You know that. Everybody knows that. And |
| 14:59:07 | 21 | how many people -- I can't ask them to raise |
| 14:59:11 | 22 | their hands out there -- but the answer will be |
| 14:59:14 | 23 | zero. How many people out there, when they go |
| 14:59:18 | 24 | to a doctor's office for the first time, say, |
| 14:59:22 | 25 | Doctor, I want you to show me your certificate |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:59:28 | 2 | that you're licensed here in Pennsylvania? You |
| 14:59:32 | 3 | know nobody does that. How many go to that |
| 14:59:38 | 4 | doctor's office, when they've been recommended |
| 14:59:40 | 5 | by a friend, or it's a family doctor, and say, |
| 14:59:45 | 6 | I want to see where you graduated. Before I |
| 14:59:49 | 7 | hire you, I want to know the last 10 patients |
| 14:59:54 | 8 | that you worked on so I can interview them. |
| 14:59:59 | 9 | That's in never-never world. You know |
| 15:00:02 | 10 | what that world is? That's a world that was |
| 15:00:05 | 11 | referred to in an opening speech here by Mr. |
| 15:00:10 | 12 | Sprague. That's the Monday morning |
| 15:00:12 | 13 | quarterback. It's very easy, after something |
| 15:00:16 | 14 | has happened, and you're fighting in some way |
| 15:00:19 | 15 | to get something done, to then say, oh, you |
| 15:00:23 | 16 | should have done this back then. |
| 15:00:26 | 17 | You know, there are cases here in |
| 15:00:28 | 18 | Philadelphia of mothers who walked on the north |
| 15:00:31 | 19 | side of the street with their child. And there |
| 15:00:35 | 20 | was a gang warfare there and the child was shot |
| 15:00:39 | 21 | and killed. I guess these lawyers would then |
| 15:00:42 | 22 | attack that mother, Why didn't you walk on the |
| 15:00:46 | 23 | south side of that street? What made you walk |
| 15:00:48 | 24 | n the north side? That's great to do after |
| 15:00:51 | 25 | the fact. I'm sure that mother agonizes for |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:00:55 | 2 | the remainder of her days why she didn't walk |
| 15:00:59 | 3 | on the south side. That's Monday morning |
| 15:01:09 | 4 | quarterbacking. Was there any wrong, anything |
| 15:01:16 | 5 | out of reason with her walking on the north |
| 15:01:17 | 6 | side? No. |
| 15:01:18 | 7 | In this case, as you have heard, Mr. |
| 15:01:20 | 8 | Marinakos was a distinguished member of the |
| 15:01:29 | 9 | architectural group here in Philadelphia. He |
| 15:01:35 | 10 | told Mr. Basciano and Mr. Simmonds that he had |
| 15:01:46 | 11 | worked with Mr. Campbell. |
| 15:01:49 | 12 | By the way, it's interesting, Mr. |
| 15:01:52 | 13 | Clain, when he showed you that letter, didn't |
| 15:01:55 | 14 | read to you the part where he said, "Lots of |
| 15:02:01 | 15 | demolition experience." But the testimony |
| 15:02:06 | 16 | shows that Marinakos told Basciano and Simmonds |
| 15:02:12 | 17 | that Campbell had years, years of experience. |
| 15:02:19 | 18 | It was untrue, but Basciano didn't know that. |
| 15:02:26 | 19 | You can't hold Basciano responsible if the guy |
| 15:02:32 | 20 | that's worked for him, has worked for him for |
| 15:02:35 | 21 | over two years, has lied to him. Didn't tell |
| 15:02:45 | 22 | Basciano that Campbell is relying on me to tell |
| 15:02:51 | 23 | him what to do. It would have been a different |
| 15:02:56 | 24 | story. But that goes to Marinakos's |
| 15:02:59 | 25 | responsibility. |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:02:59 | 2 | Did the owner act reasonably when he, |
| 15:03:04 | 3 | on the basis of a trusted architect here in |
| 15:03:09 | 4 | Philadelphia -- remember, Basciano, Simmonds, |
| 15:03:13 | 5 | they live up in New York. Yes, they come down |
| 15:03:16 | 6 | here. Simmonds was down here twice during this |
| 15:03:19 | 7 | whole thing. But the guy who worked for them |
| 15:03:23 | 8 | for two years was Mr. Marinakos, a |
| 15:03:30 | 9 | distinguished architect, as you heard. Why |
| 15:03:32 | 10 | would they have reason to disbelieve him? Of |
| 15:03:36 | 11 | course they would accept what he said, and, |
| 15:03:39 | 12 | therefore, when they go through, Did you |
| 15:03:42 | 13 | question Mr. Campbell? That's like the thing |
| 15:03:44 | 14 | with the doctor. If you have reason to go to |
| 15:03:47 | 15 | this doctor on the basis of a friend, you don't |
| 15:03:50 | 16 | go and sit and ask him all those questions. |
| 15:03:53 | 17 | You're happy that you're there and you hope he |
| 15:03:56 | 18 | does his best to treat your child. |
| 15:03:58 | 19 | In addition, keep in mind, members of |
| 15:04:09 | 20 | the jury, and I said that in the case of Mr. |
| 15:04:16 | 21 | Campbell, I suggest that the wrong guy got a |
| 15:04:22 | 22 | pass out of the jail. It should have been |
| 15:04:25 | 23 | Plato Marinakos, because not only did he lie to |
| 15:04:29 | 24 | Basciano and Simmonds, he was getting money |
| 15:04:34 | 25 | kicked back from Campbell. And why did |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:04:38 | 2 | Campbell play along? I mean, think of |
| 15:04:43 | 3 | Campbell. You got to have sympathy there. |
| 15:04:47 | 4 | Owes \$300,000 to the bank, was struggling. So |
| 15:04:52 | 5 | somebody that comes along and says, Hey, I got |
| 15:04:57 | 6 | you this job. Why wouldn't Camp.bell jump on |
| 15:05:03 | 7 | that? And not only does Campbell tell you that |
| 15:05:07 | 8 | Marinakos said, "I got you the job." You know, |
| 15:05:13 | 9 | Marinakos denies that he gave the figures to |
| 15:05:17 | 10 | Campbell. Campbell says, "No, he gave me those |
| 15:05:21 | 11 | figures." Again, when you say two people are |
| 15:05:26 | 12 | lying, who do you look to? How do you decide |
| 15:05:29 | 13 | it? Who drew up the final contract? |
| 15:05:32 | 14 | Marinakos. Did Marinakos have a good reason to |
| 15:05:38 | 15 | have those other two prices high? Sure. That |
| 15:05:45 | 16 | was further push on Basciano to take the lower |
| 15:05:48 | 17 | price. Not for less quality of work, but for |
| 15:05:51 | 18 | what he thought would be good work by somebody |
| 15:05:56 | 19 | competent. |
| 15:05:59 | 20 | And they go through. "Did you question |
| 15:06:01 | 21 | Campbell?" Well, of course they didn't, |
| 15:06:03 | 22 | because they relied on Mr. Marinakos. Mr. |
| 15:06:09 | 23 | Marinakos is here, his lawyer, good lawyer, Mr. |
| 15:06:14 | 24 | Clain, doing a bang-up job for his client. Mr. |
| 15:06:22 | 25 | Marinakos got a free pass out of jail from the |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:06:25 | 2 | district attorney's office, which was wrong. |
| 15:06:33 | 3 | They're asking for a free pass out of court, |
| 15:06:40 | 4 | out of court from you. Don't give him that |
| 15:06:42 | 5 | pass. |
| 15:06:43 | 6 | And finally, with regard to Mr. |
| 15:06:47 | 7 | Campbell, you know, we've heard all these |
| 15:06:53 | 8 | experts come in, and I've made some reference |
| 15:06:56 | 9 | to -- in a sarcastic manner to the people who |
| 15:07:04 | 10 | talk about, Oh, I went into the business with |
| 15:07:05 | 11 | my daddy and my granddaddy. Mr. Campbell |
| 15:07:09 | 12 | didn't have that. Mr. Campbell existed more |
| 15:07:13 | 13 | with the life that exists here in this city and |
| 15:07:16 | 14 | was struggling to make a go of it. And he got |
| 15:07:19 | 15 | sold down the river here. And, yes, a jury |
| 15:07:23 | 16 | heard his case. Juries are not always correct |
| 15:07:31 | 17 | in what they do. |
| 15:07:32 | 18 | I want this jury to be correct. Let me |
| 15:07:36 | 19 | go through with you some points in the verdict |
| 15:07:44 | 20 | sheet, because as you have heard, you're going |
| 15:07:50 | 21 | to be given a sheet with a load of questions, |
| 15:07:53 | 22 | and boiling it down -- the kind of questions |
| 15:07:57 | 23 | you heard with the others -- is STB negligent |
| 15:08:02 | 24 | in the selection of Campbell? Well, they |
| 15:08:08 | 25 | weren't negligent. They followed a |

IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE recommendation of somebody they thought they could trust.

Were they negligent in the selection of Marinakos? What did they know other than good things? He worked for them for two years. By the way, who put himself down as the owner's rep, without any talk with them? Marinakos put himself down there.

You're going to see a question on retained control. Where was the retained control here? Keep in mind when they talk about access, the basic contract for Campbell and Marinakos was demolishing these buildings, including the Hoagie City. They were shocked. They didn't know that that chimney belonged to The Salvation Army. But what was the concern? Had nothing to do with the contract of STB.

If some brick -- some stuff fell on The Salvation Army, they were concerned they'd be sued. They weren't looking at, Is the whole building to collapse? They're thinking of some pieces here and there. So the whole reason for access and the concern by STB, the concern by The Salvation Army was, Let's get pictures of

IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE what exists now so you can't claim later that, Hey, that pipe that's now broken, was broken as a result of what we're doing in the demolition.

So there's no retained control in terms of the contract. And if you look at testimony, if you have it read back to you, because my time is getting short, Mr. Campbell testified under oath, in front of you, that there was no direction ever, ever, ever from STB, Basciano, or Simmonds with regard to the directions, methods and that of his construction work. He got his instructions, which STB didn't know about, from Marinakos. So your answer in retained control should be no.

Outrageous conduct. There's going to be two questions on that. As I said at the beginning, nobody intended what happened, happened. There's no outrageous conduct in any way. So your answer should be "no" to that.

I have a final comment, which really doesn't involve STB or Basciano, who I say acted properly at all times, had an absolute right to rely on Marinakos. And if you deem Marinakos in some way to become their agent,

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:11:30 | 2 | there's a principle that if the agent goes |
| 15:11:32 | 3 | outside the area of agency, you're not |
| 15:11:37 | 4 | responsible for him. There's no evidence, no |
| 15:11:40 | 5 | way that Basciano, STB, Simmonds, know that |
| 15:11:47 | 6 | Marinakos was getting paid, was, in fact, |
| 15:11:51 | 7 | directing Campbell as to what to do. |
| 15:11:55 | 8 | But I want to take one final comment |
| 15:11:58 | 9 | having to do with The Salvation Army. I submit |
| 15:12:04 | 10 | to you that the evidence is pretty clear -- by |
| 15:12:10 | 11 | the way, I forgot. When I was talking about |
| 15:12:14 | 12 | those warnings and the nonsense from this |
| 15:12:17 | 13 | expert, remember there was an expert that told |
| 15:12:20 | 14 | you it's not a warning unless it also tells you |
| 15:12:23 | 15 | how to handle it. And I think there were three |
| 15:12:26 | 16 | things before it's a warning. Just think of |
| 15:12:31 | 17 | that. A stop sign is not a warning because it |
| 15:12:36 | 18 | doesn't tell you what to do about it. |
| 15:12:38 | 19 | I mean, these experts think they can |
| 15:12:40 | 20 | tell you anything and you're going to sit there |
| 15:12:42 | 21 | like lambs and just eat up the guff that they |
| 15:12:46 | 22 | give you. Don't fall for that. |
| 15:12:48 | 23 | Getting back to The Salvation Army, I |
| 15:12:56 | 24 | submit to you it is very clear that what |
| 15:13:01 | 25 | Simmonds wrote in those e-mails -- and I don't |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:13:04 | 2 | have time and I'm not going through them. You |
| 15:13:07 | 3 | remember them, of all the threats to the |
| 15:13:09 | 4 | public, this and that. Simmonds, one, did not |
| 15:13:14 | 5 | know what he's talking about because he doesn't |
| 15:13:16 | 6 | know anything about construction. He said so. |
| 15:13:19 | 7 | It's in his testimony. And, secondly, he |
| 15:13:23 | 8 | wasn't even down here to see what was |
| 15:13:25 | 9 | happening. So you know no matter what they |
| 15:13:28 | 10 | want to make of that as though it's true |
| 15:13:33 | 11 | warnings, that's a lot of baloney. |
| 15:13:35 | 12 | But there is one issue that is for you, |
| 15:13:40 | 13 | and I cannot suggest the answer in this to you, |
| 15:13:43 | 14 | because I've pondered this myself. Even |
| 15:13:50 | 15 | accepting that The Salvation Army did not get |
| 15:13:52 | 16 | warnings, in the sense that they weren't true, |
| 15:14:00 | 17 | they did get these things, which unfortunately |
| 15:14:06 | 18 | turned out to be right. But the fact that it |
| 15:14:09 | 19 | turned out to be right doesn't make them |
| 15:14:11 | 20 | legitimate when they were given. But when you |
| 15:14:14 | 21 | get -- if -- if somebody is in their home, if |
| 15:14:19 | 22 | I'm in my home and I have my grandchildren with |
| 15:14:23 | 23 | me and I know my neighbor is taking down his |
| 15:14:30 | 24 | house, and I all the sudden get from him -- or |
| 15:14:37 | 25 | I don't care who, somebody, saying, Hey, your |
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE neighbor's taking down his house in a crappy, crazy manner. It can cause damage to me. It can cause damage to life, people. And I remember my grandchildren. Even if I think that guy's a nut, do I just sit at home and play Tiddlywinks with my grandchildren, or do I take that extra step and make pretty damn sure that there's no danger to my house? That's a question for you dealing with The Salvation Army.

I want to thank you for your attention. This is the only time I've spoken to you in this whole trial, but it's a pleasure on my side, my part. I mean, just looking at you all, the attention you give is heartwarming. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Thank you. We'll take a break for the jury.

THE COURT CRIER: Everyone please remain seated as the jury exits the courtroom. Jurors, please come with me.
(The jury exits the courtroom at this time.)

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Clain. Yes, Mr.

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:17:05 | 2 | Clain. |
| 15:17:05 | 3 | MR. CLAIN: May we have a sidebar, |
| 15:17:06 | 4 | please, Your Honor? |
| 15:17:08 | 5 | THE COURT: Yes, we may. |
| 15:18:00 | 6 | (Discussion was held at sidebar as |
| 15:18:05 | 7 | follows:) |
| 15:18:05 | 8 | THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Clain. |
| 15:18:09 | 9 | MR. CLAIN: Your Honor, we move for a |
| 15:18:11 | 10 | mistrial. Mr. Sprague just told this jury that |
| 15:18:16 | 11 | Mr. Marinakos should be in jail and not Mr. |
| 15:18:21 | 12 | Campbell. He has personally -- |
| 15:18:23 | 13 | MR. WIGRIZER: Can you speak up? The |
| 15:18:26 | 14 | jury is not here. |
| 15:18:27 | 15 | MR. CLAIN: He provided a personal |
| 15:18:30 | 16 | opinion that the proof of Mr. Marinakos had |
| 15:18:33 | 17 | been proved beyond a reasonable doubt that he |
| 15:18:36 | 18 | belongs in prison. He was talking about |
| 15:18:38 | 19 | criminal guilt. That is absolutely improper |
| 15:18:40 | 20 | and impermissible in a civil litigation. That |
| 15:18:44 | 21 | should never have been offered as the |
| 15:18:47 | 22 | opinion -- it wasn't even his opinion. He |
| 15:18:49 | 23 | stated it as a fact. |
| 15:18:51 | 24 | In addition, he said that Mr. Marinakos |
| 15:18:55 | 25 | got a free pass out of jail, which was wrong. | And let's see. We also have him referring more than once to the fact that Mr. Marinakos is privileged, has a daddy who allows him privilege. At first it was a generalized reference. It was hard to pick up, but I made a note.

THE COURT: I thought it was the experts who had their daddies? I could be wrong.

MR. CLAIN: The first time it was in the context that made me believe he was referring to Mr. Marinakos, but it was not crystal clear. The second time he mentioned it, he was referring to Mr. Marinakos as having a daddy of now --

THE COURT: When was the second time? Shortly before the end?

MR. CLAIN: It wasn't too long before that, so that's correct.

THE COURT: So when I have Ms. Soule look for it --

MR. CLAIN: I would expect it would be near the end.

The fact is that Mr. Marinakos did have

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:19:51 | 2 | a distinguished father. He was not a design |
| 15:19:55 | 3 | professional. |
| 15:19:55 | 4 | THE COURT: Did the jury ever hear |
| 15:19:57 | 5 | that? |
| 15:19:58 | 6 | MR. CLAIN: There was no evidence |
| 15:19:59 | 7 | whatsoever. |
| 15:20:00 | 8 | THE COURT: So how would they then have |
| 15:20:02 | 9 | deduced that he was referring to Mr. Marinakos? |
| 15:20:05 | 10 | MR. CLAIN: He said Mr. Marinakos's |
| 15:20:06 | 11 | daddy. |
| 15:20:07 | 12 | THE COURT: Okay. We'll look for it. |
| 15:20:07 | 13 | MR. CLAIN: Because even more |
| 15:20:10 | 14 | important, or equally important, there is |
| 15:20:12 | 15 | nothing in the record so that Mr. Sprague was |
| 15:20:15 | 16 | introducing to this jury facts about Mr. |
| 15:20:18 | 17 | Marinakos's background and privilege, which |
| 15:20:21 | 18 | we've heard all this discussion about haves and |
| 15:20:23 | 19 | have-nots. And now it's, Oh. It plays directly |
| 15:20:29 | 20 | into this entire racially tinged, Oh, look, the |
| 15:20:32 | 21 | unfortunate scrappy, building his own life out |
| 15:20:35 | 22 | of -- with his own hands. Mr. Campbell is in |
| 15:20:38 | 23 | jail improperly, while the connected, mightily |
| 15:20:43 | 24 | educated architect is sitting in this courtroom |
| 15:20:47 | 25 | and he's got connections with his daddy. And |
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE it is absolutely improper. It is so over the top and outrageously prejudicial that there's no cure for that. You cannot suck that out of their brains as they prepare. We can't even vitiate with months of wading through testimony and hope they'll forget it, not that I think you would.

They're going to be sitting and deliberating with these things ringing in their head that Mr. Marinakos should be in jail. That he's a privileged rich kid who seduced Mr. Campbell. Now, okay, the seduced. That's argument. That was charming. I withheld the chuckle about that.

THE COURT: So when was it that -evidence in this case was introduced about Mr. Marinakos's father being an esteemed -- if it was introduced, I have completely forgotten it, as I have forgotten many other things because it has been a very long trial. As Mr. Sprague indicated to the jury, you cannot have every single fact in your head, and I don't have every single fact in my head. Who introduced testimony about Mr. Marinakos's father?

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:21:58 | 2 | MR. R. SPRAGUE: I never said a word |
| 15:21:59 | 3 | about his father. |
| 15:22:00 | 4 | THE COURT: I'm not saying you, today. |
| 15:22:02 | 5 | MR. GREINER: There's no testimony. |
| 15:22:03 | 6 | THE COURT: Mr. Dmochowsky, is there |
| 15:22:06 | 7 | any evidence that you can recall, and I know |
| 15:22:08 | 8 | you weren't here all the time -- |
| 15:22:08 | 9 | MR. DMOCHOWSKY: Quite a lot. |
| 15:22:09 | 10 | THE COURT: But I don't know if you |
| 15:22:10 | 11 | reviewed every transcript. When and by whom |
| 15:22:15 | 12 | was evidence introduced about Mr. Marinakos |
| 15:22:17 | 13 | having a daddy who was -- we all have a daddy. |
| 15:22:20 | 14 | The question is who? Who's your daddy? So my |
| 15:22:27 | 15 | question is, was that evidence even there so |
| 15:22:29 | 16 | that when Mr. Sprague, when that is read to us, |
| 15:22:33 | 17 | I don't know if that connects to the jury |
| 15:22:34 | 18 | going, Yeah, his big daddy who is so esteemed, |
| 15:22:38 | 19 | you know. Yes or no. Do you recall such |
| 15:22:40 | 20 | testimony, Mr. Dmochowsky? |
| 15:22:41 | 21 | MR. DMOCHOWSKY: I don't recall it. |
| 15:22:43 | 22 | THE COURT: Mr. Clain, do you remember |
| 15:22:45 | 23 | such evidence being presented about Mr. |
| 15:22:46 | 24 | Marinakos's father to begin with? |
| 15:22:49 | 25 | MR. CLAIN: Absolutely not. |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:22:50 | 2 | MR. R. SPRAGUE: Your Honor, I agree |
| 15:22:51 | 3 | with that. I never referred to Marinakos's |
| 15:22:54 | 4 | father -- |
| 15:22:54 | 5 | THE COURT: We're going to ask the -- |
| 15:22:57 | 6 | MR. R. SPRAGUE: If Marinakos is there, |
| 15:23:00 | 7 | it was a slip of the tongue. |
| 15:23:00 | 8 | THE COURT: Ms. Soule will be asked to |
| 15:23:04 | 9 | look for what exactly was said. |
| 15:23:06 | 10 | MR. CLAIN: I think "daddy" is the key |
| 15:23:07 | 11 | word to look for. |
| 15:23:09 | 12 | THE COURT: Who else? |
| 15:23:11 | 13 | MR. WIGRIZER: I have a motion. Alex |
| 15:23:13 | 14 | Wolfington testified -- |
| 15:23:15 | 15 | MR. CLAIN: If I may finish? |
| 15:23:16 | 16 | MR. WIGRIZER: Sorry. |
| 15:23:17 | 17 | MR. CLAIN: I got quite a list here. |
| 15:23:19 | 18 | THE COURT: I don't think you went to |
| 15:23:21 | 19 | Catholic school. |
| 15:23:22 | 20 | MR. CLAIN: I did not, Your Honor. I |
| 15:23:24 | 21 | have many friends who did. |
| 15:23:26 | 22 | THE COURT: I can see your little |
| 15:23:28 | 23 | scratchy. I would have had my hands smacked |
| 15:23:28 | 24 | down. You're lucky. |
| 15:23:31 | 25 | I thought we weren't introducing |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:23:31 | 2 | references to "God," Mr. Sprague? You said |
| 15:23:34 | 3 | "God all mighty up" there, something like that. |
| 15:23:36 | 4 | MR. R. SPRAGUE: It just fit in with my |
| 15:23:38 | 5 | mood, with the moment |
| 15:23:39 | 6 | THE COURT: So I guess that motion or |
| 15:23:41 | 7 | objection from before is, therefore, withdrawn. |
| 15:23:43 | 8 | Go ahead, Mr. Clain. What else do you |
| 15:23:46 | 9 | have? |
| 15:23:46 | 10 | MR. CLAIN: There was also a claim that |
| 15:23:48 | 11 | Mr. Campbell said the job was too big for him. |
| 15:23:52 | 12 | In fact, he was asked if the job was too big |
| 15:23:56 | 13 | for him. He said no. Now, admittedly, he |
| 15:23:59 | 14 | said, no, I had help from the architect. What |
| 15:24:02 | 15 | he said is I told Mr. Marinakos it's the |
| 15:24:04 | 16 | biggest job I ever had. There's a big |
| 15:24:06 | 17 | difference between the job being big |
| 15:24:08 | 18 | THE COURT: As I said yesterday, and as |
| 15:24:10 | 19 | I said today, it's closing arguments. Every |
| 15:24:11 | 20 | single word with every comma in place, every |
| 15:24:16 | 21 | grammatical perfect thing |
| 15:24:17 | 22 | MR. R. SPRAGUE: And also I said to the |
| 15:24:19 | 23 | jury, I will do my best to recall. There may |
| 15:24:23 | 24 | be an error. It's your recollection. |
| 15:24:24 | 25 | THE COURT: You did say that. |

IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE MR. CLAIN: Understood, Your Honor. I don't mean to be -- the major points.

THE COURT: I understand. You're listening closely on behalf of your client. MR. CLAIN: And the other is very clearly a disputed fact about a bribe issue Mr. Marinakos vehemently denies about which there has been no testimony other than --

THE COURT: There was testimony. Why is it not argument?

MR. CLAIN: Because it was not presented as argument. It was presented as fact. We made a record. I understand the crucial point is the suggestion to this jury of criminal liability of that man.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. WIGRIZER: Are you done?
MR. CLAIN: Yes.
MR. WIGRIZER: May I make my motion, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Let me ask Mr. Hardaway to quiet down in the courtroom.

Mr. Hardaway, can you tell the people to please hold it down? I can't hear.

IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE MR. WIGRIZER: Judge, Mr. Sprague said that Lois Basciano and 2132 was previously served, you know, obviously not a good implication for us.

THE COURT: I did interject, saying I don't remember that being part of the actual trial. I think it was withdrawn before we started trial.

MR. WIGRIZER: It was.
THE COURT: Then the discussion had to do with whether or not the husband/wife spousal privilege --

MR. WIGRIZER: It was very complex, Your Honor.

THE COURT: But she was no longer a defendant.

MR. WIGRIZER: If we can agree that there's an instruction to the jury that they should disregard any statement about Lois Basciano, 2132 and 2134 --

THE COURT: 2132 and 34 were in the case.

MR. GREINER: They were in the case. MR. WIGRIZER: So was Lois Basciano.

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:26:23 | 2 | THE COURT: Not after we started. |
| 15:26:24 | 3 | MR. WIGRIZER: He said that we were |
| 15:26:29 | 4 | wrong for suing Lois Basciano, 2132 and 3134. |
| 15:26:34 | 5 | What -- |
| 15:26:35 | 6 | THE COURT: They were in the case at |
| 15:26:37 | 7 | the beginning. But when we swore this jury in, |
| 15:26:38 | 8 | she was no longer in. |
| 15:26:40 | 9 | MR. WIGRIZER: He didn't make that |
| 15:26:41 | 10 | distinction. |
| 15:26:42 | 11 | THE COURT: That evidence is -- that |
| 15:26:44 | 12 | fact of her having been a defendant is not in |
| 15:26:46 | 13 | evidence. 2132 and 34 were in the case, and I |
| 15:26:52 | 14 | see that as a difference. |
| 15:26:53 | 15 | MR. WIGRIZER: Will you admonish Mr. |
| 15:26:56 | 16 | Sprague, or at least instruct the jury that he |
| 15:26:59 | 17 | should not have made reference to Lois |
| 15:27:01 | 18 | Basciano? |
| 15:27:02 | 19 | THE COURT: Do you have evidence, Mr. |
| 15:27:03 | 20 | Greiner? |
| 15:27:03 | 21 | MR. GREINER: Your Honor, I know what |
| 15:27:04 | 22 | you're looking for. Regardless, Your Honor, |
| 15:27:04 | 23 | you did instruct Mr. Sprague in front of the |
| 15:27:07 | 24 | jury to not refer to evidence that's not in the |
| 15:27:09 | 25 | record. That's -- |

IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE MR. WIGRIZER: That's vague. That doesn't refer to the problem.

MR. GREINER: It was right after it was brought up.

MR. WIGRIZER: Judge, he suggested we picked on this poor, nice elderly woman.

MR. R. SPRAGUE: You did from the very beginning. You sued her.

MR. WIGRIZER: There's no distinction here, judge.

THE COURT: You also sued Cresci, also sued Simmonds.

MR. GREINER: We didn't get to all that.

THE COURT: He had time.
MR. R. SPRAGUE: Judge, I was nice enough not to do that.

MR. WIGRIZER: Those are the kind of friends I need.

THE COURT: You can't bring facts that are not in evidence before the jury.

MR. GREINER: Your Honor, our
recollection was that it was -- we are looking for it -- that's what Mr. Sprague was told.

IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE MR. R. SPRAGUE: My recollection was that Tom Sprague, on examination, brought out about Lois.

MR. WIGRIZER: Can I make my record, Judge?

THE COURT: About her having been a defendant?

MR. GREINER: Being sued, yes. We're looking at the record, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm surprised you don't have it memorized, Mr. Greiner?

MR. GREINER: Your Honor, I had no idea what Mr . Sprague was going to say. I didn't know -- I was not ready to fact-check anything that he just said.

MR. R. SPRAGUE: I guarantee nobody knew what I was going to say.

MR. GREINER: I'm sure Mr. Sprague didn't know what he was going to say.

MR. WIGRIZER: Judge, we were -because she was identified by Griffin Campbell on a diagram as an owner, and we wanted to get to the bottom of that so that we were sure before the statute that we sued all parties we

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:28:38 | 2 | could sue. They then threatened us with a |
| 15:28:42 | 3 | Dragonetti action, in response to which we |
| 15:28:44 | 4 | resolved the matter by agreeing to take her |
| 15:28:46 | 5 | deposition, which we did, and then we let her |
| 15:28:49 | 6 | out. |
| 15:28:49 | 7 | So if he wants to say we frivolously |
| 15:28:52 | 8 | sued Lois Basciano, then we're entitled to |
| 15:28:54 | 9 | explain to the jury why. |
| 15:28:55 | 10 | THE COURT: My only issue is the facts |
| 15:28:57 | 11 | that are in evidence. Nobody put in that she |
| 15:29:00 | 12 | got actually sued and then got let out. You |
| 15:29:02 | 13 | could have done that. |
| 15:29:04 | 14 | MR. GREINER: I don't know if I did or |
| 15:29:05 | 15 | not, Your Honor. |
| 15:29:06 | 16 | MR. R. SPRAGUE: Can I say, a lawyer, |
| 15:29:08 | 17 | who is a good lawyer, is going on rebuttal. If |
| 15:29:12 | 18 | they want to put something in, as long as it's |
| 15:29:15 | 19 | accurate, fine. |
| 15:29:16 | 20 | MR. WIGRIZER: I'll withdraw the motion |
| 15:29:18 | 21 | with respect 2132 and 34. And if we can say |
| 15:29:21 | 22 | something about the fact that we were accused |
| 15:29:23 | 23 | of frivolously suing -- |
| 15:29:25 | 24 | MR. R. SPRAGUE: I don't agree with |
| 15:29:26 | 25 | that. |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:29:27 | 2 | THE COURT: I think I interrupted him |
| 15:29:28 | 3 | and said that he's not -- you know, you're not |
| 15:29:31 | 4 | allowed to argue facts not in evidence, and so |
| 15:29:34 | 5 | I will instruct them that Mrs. Basciano is not |
| 15:29:37 | 6 | a defendant in this case. |
| 15:29:39 | 7 | MR. WIGRIZER: And never was. |
| 15:29:40 | 8 | MR. GREINER: That's not true. |
| 15:29:43 | 9 | THE COURT: She was. |
| 15:29:45 | 10 | MR. MONGELUZZI: Am I allowed to talk |
| 15:29:46 | 11 | about why we sued Mrs. Basciano? |
| 15:29:48 | 12 | THE COURT: Irrelevant. |
| 15:29:49 | 13 | MR. GREINER: It's going to make it |
| 15:29:50 | 14 | worse, Bob. |
| 15:29:52 | 15 | MR. MONGELUZZI: I don't respond? He's |
| 15:29:54 | 16 | allowed to bring it up, and I can't even say |
| 15:29:57 | 17 | this is why. He says we sued poor Mr. Higgins. |
| 15:30:01 | 18 | We can't say why. |
| 15:30:03 | 19 | MR. R. SPRAGUE: I will not object, |
| 15:30:13 | 20 | listening to what Mr. Wigrizer said. What you |
| 15:30:16 | 21 | want to do is bring up Mrs. Basciano was sued |
| 15:30:22 | 22 | because of what you said, and when you learned |
| 15:30:25 | 23 | otherwise you withdrew the suit. That's what |
| 15:30:28 | 24 | you just said. |
| 15:30:28 | 25 | THE COURT: That you believed to be an |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:30:30 | 2 | owner. |
| 15:30:31 | 3 | MR. WIGRIZER: She was identified in a |
| 15:30:32 | 4 | diagram drawn by Griffin Campbell as an owner. |
| 15:30:35 | 5 | That's why we named her. |
| 15:30:37 | 6 | MR. R. SPRAGUE: I will agree with what |
| 15:30:38 | 7 | I just said. |
| 15:30:40 | 8 | MR. STERN: Mr. Sprague, would you add |
| 15:30:41 | 9 | to that "to the satisfaction of all the parties |
| 15:30:44 | 10 | concerned?" Because it was agreed upon. |
| 15:30:46 | 11 | MR. R. SPRAGUE: I will add that. |
| 15:30:48 | 12 | MR. MONGELUZZI: What do you mean? |
| 15:30:49 | 13 | MR. STERN: If this is agreeable. In |
| 15:30:51 | 14 | other words, it's understood. |
| 15:30:53 | 15 | MR. R. SPRAGUE: She was brought in as |
| 15:30:54 | 16 | a defendant. She was identified as an owner. |
| 15:30:57 | 17 | When you learned that she was not an owner, to |
| 15:31:00 | 18 | the satisfaction of all parties, it was |
| 15:31:02 | 19 | withdrawn. That's what I'm willing to do. |
| 15:31:07 | 20 | MR. MONGELUZZI: I think what I would |
| 15:31:09 | 21 | rather do is have us check the record to see |
| 15:31:12 | 22 | whether or not. And if we find out, then when |
| 15:31:14 | 23 | they come back Monday you can tell them there's |
| 15:31:16 | 24 | no facts in evidence. |
| 15:31:17 | 25 | MR. GREINER: Fine. We're looking |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:31:19 | 2 | right now, Your Honor. |
| 15:31:19 | 3 | THE COURT: Was there anything else? |
| 15:31:21 | 4 | Mr. Werley, it looked like you had something. |
| 15:31:22 | 5 | MR. WERLEY: I did not. |
| 15:31:24 | 6 | MR. R. SPRAGUE: He wanted to |
| 15:31:25 | 7 | compliment me. |
| 15:31:26 | 8 | THE COURT: Mr. Clain has made his |
| 15:31:27 | 9 | motion for a mistrial. What do you have to say |
| 15:31:32 | 10 | about that, Mr. Sprague? |
| 15:31:33 | 11 | MR. R. SPRAGUE: I think everything I |
| 15:31:34 | 12 | said was appropriate. I oppose the motion for |
| 15:31:40 | 13 | a mistrial. |
| 15:31:41 | 14 | MR. MONGELUZZI: I assume I can -- |
| 15:31:42 | 15 | THE COURT: Although you think all your |
| 15:31:44 | 16 | other ones are valid? |
| 15:31:45 | 17 | MR. R. SPRAGUE: Yes. |
| 15:31:46 | 18 | MR. MONGELUZZI: I assume I can make |
| 15:31:47 | 19 | the same argument regarding Mr. Basciano and as |
| 15:31:50 | 20 | to why he wasn't charged. The same claim they |
| 15:31:54 | 21 | made for Mr. Marinakos. As Mr. Snyder would |
| 15:31:56 | 22 | say, "True?" |
| 15:31:58 | 23 | MR. GREINER: Mr. Mongeluzzi, he didn't |
| 15:32:00 | 24 | receive immunity like your client did. |
| 15:32:03 | 25 | MR. CLAIN: I understand Mr. |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:32:05 | 2 | Mongeluzzi's point, but I don't think making |
| 15:32:07 | 3 | the ruling wider and expanding the net over of |
| 15:32:12 | 4 | unconvicted criminals is going to solve the |
| 15:32:15 | 5 | problem. |
| 15:32:15 | 6 | MR. R. SPRAGUE: I'm not agreeing to |
| 15:32:16 | 7 | anything you say. |
| 15:32:17 | 8 | MR. CLAIN: I know that you're not. |
| 15:32:19 | 9 | Let me make my record. The point is that there |
| 15:32:22 | 10 | is prejudice that can't be graced. The jury |
| 15:32:25 | 11 | was told, when I suggested Mr. Marinakos was |
| 15:32:28 | 12 | not an idiotic man, that that was too much of |
| 15:32:32 | 13 | an expression of opinion. It has just -- I |
| 15:32:34 | 14 | don't think that prejudiced anybody. This man |
| 15:32:38 | 15 | had been accused of being a criminal. |
| 15:32:52 | 16 | THE COURT: Well, your motion for a |
| 15:32:53 | 17 | mistrial is denied. Is there anything that you |
| 15:32:56 | 18 | propose that I tell the jury to mitigate any |
| 15:32:59 | 19 | possible prejudice that you believe is there? |
| 15:33:03 | 20 | MR. CLAIN: First, Your Honor, I don't |
| 15:33:05 | 21 | believe that it is |
| 15:33:07 | 22 | THE COURT: I know you don't. I said |
| 15:33:09 | 23 | mitigated. |
| 15:33:10 | 24 | MR. CLAIN: Without -- |
| 15:33:11 | 25 | THE COURT: So you rather have me say |
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE nothing; is that your choice?

MR. CLAIN: No, Your Honor, I do want to say we preserve the mistrial motion, even if there is an instruction.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. CLAIN: On appeal we will say this whole trial should be thrown out because of that statement.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. CLAIN: But I do not have, on the top of my head, what should be said. Perhaps it could be said at the end of Mr. Mongeluzzi's while we work something out.

THE COURT: So you're asking that I delay it?

MR. CLAIN: For just a bit.
THE COURT: That's fine.
MR. MONGELUZZI: Delay discussing this?
THE COURT: What, if any, instruction I would give.

MR. WERLEY: For the record, I join in opposition to the motion for mistrial.

THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. MONGELUZZI: I say we get moving,

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:33:55 | 2 | Your Honor. |
| 15:33:56 | 3 | MR. WIGRIZER: I would ask Mr. |
| 15:33:58 | 4 | Mongeluzzi not get charged for the time it |
| 15:34:01 | 5 | takes for Mr. Sprague's misstatement. |
| 15:34:03 | 6 | THE COURT: We're not doing that right |
| 15:34:04 | 7 | now. |
| 15:34:04 | 8 | (A short recess occurred.) |
| 15:34:04 | 9 | (The following took place in open court |
| 15:38:16 | 10 | in the presence of the jury:) |
| 15:38:16 | 11 | THE COURT: If everyone can be seated. |
| 15:38:19 | 12 | THE COURT CRIER: Your Honor, may I? |
| 15:38:20 | 13 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| 15:38:21 | 14 | THE COURT CRIER: Everyone please |
| 15:38:22 | 15 | remain seated as the jury enters the courtroom. |
| 15:38:27 | 16 | Jurors. |
| 15:38:30 | 17 | (The jury enters the courtroom at this |
| 15:38:46 | 18 | time.) |
| 15:39:11 | 19 | THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. |
| 15:39:13 | 20 | Mongeluzzi. |
| 15:39:13 | 21 | MR. MONGELUZZI: Thank you. |
| 15:39:14 | 22 | May it please the Court, the legendary |
| 15:39:25 | 23 | and renowned Dick Sprague. When it was time |
| 15:39:29 | 24 | for STB and Mr. Basciano to protect the public |
| 15:39:36 | 25 | by choosing an experienced, licensed and safe |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:39:43 | 2 | contractor, they chose the unlicensed and |
| 15:39:50 | 3 | inexperienced Griffin Campbell. |
| 15:39:53 | 4 | But when it came time to come into this |
| 15:39:58 | 5 | courtroom to protect themselves, they chose the |
| 15:40:08 | 6 | legendary Dick Sprague. When it was time for |
| 15:40:13 | 7 | them to spring out a structural engineer, on |
| 15:40:18 | 8 | June 4 th or before, as they put there in their |
| 15:40:22 | 9 | own e-mail -- if you could bring that up, Mr. |
| 15:40:25 | 10 | Sterling. First one. It's 90. "To protect |
| 15:40:38 | 11 | the public," they brought in nobody. When it |
| 15:40:46 | 12 | came time to protect themselves in this |
| 15:40:49 | 13 | courtroom, they brought in Dr. Abboud, a |
| 15:40:54 | 14 | renowned structural engineer -- you can take |
| 15:40:59 | 15 | that down -- who they paid \$290,000 to. |
| 15:41:07 | 16 | When it was time for them to choose a |
| 15:41:10 | 17 | demolition contractor and to pay for safety, |
| 15:41:18 | 18 | they chose to go with the lowest bidder around. |
| 15:41:25 | 19 | And when it came time to protect themselves, in |
| 15:41:30 | 20 | this courtroom, from these claims, they brought |
| 15:41:34 | 21 | in experts who they spent hundreds and hundreds |
| 15:41:39 | 22 | and hundreds of thousands of dollars for. |
| 15:41:43 | 23 | When it came time for The Salvation |
| 15:41:51 | 24 | Army, being warned of a demolition danger, to |
| 15:41:54 | 25 | bring in somebody, who understood demolition |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:41:59 | 2 | and its dangers, they brought in nobody. And |
| 15:42:04 | 3 | when it came time to protect themselves in this |
| 15:42:08 | 4 | courtroom, they brought in Wendell Rust and |
| 15:42:11 | 5 | they paid him hundreds of thousands of dollars |
| 15:42:13 | 6 | to do it. |
| 15:42:14 | 7 | When it was time for them to |
| 15:42:18 | 8 | investigate e-mails and letters, and the |
| 15:42:27 | 9 | hearing, the vision, the things that their |
| 15:42:30 | 10 | employees saw, heard, felt and feared, they did |
| 15:42:35 | 11 | no investigation to protect the public. But |
| 15:42:41 | 12 | when it came time to protect themselves in this |
| 15:42:44 | 13 | courtroom, they investigated you. And that's |
| 15:42:49 | 14 | why Mr. Snyder stood up and went person by |
| 15:42:54 | 15 | person about where you work and what you do. |
| 15:42:59 | 16 | It proves that The Salvation Army is a |
| 15:43:03 | 17 | sophisticated organization and corporation with |
| 15:43:09 | 18 | the wherewithal and the knowledge of how to |
| 15:43:13 | 19 | investigate things. And they did it to protect |
| 15:43:16 | 20 | themselves, but they didn't do it to protect |
| 15:43:20 | 21 | their employees and the men and women who came |
| 15:43:24 | 22 | to shop there. |
| 15:43:26 | 23 | And when it comes time to walk through |
| 15:43:33 | 24 | that door with a jury verdict, remember these |
| 15:43:37 | 25 | defendants and what they did back then and what |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:43:40 | 2 | they did now. When it was time for STB and |
| 15:43:46 | 3 | Basciano to ask Mr. Campbell four simple |
| 15:43:50 | 4 | questions, they asked him nothing. But when it |
| 15:43:54 | 5 | was time to come into this courtroom and |
| 15:43:56 | 6 | protect themselves, Mr. Greiner asked |
| 15:44:00 | 7 | thousands. When it was time for The Salvation |
| 15:44:09 | 8 | Army to hire an attorney regarding this |
| 15:44:14 | 9 | demolition situation, they hired Steve Nudel. |
| 15:44:18 | 10 | When it was time to protect themselves in this |
| 15:44:21 | 11 | courtroom, they hired the best defense attorney |
| 15:44:23 | 12 | in Philadelphia: Jack Snyder. And with every |
| 15:44:29 | 13 | question asked, with every document he put on |
| 15:44:33 | 14 | the screen, with every exhibit that he showed |
| 15:44:36 | 15 | you, with every blowup that they did, you have |
| 15:44:39 | 16 | to ask yourself, Why didn't they do it then? |
| 15:44:48 | 17 | And why are they doing it now? Because both of |
| 15:44:53 | 18 | these defendants did nothing and cared nothing |
| 15:45:00 | 19 | about the safety of the men and women who |
| 15:45:03 | 20 | shopped and donated to that store or worked |
| 15:45:06 | 21 | there. And both defendants now come into this |
| 15:45:09 | 22 | courtroom, doing the very things that they |
| 15:45:13 | 23 | should have been doing before. |
| 15:45:15 | 24 | It's interesting that both Mr. Snyder |
| 15:45:27 | 25 | and Mr. Sprague began their speeches by talking |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:45:33 | 2 | about the jury. Not two blocks from this |
| 15:45:41 | 3 | courtroom, the Thomas R. Kline Trial Advocacy |
| 15:45:46 | 4 | Center, law school named for Mr. Stern's |
| 15:45:51 | 5 | partner and my good friend, on the ground |
| 15:45:59 | 6 | floor, where lawyers will be taught about the |
| 15:46:03 | 7 | rights of people for redress, there is carved |
| 15:46:13 | 8 | into the floor for everyone to see when they |
| 15:46:17 | 9 | talk through that door, the right to a trial by |
| 15:46:22 | 10 | jury is in violet. It cannot be taken away |
| 15:46:30 | 11 | from us. It is one of our most important and |
| 15:46:34 | 12 | cherished fundamental constitutional rights. |
| 15:46:41 | 13 | Why? Because you get to decide. It is not |
| 15:46:48 | 14 | what somebody else thinks who's in the |
| 15:46:50 | 15 | government. It is not what somebody else |
| 15:46:55 | 16 | thinks from another organization. It's what |
| 15:47:04 | 17 | you think. |
| 15:47:04 | 18 | You have more than 500 years of |
| 15:47:08 | 19 | experience, and I am certain that you have |
| 15:47:14 | 20 | celebrated birthdays while we've been here. We |
| 15:47:18 | 21 | started in the waning days of summer. We |
| 15:47:22 | 22 | worked our way through the fall. There is an |
| 15:47:27 | 23 | ice skating rink outside of City Hall. |
| 15:47:39 | 24 | Generally, I think when lawyers thank |
| 15:47:42 | 25 | the jury, for the most part, they come in and |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:47:45 | 2 | serve for a week. I think they're sort of |
| 15:47:48 | 3 | pandering. Not in this case. Not in this |
| 15:47:50 | 4 | case. Your service has been extraordinary. |
| 15:47:55 | 5 | You see, because the thing that you have, and |
| 15:48:00 | 6 | the thing that is the most important tool that |
| 15:48:06 | 7 | you have is your life experience. You see, you |
| 15:48:09 | 8 | don't need training to figure out whether |
| 15:48:12 | 9 | someone's credible or not. You do it every |
| 15:48:15 | 10 | day. You do it in your work. You do it at |
| 15:48:17 | 11 | home. You do it with your family. And you get |
| 15:48:21 | 12 | to understand, is someone on the level or not |
| 15:48:26 | 13 | on the level? And those are valuable traits. |
| 15:48:33 | 14 | You notice everything. Like when a lawyer |
| 15:48:35 | 15 | knocks over his soda and the judge doesn't see |
| 15:48:38 | 16 | it, but you did. |
| 15:48:45 | 17 | Sorry, Your Honor. |
| 15:48:47 | 18 | And you know that when you're in this |
| 15:48:52 | 19 | courtroom for so long, the truth seeps out. |
| 15:48:57 | 20 | Remember that moment with Mr. Pomponi. The |
| 15:49:01 | 21 | truth seeps out. It has to, over so long a |
| 15:49:07 | 22 | period of time with so much questioning. |
| 15:49:09 | 23 | I want to talk to you, because Mr. |
| 15:49:16 | 24 | Sprague brought it up about the plaintiffs in |
| 15:49:19 | 25 | this case. Mr. Sprague got up and told you |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:49:25 | 2 | that the plaintiffs in this case have brought |
| 15:49:28 | 3 | this for revenge. Revenge. That's what he |
| 15:49:36 | 4 | told you. And although I admire and respect |
| 15:49:42 | 5 | him, I will tell you, Mr. Sprague, you are dead |
| 15:49:47 | 6 | wrong. The families of the people who were |
| 15:49:51 | 7 | killed in this collapse and the ones who |
| 15:49:53 | 8 | survived did not bring this for revenge. They |
| 15:49:57 | 9 | didn't bring it for sympathy. They've had |
| 15:49:59 | 10 | plenty. They have walked into this courtroom, |
| 15:50:02 | 11 | and for many sat here every day in this |
| 15:50:07 | 12 | courtroom, not for revenge. They came here for |
| 15:50:13 | 13 | justice. They trusted and relied upon another |
| 15:50:19 | 14 | institution: The Salvation Army. Because all |
| 15:50:23 | 15 | of them were there for that reason. And now |
| 15:50:30 | 16 | for a second time, they trust a different |
| 15:50:33 | 17 | institution, and that's you. |
| 15:50:40 | 18 | Mr. Sprague, I thought it was |
| 15:50:44 | 19 | interesting, for his first 21 minutes 24 |
| 15:50:49 | 20 | seconds, didn't say anything about the evidence |
| 15:50:52 | 21 | in this case. Told you he wasn't going to be |
| 15:50:57 | 22 | presenting any slides or diagrams or anything |
| 15:51:02 | 23 | like that because they -- pardon the pun -- |
| 15:51:09 | 24 | demolish his theories of defense. |
| 15:51:13 | 25 | He told you, "You've heard this so much |
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE we dream about poles falling down." So do the victims, Mr. Sprague, and the families of those who were killed have even worse dreams.

He claimed that we're all actors. That's not true, Mr. Sprague. Do not confuse theatrics with passion for the people you represent. There were people who were killed and injured. And this isn't an act and it's not a play. This was a real life horror.

Let's talk about STB's claims first.
43. What are we now? A safe demolition project starts with selecting a knowledgeable safety property manager. Knowledgeable and safe owner's representative. Knowledgeable and safe demolition contractor.

Grew up playing baseball. Strike 1, strike 2, strike 3. The blind leading the blind leading the blind. Mr. Estrin told you that this project became dangerous the day they appointed Plato Marinakos -- you can take that down -- as the owner's representative.

He made the first mistake of appointing Mr. Simmonds who didn't know, pardon the phrase, a darn thing about what he was doing.

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:53:38 | 2 | He didn't know anything about demolition. He |
| 15:53:41 | 3 | didn't know how to select a demolition |
| 15:53:44 | 4 | contractor. But remember when he told you, I |
| 15:53:47 | 5 | know how to select a security guard. I know |
| 15:53:50 | 6 | how to select a doorman. Yeah. You call up |
| 15:53:53 | 7 | and you ask for a resume and recommendations. |
| 15:53:57 | 8 | This wasn't rocket science. It started |
| 15:54:01 | 9 | with him and then it went to Mr. Marinakos. |
| 15:54:06 | 10 | And I'm going to go through the questions with |
| 15:54:08 | 11 | you, because you're going to be given a 36 |
| 15:54:14 | 12 | question quiz and you've had four-and-a-half |
| 15:54:18 | 13 | months of school. So you're going to get a |
| 15:54:24 | 14 | sneak peek at the exam. So let's go over it. |
| 15:54:27 | 15 | Slide 231. |
| 15:54:30 | 16 | Were they negligent in the selection of |
| 15:54:36 | 17 | Plato Marinakos as the owner's representative? |
| 15:54:39 | 18 | Darn straight they were. He'd never done it |
| 15:54:42 | 19 | before. |
| 15:54:46 | 20 | Slide 47. |
| 15:54:47 | 21 | "You'd never been an owner's |
| 15:54:52 | 22 | representative on a demolition contract before, |
| 15:54:53 | 23 | true? |
| 15:54:54 | 24 | "ANSWER: True." |
| 15:54:55 | 25 | Slide 48. |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:54:57 | 2 | He told you who was responsible for |
| 15:55:09 | 3 | selection. |
| 15:55:10 | 4 | Let's go back to slide 231. |
| 15:55:15 | 5 | Were they negligent in the selection of |
| 15:55:18 | 6 | Plato Marinakos as an owner's representative? |
| 15:55:22 | 7 | The answer to that is yes. They picked someone |
| 15:55:24 | 8 | who had never been an owner's representative |
| 15:55:26 | 9 | before. The contract required the owner's |
| 15:55:27 | 10 | representative to know OSHA. Plato Marinakos |
| 15:55:29 | 11 | didn't know anything about OSHA. Plato |
| 15:55:32 | 12 | Marinakos had never been an owner's |
| 15:55:34 | 13 | representative in a demolition project before |
| 15:55:36 | 14 | and he had no major demolition experience. |
| 15:55:38 | 15 | Pick somebody else. They made the wrong |
| 15:55:42 | 16 | choice. |
| 15:55:43 | 17 | And when we get to the judge's |
| 15:55:45 | 18 | instructions -- and everybody's told you listen |
| 15:55:49 | 19 | to her, not us -- you're going to get a charge |
| 15:55:53 | 20 | about negligent selection. And what I believe |
| 15:55:56 | 21 | it's going to say is if they negligently select |
| 15:56:01 | 22 | someone, they are responsible for that person's |
| 15:56:07 | 23 | negligence. If they negligently select Plato |
| 15:56:14 | 24 | Marinakos, they are responsible for Plato |
| 15:56:19 | 25 | Marinakos's negligence. |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:56:20 | 2 | So when Her Honor gets to that jury |
| 15:56:23 | 3 | charge about negligent selection, I ask that |
| 15:56:28 | 4 | you listen really, really carefully. And you |
| 15:56:32 | 5 | could also ask the Court to have it read back |
| 15:56:35 | 6 | later on if you're not sure about it. |
| 15:56:38 | 7 | So all of the negligence of Plato, |
| 15:56:41 | 8 | we'll submit -- and I'll talk about this |
| 15:56:43 | 9 | later -- we believe is the responsibility of |
| 15:56:47 | 10 | the people who negligently select him. And it |
| 15:56:50 | 11 | makes sense. |
| 15:56:52 | 12 | Let's go to the selection of Griffin |
| 15:56:57 | 13 | Campbell. We all know that Campbell was |
| 15:57:01 | 14 | incompetent. STB's own expert said he was |
| 15:57:06 | 15 | incompetent. He'd never done this before. Two |
| 15:57:08 | 16 | burned out rowhomes. No license. No |
| 15:57:11 | 17 | equipment. |
| 15:57:12 | 18 | Mr. Sprague talked about them |
| 15:57:15 | 19 | putting -- that Mr. Benschop was a bull in a |
| 15:57:20 | 20 | China shop and Mr. Campbell is the one who |
| 15:57:24 | 21 | brought him in. They hired the bull. They put |
| 15:57:33 | 22 | the bull in the China shop and then they |
| 15:57:36 | 23 | complain when the China gets crushed and |
| 15:57:40 | 24 | demolished. That's their fault. |
| 15:57:45 | 25 | And he tries to explain away and give |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:57:49 | 2 | you this analogy that this is like a plumber |
| 15:57:51 | 3 | fixing a toilet. No, it's not, Mr. Sprague. |
| 15:57:55 | 4 | This isn't a leak that somebody can slip on. |
| 15:58:00 | 5 | This is a sophisticated demolition project that |
| 15:58:04 | 6 | could kill and maim and crush people. And that |
| 15:58:10 | 7 | requires a different standard of care. And Mr. |
| 15:58:12 | 8 | Estrin told you and your common sense tells you |
| 15:58:15 | 9 | that. This isn't going to the dentist. If I |
| 15:58:18 | 10 | go to the wrong dentist and he drills -- does |
| 15:58:22 | 11 | something wrong, it's not going to kill 7 |
| 15:58:25 | 12 | people and injure 12 others. This is a totally |
| 15:58:28 | 13 | different situation. |
| 15:58:30 | 14 | And there is a reason why, regarding |
| 15:58:33 | 15 | this potent claim, Mr. Sprague didn't bring it |
| 15:58:36 | 16 | up for the first hour, because there's no |
| 15:58:41 | 17 | defense to it. |
| 15:58:42 | 18 | So if we could go back to that slide |
| 15:58:44 | 19 | with the jury verdict question, Mr. Sterling, |
| 15:58:47 | 20 | if you could find that. |
| 15:58:54 | 21 | Check it off for Plato. And when you |
| 15:58:57 | 22 | get to Griffin Campbell, I want you to do the |
| 15:58:59 | 23 | same thing. They talk about relying upon Mr. |
| 15:59:04 | 24 | Campbell for means and methods. And do you |
| 15:59:07 | 25 | remember Mr. Simmonds' testimony? |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15:59:09 | 2 | You can take that down. Slide 58. |
| 15:59:16 | 3 | It would not be reasonable to rely upon |
| 15:59:20 | 4 | the inexperienced and incompetent contractor to |
| 15:59:23 | 5 | perform means and methods. Right? |
| 15:59:31 | 6 | That's right. And we know. We know. |
| 15:59:34 | 7 | So that what do they say? We relied upon |
| 15:59:38 | 8 | Plato? Mr. Clain handled that pretty well |
| 15:59:42 | 9 | today. It wasn't Plato's decision. It was Mr. |
| 15:59:46 | 10 | Basciano's decision. Mr. Marinakos told you |
| 15:59:55 | 11 | that Mr. Basciano had final approval. Mr. |
| 15:59:57 | 12 | Basciano, he was only making a recommendation. |
| 16:00:00 | 13 | It was their responsibility to vet the witness. |
| 16:00:04 | 14 | It was their responsibility to ask the |
| 16:00:05 | 15 | questions. |
| 16:00:09 | 16 | Mr. Basciano testified, "Did you |
| 16:00:12 | 17 | approve Griffin Campbell as the contractor in |
| 16:00:15 | 18 | this case? |
| 16:00:16 | 19 | "I approved it. I want to make it |
| 16:00:17 | 20 | clear that I relied on Thom Simmonds, my |
| 16:00:21 | 21 | property manager, that looked at it." |
| 16:00:24 | 22 | And Mr. Basciano, in this courtroom, in |
| 16:00:28 | 23 | front of you, told you, "You would have |
| 16:00:31 | 24 | expected Thom Simmonds to have screened Griffin |
| 16:00:34 | 25 | Campbell? |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:00:34 | 2 | "I assume so. |
| 16:00:35 | 3 | "You mean you would assume he was going |
| 16:00:37 | 4 | to sign the contract. He's going to make sure |
| 16:00:39 | 5 | the man is qualified, correct? |
| 16:00:41 | 6 | "ANSWER: I would agree." |
| 16:00:42 | 7 | And in the most damning testimony - |
| 16:00:45 | 8 | slide 75 -- that Mr. Basciano gave on |
| 16:00:49 | 9 | cross-examination. |
| 16:00:51 | 10 | "So you wouldn't have expected your |
| 16:00:52 | 11 | right-hand man to take the basic step of |
| 16:00:56 | 12 | picking up the phone and talking to the |
| 16:00:58 | 13 | contractor that you're going to rely upon? |
| 16:01:01 | 14 | "I would like -- I would hope he would |
| 16:01:04 | 15 | have done that. |
| 16:01:04 | 16 | "Okay. |
| 16:01:05 | 17 | "ANSWER:" -- he volunteers. Not some |
| 16:01:07 | 18 | lawyer's trick question. "We wouldn't be |
| 16:01:10 | 19 | sitting here today. |
| 16:01:12 | 20 | "That's right, sir. We wouldn't be |
| 16:01:16 | 21 | sitting here today if he had picked up the |
| 16:01:18 | 22 | phone, talked to Griffin Campbell and found out |
| 16:01:20 | 23 | that his sole experience was tearing down two |
| 16:01:23 | 24 | burned out rowhomes on Erie Avenue; is that |
| 16:01:26 | 25 | right?" |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:01:26 | 2 | Slide 76. |
| 16:01:29 | 3 | "A hundred percent right." |
| 16:01:33 | 4 | And they are responsible. There's no |
| 16:01:35 | 5 | doubt about it. When you get to that jury |
| 16:01:38 | 6 | verdict question as to whether they negligently |
| 16:01:43 | 7 | selected Griffin Campbell and whether that was |
| 16:01:45 | 8 | the cause of the accident, of course it was, no |
| 16:01:48 | 9 | matter how you slice it. And I'm going to come |
| 16:01:51 | 10 | to this a little later. |
| 16:01:52 | 11 | One of two things happened. Either |
| 16:01:55 | 12 | Griffin Campbell followed what Basciano told |
| 16:01:59 | 13 | Marinakos to do -- and I think that you can |
| 16:02:02 | 14 | infer that -- because of the pressure and |
| 16:02:06 | 15 | followed the plan. Or if you believe Mr. |
| 16:02:08 | 16 | Marinakos that conversation didn't take place, |
| 16:02:11 | 17 | and then Campbell did it because he was totally |
| 16:02:14 | 18 | incompetent and he didn't even know what he was |
| 16:02:16 | 19 | doing. |
| 16:02:16 | 20 | So it doesn't matter. It doesn't |
| 16:02:20 | 21 | matter. The cause of the accident, the cause |
| 16:02:24 | 22 | of the collapse is because Griffin Campbell |
| 16:02:30 | 23 | didn't know what he was doing. And think about |
| 16:02:32 | 24 | the men who were out there that day and the |
| 16:02:36 | 25 | days before. June 2nd, June 3rd, June 4th, |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:02:40 | 2 | June 5th. Who was most at risk? The building |
| 16:02:43 | 3 | was going to fall down. It was them. They |
| 16:02:47 | 4 | didn't even know it. That's how clueless they |
| 16:02:52 | 5 | were to demolition hazards. They didn't even |
| 16:02:55 | 6 | understand the peril that had been created. |
| 16:03:00 | 7 | Mr. Basciano told us everything we |
| 16:03:05 | 8 | needed to know when we asked him the question, |
| 16:03:09 | 9 | "Have you ever made a choice" -- slide 79. |
| 16:03:18 | 10 | "Have you ever, ever chosen a contractor or |
| 16:03:21 | 11 | bidder based upon the quality of their work |
| 16:03:24 | 12 | rather than their prices? |
| 16:03:26 | 13 | "ANSWER: No." |
| 16:03:28 | 14 | You get what you pay for. And what you |
| 16:03:30 | 15 | pay for, under what Her Honor, I believe is |
| 16:03:33 | 16 | going to instruct you, is that if you |
| 16:03:35 | 17 | negligently select Plato and you negligently |
| 16:03:38 | 18 | select Campbell, you bought their negligence. |
| 16:03:42 | 19 | That's the price you pay. |
| 16:03:45 | 20 | Mr. Sprague told you, Well, they took |
| 16:03:58 | 21 | down four buildings successfully. Well, we |
| 16:04:01 | 22 | know, because we went through it in detail, |
| 16:04:04 | 23 | that when they took down the Forum, it was done |
| 16:04:10 | 24 | in violation of OSHA. It was done in violation |
| 16:04:14 | 25 | of ANSI. And they were trying to rip down that |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:04:16 | 2 | big wall which had been left there. And we |
| 16:04:18 | 3 | know, when they took down 2132, 2134, there |
| 16:04:23 | 4 | were violations of OSHA and ANSI, because they |
| 16:04:27 | 5 | were ripping down a building. And we know that |
| 16:04:32 | 6 | Mr. Sprague said that that was done |
| 16:04:35 | 7 | successfully. So one has to wonder what STB |
| 16:04:39 | 8 | and Basciano's definition of success is. And I |
| 16:04:42 | 9 | think the evidence in this case is their |
| 16:04:45 | 10 | definition of success is to break the law, |
| 16:04:48 | 11 | violate OSHA, violate ANSI, violate the |
| 16:04:52 | 12 | Philadelphia code, and not get caught. |
| 16:04:59 | 13 | The illegal beginning of the permit. |
| 16:05:02 | 14 | That was a success. A blatant violation that |
| 16:05:04 | 15 | they talk about in the e-mails. That's |
| 16:05:08 | 16 | successful? No, it's not. |
| 16:05:10 | 17 | Let's talk a little bit about the |
| 16:05:15 | 18 | project. Mr. Snyder talked about in closing -- |
| 16:05:22 | 19 | 247, Mr. Sterling. |
| 16:05:24 | 20 | I showed you all these pictures. April |
| 16:05:26 | 21 | 29th, blah, blah, blah. Remember this May 1st |
| 16:05:30 | 22 | photo, we went over it last week with Dr. |
| 16:05:33 | 23 | Caulfield? The sunlight photo, I call it. You |
| 16:05:35 | 24 | know what this is about. He was claiming that |
| 16:05:38 | 25 | the floors above hadn't been removed. Are you |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:05:40 | 2 | kidding me? There's light shining through. |
| 16:05:46 | 3 | This is the second floor. This proves that the |
| 16:05:49 | 4 | third and fourth floor were removed. And if |
| 16:05:52 | 5 | there were joists on the third and fourth |
| 16:05:54 | 6 |  |
| 16:05:55 | 7 | different joists patterns and shadows shown in |
| 16:05:58 | 8 | this photograph? Come on. |
| 16:06:00 | 9 | This project was dangerous in May and |
| 16:06:04 | 10 | it got more and more dangerous. They violated |
| 16:06:11 | 11 | federal law. The project was in violation -- |
| 16:06:16 | 12 | 96, please. I'm sorry. Let's go to 97. |
| 16:06:21 | 13 | We showed you the federal law. Didn't |
| 16:06:24 | 14 | have a wall section more than one story in |
| 16:06:29 | 15 | existence on a demolition project. Why? |
| 16:06:31 | 16 | Because the wall could fall over. You can't -- |
| 16:06:34 | 17 | we showed you the other safety provision. |
| 16:06:36 | 18 | Federal law. You can't leave -- you can't |
| 16:06:44 | 19 | demolish more than one story at the same time. |
| 16:06:48 | 20 | Why? Because of Jenga. Because when you pull |
| 16:06:50 | 21 | stuff out of the bottom, the top becomes more |
| 16:06:52 | 22 | unstable. You don't need to be a demolition |
| 16:06:54 | 23 | genius to figure that out. |
| 16:06:57 | 24 | And this project -- and it's important |
| 16:06:59 | 25 | not only for STB, but for The Salvation Army, |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:07:03 | 2 | because you know our theory. Mr. Sprague I |
| 16:07:09 | 3 | think said it very well. When you get e-mails, |
| 16:07:13 | 4 | you got to go check it out. The people who |
| 16:07:18 | 5 | were in the store that day are just as precious |
| 16:07:20 | 6 | as his precious grandchildren. And so we have |
| 16:07:29 | 7 | proven to you, with photographs, that this |
| 16:07:34 | 8 | project was in violation of federal law. You |
| 16:07:39 | 9 | can infer it on May 1st and we could prove it |
| 16:07:42 | 10 | to you proof positive May 16th, when Mr. Estrin |
| 16:07:50 | 11 | showed you and went through the OSHA |
| 16:07:53 | 12 | violations. And there were 20 of them. |
| 16:07:57 | 13 | Now, some of them, I admit, weren't |
| 16:08:00 | 14 | causative. They had to do with housekeeping. |
| 16:08:02 | 15 | They had to do with fall protection. But they |
| 16:08:05 | 16 | told anybody who went out and looked that this |
| 16:08:08 | 17 | project was dangerous because these are safety |
| 16:08:13 | 18 | regulations. And the definition, if you |
| 16:08:17 | 19 | violate safety regulations, then the project is |
| 16:08:22 | 20 | unsafe. |
| 16:08:26 | 21 | There's a thing about photographs, |
| 16:08:29 | 22 | unlike witnesses. They don't forget, they |
| 16:08:36 | 23 | don't lie and they don't change their story. |
| 16:08:39 | 24 | And that's why the most compelling evidence in |
| 16:08:44 | 25 | this case that there was a danger. So |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:08:46 | 2 | Salvation Army and Mr. Snyder didn't bother to |
| 16:08:48 | 3 | address. Why? Because he knows it's a danger |
| 16:08:52 | 4 | to the heart of The Salvation Army defense. |
| 16:08:55 | 5 | Who stood up and told you that this |
| 16:08:59 | 6 | project violated OSHA? Remember I went through |
| 16:09:01 | 7 | with them? May 21, May 22, May 23. I took |
| 16:09:06 | 8 | them every single day. You probably got sick |
| 16:09:09 | 9 | and tired of me. "Please, Mr. Mongeluzzi, you |
| 16:09:11 | 10 | got to go through every day?" |
| 16:09:15 | 11 | It broke the law every day. There are |
| 16:09:19 | 12 | four laws having to do with demolition. There |
| 16:09:29 | 13 | is the OSHA law. That's federal law. There |
| 16:09:34 | 14 | are the ANSI regulations. That's an American |
| 16:09:36 | 15 | national standard. There is the city code and |
| 16:09:40 | 16 | there is the International Building Code. |
| 16:09:42 | 17 | They violated everything. They |
| 16:09:45 | 18 | violated the International Code. That's a |
| 16:09:47 | 19 | worldwide code. They violated the American |
| 16:09:51 | 20 | National Standard. They violated federal law |
| 16:09:53 | 21 | and the city code. What code didn't they |
| 16:09:56 | 22 | violate? I mean, unless there's other codes in |
| 16:09:59 | 23 | other galaxies we don't know about, they |
| 16:10:02 | 24 | violated every possible code regarding |
| 16:10:04 | 25 | demolition you could possibly imagine. The |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:10:08 | 2 | Salvation Army would have known that if they |
| 16:10:09 | 3 | had investigated this. |
| 16:10:11 | 4 | So let's get to June 2nd. You've seen |
| 16:10:26 | 5 | this photo a lot. It seems Mr. Sterling has |
| 16:10:29 | 6 | taken ill, so I'm going to have to go back to |
| 16:10:33 | 7 | the old fashioned way and just use -- oh. 23, |
| 16:10:39 | 8 | please. |
| 16:10:41 | 9 | You know this. And what do we know |
| 16:10:44 | 10 | from this? We know there's three stories of |
| 16:10:48 | 11 | unsupported wall there. Two stories here. Two |
| 16:10:51 | 12 | stories on this side. We know that the fourth |
| 16:10:55 | 13 | floor is partially demolished. The third floor |
| 16:10:58 | 14 | is partially demolished. The second floor is |
| 16:11:00 | 15 | partially demolished. The first floor is |
| 16:11:02 | 16 | partially demolished. We know that this |
| 16:11:04 | 17 | violates federal law. It violates national |
| 16:11:07 | 18 | standards. There's no sidewalk protection, no |
| 16:11:09 | 19 | oof protection. This photo proves |
| 16:11:12 | 20 | conclusively it violates everything. And The |
| 16:11:17 | 21 | Salvation Army would have known that. |
| 16:11:18 | 22 | I'm going to pop around a little bit |
| 16:11:21 | 23 | here. There's going to be some other questions |
| 16:11:26 | 24 | for you to decide regarding STB and Mr. |
| 16:11:34 | 25 | Basciano, whether they retained control. And |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:11:36 | 2 | we spent a lot of time on that. And we know |
| 16:11:38 | 3 | they did. They took over means and methods. |
| 16:11:41 | 4 | Griffin Campbell wasn't on a single e-mail. |
| 16:11:45 | 5 | Who was? Tommy Simmonds. Tommy Simmonds is |
| 16:11:48 | 6 | Richard Basciano's employee. Everything he did |
| 16:11:52 | 7 | binds both Mr. Basciano and STB Corporation for |
| 16:11:57 | 8 | which he signed as an agent. Everything Thom |
| 16:12:01 | 9 | Simmonds did is on behalf of both STB and |
| 16:12:05 | 10 | Richard Basciano. |
| 16:12:06 | 11 | Mr. Basciano was there. Who told us |
| 16:12:09 | 12 | that? Do you remember when they called Tariq |
| 16:12:11 | 13 | Henry? STB called him as their witness. Mr. |
| 16:12:15 | 14 | Basciano called Tariq Henry. What did he say? |
| 16:12:17 | 15 | He said Mr. Basciano was standing there and he |
| 16:12:22 | 16 | told you, and Mr. Sprague stood up, talked |
| 16:12:26 | 17 | about when Mr. Basciano was cross-examined. He |
| 16:12:31 | 18 | said, "I've lived through hell." |
| 16:12:38 | 19 | No, Mr. Basciano, the survivors lived |
| 16:12:43 | 20 | in hell. And the people who died, died in |
| 16:12:48 | 21 | hell. They want to blame it all on Sean |
| 16:12:58 | 22 | Benschop and Griffin Campbell. |
| 16:13:00 | 23 | So when it comes to whether you find |
| 16:13:04 | 24 | their conduct -- if we could go to slide 233 -- |
| 16:13:09 | 25 | hold them accountable. Was it extreme and |
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE outrageous? Of course it was. Everything they did, from the illegal permit beginning to the dangerous case e-mail. Everything that they did was extreme and outrageous. Check that off. Was it outrageous? Malicious, wanton, willful, or oppressive, or shows a reckless indifference to the interests of others? What could be more recklessly indifferent than what they did? And hold him, and when it comes to 234, Mr. Basciano's own negligence. He does business through a d/b/a. Was it a cause of harm? Darn straight it was. Was it extreme and outrageous, his conduct? Yes, it was. Find them both responsible, both Mr. Basciano and them.

> Then you're going to come to Plato. 235.

Do you think that Mr. Marinakos and I got along real well in this courtroom? Do you think that Mr. Higgins and I got along real well in this courtroom? I deposed him for five days. I took him on in this courtroom, and I'm going to tell you something. Was he negligent? Darn straight.

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:14:56 | 2 | But under the law, if he is negligently |
| 16:15:00 | 3 | selected, and he was, that negligence goes on |
| 16:15:09 | 4 | the people who negligently selected him. So |
| 16:15:13 | 5 | this is me, the guy who went after him, telling |
| 16:15:18 | 6 | you, take that negligence and put it on STB. |
| 16:15:23 | 7 | Griffin Campbell. 236. Was he |
| 16:15:32 | 8 | negligent? It's already going to be checked |
| 16:15:34 | 9 | off. And, again, he was negligently selected. |
| 16:15:39 | 10 | Whatever negligence you put on Griffin Campbell |
| 16:15:42 | 11 | goes to the person, if you find negligent |
| 16:15:45 | 12 | selection, it goes to them. Put it on STB. |
| 16:15:47 | 13 | I want to talk to you a little bit |
| 16:15:49 | 14 | before I go into The Salvation Army |
| 16:15:59 | 15 | If we could pull up slide 39, please. |
| 16:16:04 | 16 | This building was slowly robbed of its |
| 16:16:07 | 17 | lateral stability. Sean Benschop was told to |
| 16:16:10 | 18 | show up. He came in on June 1st and he was |
| 16:16:13 | 19 | told that you're going to take the building |
| 16:16:15 | 20 | down from front to back. And that's exactly |
| 16:16:17 | 21 | what he did. Remember they talked about it's |
| 16:16:19 | 22 | outrageous that he was chipping at the eastern |
| 16:16:22 | 23 | wall? Remember that? This morning. It |
| 16:16:24 | 24 | couldn't have been anticipated. Unbelievable. |
| 16:16:28 | 25 | But that's what he did on Sunday. And that's |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:16:31 | 2 | what he did on June 4th, where he chipped away |
| 16:16:36 | 3 | at the rest of the wall and ripped all of the |
| 16:16:38 | 4 | joists and remaining columns out of here. This |
| 16:16:44 | 5 | wasn't something that just happened on June |
| 16:16:47 | 6 | 5 h , where he took out two columns -- I'm |
| 16:16:49 | 7 | sorry. Eight out of 900 joists. They are |
| 16:16:55 | 8 | turning the principle on its head. He was the |
| 16:16:58 | 9 | straw that broke the camel's back. |
| 16:17:00 | 10 | If someone comes in here and demolishes |
| 16:17:02 | 11 | that column right there, right there above the |
| 16:17:05 | 12 | bench, again and again and again, and weakens |
| 16:17:08 | 13 | it and weakens and weakens it. And then I come |
| 16:17:10 | 14 | in and I lean against it at sidebar, they would |
| 16:17:14 | 15 | claim that I'm responsible. In fact, that was |
| 16:17:17 | 16 | a slow, steady cancer that started in early May |
| 16:17:22 | 17 | or late April and it grew and they removed the |
| 16:17:27 | 18 | lateral supports. |
| 16:17:29 | 19 | So when they just tell you it's Sean |
| 16:17:32 | 20 | Benschop's responsibility, they are wrong. And |
| 16:17:34 | 21 | by the way, that goes on STB. He wouldn't have |
| 16:17:39 | 22 | been there unless they had not picked Griffin |
| 16:17:43 | 23 | Campbell. |
| 16:17:49 | 24 | Mr. Sprague said the dumpster obviously |
| 16:17:52 | 25 | was there because they were going to put metal |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:17:54 | 2 | in it. What metal? What is he talking about? |
| 16:17:58 | 3 | I don't know what he's been looking at, but you |
| 16:18:01 | 4 | know what was happening. You know what they |
| 16:18:03 | 5 | did on the 4 th and on the 2 nd and what they |
| 16:18:06 | 6 | were continuing to do on the 5th. They were |
| 16:18:09 | 7 | tearing the building down from front to back |
| 16:18:10 | 8 | and they were chipping away at the eastern wall |
| 16:18:13 | 9 | because the workers didn't understand enough to |
| 16:18:15 | 10 | figure out that if you take away the rest of |
| 16:18:17 | 11 | the eastern wall, it may collapse to the |
| 16:18:20 | 12 | interior. And Mr. Clain told you that the |
| 16:18:25 | 13 | western wall didn't have anything to do with it |
| 16:18:28 | 14 | because it was the building that was collapsed. |
| 16:18:31 | 15 | Oh, no. That's where the victims were. That's |
| 16:18:34 | 16 | what crushed them, was the western wall. |
| 16:18:38 | 17 | Okay. Let's turn to The Salvation |
| 16:18:46 | 18 | Army. Salvation Army, when we get to |
| 16:18:52 | 19 | negligence, and there's going to be a |
| 16:18:54 | 20 | negligence question. The Salvation Army, this |
| 16:18:58 | 21 | Court is going to tell you, is a corporation |
| 16:19:00 | 22 | and they get judged like any other person. And |
| 16:19:03 | 23 | that's fair. They shouldn't be judged |
| 16:19:05 | 24 | differently because they're a corporation. How |
| 16:19:08 | 25 | would that be fair? |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:19:09 | 2 | My law firm is a corporation. |
| 16:19:12 | 3 | Shouldn't be judged any differently than a |
| 16:19:14 | 4 | person. But my law firm counts. It's one |
| 16:19:19 | 5 | person. And I got to be responsible for what |
| 16:19:27 | 6 | happens, whether it's my partner or my |
| 16:19:29 | 7 | associates, or the other workers. And when we |
| 16:19:35 | 8 | have knowledge, you're one person. So when you |
| 16:19:38 | 9 | look at this reasonable person, you got to say |
| 16:19:42 | 10 | to yourself, What did The Salvation Army do? |
| 16:19:45 | 11 | Remember Major Deitrick's e-mails? |
| 16:19:50 | 12 | Almost every one had at the bottom, "The only |
| 16:19:53 | 13 | preparation for tomorrow is the right use of |
| 16:19:57 | 14 | today." |
| 16:20:00 | 15 | If only you had followed that, Major |
| 16:20:04 | 16 | Deitrick, we wouldn't be sitting here today. |
| 16:20:08 | 17 | Mr. Snyder told you, and I wrote this |
| 16:20:11 | 18 | down, The Salvation Army had no idea what was |
| 16:20:13 | 19 | going on. That's not correct. Because you |
| 16:20:20 | 20 | have to judge the whole person. See, they want |
| 16:20:26 | 21 | to split upper command and the store employees |
| 16:20:30 | 22 | as if they are two different groups and two |
| 16:20:33 | 23 | different organizations. Oh, no. When it |
| 16:20:37 | 24 | comes to The Salvation Army, that one person |
| 16:20:42 | 25 | received the e-mails about the hazard. |

$16: 20: 45$

16:20:49
$16: 20: 52$
$16: 20: 54$
$16: 20: 57$

16:20:59
$16: 21: 01$
$16: 21: 07$
16:21:10
$16: 21: 12$

16:21:14
$16: 21: 18$
$16: 21: 22$
$16: 21: 25$
$16: 21: 28$
$16: 21: 31$
$16: 21: 34$
$16: 21: 36$
$16: 21: 42$

16:21:47
$16: 21: 51$

16:21:58

16:22:02
$16: 22: 09$

IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE Received the letter about the hazard. Claims that they had an agreement that there wouldn't be demolition being done, but they knew that demolition was being done because they saw it with their own eyes and they heard it with their own ears and they felt it in vibrations. That's what the whole Salvation Army knew.

The whole Salvation Army knew, from Mr. Higgins, that there had to be pedestrian protection for the safety of the men and women who shopped there, donated there, and worked there. And that whole Salvation Army knew that that safety rule was being violated, and they could care less. Mr. Higgins sent them an e-mail on May 21, telling them perimeter protection is required, pedestrian protection is required. And he sent them photos documenting it. And they could care less.

So Mr. Snyder said, "What's the standard of care?" As if The Salvation Army -and he said, "What is it, safety?" And then he laughed. Yeah, Mr. Snyder, it's safety. That's the standard, because lives matter. And our experts came in and they gave you very
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE common sense understanding.

What is it? If there's a hazard, you have to investigate the hazard. And if it's there, you got to remediate the hazard.

We had some good laughs about my analogy and my good friend Vinnie at the supermarket. You're chuckling. But it's common sense.

See, The Salvation Army was told there's a spill in aisle 4. And Mr. Snyder says, if they got e-mails saying there's a spill in aisle 4, or you're a storekeeper with 280 retail outlets in the northeast alone and you get an e-mail saying there's a tree from another property that's leaning over and could fall and kill people. Dr. Purswell tells us, as Mr. Sprague aptly noted, that's not a warning because it doesn't tell you the consequences of the act.

And they brought in a guy, who was a professor in the field of ergonomics. That's ergonomics? My response to that is ergonomics schmergonoics. Are you kidding me? If Dr. Purswell was in that supermarket, he would say,
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE Vinnie, don't go to aisle 4, because they didn't tell us what the consequences would be if someone could fall. He would tell us, I didn't tell you how to clean it up, to bring a mop, so it doesn't qualify as a warning and, therefore, you shouldn't do anything about it.

And every one of us know from when we were a kid, you spill something on the floor, clean it up. Why? Because somebody can slip in it and get hurt. And the danger is magnified in a demolition because we're not talking about slipping on water in a supermarket. We're talking about a demolition collapse that could cause hundreds of tons of brick and concrete to come down. That was the magnitude of the risk.

246, please.
Mr. Snyder showed you this. Remember this? "Danger took the weekend off." And I questioned his expert, his expert, Wendell Rust.

Slide 245.
Remember he admitted to us it was in violation of OSHA safety standards?

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:25:07 | 2 | "And in between May 22 nd and May 31st, |
| 16:25:10 | 3 | the OSHA hazard didn't take off the weekend or |
| 16:25:13 | 4 | the holiday, did it? It was still there; true? |
| 16:25:16 | 5 | "True. |
| 16:25:16 | 6 | "And it was then still there on June |
| 16:25:18 | 7 | 1st and June 2 nd and June 3rd and June 4th, |
| 16:25:21 | 8 | right?" |
| 16:25:22 | 9 | Go back to 246. |
| 16:25:26 | 10 | This photograph. The Salvation Army |
| 16:25:32 | 11 | still doesn't get it. There was a danger to |
| 16:25:35 | 12 | life and limb, and they didn't do a darn thing |
| 16:25:42 | 13 | about it. And their slide, showing someone |
| 16:25:47 | 14 | laying on the beach, represents The Salvation |
| 16:25:52 | 15 | Army and their response to a hazard that they |
| 16:25:55 | 16 | were told about and their employees feared. |
| 16:25:58 | 17 | Take that down. |
| 16:26:00 | 18 | They read it. They saw it. They heard |
| 16:26:05 | 19 | it. They felt it. They feared it and they |
| 16:26:07 | 20 | knew it. |
| 16:26:08 | 21 | First of all, it was there. There has |
| 16:26:15 | 22 | been no evidence from anybody that says that |
| 16:26:19 | 23 | the project wasn't dangerous and wasn't in |
| 16:26:23 | 24 | violation of OSHA. |
| 16:26:24 | 25 | What's their big argument? Big |
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE argument has been, Well, it wasn't going to fall down at that minute. So imagine that there's a bridge that connects New Jersey to Philadelphia and it's got a crack in it. And it's not going to fail right away. But that crack is getting worse. Their experts, and these defendants, would tell you don't shut the bridge down, even though it might collapse as it gets worse. Keep it open until it's imminently dangerous. Let's stop it a minute before we think it's going to collapse.

Did they ever tell you when they would have stopped the project? Did they ever bring in anybody to tell you, Yeah, maybe by June 4th. Dr. Purswell admits by June 4th, if there was an excavator, it should have been shut down. I'm going to show that you testimony.

That's not the standard. The Court isn't going to tell you in the charge, Ah, the only time you have to respond to safety of it is if it's imminently dangerous. That's not reasonable. It's not what people do.

If a sign is deteriorating above a commercial store, you don't wait until it falls
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE down. If it's got eight bolts, you don't wait until seven of them are broken. That tells you about their safety philosophy, which is, We don't care about people because we don't care about safety. That's what their conduct has shown in this case.

So we know that the project actually was dangerous. We know it did violate OSHA. We know it did violate ANSI. We know it did violate the International Building Code, and we know it did violate the city code at the time the e-mails were sent and at the time the letter was sent.

So we know that they got the e-mails and there actually was a spill in aisle 4, and they're still telling you we shouldn't have done anything and we were reasonable, even though we were told, even though it existed.

And that doesn't matter what other people thought. They keep blaming other people. Well, Mr. Mondlak wasn't concerned. Mr. Mondlak was a city official. He got e-mails indicating there was a danger and a threat to life and limb, and he did nothing
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE about it. You think he was going to come into here, into this courtroom and say, You know what? You're right. I want to admit, as a government official, in the most horrible catastrophe in Philadelphia history, I believed it.

Nobody is going to say that. That's why every single person who was on those e-mails and on those letters has ran the other way. They have run from them, because they don't want to be tarred with 7 deaths and 12 injuries. And maybe, maybe, maybe it's their unconscious doing it. Maybe that's the way they need to remember it so they could get up in the morning and get through the day. Because to get up in the morning for anybody who was on those e-mails and letters, and say to yourself, as you look into the mirror every day when you know there are families, as you walk to your breakfast table, who have an empty chair there, maybe that's the way you process it in your brain to be able to go to get through the day. So Mr. Snyder spent a lot of this trial

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:31:07 | 2 | on the five questions. There are five |
| 16:31:09 | 3 | questions. Isn't it interesting there's been |
| 16:31:12 | 4 | so much talk about questions and then your |
| 16:31:14 | 5 | homework is to fill out 37 questions, huh? |
| 16:31:18 | 6 | Ironic. And I want to talk about that a little |
| 16:31:23 | 7 | bit. |
| 16:31:23 | 8 | Well, they didn't answer our five |
| 16:31:26 | 9 | questions. So what? There were two things |
| 16:31:32 | 10 | that were contained in the e-mails and the |
| 16:31:34 | 11 | letters. There were questions and there was |
| 16:31:40 | 12 | expressions of danger. They're two separate |
| 16:31:43 | 13 | things. |
| 16:31:44 | 14 | If I get an e-mail from my neighbor and |
| 16:31:48 | 15 | it says there's a danger. A tree is going to |
| 16:31:53 | 16 | fall into your yard and kill the people who are |
| 16:31:57 | 17 | coming to your party on Saturday. And I send |
| 16:32:02 | 18 | them a letter back -- because he says, I need |
| 16:32:05 | 19 | access to your yard to be able to remove the |
| 16:32:06 | 20 | tree. And then I send him a letter back |
| 16:32:12 | 21 | saying, What part of my yard do you need? What |
| 16:32:14 | 22 | sort of equipment is going to come in? Is it |
| 16:32:16 | 23 | going to tear up my lawn? What time are these |
| 16:32:18 | 24 | people going to come? How long are they going |
| 16:32:20 | 25 | to be there? It's reasonable. |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:32:22 | 2 | But it's not reasonable to invite |
| 16:32:24 | 3 | people to the party if I don't get an answer to |
| 16:32:27 | 4 | the question, because I am then knowingly |
| 16:32:32 | 5 | exposing them to danger, and that's exactly |
| 16:32:34 | 6 | what The Salvation Army Corporation did. They |
| 16:32:36 | 7 | knowingly and intentionally exposed people to |
| 16:32:42 | 8 | danger. That was the choices that their upper |
| 16:32:46 | 9 | command made. |
| 16:32:48 | 10 | And then he talks about, Well, we |
| 16:32:53 | 11 | responded in a really fast period of time. So |
| 16:32:57 | 12 | what? Like if you guys filled out all the |
| 16:33:00 | 13 | questions in eight minutes, that's a good |
| 16:33:04 | 14 | thing. No. The fact that they responded in |
| 16:33:08 | 15 | such a short period of time to e-mails and |
| 16:33:11 | 16 | letters, which expressed danger, shows you that |
| 16:33:13 | 17 | they could care less. You know what this was |
| 16:33:19 | 18 | about. There was bad blood between these |
| 16:33:23 | 19 | parties because of a failed building swap. And |
| 16:33:28 | 20 | they didn't like Thom Simmonds and they weren't |
| 16:33:31 | 21 | going to do anything to cooperate, even if it |
| 16:33:36 | 22 | put the people who were at the store in peril. |
| 16:33:42 | 23 | I told you that this was a game of |
| 16:33:47 | 24 | chicken. I talked about those movies from the |
| 16:33:52 | 25 | $50 s$ with the two guys who are driving the cars |
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE at each other and they're coming closer and closer and closer to this catastrophic head-on collision, and I suggested that that's what The Salvation Army and STB were doing. I was wrong. Because in the game of chicken, both people are at risk. But the game of chicken was being played between STB and The Salvation Army upper command, and neither of them were in the car and in the zone of danger. No. The people who were in that car, which were being driven by somebody else, with risks they didn't know about, were the victims of this collapse. You know what these defendants did? You know what The Salvation Army did? They took away their choice. They gambled on their lives. And they didn't give them the information that they had.

I told you they knew it, because they knew protection wasn't there. It actually existed. They got the e-mails and the letters about it and the people at The Salvation Army store, who testified, who were sitting here in this courtroom, they saw it. They heard it. They felt it.

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:35:28 | 2 | How much time do I have? |
| 16:35:30 | 3 | MR. GOODMAN: 52 minutes. |
| 16:35:35 | 4 | MR. MONGELUZZI: We've got a lot to |
| 16:35:37 | 5 | talk about Salvation Army's credibility in this |
| 16:35:40 | 6 | case. I think it's a major issue. You're |
| 16:35:45 | 7 | really smart and you get it. |
| 16:35:48 | 8 | You know, they say even though there |
| 16:35:53 | 9 | are different people in the store and they have |
| 16:35:55 | 10 | different days off, and even though they were |
| 16:35:57 | 11 | being asked questions, months, if not years |
| 16:35:59 | 12 | afterwards. Well, this one said the sound |
| 16:36:04 | 13 | started week-and-a-half and this one said it |
| 16:36:05 | 14 | was two weeks and this one heard it on the roof |
| 16:36:07 | 15 | and this one heard it on the wall. |
| 16:36:11 | 16 | Doesn't that tell you that they're |
| 16:36:13 | 17 | telling the truth, right? Or would you think |
| 16:36:17 | 18 | they were telling the truth if they came in, as |
| 16:36:20 | 19 | if they were following the script, where every |
| 16:36:24 | 20 | single one of them said the same exact thing? |
| 16:36:29 | 21 | That's what they said. You know what that |
| 16:36:33 | 22 | code's for. That code's for attacking the |
| 16:36:37 | 23 | workers of The Salvation Army who were sitting |
| 16:36:40 | 24 | there, saying that they weren't credible. And |
| 16:36:45 | 25 | it was interesting, wasn't it, that they said |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:36:50 | 2 | that about the store employees but not about |
| 16:36:52 | 3 | upper command. |
| 16:36:54 | 4 | What else was very curious? How about |
| 16:36:57 | 5 | none of them would say the building collapsed? |
| 16:37:01 | 6 | Remember that? All of them said the building |
| 16:37:04 | 7 | was pushed over or knocked over. I find that |
| 16:37:09 | 8 | curious. Because everybody else has said it's |
| 16:37:11 | 9 | a collapse. Why didn't they say that? Because |
| 16:37:15 | 10 | the word "collapse" -- "uncontrolled collapse" |
| 16:37:19 | 11 | is in the letter. So all the upper command |
| 16:37:22 | 12 | people came in and refused to use the word |
| 16:37:25 | 13 | "collapse". And all of The Salvation Army |
| 16:37:28 | 14 | experts came in and refused to use the word |
| 16:37:33 | 15 | "collapse." |
| 16:37:35 | 16 | And then there was these other things, |
| 16:37:38 | 17 | all of them said there was an agreement |
| 16:37:39 | 18 | regarding no demolition on May 10th, even |
| 16:37:44 | 19 | though nobody from STB ever said that. Even |
| 16:37:48 | 20 | though they all conveniently couldn't remember |
| 16:37:50 | 21 | who said it on the television. Even though |
| 16:37:54 | 22 | it's not in the e-mail that Mr. Wolfington |
| 16:38:00 | 23 | reated, and even though their own employee |
| 16:38:03 | 24 | sent an e-mail moments letter, saying it stated |
| 16:38:07 | 25 | there are no commitments. But they claim there |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:38:10 | 2 | was a commitment. |
| 16:38:12 | 3 | Why would they all say that? Why would |
| 16:38:15 | 4 | they have people come in and say there was no |
| 16:38:17 | 5 | demolition between May 22 nd and June 1st, which |
| 16:38:23 | 6 | you watched Dr. Purswell get demolished on. |
| 16:38:28 | 7 | And then Dr. Caulfield, who is in the |
| 16:38:31 | 8 | courtroom, who was testifying the next day, who |
| 16:38:34 | 9 | had put in his report that there was no |
| 16:38:38 | 10 | demolition between May 22nd and June 2nd, all |
| 16:38:43 | 11 | of a sudden backed off. Why did all The |
| 16:38:47 | 12 | Salvation Army people say that? I'll tell you |
| 16:38:50 | 13 | why. Because when they heard, saw, felt, read, |
| 16:38:56 | 14 | knew that there was a hazard, it is outrageous |
| 16:39:03 | 15 | not to investigate. It is inexcusable not to |
| 16:39:08 | 16 | investigate. |
| 16:39:09 | 17 | So they came up with the story -- well, |
| 16:39:12 | 18 | let me put it this way. I submit to you that |
| 16:39:16 | 19 | the evidence will show that that was a story to |
| 16:39:25 | 20 | defend their inexcusable conduct. All of them. |
| 16:39:32 | 21 | All of them following the same script, and one |
| 16:39:38 | 22 | of the questions you're going to have to think |
| 16:39:40 | 23 | about is who is the script writer? |
| 16:39:48 | 24 | Mr. Snyder indicated -- took on these |
| 16:39:57 | 25 | employees. |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:39:59 | 2 | 251. |
| 16:40:00 | 3 | He showed you these slides. Never saw |
| 16:40:05 | 4 | an excavator. Only saw it doing this. Doesn't |
| 16:40:07 | 5 | recall seeing, hearing, or feeling an |
| 16:40:10 | 6 | excavator. They all came in and said they saw |
| 16:40:13 | 7 | demolition. They all saw demolition. You |
| 16:40:16 | 8 | know, the thing that The Salvation Army said |
| 16:40:19 | 9 | there's an agreement not to do. So The |
| 16:40:21 | 10 | Salvation Army knew, assuming you believe in |
| 16:40:22 | 11 | this -- I'll use their language -- so-called |
| 16:40:25 | 12 | agreement, that it wasn't being followed. |
| 16:40:28 | 13 | Next. 250. |
| 16:40:31 | 14 | These are the things in black that Mr. |
| 16:40:39 | 15 | Snyder tells you. But he didn't tell you the |
| 16:40:42 | 16 | testimony, "Worried when she heard noise in |
| 16:40:44 | 17 | mid-May. Heard brick and debris in walls. |
| 16:40:47 | 18 | Heard loud noise, shaking of building." |
| 16:40:50 | 19 | What about feeling? Let's look at Mr. |
| 16:40:52 | 20 | Snyder's slide on feeling. |
| 16:40:56 | 21 | 249. |
| 16:40:56 | 22 | Didn't feel vibrations. Falling |
| 16:41:03 | 23 | debris. Said, Oh, my God, it's going to fall. |
| 16:41:06 | 24 | Told OSHA he never felt walls or floors |
| 16:41:11 | 25 | shaking. Felt obvious vibrations in the wall. |

16:41:13
$16: 41: 15$ 16:41:17

16:41:19 16:41:22

16:41:23

16:41:28
$16: 41: 34$
$16: 41: 36$

16:41:39
$16: 41: 41$
$16: 41: 44$
$16: 41: 45$
$16: 41: 51$
$16: 42: 02$

16:42:04
$16: 42: 06$
$16: 42: 11$

16:42:19

16:42:21
$16: 42: 22$
$16: 42: 24$
$16: 42: 26$
$16: 42: 28$

IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE Nothing ever fell or was damaged. She actually testified she felt the floor shaking. So what he showed you was not accurate.

Why does he want to do that?
You can take that down.
Because he knows that's what The Salvation Army knew. There was no escaping it. They are employees of The Salvation Army. They knew that. The Salvation Army didn't take care of them and it didn't take care of the customers.
248.

He told you no employees ever felt unsafe. This blocked it up. Sorry. Mr. Goodman made the slide while we were working. I'm going to put that one down.

Let's look at the actual testimony of the workers. Nadine White. 197-A.
"Did you discuss the shaking with your colleagues and co-workers?
"Yes, with my co-workers.
"And could you summarize what type of things you would discuss about the shaking?
"We would talk about how, like, the

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:42:30 | 2 | building was shaking, like, every day the |
| 16:42:32 | 3 | shaking and whatever. And like -- we would |
| 16:42:34 | 4 | like -- like kind of joke about it. |
| 16:42:36 | 5 | "What would you joke about? |
| 16:42:39 | 6 | "It might cave in." |
| 16:42:40 | 7 | Those are the nervous fears of people |
| 16:42:46 | 8 | who knew something was wrong. And let me tell |
| 16:42:48 | 9 | you something. They're smart people. They're |
| 16:42:54 | 10 | salt of the earth people. They weren't even |
| 16:42:56 | 11 | given the benefit of the e-mails. You're going |
| 16:43:00 | 12 | to have to decide what a reasonable person |
| 16:43:04 | 13 | thinks about if they had gotten those e-mails |
| 16:43:06 | 14 | saying that there was going to be an |
| 16:43:08 | 15 | uncontrolled collapse, that people were going |
| 16:43:10 | 16 | to get killed. And then they felt and they saw |
| 16:43:12 | 17 | and they heard what they were feeling, seeing, |
| 16:43:15 | 18 | and hearing. It would have been a totally |
| 16:43:17 | 19 | different situation. |
| 16:43:18 | 20 | Rodney Geddis. 188. |
| 16:43:23 | 21 | "Did you or any other employees express |
| 16:43:29 | 22 | concern about the way the building was being |
| 16:43:32 | 23 | demolished next door? |
| 16:43:33 | 24 | "Yes. I thought the building was going |
| 16:43:35 | 25 | to collapse because of the way they were |
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE connected to each other.

You know that chimney was connected. And we don't know -- there's no evidence in this record as to when the stucco was put up, which bound that chimney to the Hoagie City building. But they were indeed intertwined as Siamese twins. And that's why you could feel the vibration and that's why it was dangerous and that's why it was unsafe, particularly when you have a four-story structure up above a one-story store, because demolition is dangerous because there can be an uncontrolled collapse.

By the way, throughout this trial, in another script, they kept talking about uncontrolled collapse of part or loose debris. $2136-38$ is in a partial state of demolition, and there's a risk of an uncontrolled collapse of part or loose debris. Part of 2136-38 Market Street. Part of the building uncontrollably collapsing is a danger and a hazard and is unsafe. And not to do anything about it and to, in fact -- we're going to talk about it in a little bit -- invite people in

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:45:08 | 2 | and have a sale day so that there are even more |
| 16:45:10 | 3 | people there, is unconscionable. |
| 16:45:15 | 4 | Margarita Augusto. 189. |
| 16:45:22 | 5 | "But you weren't concerned for your |
| 16:45:24 | 6 | safety, were you? |
| 16:45:25 | 7 | "Yes. Always was -- |
| 16:45:26 | 8 | "You were? |
| 16:45:27 | 9 | "-- concerned for my safety. |
| 16:45:29 | 10 | "QUESTION: So you were concerned that |
| 16:45:31 | 11 | something at that demolition site would somehow |
| 16:45:34 | 12 | hurt you; is that right? |
| 16:45:35 | 13 | "ANSWER: Correct. |
| 16:45:37 | 14 | "Were you worried that something at |
| 16:45:39 | 15 | that demolition would hurt one of the patrons |
| 16:45:41 | 16 | of The Salvation Army? |
| 16:45:42 | 17 | "Correct. |
| 16:45:43 | 18 | "When did that worry begin? |
| 16:45:44 | 19 | In May." |
| 16:45:46 | 20 | Take that down. |
| 16:45:48 | 21 | She is the manager of The Salvation |
| 16:45:50 | 22 | Army. They are one person. The Salvation Army |
| 16:45:55 | 23 | had safety concerns in May, going back to the |
| 16:46:01 | 24 | month before this collapse, for the safety of |
| 16:46:04 | 25 | the people who worked there and the safety of |
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE their patrons. That is their agent. That is their employee. It's why Mr. Snyder has tried to duck that issue throughout this trial. But there's no ducking now. It is uncontradicted. Then they talk about the June 4th meeting between her and Mr. Pomponi. We spent a lot of time about it. And he talked to you today about Mr. Pomponi, what a great guy he was. Remember Mr. Pomponi, when I cross-examined him regarding his route to The Salvation Army? And it just so happens he didn't turn off the Schuylkill, get on 676, about a hundred feet, come down 23rd Street, take a left and be at The Salvation Army building, having hit one light -- two lights. But instead drove all the way past, down to Penn, took a left on South Street, so that he could catch 12 lights on the way back. Curious? See, the other route would have put him right in front of The Salvation Army building.

But regardless of whether or not you believe Mr. Pomponi and his credibility and her credibility is up to you, it doesn't matter.

IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE Either Margarita Augusto and Ralph Pomponi knew or just Margarita Augusto knew. What difference does it make? Either the manager and the store supervisor knew or the manager knew. One person, The Salvation Army. They knew and it is uncontradicted.

So let's bring up Dr. Rust. I want to go to the hazard again. 223.

This is his trial testimony. "This site was in violation of OSHA, on May 21; true?
"True.
"May 31st?
"True.
"Between May 22nd and May 31st?
"True.
"June 2nd and June 3rd?
"True."
Next slide. 224.
Remember he would use the word
"indisputable" or "indisputably." He and I had a little fun with that. It is indisputable that the photographs -- remember I told you photographs don't lie. They don't forget and they don't change their story.

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:48:44 | 2 | "Between May 21, 22, 29, 31st, June |
| 16:48:51 | 3 | 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, show indisputably that |
| 16:48:55 | 4 | the OSHA regulation was violated. There were |
| 16:48:58 | 5 | OSHA violations. Any person who had reason |
| 16:49:02 | 6 | portension, who had come out there, would have |
| 16:49:05 | 7 | known it was in violation of federal law. I |
| 16:49:08 | 8 | agree with that. |
| 16:49:09 | 9 | "The whole purpose of having safety |
| 16:49:11 | 10 | laws for demolition projects is to prevent the |
| 16:49:14 | 11 | very type of catastrophe that occurred here; |
| 16:49:16 | 12 | true? |
| 16:49:17 | 13 | "True. I would agree with that." |
| 16:49:20 | 14 | It could have been stopped even moments |
| 16:49:24 | 15 | before. All they had to do, talk to you |
| 16:49:27 | 16 | before, take that down. Hazard. Was there |
| 16:49:30 | 17 | one? Indisputably. By the way, do you |
| 16:49:34 | 18 | remember who Dr. Rust was an expert for? It's |
| 16:49:38 | 19 | The Salvation Army's expert. That's their guy. |
| 16:49:42 | 20 | Their guy told you indisputably that there was |
| 16:49:46 | 21 | safety violations. And had they been -- so |
| 16:49:51 | 22 | there were safety violations, they were told |
| 16:49:54 | 23 | about safety violations. They were told about |
| 16:49:56 | 24 | hazards, I should say. They knew it. They |
| 16:49:59 | 25 | felt it. They saw it. They have a duty to |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:50:01 | 2 | investigate. |
| 16:50:02 | 3 | So what did they do? Right. Spill in |
| 16:50:07 | 4 | aisle 4. Vinnie. Go look in aisle 4. |
| 16:50:13 | 5 | So what do they do? They hire Jack |
| 16:50:17 | 6 | Higgins and they send Mr. Higgins to the wrong |
| 16:50:19 | 7 | store. They don't send him, after getting |
| 16:50:24 | 8 | e-mails and letters, saying the demolition of |
| 16:50:26 | 9 | Hoagie City is posing a hazard. There could be |
| 16:50:29 | 10 | an uncontrolled collapse that could cause |
| 16:50:31 | 11 | damage, threat to life and limb and kill |
| 16:50:35 | 12 | people. So they get warned about the hazard |
| 16:50:36 | 13 | for the Hoagie City building. Where do they |
| 16:50:37 | 14 | send Mr. Higgins? They send him to The |
| 16:50:41 | 15 | Salvation Army building so that they could |
| 16:50:44 | 16 | prepare themselves for property damage |
| 16:50:47 | 17 | lawsuits. There is a spill in aisle 4 and they |
| 16:50:51 | 18 | send him to aisle 5. That's reasonable care? |
| 16:51:03 | 19 | They selected the wrong guy and sent him to the |
| 16:51:06 | 20 | wrong place. |
| 16:51:07 | 21 | 227. |
| 16:51:08 | 22 | So when it comes time, were they |
| 16:51:12 | 23 | negligent in the selection of Jack Higgins? |
| 16:51:14 | 24 | Yes. Do you find the negligent selection of |
| 16:51:17 | 25 | Higgins was a factual cause? Yes. |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:51:20 | 2 | Why? Because they sent him to the |
| 16:51:23 | 3 | wrong building. And if they had sent the right |
| 16:51:26 | 4 | person to the right building, they would have |
| 16:51:28 | 5 | known it was dangerous and unsafe and they |
| 16:51:31 | 6 | could have done something. What could they |
| 16:51:32 | 7 | have done? They could have filed the |
| 16:51:34 | 8 | injunction that both Mr. Clain and Mr. Snyder |
| 16:51:37 | 9 | talked to you about. They could have walked |
| 16:51:39 | 10 | into court and said, This is dangerous. Shut |
| 16:51:41 | 11 | it down. Bam. Stopped. And every one of |
| 16:51:45 | 12 | their witnesses, experts told you if it had |
| 16:51:48 | 13 | been stopped, even if it had been stopped a |
| 16:51:51 | 14 | minute before, it wouldn't have fallen down at |
| 16:51:54 | 15 | that moment. |
| 16:51:54 | 16 | Let's go to Jack Higgins. 238. |
| 16:52:00 | 17 | Now, Mr. Higgins and I went at it. |
| 16:52:07 | 18 | Remember? He's the guy who called me bombastic |
| 16:52:11 | 19 | and theatrical within the first three minutes |
| 16:52:14 | 20 | of cross-examining him. There was no love |
| 16:52:18 | 21 | lost. |
| 16:52:18 | 22 | But Mr. McCarthy was right. We don't |
| 16:52:23 | 23 | say that he was negligent. And there was no |
| 16:52:27 | 24 | testimony regarding his negligence, if any, to |
| 16:52:33 | 25 | have been a cause of the accident, because they |
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE sent the wrong guy to the wrong building. If they had sent him to the right building, they defined his scope of work, I stand up and tell you, he was responsible. He should have understood the OSHA violations, assuming that's what he sent them there to do.

And then they hired Mr. Nudel. Mr. Nudel didn't do any investigation. Mr. Nudel just worked on the access agreement.

And what is the access agreement and all the information that's gone back, back and forth on it? They want to get on the roof to protect the roof. They want to get on the roof to deal with the chimney. That's why. And The Salvation Army never cooperated. They wanted to get on the roof to protect the roof and something going through the roof and to protect The Salvation Army's property, and more importantly the safety of the people inside, and The Salvation Army wasn't going to be bothered to cooperate.

So when we talk about investigation, they send the wrong person to the wrong store. They hire a lawyer that doesn't have anything
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE to do with investigating this. Well, what about upper command? What did they do from May 9th through June 6th? Did they go to the store? They're sitting here in this courtroom. They showed up, when it was time for The Salvation Army to protect themselves from this lawsuit. But when it was time to protect the people, the men and women who were working there, the customers who shopped and donated there, Major Deitrick, Major Cranford, Mr. Fraser, Colonel Raines were nowhere to be found. Those members of the Army were missing in action.

So the fact that they didn't investigate known hazards, the fact that they sent an architect to go document their building to perfect property damage claims is outrageous.

Remember we showed you the deplorable conditions that those workers had to work in? The ceiling tiles falling down, the stained walls. They were hoping to get those paid for by somebody else, and they turned their back on the safety of the men and women who were going
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE to be affected by it. And that is intolerable and inexcusable.

What are some of the other credibility defenses they've had? How about the trusting the untrustworthy defense? Oh, we didn't trust them. Oh, when it came to the demolition agreement, we trusted them. When it came to this, we didn't trust them. When it came to that, we trusted them.

You're going to have to decide for whom is there a real reason not to trust, because there was a magnitude of risk, and they knew it, and that's one of the issues in this case. There was a magnitude of risk because, people could get killed and people could get harmed. And there could be bells that would chime. And that's the magnitude of the hazard that they ignored.

They said -- it's their next credibility thing. Well, there was only going to be hand demolition. When did hand demolition become safe? Remember that June 2nd photo? There's three stories of unsupported wall. It was done by hand.

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:58:21 | 2 | Remember I asked Mr. Rust the question, |
| 16:58:25 | 3 | "Does the wall remember, the freestanding wall |
| 16:58:30 | 4 | remember whether its joists were taken out by |
| 16:58:33 | 5 | hand or machine?" It's just as dangerous. |
| 16:58:35 | 6 | Hand demolition doesn't matter. Mr. Snyder |
| 16:58:39 | 7 | said it's just trying to throw people off. It |
| 16:58:43 | 8 | could kill and injure just as well. |
| 16:58:47 | 9 | So it's important for them to |
| 16:58:49 | 10 | communicate. Did they? Mr. Fraser. |
| 16:59:09 | 11 | 160. |
| 16:59:11 | 12 | "After you received information about |
| 16:59:14 | 13 | code requirements for protecting pedestrians, |
| 16:59:18 | 14 | did you ever supply any of that information to |
| 16:59:20 | 15 | anyone that worked at 2140 Market Street? |
| 16:59:26 | 16 | "No." |
| 16:59:27 | 17 | This upper command made conscious, |
| 16:59:32 | 18 | intentional decisions not to communicate the |
| 16:59:38 | 19 | information. This isn't a case where they |
| 16:59:44 | 20 | forgot. Oh, geez. I was going to send them |
| 16:59:48 | 21 | the e-mails. I forgot about that. That is |
| 16:59:53 | 22 | carelessly or negligently failing to supply |
| 16:59:59 | 23 | information. These were conscious, knowing, |
| 17:00:06 | 24 | and intentional decisions. |
| 17:00:09 | 25 | And what did Dr. Purswell, The |
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE Salvation Army's own expert say about this? 220.
"It was fine for the haves who weren't in the zone of danger to withhold information from the have-nots who were within the zone of danger of getting injured and killed. Right?
"I didn't say that it was okay for that to happen."

Because it isn't okay. So what should they have done? You're warned about this stuff and it actually exists. You send someone out there who knows what they're doing. They had plenty of resources, and you know that from what happened here. They could have done it.

So -- if you're being warned of a demo hazard, you bring out a demo guy like they brought out, Dr. Rust. Or you send out -- even if they had sent out Mr. Higgins. Remember what Mr. Higgins told you? "I'd been out there June 2nd. Shut down two blocks. Suicide." They could have had him monitor it.

When it was time for them to monitor this project for safety, after being warned of danger to protect the public, they didn't send
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE out anybody. But when it came time to monitor this trial, Salvation Army had people here every day. Where were you when you were needed?

Mr. Higgins himself said it was a disaster waiting to happen.

How much time do I have?
THE COURT: 24 minutes.
MR. MONGELUZZI: Thank you, Your Honor.
We know Salvation Army is one person. We know, Mr. Snyder's own slide, that they knew that an excavator was out there. They saw it. May not have seen it ripping it down. They're going into work. But they knew it was there. It's a giant excavator. How could you not know it was there? What did Dr. Purswell say, in response to one of Mr. Stern's questions?
219. Blow up that bottom here.
"If you're talking about June 4th, and you see somebody out with an excavator working at it, that probably would have raised enough concern that you would have shut the store?
"Yes."
But they didn't close the store.

IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE
Mr. Snyder published the testimony of our expert. "Did Cranford, Pomponi and Augusto fulfill their responsibility to keep their customers safe on June 5th?
"ANSWER: Did they? No, they didn't."
I don't blame Ms. Augusto. She told you she didn't know she could close the store. And she should have been trained better. It was shocking, shocking that they don't have e-mail that goes to the people in the store. It was shocking that in the last year they had not held a single safety meeting. That is outrageous with a chain that has 280 stores. It was shocking that they brought in a safety professional who works at Stauffers of Kissel Hill -- Kissel Hill -- who has 12 stores and has a safety professional. That tells you everything you need to know about the Salvation Army's commitment to safety.
226.

Mr. Snyder is right. This is one of the critical questions in the case. Do you find that The Salvation Army intentionally misrepresented and or intentionally failed to

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:04:57 | 2 | disclose a material fact? Yes. |
| 17:05:01 | 3 | Do you find that the intentional |
| 17:05:03 | 4 | misrepresentations and/or intentional |
| 17:05:06 | 5 | disclosures were a factual cause in bringing |
| 17:05:09 | 6 | out harm? The answer to that is yes. |
| 17:05:13 | 7 | Their witnesses admitted. Their |
| 17:05:16 | 8 | experts admitted. Mr. Parsons -- we can take |
| 17:05:19 | 9 | that down -- admitted that if you open your |
| 17:05:22 | 10 | store, it's a representation that the store is |
| 17:05:25 | 11 | safe. And they knew it was not. Their manager |
| 17:05:28 | 12 | knew it was not. And they opened their store |
| 17:05:32 | 13 | and they invited people in. And when you put a |
| 17:05:38 | 14 | sign and you make it a sale day and you know it |
| 17:05:40 | 15 | is dangerous, that is an intentional |
| 17:05:44 | 16 | misrepresentation, or an intentional |
| 17:05:49 | 17 | nondisclosure. |
| 17:05:51 | 18 | They didn't forget to tell the |
| 17:05:55 | 19 | customers. They made an intentional decision |
| 17:06:00 | 20 | not to tell them. And would it have been |
| 17:06:06 | 21 | something that was important to the average |
| 17:06:08 | 22 | consumer? You're going to have to ask |
| 17:06:11 | 23 | yourself, would the average consumer want to |
| 17:06:13 | 24 | know that the store has been warned there can |
| 17:06:16 | 25 | be an uncontrolled collapse, there's a threat |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:06:18 | 2 | to life and limb? Lives can be lost. The |
| 17:06:21 | 3 | people at the store think it's dangerous that |
| 17:06:23 | 4 | the customers could be harmed and that they |
| 17:06:29 | 5 | feared the demolition and feared that the roof |
| 17:06:32 | 6 | or wall may cave in. Is that something that |
| 17:06:35 | 7 | the average consumer would want to know before |
| 17:06:39 | 8 | they walked through the door? Check that off |
| 17:06:41 | 9 | "yes." And that may be the most important |
| 17:06:45 | 10 | question in the case. |
| 17:06:46 | 11 | There's going to be another question |
| 17:06:57 | 12 | about The Salvation Army's conduct, and that is |
| 17:07:04 | 13 | slide -- let's go to slide 150 first, last |
| 17:07:12 | 14 | thing on this. |
| 17:07:14 | 15 | "Those customers that go into that |
| 17:07:16 | 16 | store, they had every reason to believe that |
| 17:07:18 | 17 | store was safe for them to shop, correct? |
| 17:07:20 | 18 | "Yes. |
| 17:07:20 | 19 | "They would expect that if someone had |
| 17:07:22 | 20 | reason to know there was danger associated with |
| 17:07:25 | 21 | that store, or potential danger, then by golly, |
| 17:07:30 | 22 | they would expect them to tell them about it. |
| 17:07:32 | 23 | They have every reason to expect that, right? |
| 17:07:35 | 24 | "Yes." |
| 17:07:35 | 25 | That's the representation that you make |

IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE when you open the store.

And it is 229.
Was The Salvation Army's conduct outrageous? Yeah. When you get warned of a hazard and you send the architect to document the property damage that you know is coming, that is outrageous. It is malicious and it is oppressive and it is recklessly indifferent to the people who were coming in the store. And check that "yes."
228.

And when it comes time to determine whether their conduct was extreme and outrageous in this case, it was. Safety matters. And when you think about oppressive, I don't know if you remember this. The first slide I put up -- and I had the honor of being the first lawyer to speak to you and I have the honor of being the last lawyer to speak to you. The first thing we showed you was the SEPTA video and the second thing was a dictionary definition of "accident." And I told you that this was no accident, because an accident is something that can't be foreseen and can't be

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:09:17 | 2 | predicted. And this was foreseen and this was |
| 17:09:22 | 3 | foreseeable and this was predicted. |
| 17:09:27 | 4 | Oppression. Unjustly inflicting |
| 17:09:32 | 5 | hardship, especially on a subordinate group. |
| 17:09:40 | 6 | And that would be the customers. |
| 17:09:42 | 7 | You're going to have to come to an |
| 17:09:47 | 8 | apportionment. |
| 17:09:47 | 9 | 239. |
| 17:09:50 | 10 | Apportionment is a fancy word for how |
| 17:09:52 | 11 | you whack up the percentage of causal fault. |
| 17:09:55 | 12 | And you're actually going to have to do it |
| 17:09:57 | 13 | twice, because you're going to have to do it |
| 17:10:01 | 14 | one time with The Salvation Army in the |
| 17:10:02 | 15 | equation and you're going to have to do it a |
| 17:10:06 | 16 | second time. And that's for the customers in |
| 17:10:08 | 17 | the store. |
| 17:10:09 | 18 | And then you're going to have to do it |
| 17:10:10 | 19 | a second time, the next question, where The |
| 17:10:14 | 20 | Salvation Army isn't in the equation for their |
| 17:10:15 | 21 | employees. There's no reason for you to worry |
| 17:10:18 | 22 | about that. |
| 17:10:18 | 23 | I want to start with this one: The |
| 17:10:24 | 24 | people who came to the store that day didn't |
| 17:10:26 | 25 | come there because of STB. They didn't come |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:10:30 | 2 | there because of Richard Basciano. They came |
| 17:10:33 | 3 | there because of The Salvation Army. That is |
| 17:10:40 | 4 | the company that owed them a duty. That is the |
| 17:10:44 | 5 | company who knew about the risk. That is the |
| 17:10:47 | 6 | company that knew that the store was unsafe. |
| 17:10:51 | 7 | That was the corporation that they were relying |
| 17:10:56 | 8 | and trust on. |
| 17:10:58 | 9 | And so on this one, and this is about |
| 17:11:08 | 10 | bringing about the harm to the plaintiffs. |
| 17:11:12 | 11 | Those plaintiffs were harmed because they |
| 17:11:14 | 12 | relied on The Salvation Army. And we can't |
| 17:11:20 | 13 | suggest specific percentages for this. But for |
| 17:11:26 | 14 | these victims, it was The Salvation Army who |
| 17:11:32 | 15 | they were overwhelmingly relying upon for their |
| 17:11:35 | 16 | safety. |
| 17:11:36 | 17 | STB and Basciano, I told you they're |
| 17:11:41 | 18 | responsible. What I tell you, by the way, |
| 17:11:47 | 19 | doesn't matter. I submit, the evidence shows |
| 17:11:53 | 20 | that they're responsible. And we talked about |
| 17:11:54 | 21 | if they negligently selected Mr. Marinakos, we |
| 17:11:57 | 22 | ask that that percentage that flowed from that |
| 17:12:00 | 23 | negligent selection be put where it belongs. |
| 17:12:04 | 24 | And if Mr. Campbell was negligently selected, |
| 17:12:08 | 25 | then the negligence that flows from that |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:12:10 | 2 | negligent selection be put where it belongs. |
| 17:12:14 | 3 | Sean Benschop was following orders. He |
| 17:12:20 | 4 | was told to rip the building down from front to |
| 17:12:22 | 5 | back. I said in the opening, it was the plan, |
| 17:12:30 | 6 | not the man. It was the plan that was bad. |
| 17:12:33 | 7 | Nobody came in and told you he accidentally hit |
| 17:12:36 | 8 | something on the eastern wall that he didn't |
| 17:12:38 | 9 | want to hit. He was following what he was told |
| 17:12:41 | 10 | to do. |
| 17:12:41 | 11 | If you put that back up. 239. |
| 17:12:49 | 12 | Mr. Higgins. I think everybody here |
| 17:12:54 | 13 | who's spoken has said doesn't deserve to be |
| 17:12:59 | 14 | held accountable. Mr. Sprague told you that. |
| 17:13:01 | 15 | Mr. Snyder didn't say anything about it. And I |
| 17:13:04 | 16 | told you they sent the wrong guy to the wrong |
| 17:13:06 | 17 | building, although I went after him pretty |
| 17:13:09 | 18 | hard. Not on liability. On credibility |
| 17:13:11 | 19 | regarding his issue regarding whether there was |
| 17:13:14 | 20 | demolition on May 20th. I went after him hard |
| 17:13:17 | 21 | on that. But that's credibility, not |
| 17:13:21 | 22 | liability. |
| 17:13:22 | 23 | There is a second apportionment, which |
| 17:13:26 | 24 | is at 240. The Salvation Army is not on this. |
| 17:13:36 | 25 | So when it comes to the employees of The |
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE Salvation Army, you then have to add up everybody's percentage and get to a hundred. The earlier one you have to do the same thing. You have to keep -- The Salvation Army, we submit should be in that, and that has to add up. Both of them have to add up to a hundred. This one doesn't have The Salvation Army in it. And this is a separate apportionment. That doesn't mean you have -- you now have to say, Okay, the employees, we're not putting The Salvation Army in this.

THE COURT: Ten minutes left.
MR. MONGELUZZI: Yes, Your Honor.
You're going to remember this case for the rest of your life. I know we all will. It will stick with you. All of us until the day we die. And we'll all have different memories about what we remember. Everybody might have a different one. See, for me, the most symbolic moment that captured everything that went on, all of the parties, what they were really like, was when I was cross-examining Major Deitrick and he turned to us and said, "I pray for the victims every night." And I walked across the

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:15:44 | 2 | courtroom from over here to the bar, and said |
| 17:15:48 | 3 | to him, "What are their names?" |
| 17:16:06 | 4 | "I couldn't remember." And then he |
| 17:16:08 | 5 | stammered, "I prayed for them generally. I |
| 17:16:13 | 6 | read them at the time." |
| 17:16:16 | 7 | Major Deitrick, they're important |
| 17:16:18 | 8 | enough to remember. |
| 17:16:20 | 9 | 241. |
| 17:16:24 | 10 | Their names are Margarita Augusto and |
| 17:16:28 | 11 | Shirley Ball and Linda Bell and Betty Brown and |
| 17:16:33 | 12 | Bernard DiTomo and Rodney Geddis and Felicia |
| 17:16:39 | 13 | Hill and Rosemary Kreutzberg and Maria Plekan |
| 17:16:44 | 14 | and Jennifer Reynolds, Richard Stasiorowski and |
| 17:16:50 | 15 | Nadine White. The ones that didn't make it: |
| 17:16:53 | 16 | Anne Bryan, Roseline Conteh, Borbor Davis, |
| 17:16:59 | 17 | Kimberly Finnegan, Juanita Harmon, Danny |
| 17:17:04 | 18 | Johnson, Marry Simpson. |
| 17:17:13 | 19 | I started this rebuttal off by saying |
| 17:17:15 | 20 | when it came time. It has now come time. It |
| 17:17:28 | 21 | is your time. They came here for justice. And |
| 17:17:36 | 22 | we ask you to give it to them. We'll be |
| 17:17:44 | 23 | waiting. |
| 17:17:45 | 24 | THE COURT: Members of the jury, it is |
| 17:17:50 | 25 | now 5:17, and I am going to excuse you until |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:17:54 | 2 | Monday morning when I will give you my final |
| 17:17:58 | 3 | charge and then send you to deliberate. |
| 17:18:00 | 4 | As you know -- I told you this earlier, |
| 17:18:05 | 5 | today or yesterday, that even though you're now |
| 17:18:09 | 6 | at the closing argument phase, that you still |
| 17:18:12 | 7 | cannot talk amongst yourselves about the case. |
| 17:18:15 | 8 | You're also not permitted to communicate in any |
| 17:18:18 | 9 | way, shape, or form about the case or about |
| 17:18:21 | 10 | your service as a juror in the case. So you're |
| 17:18:22 | 11 | not permitted to text, or tweet, or go on any |
| 17:18:25 | 12 | social networking sites, or e-mail, or call |
| 17:18:29 | 13 | either to receive any information from anyone |
| 17:18:32 | 14 | or to impart information to anyone. You're not |
| 17:18:36 | 15 | permitted to do independent research looking up |
| 17:18:39 | 16 | anything on your own or asking someone to do it |
| 17:18:43 | 17 | on your behalf. So you cannot go on the |
| 17:18:45 | 18 | internet or to the more traditional forms of |
| 17:18:48 | 19 | research. |
| 17:18:49 | 20 | You're also required, and as I urged on |
| 17:18:52 | 21 | Monday morning when I spoke to each of you |
| 17:18:54 | 22 | individually, to take extra measures to make |
| 17:18:57 | 23 | sure that you're isolated from any of the |
| 17:19:00 | 24 | perhaps increased media coverage that might be |
| 17:19:03 | 25 | out there right now about the case. As you |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:19:05 | 2 | know, the only source of your information |
| 17:19:07 | 3 | should be the information that we all hear when |
| 17:19:11 | 4 | we are here together in the courtroom and you |
| 17:19:13 | 5 | are in the presence of the Court with the |
| 17:19:15 | 6 | attorneys and the parties present. |
| 17:19:17 | 7 | And, lastly, you're not permitted to go |
| 17:19:20 | 8 | to any location that has been testified to |
| 17:19:23 | 9 | during the course of the trial. |
| 17:19:24 | 10 | I will ask that you be here at 9:30 on |
| 17:19:27 | 11 | Monday morning. My charge will probably take |
| 17:19:31 | 12 | an hour, give or take a little bit. And then I |
| 17:19:37 | 13 | will send you to deliberate. Lunch will be |
| 17:19:39 | 14 | provided for you. If you don't like the kinds |
| 17:19:41 | 15 | of lunches that have been provided, you're free |
| 17:19:43 | 16 | to bring your own. As you know, you have a |
| 17:19:45 | 17 | refrigerator there. But you will -- other than |
| 17:19:47 | 18 | perhaps for a cigarette break accompanied by |
| 17:19:50 | 19 | someone, you probably will not be out and about |
| 17:19:52 | 20 | during the luncheon hour. So your time will be |
| 17:19:57 | 21 | spent in the jury room doing deliberations for |
| 17:19:59 | 22 | as long as it takes you. |
| 17:20:00 | 23 | So particularly for those of you who |
| 17:20:04 | 24 | may choose to go to work tomorrow or Friday, |
| 17:20:08 | 25 | again, be extra vigilant, because even though |
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE you haven't been listening to any media coverage or being exposed to it, your workmates may have been and probably have been. So maybe having something on you right from the beginning that says "do not talk to me" so that you can continue to abide by the Court's instructions. If anyone should come up to try to tell you something, tell them stay away. And so I send you out with those cautions, and we will see you on Monday morning.

Thank you and enjoy your weekend. THE COURT CRIER: Everyone please remain seated as the jury exits the courtroom. Jurors, please come with me.
(The jury is excused at 5:21 p.m.)
THE COURT: Do I need to see counsel?
MR. HARE: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. CLAIN: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. MONGELUZZI: Can we take a break before we do that, Your Honor?

MR. GREINER: Your Honor, I need to get out of here, so if you could address it now. THE COURT: I assume he needs to powder his nose.

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:22:02 | 2 | MR. MONGELUZZI: Yes, Your Honor. It |
| 17:22:03 | 3 | should take me three minutes. |
| 17:22:04 | 4 | MR. GREINER: I can wait three minutes. |
| 17:22:05 | 5 | THE COURT: Mr. Mongeluzzi, did you |
| 17:22:06 | 6 | want to use the one in the back? |
| 17:22:06 | 7 | (A short recess occurred.) |
| 17:22:06 | 8 | (Discussion was held at sidebar as |
| 17:23:34 | 9 | follows:) |
| 17:23:34 | 10 | THE COURT: Okay. So I know some of |
| 17:23:37 | 11 | you may have some objections to stuff. Before |
| 17:23:39 | 12 | it slips my mind, based on Mr. Sprague's |
| 17:23:41 | 13 | closing, I did want to ask -- and we had sort |
| 17:23:44 | 14 | of talked about it vaguely before, Mr. |
| 17:23:48 | 15 | Greiner -- are we going to need, or is it |
| 17:23:50 | 16 | appropriate to have an instruction on scope? |
| 17:23:52 | 17 | He made some comments, and I don't know if -- I |
| 17:23:54 | 18 | know you have objections, so we're going to |
| 17:23:56 | 19 | address those in great detail, but I am trying |
| 17:23:59 | 20 | to get all my instructions together. He talked |
| 17:24:01 | 21 | about whether somebody was acting outside -- I |
| 17:24:02 | 22 | think Mr. Marinakos, whether he had -- |
| 17:24:04 | 23 | MR. GREINER: That is something we have |
| 17:24:06 | 24 | asked for, Your Honor. | THE COURT: Well, so --
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE MR. GREINER: It's something we had asked be on the verdict sheet as well.

THE COURT: Well, I will want to see your instruction -- proposed instruction on scope.

MR. GREINER: You already have it.
THE COURT: I don't know if I do or not.

MR. GREINER: I'll make sure you have it by Friday.

THE COURT: I think when we went through the last version, it was not something that was addressed, and I am going -- we can get into the rest of the charge on Friday when we meet, but I did want to address that.

You can take it off.
MR. MONGELUZZI: Thank you, Your Honor. It got hot.

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Greiner.
MR. GREINER: First and foremost, Your Honor, you may remember that this was just two days ago, that at the end of the day, I believe it was, or near the end of the day, I had raised an issue in terms of the argument on

IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE negligent selection, and that --

THE COURT: About no vicarious
liability?
MR. GREINER: In fact, Your Honor, this is exactly what I said.

THE COURT: This is the due care instruction.

MR. GREINER: Right. "As we told you before, and we'll get back to this when we get to the instruction, it's not the law that if you negligently select an independent contractor that you are vicariously liable for their negligence." And you said, Your Honor, "No. You have direct liability."

And then, Your Honor, there is a discussion that Mr. Goodman weighed in on. And I said, Your Honor, keep this in mind for closings, because they're going to argue something that is contrary to what the law is. They're going to argue something --

THE COURT: It wasn't -- I kind of remember it was Mr. Mongeluzzi who said that any time a contractor picks someone negligently that they are responsible for their -- they
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE sort of stand in the same shoes as the contractor they selected. So I see this as something that is in dispute and that -- if we're not meeting tomorrow, and I don't care if we do or not, but we might have a lot to do on Friday then. I'm happy to meet tomorrow, just not at 9 a.m. because $I$ think it's going to be a little crazy.

That I will want both sides to have research. If you want to -- to give me whatever cases you have on whether there is vicarious liability or not. I know Mr. Greiner has -- Mr. Hare, actually, I think has said it more than you, even though you did say it.

MR. GREINER: We said it repeatedly. We've given you case law. In fact, you agreed with me in the courtroom, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Because I've been reading a lot of different things, but I think also didn't necessarily disagree. I know there's two things that previously had been argued were vicarious liability that Mr. Hare has repeatedly said, No, it's not. And it's confusing. It is confusing.

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:26:39 | 2 | MR. GREINER: Even if it is vicarious |
| 17:26:41 | 3 | liability, it is totally inappropriate. |
| 17:26:42 | 4 | THE COURT: I don't think it would go |
| 17:26:43 | 5 | on the verdict sheet and that it would get |
| 17:26:47 | 6 | transferred on to them. |
| 17:26:48 | 7 | MR. GREINER: That's the point, Your |
| 17:26:49 | 8 | Honor. |
| 17:26:49 | 9 | THE COURT: That's one of the questions |
| 17:26:50 | 10 | I had here, and so it might be in my |
| 17:26:51 | 11 | instructions that I need to be particularly |
| 17:26:53 | 12 | clear to the jury. I said does it go -- so |
| 17:26:58 | 13 | then it would be -- would there be zero under |
| 17:27:01 | 14 | Marinakos and zero under Campbell, because they |
| 17:27:03 | 15 | already added it in? Or is it something that |
| 17:27:06 | 16 | assuming it was vicarious, assuming for |
| 17:27:09 | 17 | purposes of this discussion that it would go on |
| 17:27:10 | 18 | the verdict sheet, however they apportion it, |
| 17:27:13 | 19 | and then it would get molded if there was |
| 17:27:15 | 20 | vicarious liability. |
| 17:27:16 | 21 | So that was the question $I$ just wrote |
| 17:27:18 | 22 | for myself as well. I don't think Mr. |
| 17:27:21 | 23 | Mongeluzzi was correct. And I have to admit, |
| 17:27:23 | 24 | I've never done an apportionment such as this |
| 17:27:26 | 25 | one. There have been little apportionments, |

IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE but nothing as complex and involved as here. And I don't know that it would get done, as Mr. Mongeluzzi was suggesting, that take theirs and add it on already and then we don't know what part was whose. So that was a question that I had as well.

MR. GREINER: Your Honor, it's not a question. That was Mr. Mongeluzzi being dead wrong and he knew it. He knew that that's a molding issue if there was vicarious liability. He's trying to nullify the jury here.

THE COURT: The question is --
MR. GREINER: Your Honor, we're raising a motion for a mistrial.

THE COURT: That's denied.
MR. GREINER: You're not even going to allow me --

MR. ROTH: Excuse me. The Court has made a ruling. This is the second day in a row where it feels like Mr. Greiner, to this lawyer, is very aggressively approaching the Court. I think it's inappropriate. I recognize the Court can address herself and take care of herself. But as an officer of the

IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE court, this is repeated interruptions of the Court and moving forward to her. The hand gestures are inappropriate.

THE COURT: I think that we have talked about an apportionment instruction, and none has been settled upon. And I think that that will be one of the other things that will be very key. I might very well say, You were told to do the following and that's not how you do it. This is exactly how you would do it. And so that anybody's negligence that you find you put it on the sheet and the rest will be left for me.

So I will want a proposed almost final instruction to get circulated between now, and that certainly is something that we can do on Friday morning.

What else do you have?
MR. GREINER: I take issue with Mr. Roth's statement on the record, uncontradicted by the Court, that $I$ was somehow being aggressive towards Your Honor. I don't believe that I was.

THE COURT: The other day I think you

IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE were a little disrespectful, but it's the heat of the moment. I didn't tell you to tone it down or anything else. I know that you respect the Court. I know that you're an officer of the court. But I didn't feel like you were about to assault me.

MR. GREINER: I don't think that I was either.

MR. ROTH: This is a repeated practice, and, Your Honor, I didn't want it to continue. I also started by saying, "It's this lawyer's observation." That's all. You can take issue -- counsel can take issue however they wish to. I want to put that on the record.

MR. GREINER: Your Honor, that's the problem. It goes on the record. The record is a piece of paper, and Mr. Roth's statements are factually incorrect. And I would think that the Court would have stated that if somehow I was abusing the Court, or somehow being inappropriate, you would have said so on the record.

So I would take issue with Mr. Roth making an incorrect statement on the record to

IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE somehow impugn this attorney from this attorney's perspective.

THE COURT: You were sounding extremely annoyed with the Court.

MR. GOODMAN: I join Mr. Roth's statements.

THE COURT: But I take it that it's in the heat of the last moments of the case, and so I wasn't taking it personally. And so, you know, we're in the back here. We are alone.

Ms. Cohen, if you wanted to come up, come up.

And so what else do you have?
MR. GREINER: My point was that I had not even made the basis for my mistrial motion and you already denied it.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. GREINER: I wanted to make that on the record. If you want me to do it now, I can do it now, or I can do it another time.

THE COURT: No, no. Make it now.
MR. GREINER: Your Honor, I believe that it's already been the ruling of this Court that this is a -- if it was a negligent

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:30:34 | 2 | selection argument, that it's not a vicarious |
| 17:30:37 | 3 | liability issue, it's a direct liability issue. |
| 17:30:40 | 4 | And I put it on the record two days ago, |
| 17:30:43 | 5 | saying, Your Honor, be aware of this. |
| 17:30:44 | 6 | THE COURT: For closing arguments. |
| 17:30:45 | 7 | MR. GREINER: Remember this for closing |
| 17:30:46 | 8 | arguments when this comes up. I put the |
| 17:30:48 | 9 | plaintiffs on notice that if this comes up, we |
| 17:30:51 | 10 | will raise it at that time. Of course, it came |
| 17:30:53 | 11 | up. |
| 17:30:54 | 12 | Mr. Mongeluzzi is one of the most |
| 17:30:56 | 13 | well-respected and intelligent and effective |
| 17:31:00 | 14 | attorneys in the city. He clearly knows that |
| 17:31:04 | 15 | you don't ask a jury to mold a verdict before |
| 17:31:07 | 16 | it's actually given. He knows that it's |
| 17:31:09 | 17 | inappropriate to tell them to apportion the |
| 17:31:12 | 18 | liability that they need to assign to a |
| 17:31:14 | 19 | specific person or entity. He knows that that |
| 17:31:18 | 20 | as incorrect. He was trying to take the |
| 17:31:20 | 21 | decision out of the jury's hands and out of |
| 17:31:22 | 22 | Your Honor's hands, particularly because if |
| 17:31:24 | 23 | he's right about vicarious liability, that's |
| 17:31:26 | 24 | going to be something the Court does. But, |
| 17:31:29 | 25 | unfortunately, he tried to take it out of your |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:31:31 | 2 | hands. He tried to put it into the hands of |
| 17:31:33 | 3 | the jury. That's incorrect. And he knew it. |
| 17:31:35 | 4 | He's trying to nullify the jury. It can't be |
| 17:31:37 | 5 | cured. |
| 17:31:38 | 6 | At this point, the last person who |
| 17:31:40 | 7 | spoke was Mr. Mongeluzzi. And even if you tell |
| 17:31:42 | 8 | them differently, they're going to be sitting |
| 17:31:45 | 9 | on this for four days, thinking that they're |
| 17:31:46 | 10 | going to put Mr. Marinakos's responsibility on |
| 17:31:49 | 11 | us, even though, remember, he is not even a |
| 17:31:52 | 12 | servant. And then Mr. Campbell's |
| 17:31:54 | 13 | responsibility on us, even though he is also |
| 17:31:56 | 14 | not a servant. |
| 17:31:57 | 15 | So, therefore, Your Honor, for all of |
| 17:31:59 | 16 | those reasons, because of what we believe was |
| 17:32:00 | 17 | an intentional action in terms of trying to |
| 17:32:03 | 18 | mislead the jury and take away the province of |
| 17:32:06 | 19 | the Court, that you must grant a mistrial. |
| 17:32:09 | 20 | THE COURT: All right. I already made |
| 17:32:11 | 21 | my ruling. By the way, if you had wanted me to |
| 17:32:14 | 22 | address the jury so that they wouldn't sit on |
| 17:32:17 | 23 | it for four days, you certainly could have |
| 17:32:20 | 24 | said, Your Honor, before you address the jury, |
| 17:32:23 | 25 | Could we please see you? Obviously, you were |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:32:25 | 2 | not looking for me to give them any cautionary |
| 17:32:28 | 3 | charge. |
| 17:32:29 | 4 | MR. GREINER: No, Your Honor. |
| 17:32:30 | 5 | THE COURT: Exactly. |
| 17:32:31 | 6 | MR. GREINER: I knew this would not be |
| 17:32:32 | 7 | decided now. |
| 17:32:33 | 8 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 17:32:34 | 9 | MR. GREINER: I knew this would -- |
| 17:32:36 | 10 | THE COURT: You can't say they're going |
| 17:32:37 | 11 | to sit on it for four days. You could have |
| 17:32:39 | 12 | perhaps obviated the sitting on it for four |
| 17:32:41 | 13 | days had there been something -- I'm not saying |
| 17:32:43 | 14 | that there is something I could have said, but |
| 17:32:45 | 15 | if there was, it certainly was taken out of my |
| 17:32:47 | 16 | hands by you not saying anything. |
| 17:32:49 | 17 | MR. GREINER: Your Honor, you're not |
| 17:32:51 | 18 | even suggesting that you would make such an |
| 17:32:52 | 19 | order. |
| 17:32:52 | 20 | THE COURT: I don't know if I would. |
| 17:32:54 | 21 | MR. GREINER: So what's the point? |
| 17:32:55 | 22 | THE COURT: The point is always that |
| 17:32:58 | 23 | you make your record. That you ask to meet. |
| 17:33:01 | 24 | If I say no, then you can certainly say, like, |
| 17:33:03 | 25 | Hey, now they're sitting on it for four days |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:33:05 | 2 | and shame on you. |
| 17:33:07 | 3 | MR. GREINER: I believe I made my |
| 17:33:07 | 4 | record, Your Honor. |
| 17:33:08 | 5 | THE COURT: Basically shame on me then |
| 17:33:09 | 6 | if I turned you down. And then it might be |
| 17:33:12 | 7 | like I could have cured it. The appellate |
| 17:33:14 | 8 | court might say, She could have cured it and |
| 17:33:17 | 9 | she didn't, even though she was asked to. |
| 17:33:20 | 10 | So when you don't even ask me then |
| 17:33:22 | 11 | whether or not I ultimately end up doing or |
| 17:33:23 | 12 | would do it is beside the point. We're always |
| 17:33:27 | 13 | objecting -- or nowadays you all are making |
| 17:33:29 | 14 | objections. And you do that because you have a |
| 17:33:31 | 15 | record to protect. Anything else? |
| 17:33:33 | 16 | MR. HARE: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 17:33:35 | 17 | THE COURT: I was asking Mr. Greiner. |
| 17:33:37 | 18 | Sorry if I wasn't clear. |
| 17:33:38 | 19 | MR. HARE: I have three points, Judge. |
| 17:33:40 | 20 | First, plaintiff's counsel told the jury that |
| 17:33:42 | 21 | we investigated them to uncover what it is they |
| 17:33:46 | 22 | do for a living. You recall the opening part |
| 17:33:48 | 23 | about responding to Mr. Snyder's -- |
| 17:33:50 | 24 | THE COURT: I think it was fair |
| 17:33:51 | 25 | comment. It was going back to -- first of all, |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:33:54 | 2 | all of you had the juror questionnaires. So |
| 17:33:58 | 3 | you -- in fact, I think, amongst all the |
| 17:34:01 | 4 | paperwork that I collected, I think my staff |
| 17:34:03 | 5 | had found somewhere along there something from |
| 17:34:05 | 6 | Mr. Weiss having done research -- it might not |
| 17:34:08 | 7 | have been you. But on someone who had looked |
| 17:34:13 | 8 | into the background of jurors, and I thought -- |
| 17:34:15 | 9 | and we just got rid of it all. I don't know if |
| 17:34:18 | 10 | we shredded them all or not. It was -- you |
| 17:34:20 | 11 | have the information. Nowadays with Google, |
| 17:34:22 | 12 | people look people up. If it looks like it's |
| 17:34:23 | 13 | the same guy or woman, or their profession. |
| 17:34:26 | 14 | It's part of what's done. I mean, the D.A.'s |
| 17:34:29 | 15 | office always looks to see whether people have |
| 17:34:31 | 16 | criminal convictions or not. |
| 17:34:33 | 17 | MR. HARE: That's our point. They gave |
| 17:34:35 | 18 | us that information. We didn't investigate. |
| 17:34:36 | 19 | They don't know everything Your Honor is |
| 17:34:38 | 20 | describing. This suggests intimidation of |
| 17:34:40 | 21 | jurors. |
| 17:34:42 | 22 | THE COURT: If you investigated -- |
| 17:34:42 | 23 | MR. HARE: No. They gave us the |
| 17:34:42 | 24 | information. |
| 17:34:43 | 25 | THE COURT: I got my thing from Steve |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:34:45 | 2 | Cozen. Wasn't that due yesterday? Or was his |
| 17:34:48 | 3 | due today? |
| 17:34:48 | 4 | MR. GOODMAN: Mr. Cozen said he needed |
| 17:34:52 | 5 | an extra day. |
| 17:34:52 | 6 | MR. HARE: Judge, this was information |
| 17:34:54 | 7 | you provided. We didn't do any investigation. |
| 17:34:55 | 8 | That is clearly suggestive of intimidation that |
| 17:34:58 | 9 | we went out and explored these people, detailed |
| 17:34:59 | 10 | backgrounds. They don't know anything Your |
| 17:35:02 | 11 | Honor just described about how this process |
| 17:35:03 | 12 | works. |
| 17:35:03 | 13 | THE COURT: You're not limited in what |
| 17:35:05 | 14 | you research based on what I gave you was a |
| 17:35:08 | 15 | name. |
| 17:35:09 | 16 | MR. HARE: That's not true, Judge. |
| 17:35:15 | 17 | This was not at all clear. The suggestion was |
| 17:35:17 | 18 | we investigated. That was the word that was |
| 17:35:19 | 19 | used. We didn't make that word up, Judge. |
| 17:35:21 | 20 | They don't know any of this stuff. They gave |
| 17:35:23 | 21 | us information. We didn't investigate |
| 17:35:25 | 22 | anything. So to suggest that is intimidating, |
| 17:35:28 | 23 | in our view, suggesting that we're probing |
| 17:35:30 | 24 | around suspiciously digging in to them. We |
| 17:35:33 | 25 | request a curative instruction that we did not |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:35:35 | 2 | investigate. We reviewed the information the |
| 17:35:37 | 3 | Court provided us about them. There's no |
| 17:35:39 | 4 | investigation. |
| 17:35:40 | 5 | MR. MONGELUZZI: I believe that's an |
| 17:35:41 | 6 | investigation, Your Honor. If you go back |
| 17:35:43 | 7 | through the -- |
| 17:35:45 | 8 | MR. SNYDER: Oh, please. |
| 17:35:45 | 9 | MR. MONGELUZZI: Please don't cut me |
| 17:35:46 | 10 | off, Mr. Snyder. |
| 17:35:46 | 11 | THE COURT: We're hearing from Mr. Hare |
| 17:35:47 | 12 | right now. He's on this question, so for right |
| 17:35:50 | 13 | now, Mr. Snyder, you can -- thank you. |
| 17:35:52 | 14 | MR. MONGELUZZI: For them to go back |
| 17:35:55 | 15 | through the juror questionnaires is an |
| 17:35:56 | 16 | investigation. They had to be turned in and |
| 17:36:00 | 17 | destroyed. |
| 17:36:01 | 18 | THE COURT: Are you becoming Hare'ish? |
| 17:36:05 | 19 | MR. MONGELUZZI: They had to be turned |
| 17:36:06 | 20 | in and destroyed. Mr. Snyder went through -- |
| 17:36:10 | 21 | something I've never seen in a court -- every |
| 17:36:13 | 22 | single juror's occupation and naming some of |
| 17:36:17 | 23 | the places that they worked at. The Salvation |
| 17:36:20 | 24 | Army and their lawyers obviously investigated |
| 17:36:23 | 25 | that, learned that. It was important |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:36:26 | 2 | information to them, and I think it was fair |
| 17:36:28 | 3 | comment, Your Honor, regardless of where they |
| 17:36:30 | 4 | got it from. |
| 17:36:32 | 5 | THE COURT: That's what I just said. |
| 17:36:33 | 6 | If you want to draft something that you would |
| 17:36:36 | 7 | want me to give. I'm not saying I would give |
| 17:36:37 | 8 | it, but I would look at it and I may or may not |
| 17:36:40 | 9 | give it. |
| 17:36:43 | 10 | MR. HARE: We have two more. |
| 17:36:45 | 11 | MR. T. SPRAGUE: On that point, Your |
| 17:36:46 | 12 | Honor, I mean, I did no investigation, but I do |
| 17:36:49 | 13 | remember Juror No. 1 being an attorney and |
| 17:36:52 | 14 | musician. That just sticks, I believe, in |
| 17:36:55 | 15 | everybody's heads. |
| 17:36:57 | 16 | I also -- |
| 17:36:58 | 17 | THE COURT: He also said artist. |
| 17:36:59 | 18 | MR. T. SPRAGUE: I thought it was |
| 17:37:01 | 19 | attorney/musician. But $I$ also remember the one |
| 17:37:06 | 20 | juror saying he worked as a landscaper for the |
| 17:37:10 | 21 | Phillies. That stuck with me. I have done no |
| 17:37:12 | 22 | investigation. |
| 17:37:14 | 23 | THE COURT: No. But it might be |
| 17:37:16 | 24 | because I think disclosed to all of you that -- |
| 17:37:21 | 25 | who's the guy who used to be a judge, starts |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:37:23 | 2 | with an S? |
| 17:37:24 | 3 | MR. MONGELUZZI: Styles. |
| 17:37:25 | 4 | THE COURT: Styles. Thank you. Judge |
| 17:37:27 | 5 | Styles had called me to say, Look, can he go to |
| 17:37:30 | 6 | work when you guys are off? I promise nobody |
| 17:37:32 | 7 | will talk to him. I had disclosed that, so |
| 17:37:35 | 8 | that might be part of why you remember that as |
| 17:37:37 | 9 | well. |
| 17:37:37 | 10 | MR. T. SPRAGUE: My only point is that |
| 17:37:39 | 11 | I think that basic information was something |
| 17:37:41 | 12 | that was fully disclosed during the voir dire |
| 17:37:44 | 13 | process without there being any additional |
| 17:37:46 | 14 | investigation. |
| 17:37:46 | 15 | THE COURT: I have to say, I was |
| 17:37:48 | 16 | astounded when Mr. Snyder did it. As I |
| 17:37:51 | 17 | indicated, I didn't really focus on it until I |
| 17:37:53 | 18 | heard Phillies -- landscaper for the Phillies. |
| 17:37:56 | 19 | I was like what? And so I've never heard |
| 17:38:00 | 20 | someone on either side go through and let the |
| 17:38:03 | 21 | jurors know, you know, here's who you all are |
| 17:38:07 | 22 | and whatever. |

MR. MONGELUZZI: Let the record reflect that Mr. Sprague was able to recall two out of the 16 people.

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:38:14 | 2 | THE COURT: Let me also say - |
| 17:38:16 | 3 | MR. MONGELUZZI: Which would be 12.5 |
| 17:38:18 | 4 | percent. |
| 17:38:18 | 5 | THE COURT: The bulk of my years were |
| 17:38:20 | 6 | on the criminal side, and it would be a |
| 17:38:22 | 7 | complete Shonda for it to happen on the |
| 17:38:25 | 8 | criminal side. And people would have a cow |
| 17:38:27 | 9 | because jurors, especially in the homicide |
| 17:38:29 | 10 | program, feel extremely intimidated even being |
| 17:38:32 | 11 | there. So maybe it's a different world in |
| 17:38:34 | 12 | civil. I could certainly poll my civil judges |
| 17:38:36 | 13 | to see if attorneys do this routinely or ever. |
| 17:38:40 | 14 | And that might -- if you want me to do |
| 17:38:42 | 15 | that, I will be happy to poll them. And when |
| 17:38:45 | 16 | you give me your suggested curative instruction |
| 17:38:49 | 17 | to give to them, that might be something for me |
| 17:38:51 | 18 | to weigh in with. I certainly wouldn't reach |
| 17:38:54 | 19 | out to ask them otherwise. |
| 17:38:56 | 20 | MR. HARE: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 17:38:56 | 21 | THE COURT: Did you want me to do that? |
| 17:38:58 | 22 | MR. HARE: To reach out to them? |
| 17:38:59 | 23 | THE COURT: To the judges sitting in |
| 17:39:01 | 24 | civil to see if attorneys who have appeared |
| 17:39:03 | 25 | before them have ever gone through the list of |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:39:07 | 2 | jurors to -- |
| 17:39:09 | 3 | MR. SNYDER: Maybe I can think of some |
| 17:39:10 | 4 | of the judges that I've been before over the |
| 17:39:11 | 5 | last ten years that I've done in every case |
| 17:39:13 | 6 | with objection. |
| 17:39:14 | 7 | THE COURT: So this is what you do. |
| 17:39:15 | 8 | MR. SNYDER: That's what I do. Yes. |
| 17:39:17 | 9 | THE COURT: Well, why don't you give me |
| 17:39:19 | 10 | those? Send them to Mr. Evans. |
| 17:39:22 | 11 | MR. SNYDER: I'll try to think of them. |
| 17:39:22 | 12 | Mr. Stern may remember that Lawrence Green case |
| 17:39:24 | 13 | where I did it. |
| 17:39:26 | 14 | THE COURT: We don't need to digress |
| 17:39:27 | 15 | right now. We'll be here another 20 minutes. |
| 17:39:30 | 16 | What else do you have? |
| 17:39:30 | 17 | MR. HARE: Our second point, Judge, is |
| 17:39:32 | 18 | that plaintiffs' counsel said -- I wrote this |
| 17:39:34 | 19 | down -- said none of our |
| 17:39:36 | 20 | THE COURT: Just because we write |
| 17:39:37 | 21 | things down, and I did wait until the very end |
| 17:39:40 | 22 | to say it to you all. Just because we write |
| 17:39:42 | 23 | things down doesn't mean we got it right. |
| 17:39:43 | 24 | MR. HARE: I was joking about that. I |
| 17:39:46 | 25 | didn't actually write it down. He said none of |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:39:50 | 2 | our upper command used the word "collapse." |
| 17:39:52 | 3 | Okay. And just on our little cell phones here |
| 17:39:55 | 4 | we found at least six instances where upper |
| 17:39:58 | 5 | command was used -- |
| 17:39:58 | 6 | THE COURT: I'm not getting into that. |
| 17:40:00 | 7 | I think that's fair argument. |
| 17:40:02 | 8 | MR. HARE: Judge, you gave a curative |
| 17:40:03 | 9 | instruction because Mr. Snyder said "Wigrizer" |
| 17:40:05 | 10 | instead of "Roth" and didn't even tell them |
| 17:40:06 | 11 | that Roth said it. He said, "None of our upper |
| 17:40:09 | 12 | command," as part of this argument about an |
| 17:40:11 | 13 | action plan and the scripting. You'll recall, |
| 17:40:13 | 14 | after standing here saying that he's not |
| 17:40:15 | 15 | targeting the lawyers, he told the jury, I |
| 17:40:18 | 16 | leave it to you who scripted the action plan. |
| 17:40:21 | 17 | He said it. You know he said it. I submit the |
| 17:40:23 | 18 | ecord is going to reflect that. |
| 17:40:25 | 19 | So to then say that none of them would |
| 17:40:27 | 20 | use the word "collapse" following this action |
| 17:40:29 | 21 | plan, when at least now I think we're up to |
| 17:40:32 | 22 | eight instances, clearly is prejudicial. So if |
| 17:40:34 | 23 | misspeaking a lawyer's name requires |
| 17:40:37 | 24 | THE COURT: It wasn't the pure |
| 17:40:38 | 25 | misspeaking of his name, as if he had said this |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:40:41 | 2 | is the guy who argued for this witness, or |
| 17:40:46 | 3 | cross-examined this witness as opposed to this |
| 17:40:48 | 4 | is -- it's not that. It was that he was |
| 17:40:50 | 5 | impugning his credibility tied in with that. |
| 17:40:54 | 6 | MR. HARE: Saying Wigrizer said |
| 17:40:55 | 7 | something Roth said, Judge -- |
| 17:40:57 | 8 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| 17:40:58 | 9 | MR. HARE: It was replacing a name. |
| 17:41:00 | 10 | THE COURT: No. It had to do with the |
| 17:41:01 | 11 | credibility of Mr. Wigrizer. |
| 17:41:02 | 12 | MR. HARE: You could have said that and |
| 17:41:03 | 13 | said, But Mr. Roth did say it. |
| 17:41:05 | 14 | So the point is if that required a |
| 17:41:07 | 15 | curative, Judge, to suggest that we scripted |
| 17:41:09 | 16 | our upper command's testimony so none of them |
| 17:41:11 | 17 | said "collapse" when we're up to eight |
| 17:41:14 | 18 | instances where they said it is a factual |
| 17:41:16 | 19 | misstatement that clearly requires a curative, |
| 17:41:18 | 20 | in our humble opinion, Judge. |
| 17:41:20 | 21 | I mean, to suggest that we scripted all |
| 17:41:24 | 22 | this so none of them would use the word |
| 17:41:26 | 23 | "collapse" scrupulously, when, in fact, a lot |
| 17:41:29 | 24 | of them did repeatedly, is a significant attack |
| 17:41:31 | 25 | on our credibility, Judge, and whether we |
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE scripted anything, which is, as you know, we've objected to this whole action plan idea.

THE COURT: Of course.
MR. MONGELUZZI: Your Honor, why doesn't Mr. Hare send me that information? We will also poll -- my whole argument is they keep using "pushed over." We'll poll all the times they refer to it as "pushed over."

MR. SNYDER: That's kind of clever, isn't it?

THE COURT: Let's not address each other, Mr. Snyder. We're still going to keep things at a civil tone, even though we're at sidebar and all alone in the courtroom.

MR. HARE: We will circulate all the instances where this word came up.

The final thing, Judge, is they pulled a dictionary definition of oppression out, after we have asked repeatedly for you to give them a definition of intent. He just read a dictionary definition into the record of oppression, when that's something you're actually going to charge on. So we need a curative, Judge.

IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE THE COURT: On oppression? I'm charging on oppression?

MR. HARE: You're charging on standard for punitives.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. HARE: We can't just interject definitions, or we would have done it, obviously. He picked up a dictionary. We would have done that with "intent," Judge. So we clearly need an instruction that you are to disregard anything that's not in this record. And it's Mr. Mongeluzzi's view, based on any outside document, of what a legal term means. Because it is a term of art here. This is very important.

THE COURT: It is.
MR. HARE: It's a punitive standard.
MR. MONGELUZZI: I don't know the definition of oppression is in Pennsylvania law. That's the reason I said it.

THE COURT: If you find it in the case law, or in either the restatement, or in any other statute, or whatever definition -definitory statute, then $I$ will compare that to
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE whatever Mr. Mongeluzzi said.

MR. HARE: What about intent in the restatement?

THE COURT: I have written myself a note for my law clerk to give me the definition of intent. I'm going to look at it again. I'm going to have him send me a few things.

MR. MONGELUZZI: If there is something that says --

THE COURT: The instructions are not closed and nothing else is coming in. Okay. And, in fact, I still have in my book here something about OSHA. I don't know if that's completely out or what is coming in. And I have the charge from Mr. Clain to put into my notebook, which I told him I would, regarding crimen falsi.

So they haven't closed yet. Okay. They're very close, because Monday morning is just around the corner.

MR. HARE: The point is the closing, Judge, not the charge. He read a legal definition into the record, suggesting --

THE COURT: He read a definition.

IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE MR. HARE: Of a legal term.

THE COURT: It's also wanton. It's a legal term. Wanton.

MR. HARE: Judge, we agree. We think they should all be defined by you. Not lawyers standing up and giving definitions.

THE COURT: They are all the common sense meaning.

MR. HARE: Wanton.
THE COURT: I think so.
MR. HARE: He just didn't know what oppression was. Wanton is a commonly known term?

THE COURT: He's never been oppressed. MR. MONGELUZZI: I skipped the opressors and oppressed slide, Your Honor. I say that jokingly.

MR. STERN: Not every word in a given jury instruction involves a legal term.

THE COURT: They often have their common sense meaning, which when I read through them, and I remember the argument that you all had had before. It's why are we giving a definition for the very last one about whether

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:44:48 | 2 | it was reckless, or in reckless disregard, or |
| 17:44:51 | 3 | which one are we going to use? And they wanted |
| 17:44:53 | 4 | reckless conduct. And it was the other |
| 17:44:55 | 5 | discussion about -- and so -- and that one |
| 17:44:59 | 6 | there is a legal definition for. I don't |
| 17:45:01 | 7 | remember you saying, Here's the definition for |
| 17:45:03 | 8 | wanton, Judge. Here's the definition for this. |
| 17:45:05 | 9 | Here's the -- |
| 17:45:06 | 10 | MR. HARE: Just intent. |
| 17:45:08 | 11 | THE COURT: They are all things that |
| 17:45:09 | 12 | are read to the jury. If there's a legal |
| 17:45:12 | 13 | definition for each and every one, and I'm |
| 17:45:14 | 14 | reading each and every one, then I think you |
| 17:45:18 | 15 | would be the first one to say, Mr. Hare, that I |
| 17:45:20 | 16 | should be giving them all those definitions. |
| 17:45:22 | 17 | MR. HARE: That you should, not |
| 17:45:23 | 18 | plaintiff's counsel. |
| 17:45:24 | 19 | THE COURT: You haven't given those to |
| 17:45:25 | 20 | me. Where did you give them to me where they |
| 17:45:29 | 21 | define "wanton" and all the other words that -- |
| 17:45:29 | 22 | MR. HARE: The Fair Share Act uses one |
| 17:45:31 | 23 | word: Intentional. That's the whole case |
| 17:45:33 | 24 | here, Judge. That's what we're talking about. |
| 17:45:34 | 25 | So we asked for a definition of that. Wanton |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:45:36 | 2 | is irrelevant to The Fair Share Act. |
| 17:45:38 | 3 | THE COURT: Okay. Then oppression is |
| 17:45:39 | 4 | irrelevant. |
| 17:45:40 | 5 | MR. HARE: No, it's not. It's relevant |
| 17:45:41 | 6 | to punitive damages. He stood up and read a |
| 17:45:43 | 7 | definition that was not in the evidence. |
| 17:45:45 | 8 | Closings are about the evidence. Period. So |
| 17:45:50 | 9 | you can't stand up and read something that's |
| 17:45:51 | 10 | not part of the evidence. That's it. |
| 17:45:53 | 11 | MR. MONGELUZZI: Your Honor -- |
| 17:45:54 | 12 | THE COURT: That part is true. |
| 17:45:56 | 13 | MR. MONGELUZZI: I think -- by the way, |
| 17:45:58 | 14 | I did not read from the dictionary the |
| 17:46:02 | 15 | definition of oppression. |
| 17:46:04 | 16 | MR. SNYDER: What did you read from? |
| 17:46:05 | 17 | MR. MONGELUZZI: I picked up the |
| 17:46:06 | 18 | dictionary and talked about the meaning of |
| 17:46:08 | 19 | words, and the first thing that I used was |
| 17:46:11 | 20 | "accident." Okay. I didn't open the |
| 17:46:13 | 21 | dictionary and say "oppression." So -- |
| 17:46:16 | 22 | MR. SNYDER: It's on a piece of paper. |
| 17:46:18 | 23 | Handing dictionary up. That's different. |
| 17:46:20 | 24 | MR. MONGELUZZI: Here's my response. I |
| 17:46:22 | 25 | am unaware of anything in Pennsylvania law that |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:46:26 | 2 | defines oppression, which is one of the |
| 17:46:29 | 3 | elements that can satisfy punitives. If Mr. |
| 17:46:32 | 4 | Hare tells you the courts have defined |
| 17:46:35 | 5 | oppression, or they have defined all of these |
| 17:46:39 | 6 | combined as requiring this, and I was wrong, |
| 17:46:43 | 7 | then he'll submit that to you. And I'd say |
| 17:46:45 | 8 | okay. Give a curative instruction. |
| 17:46:47 | 9 | But if there is no definition of |
| 17:46:49 | 10 | oppression, is the jury just supposed to make |
| 17:46:52 | 11 | it up with no help, no guidance, no nothing? |
| 17:46:56 | 12 | MR. HARE: This is our whole point. He |
| 17:46:58 | 13 | stood up and read a definition. I don't know |
| 17:46:59 | 14 | if it was from a dictionary or what. When we |
| 17:47:01 | 15 | asked for intent, it's actually a very relevant |
| 17:47:03 | 16 | term in this case. |
| 17:47:04 | 17 | THE COURT: Then I misunderstood your |
| 17:47:06 | 18 | initial argument that you were making on this |
| 17:47:08 | 19 | issue, Mr. Hare. So Mr. -- |
| 17:47:13 | 20 | MR. GOODMAN: Which we opposed the |
| 17:47:15 | 21 | definition of intent the other day. |
| 17:47:16 | 22 | THE COURT: That's besides the point. |
| 17:47:18 | 23 | Then why, Mr. Mongeluzzi, were you |
| 17:47:20 | 24 | defining something for them that has not been |
| 17:47:22 | 25 | in evidence in the case? Instead of submitting |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:47:24 | 2 | to me and asking, Would you please include |
| 17:47:27 | 3 | amongst your definitions and your charge the |
| 17:47:28 | 4 | following? |
| 17:47:29 | 5 | MR. MONGELUZZI: Because we have |
| 17:47:30 | 6 | discussed everyday words all the time with the |
| 17:47:33 | 7 | jury. |
| 17:47:33 | 8 | THE COURT: But you just said that's |
| 17:47:35 | 9 | not an everyday word. |
| 17:47:36 | 10 | MR. MONGELUZZI: I think oppression is |
| 17:47:37 | 11 | an everyday word. I used the common definition |
| 17:47:41 | 12 | of what oppression is. |
| 17:47:42 | 13 | THE COURT: I think this will take a |
| 17:47:43 | 14 | little more discussion. |
| 17:47:44 | 15 | Mr. Clain, did you have something else? |
| 17:47:46 | 16 | MR. CLAIN: Your Honor, to follow up on |
| 17:47:48 | 17 | the earlier sidebar and our motion for a |
| 17:47:50 | 18 | mistrial based upon Mr. Sprague's claim that |
| 17:47:53 | 19 | Mr. Campbell should not be in prison and that |
| 17:47:56 | 20 | Mr. Marinakos should. The Court asked if we |
| 17:48:00 | 21 | wanted a curative instruction in addition to |
| 17:48:03 | 22 | preserving our appellate rights. |
| 17:48:05 | 23 | THE COURT: Until -- well, you're right |
| 17:48:09 | 24 | to object and not lose that in terms of your |
| 17:48:12 | 25 | appellate rights until we meet on Friday or |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:48:15 | 2 | tomorrow. Whenever we're meeting. I said, |
| 17:48:16 | 3 | Yes. We don't have to do it all this second. |
| 17:48:19 | 4 | MR. CLAIN: Correct, Your Honor. We |
| 17:48:20 | 5 | know now what our response to that is. |
| 17:48:22 | 6 | THE COURT: You don't want one. |
| 17:48:24 | 7 | MR. CLAIN: We don't want one. The |
| 17:48:26 | 8 | violation is so egregious that we don't think |
| 17:48:29 | 9 | it can be cured or even ameliorated by any kind |
| 17:48:33 | 10 | of instruction. In fact, to bring it up again |
| 17:48:35 | 11 | will simply deepen the wound. |
| 17:48:38 | 12 | THE COURT: Did Mr. Greiner leave? |
| 17:48:41 | 13 | MR. T. SPRAGUE: Yes. He had something |
| 17:48:42 | 14 | to go to. |
| 17:48:43 | 15 | MR. CLAIN: The very same issue with |
| 17:48:47 | 16 | respect to Mr. Sprague's references to having a |
| 17:48:51 | 17 | connected daddy to help you through the world. |
| 17:48:54 | 18 | THE COURT: You were going to check the |
| 17:48:55 | 19 | record to see if there had ever been anything |
| 17:48:58 | 20 | id about your client's father. |
| 17:49:00 | 21 | MR. CLAIN: Your Honor -- |
| 17:49:02 | 22 | MR. WIGRIZER: No. It was in a |
| 17:49:03 | 23 | deposition of Alex Wolfington where the fact |
| 17:49:06 | 24 | that his father worked for the Mercy Health |
| 17:49:09 | 25 | System in Conshohocken and got him his first |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:49:12 | 2 | job. That's not on the record. |
| 17:49:13 | 3 | MR. T. SPRAGUE: Your Honor, I can tell |
| 17:49:14 | 4 | you, even though he didn't mention Estrin by |
| 17:49:18 | 5 | name, that, I have no doubt, is a reference to |
| 17:49:22 | 6 | Estrin, because remember, Estrin testified that |
| 17:49:26 | 7 | his father and grandfather were in the business |
| 17:49:29 | 8 | and that's how he learned the trade. |
| 17:49:32 | 9 | THE COURT: No. I understood -- in my |
| 17:49:34 | 10 | head that's who I was thinking of in terms of |
| 17:49:36 | 11 | the experts, but Mr. Clain maintains, and the |
| 17:49:39 | 12 | record will bear out whether or not it was -- |
| 17:49:43 | 13 | that Mr. Sprague said Mr. Marinakos is amongst |
| 17:49:47 | 14 | those individuals whose daddy had helped them. |
| 17:49:50 | 15 | And if you find it before we get to it, please |
| 17:49:52 | 16 | kindly tell us where exactly in the record it |
| 17:49:55 | 17 | is. |
| 17:49:56 | 18 | But, anyway, you're not asking for a |
| 17:49:58 | 19 | curative instruction. I may or may not end up |
| 17:50:01 | 20 | giving one anyway, and I may not be addressing |
| 17:50:07 | 21 | this until after the jury comes back with its |
| 17:50:10 | 22 | verdict. Because how do I know if this was |
| 17:50:16 | 23 | maybe strategy, so that if Marinakos is out of |
| 17:50:19 | 24 | the case then his name isn't anywhere on the |
| 17:50:21 | 25 | verdict sheet. And, therefore, that knocks out |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:50:23 | 2 | some other claims. And if maybe a new trial is |
| 17:50:28 | 3 | warranted for you, I'm going to see first how |
| 17:50:30 | 4 | the jury rules. Maybe it will all be moot. |
| 17:50:33 | 5 | I'm not necessarily addressing |
| 17:50:36 | 6 | everything today, or Friday, or even Monday. |
| 17:50:39 | 7 | So that might be, you know, as I was |
| 17:50:42 | 8 | thinking through, Well, why was this all |
| 17:50:44 | 9 | brought up and how does it play out? Those |
| 17:50:46 | 10 | were some of my thought processes, which may or |
| 17:50:49 | 11 | may not be accurate. So I don't even know. |
| 17:50:52 | 12 | So it was just quick thinking in |
| 17:50:54 | 13 | between breaks, because it's my job also to |
| 17:50:57 | 14 | listen to the closings closely so that if I do |
| 17:51:01 | 15 | have to interrupt someone to not do something |
| 17:51:03 | 16 | or another, I need to do that, or to keep track |
| 17:51:08 | 17 | of the time. |
| 17:51:09 | 18 | MR. CLAIN: I wanted to point out, Your |
| 17:51:10 | 19 | Honor, we do not have the resources to purchase |
| 17:51:13 | 20 | these transcripts on a daily basis. We just |
| 17:51:16 | 21 | don't have the money. So |
| 17:51:18 | 22 | THE COURT: Then I'm going to direct |
| 17:51:19 | 23 | Mr. Sprague, the Sprague firm to look for the |
| 17:51:24 | 24 | part in the record where Mr. Sprague may have |
| 17:51:31 | 25 | said -- I think it was close to the end -- |


|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:51:34 | 2 | about having their daddies, et cetera. And it |
| 17:51:38 | 3 | was my feeling, but maybe from having heard it |
| 17:51:40 | 4 | the first time that he said it, that he was |
| 17:51:42 | 5 | referring to all the experts and who got along |
| 17:51:47 | 6 | and how they came up through things. If |
| 17:51:49 | 7 | there's any mention about Mr. Marinakos having |
| 17:51:51 | 8 | been in that same sort of profile, then you |
| 17:51:56 | 9 | will disclose where that is, and any other |
| 17:51:58 | 10 | attorney is welcome to join in that search as |
| 17:52:00 | 11 | well. |
| 17:52:00 | 12 | MR. T. SPRAGUE: Your Honor, I'll do |
| 17:52:02 | 13 | better. I'll provide Mr. Clain with the |
| 17:52:04 | 14 | transcript. |
| 17:52:04 | 15 | THE COURT: You're not allowed. |
| 17:52:08 | 16 | MR. T. SPRAGUE: I am if Your Honor |
| 17:52:09 | 17 | permits me. |
| 17:52:14 | 18 | THE COURT: I've always looked out for |
| 17:52:15 | 19 | my court reporters. They work as hard as you |
| 17:52:17 | 20 | all do. They're entitled to their remuneration |
| 17:52:23 | 21 | as well. |

MR. GRUTZMACHER: They're paying for your billable rate or just you pay for the transcripts, Mr. Clain.

MR. T. SPRAGUE: Even as I said, I have
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IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE no doubt, Your Honor, that when he was referring to father and grandfather, granddaddy in the business, that was with respect --

THE COURT: You'll find the cite and you'll circulate whatever the blurb is so Mr. Clain has the benefit of that blurb. And if that's what it is, that's what it is. And at least that aspect of your objection will be null. You may have thought you heard it a certain way, and maybe that's not what is there. Maybe the slight insinuation was left there, because things were juxtaposed, but that doesn't mean that it's clear enough to grant you any sort of instruction.

MR. CLAIN: That is why I would ask for all of the daddy references to be given to us, because the first one I think was contextual and you'd have to figure it out. I believe the second one was more direct.

MR. T. SPRAGUE: I'm happy to do that. THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Brennan.

MR. BRENNAN: I'll be brief. We are not going to address this tonight, but the Higgins move for directed verdict at this point

|  | 1 | IN RE: MARKET STREET BUILDING COLLAPSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:53:25 | 2 | in time. And I won't get into the argument, |
| 17:53:27 | 3 | because I know Your Honor is going to address |
| 17:53:28 | 4 | it at a later point in time. But if anyone -- |
| 17:53:30 | 5 | I understand the plaintiffs' position. They've |
| 17:53:31 | 6 | made a nonsuit. They reversed it. I |
| 17:53:34 | 7 | understand their position with regard to |
| 17:53:35 | 8 | appellate rights. But if any of the defendants |
| 17:53:37 | 9 | are going to present law or evidence in this |
| 17:53:38 | 10 | case against the Higgins motion for directed |
| 17:53:40 | 11 | verdict, I expect them to have it Friday. I |
| 17:53:42 | 12 | need to protect rights, Mr. Higgins' rights for |
| 17:53:45 | 13 | the wrongful uses of process. |
|  | 14 | (Court adjourned at 6:00 p.m.) |
|  | 15 |  |
|  | 16 |  |
|  | 17 |  |
|  | 18 |  |
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| beside [11-153:12 | Book[3]-43:9, 43:17, | 173:9 | 9 34.16 | 89:9, 91:25, 95:4, |
| $\frac{\text { best }[4]-20: 24, ~ 47: 18, ~}{\text {, }}$ | 44:17 | Brown [1]-137:11 | $34: 21,34: 22,39: 17,$ | $\frac{105: 7,110: 6,}{125: 14.126: 19}$ |
| $\frac{61: 23,76: 11}{\text { better }[4]-37: 4,}$ | book[1]-165:13 | BRYAN [1] - 5:10 Bryan [2]-2:8, $137: 16$ | 39:19, 39:20, 39:24, | 129:23, 131:10, |
| 129:9, 174:13 |  | building[44]-14:4, | 40:3, 40:11, 46:11, | 132:15, 136:15, |
| Betty [1]-137:11 | bother [4]-9:22, | 4:5, 19:13, 20:7, | 46:17, 46:22, 47:13, | 138:7, 138:9, |
| between [12]-34:7, | 6, 92: | 7:12, 30:3, 30:5, | $47:$ | 138:10, 138:25, |
| 61:17, 103:2, | bothered [1]-123:22 | $\frac{30: 10,30: 13,30: 17,}{30 \cdot 23,31 \cdot 10,15}$ | $48: 10,48: 21,49: 7,$ | $\frac{144: 17,149: 9,}{160 \cdot 5,160: 12}$ |
| 108:18, 109:8, | bottom [5]-14:7, | $\frac{30: 23,31: 10,39: 15,}{40: 6, ~ 44: 13,50: 22}$ | 49:11, 49:12, 49:24, | 164:22, 167:23, |
| 118:7, 119:15, | 21, 99:12, | $7: 21,88: 2,89: 5,$ | 50:13, 51:8, 52:7, | 9:16, 169:25, |
| 120:2, 147:16, |  | 96:16, 96:19, 98:7, | :12, 57:22, 58:13, | 172:24, 176:10 |
| 173:13 |  | 98:14, 108:19, | , 66:22, 69:4, | Case [1]-1:8 |
| beyond [1]-55:17 | $4: 5$ | 111:5, 111:6, |  | cases [3]-35:4, |
| bid [2]-27:2, 28:14 | $\text { bound }[2]-17: 6 \text {, }$ | 113:18, 115:2, |  | 45:17, 144:12 |
| bidder [2]-74:18, | bound $12-17$ | 115:22, 115:24, |  | Cases[1]-1:9 |
| 88:11 | $\text { box }[2]-8: 16,36: 11$ | 16:7, 116:21, | $87: 22,88: 18,94: 4,$ | cat[1]-9:12 |
| bids [1]-28:13 | Boy [1]-18:4 | $\frac{118: 16,118: 22,}{121 \cdot 13,121 \cdot 15}$ | $94: 22,96: 7,96: 10,$ | catastrophe [11]- |
| big [11]-24:17, 27:11, | brain [1]-106:23 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{121: 13, ~ 121: 15,}{} \\ & \underline{122: 3,122: 4,12} \end{aligned}$ | 97:23, 134:24, | $\frac{13: 8,13: 11,13: 14,}{}$ |
| 39:20, 59:18, 61:11, | brains [1]-58:5 | $\begin{aligned} & 122: 3,122: 4,12 \\ & 123: 3,124: 17, \end{aligned}$ | 145:14, 170:19 | $\frac{13: 21,13: 23,13: 24}{14: 8,23: 20,39: 14}$ |
| $89: 2,103: 25$ | break $\sqrt{41}$ - 54.19 , | 135:4, 135:17 | ampbell's [5]- | 106:6, 120:11 |
| biggest $[1]-61: 16$ |  | BUILDING [1]-1:8 |  | catastrophic [1]- |
| billable[1]-174:23 | breakfast [1]-106 | Building [5] - $3: 16$, |  | 109:3 |
| bind [1]-21:10 | $\text { breaks [1]- } 173: 13$ | $\frac{4: 11,5: 5,92: 16}{105 \cdot 11}$ | cannot $[6]-53: 13$ | catch [1]-118:19 |
| binds [1]-94:7 | $\text { breathe }[1]-12: 8$ | 105:1 | 58:4, 58:22, 77:10, | $\underline{\text { Catholic }[1]-60: 19}$ |
| birthdays [1]-77:20 | BRENNAN $[2]-5: 22$, | buildings [8]-16:6, |  | caught 11 - $89: 12$ |
| bit 881 - 29:21, 72:17, | BRENNAN 2 - 5.22, | 26:22, 27:12, 40:4, | captured $[1]-136: 21$ | Caulfield [2]-89:23, |
| 89:17, 93:22, 96:13, | Brennan[1]-175:22 | $40: 7,40: 13,50: 14,$ | $\underline{\operatorname{car}_{[2]}} \mathbf{- 1 0 9 : 1 0 ,}$ | 112:7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underline{107: 7,116: 25,} \\ & \underline{139: 12} \end{aligned}$ | bribe[1]-62:7 | bulk[1]-159:5 |  | $\text { causative }[1]-91: 14$ |


| caused [7]-14:8, | 83:20, 83:22, 83:23 | 140:19, 170:16, | 98:11, 102:15, | communicate $[3]$ - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:9, 22:2, 24:2, | chipped [1]-97:2 | 171:4, 171:7 | 104:9, 104:12 | 126:10, 126:18, |
| 30:12, 30:17, 30:23 | chipping [4] - $30: 21$, | 171:15, 171:2 | 109:13, 111:9 | 138: |
| cautionary [1]-152:2 | 30:23, 96:22, 98:8 | 173:18, 175:1 | 111:10, 111:15 | company [4]-15:18, |
| cautions [1]-140:10 | choice [4]-72:2 | clean [2]-102:5, | 115:15, 115:25 | 134:4, 134:5, 13 |
| cave [2]-115:6, 131:6 | 82:16, 88:9, 109:16 | 102:10 | 116:14, 116:17 | compare [1]-164:25 |
| ceiling [1]-124:22 | choices [1]-108:8 | cleaning [2] - $27: 21$ | 116:19, 117:2 | compelling [1]-91:24 |
| celebrated [11-77:20 | choose [2]-74:16 | clear [10]-20:22, | 121:10, 130:25 | competent [2]-28:9, |
| cell [3]-6:5, 6:7, | 139:2 | 23:13, 52:10, 52:24, | 161:2, 161:20 | 48:19 |
| 161:3 | choosing [1]-73:25 | :14, 85:20, | 162:17, 162 | complain [1]-83:23 |
| Center [3]-4:16, 4:21, | chose [3]-74:2, $74: 5$, | 145:12, 153:18, | COLLAPSE[1]-1:8 | complete [1]-159:7 |
| 77:4 | 74:18 | 55:17, 175:14 | collapse" [11-111:13 | completely [2] - |
| Centre [1]-5:23 | chosen [1] - 88:10 | CLEARFIELD ${ }^{11}$ | collapsed [3]-30:3, | 58:19, 165:15 |
| certain [5]-7:11, | chuckle ${ }^{[1]-58: 15}$ | 3:14 | 98:14, 111:5 | complex[2]-63:14, |
| 20:22, 24:24, 77:19, | chuckling [1]-101: | clearly [6]-62:7 | collapsing [1] | 146 |
| 175:11 | cigarette ${ }^{\text {[1]-139:18 }}$ | 50:14, 155:8 | 16:22 | compliment [1]-70:7 |
| certainly [6]-147:17, | circulate [2]-163:16, | 1:22, 162:19 | colleagues [11]- | concern [5]-50:17, |
| 151:23, 152:15 | 175:6 | clerk [1-165.6 | $\frac{114: 21}{}$ | 50:24, 115:22. |
| 152:24, 159:12 | circulated [11-147:16 | clerk [1]-165: | collected [1]-154 | 128:23 |
| 159:18 | cite [2]-20:8, 175:5 | clever [1]-163:1 | collision [1]-109:4 | concerned [6]-50:20, |
| CERTIFICATE [1]- | city [7]-13:9, 49:13, | client 44$]-10: 20$, | Colonel [1]-124:12 | 69:10, 105:22, |
| 177:2 | 92:15, 92:21, | 8:24, 62:5, 70:24 | column [1]-97:11 | 117:5, 117:9, 117:10 |
| certificate [1]-44:25 | 105:12, | client's [1]-171:20 | columns [3]-26:9, | concerns [1]-117:23 |
| certification [1]- | 150 | close [4] - 128:25 | 97:4, 97:6 | conclusively $[11$ - |
| 177:14 | City [9]-1:20, 16:7, | 29:8, 165:20, | combined [3]-21:21 | 93: |
| certify [1]-177:5 | 39:25, 40:11, 50:1 | 173:25 | 169:6 | concrete [1]-102:16 |
| certifying[1]-177:17 | 77:23, 116:6, 121:9, | closed [2]-165:12, | comfort [1]-43:14 | condition [1]-30:14 |
| cetera[1]-174:2 | 12 | 165:19 | comfortable [1] | conditions [1]- |
| chain [1]-129:14 | civil [5] - 55:20, | closely [2]-62:5, | 44:1 | 124:2 |
| chair [2]-7:4, 106:22 | 159:12, 159:24, | 173:14 | coming [9] - $27: 25$, | conduct [10]-51:16, |
| change [2]-91:23, | 163:1 | closer [3]-109:2, | 8:12, 32:6, 107:17, | 51:19, 94:24, 95:14, |
| 119:25 | CIVIL[11-1:5 | 109:3 | 19:2, 132:7, | 05:6, 112:20, |
| charge [12] - 11:8, | claim [10]-18:23, | $\frac{\text { closing }^{111]}-6: 20}{6.227 .7}$ | $\frac{132: 10, ~ 165: 12, ~}{165 \cdot 15}$ | $\frac{131: 12, ~ 132: 4, ~}{132 \cdot 14,167: 4}$ |
| 82:19, 83:3, 104:20, | 19:10, 36:19, 51: | 6:22, 7:7, 7:19, | 165:15 | 132:14, 167:4 |
| 138:3, 139:11, | 61:10, 70:20, 84:15, | :19, 89:18, 138:6, | comma [1]-61:20 | conference $[1]-8: 14$ |
| 142:15, 152:3, | 15, 111:25, | 50:6 | command [10]-99:21, | conferences [1]-8:13 |
| 163:24, 165:16, | 170:18 | 150:7, 165:22 | $\frac{108: 9,109: 9, ~ 111: 3,}{111.11 .12: 3}$ | confuse [1]-80:6 |
| 165:23, 170:3 | claimed [1]-80:5 | $\frac{\text { closings }[3]-143: 19,}{168: 8,173: 14}$ | $\frac{111: 11,124: 3,}{126: 17.1}$ | confusing [2] - 144:25 |
| $\frac{\text { charged [2]-70:20, }}{73.4}$ | claiming [1]-89:24 | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} 168: 8,173: 14 \\ \text { clothes }[1]-18: 17 \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 126:17, 161:2, | connected [4]-57:23, |
| 73:4 | claims [5] - 74:20 | clothes [1]-18:17 | com | 116:2, 116:3, 171:17 |
| $\frac{\text { charging [2] }-164: 3,}{164: 4}$ | $124: 18,173: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { clueless }[1]-88: 4}{\text { co }[2]-114: 21,114: 22} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { comman }}{\text { 162:16 }}$ | connections [1]- |
| $\text { charming }[1]-58: 14$ | $\frac{\text { Clain }[18]-28: 21,}{46 \cdot 13 \quad 48 \cdot 24 \quad 54 \cdot 25}$ | $\frac{\text { co-workers }[2]-}{114: 21,114: 22}$ | $\text { comment }[4]-51: 21,$ | connects [2]-59:17, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { chat }[1]-8: 15 \\ & \text { check }[8]-66: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{46: 13, ~ 48: 24,54: 25,}{55: 2,55: 8,59: 22,} \\ & \underline{5}, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 114: 21,114: 22 \\ \text { Code }[3]-92: 16, \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{52: 8,153: 25,157: 3} \\ & \text { comments [1]- } \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{\frac{104: 4}{\text { conscious [2] }}}$ |
| $69: 21,84: 21,91: 4,$ | 61:8, 70:8, 85:8, | 92:18, 105:1 | 141:1 | 126:17, 126:23 |
| 95:5, 131:8, 132:11, | 98:12, 122 | code[8]-89:12 | Commerce[1]-3:10 | nse |
| 171:18 | 165:16, 170:15, | 92:19, 92:21, | commercial [11- | 101:20, 102:3 |
| checked [1]-96:8 | 172:11, 174:13, | :24, 105:12, | 104:25 | Conshohocken [1]- |
| cherished [1] - 77:12 | 174:2 | 126:1 | commitment [2]- | 171:25 |
| chicken[3]-108:24, | CLAIN [36]-5:16, | code's [2]-110:22 | 112:2, 129:20 | consider [2]-6:25, |
| 109:6, 109:7 | 55:3, 55:9, 55:15 | codes [11-92:22 | commitments [1]- | 16:15 |
| child [5]-24:7, 43:16, | 56:11, 56:19, 56:23, | Cohen[1]-149:12 | 1:25 | Consolidated [1]-1:9 |
| 45:19, 45:20, 47:18 | 57:6, 57:10, 57:13, | COHEN [2] - 3:3, 3:8 | common [8]-36:10, | constitutional ${ }^{111}$ - |
| chime ${ }^{\text {11 }}$ - 125:17 | 59:25, 60:10, 60:15, | coincidence [1]- | 6:12, 84:8, 101:2, | 77:12 |
| chimney [8]-19:13, | 60:17, 60:20, 61:10, | 18:12 | 161:9, 166:8, | construction [3]- |
| 20:7, 36:21, 36:24, | 62:2, 62:6, 62:12, | collapse [31]-22:2, | 66:22, 170:11 | 27:22, 51:12, 53:6 |
| 50:16, 116:3, 116:6, | 62:19, 70:25, 71:8, | 22:15, 24:2, 24:14, | COMMON $[1]-1: 3$ | consumer [3]- |
| $\underline{\underline{123: 15}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71: 20,71: 24,72: 3, \\ & 72: 7,72: 11,72: 17, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{30: 18,30: 24,32: 9,}{50: 22,79: 7,87: 22,} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { commonly[1]- }}{166: 13}$ | $130: 22,130: 23,$ |
| China [4]-24:12, | 72:7, 72:11, 72:17, | 50:22, 79:7, 87:22, |  | 131:7 |


| Cont'd [3]-3:2, 4:2, | Counsel [13]-2:7, | $\frac{155: 13,156: 11,}{15 \cdot 18.157}$ | 163:15 | cured [4] - 151:5, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| contain | 3:17 3:23, 4:6.4:23, | $6: 1: 17,$ | $\frac{s_{111}-1}{-12 e^{2}}$ |  |
| 107:10, 177:6 | 5:7, 5:13, 5:19, 5 | 158:4, 158:15 | 13 | $: 8,11$ |
| Conteh [2]-2:23, | coun | 159:2, 159:5, | cow [1]-159:8 | Curtis [1]-4:16 |
| 7:16 | 8:17, 140:17 | 159:21, 159:2 | Cozen [2]-155:2 | customers [8]- |
| content [11-18:18 | 148:14, 153:20, | 160:7, 160:9, | 155:4 | 114:12, 124:10 |
| context $111-56: 12$ | 160:18, 167:18 | 160:14, 160:2 | crack [2]-104:5, | 129:5, 130:19, |
| contextual [1]- | country ${ }^{\text {[1]-12:15 }}$ | 16 | 104:7 | 131:4, 131:15 |
| 175:18 | counts [11-99:4 | 162:8, 162:10 | Cranford [2]-124:11 | 133:6, 133:16 |
| continue [3]-26:2, | courage [11-40 | $\frac{163: 4,163: 12}{164 \cdot 2 ~}$ | 129:3 | $\underline{\text { cut }[2]-36: 24, ~ 15}$ |
| 140:7, 148:11 | $\text { course } 191-24: 10,$ | $\frac{164: 2,164: b_{r}}{\frac{164: 17,164: 22}{}}$ | crappy [4]-40:2, |  |
| $\text { contract }[7]-48$ |  | 165:5, 165:11 |  | D |
| 8,51:6 | 150:10, 16 | 165 | 54:3, 144:9 | -1 |
| 81:22, 82:9, 86:4 | COURT [167]-1:3, | $\frac{166}{6}$ | created [2]-88:6, | d/b/a $111-95$ |
| contractor $188-27: 9$, | 6:3, 6:9, 6:10, 6:11 | $\frac{166: 15,166: 1}{167 \cdot 111}$ | 111:23 | ies $[2]-56$ |
| 28:12, 40:12, 41:18, | 6:16, 6:19, 11:3, | $\frac{167}{6}$ | credibility $[10]=110: 5$ | 174:2 |
| 41:19, 42:4, 42:16, | $\frac{15}{31}$ | 169:17, 169:2 | 118:24, 118:25, | $\frac{\text { daddy }[14]-27: 14,}{49: 11,56: 4,56: 16,}$ |
| 81:4, 85:4, 85:17, | - $54.25,25,55: 5,5,55$ | 170:8, 170:13 |  | 57:11, 57:25, 59:13, |
| 86:13, 88:10, | 56:8, 56:17, 56:21, | 170:2 |  | 99:14, 59:18, 60:10, |
| 143:13, 143:24, | 57:4, 57:8, 57:12, | $\frac{171: 12,171: 1}{172.173}$ | 162:25 | 12:1 |
| 4:3 | 58:16, 59:4, 59:6, |  | credible [2]-78:9, |  |
| contrary [1] - 143:20 | $59: 10,59: 22,60: 5$ | 175:5, 175:22 | 110:24 | $\text { daily }[1]-173: 20$ |
| $\frac{\text { control }[7]-16: 2,}{50: 11,50: 12,51: 5,}$ | 60:8, $60: 12,60: 18$, <br> $60: 22,61: 6,61: 18$, | Court [25]-6:2, 7:24, | credit [2]-10:22, | $\frac{54: 4,121: 11,}{}$ |
| 177:16 | 61:25, 62:4, 62:10, | 21:2, 21:3, 21:4, | Cresci i1-65:12 | 121:16, 124:18 |
| conveniently ${ }^{\text {c11- }}$ | 62:17, 62:22, 63:6, | 19 | 11. | 132:7 |
| 111:20 | 63:11, 63:16, 63:22, | 98:21, 104:19, | 12, 73:14, | dam |
| conversation [1]- | 64:2, 64:6,64:11. | 146.23146 .2 | 140:13 | damages [1]-168:6 |
| 87:16 | 64:19, 65:12, 65:16, | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{146: 23,146: 24,}{147: 3,147: 22,} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | crimen [1]-165:18 |  |
| $\frac{\text { convey } 111-18: 16}{\text { convict } 111-25: 7}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{65: 21, ~ 66: 7, ~ 66: 11, ~} \\ & \text { 67:10, 68:2, 68:9, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{147: 3,147: 22}{148: 5,148: 20} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { criminal } 66-55: 19,}{62.1671 .15}$ | $\frac{\text { damning }[1]-86: 7}{\text { danger }[20]-54: 9}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { convict }[1]-25: 7 \\ & \text { convicted }[2]-24: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 67:10, 68:2, 68:9, <br> $68: 12,68: 25,70: 3$ | 148:21, 149:5 | $62: 16,71: 15,$ | $\frac{\text { danger }}{\frac{\text { [200] }}{}-54: 9,9,}$ |
| 25:7 | 70:8 | $6 \cdot 3$ | criminals 11 -71:4 | 102:11, 102:20, |
| convictions | 71:22, 71:25, 72:6, | 151:19, 156:3 | critical [1]-129:3 | 103:11, 105:24, |
| 154:16 |  | 年0:20 | CROCIATA $11-4: 20$ | $\frac{107: 12,107: 15}{108.5,108: 8}$ |
| co | $\begin{aligned} & 72: 20,72: 24,73: 6, \\ & 73: 11,73: 12,73: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { court }[16]-10: 24,}{13: 22,14: 15,22: 8}$ | cros | $\frac{108: 5,108: 8,}{108: 16,109: 1}$ |
| 108:21, 123:22 | 73:14, 73:19, 128:9 |  | 23 | 16:22, 127:5 |
| $\frac{\text { cooperated }_{[11]}}{123: 16}$ | 13 | 72 | $2: 20,136: 23,$ | 27:7, 127:25 |
| copy[1]-177:8 | 14 |  | cross-examination | 131 |
| corner [11-165:21 | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{156: 21, ~ 174: 19,}{176: 14, ~ 177: 17} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $-86: 9$ | dangerous [19]- |
| Corp 11- $4: 23$ | $\frac{141: 10,141: 25,}{142 \cdot 4} 142 \cdot 8$ | $\text { Court's } 111-140: 7$ | cross-examined [3] | $: 9,26: 1$ |
| Corporation [2]- | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{142}{142} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Courtroom $[1]$-1:20 | 94:17, 118:11, 162:3 | $\frac{y, 2}{4,}$ |
| 94:7, 108:6 | 14 | courtroom [35]-6:12, | cross | 104:11, 104:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { corporation }[5]- \\ & \text { 75:17, } 98: 21,98: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{143: 22,144: 19,}{1454}$ |  | C | 105:9, 116:9, |
| 99:2, 134:7 | $\frac{145: 4,145}{14613}$ | 24. | $11-62: 1$ | 122: |
| corporations [2]- |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{62: 23,73: 15,73}{74: 5,74: 13,74: 2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | crush 11 - 84:6 |  |
| 16:6, 16:8 |  | 75:4, | crushed [2]-83:23, | dangers [1]-7 |
| $\frac{\text { correct [21]-19:23, }}{20.9}$ | 149:1 | 76:11 | arstal -56.14 | DANIEL [11-5:32 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 20: 9,20: 25,2 \\ & 30: 6,30: 14,3 \end{aligned}$ | 150 | 78:19 | crystal 11- $56: 14$ <br> curative :9 - $155: 25$ | Danny [2]-2:8, |
| 30:6, 3 30, 31:12, $30.181: 14$, | $\frac{152: 5}{152 \cdot}$ | 85:2 |  | 137:17 |
| 49:16, 49:18, 56:20, |  | $\frac{95: 23,106: 3,}{109: 24,112: 8,}$ | 162:19, | darn $515-80: 25$. |
| $\frac{86: 5,99: 19,117: 13,}{117 \cdot 17: 131 \cdot 17}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 152: 2,15,153,5, \\ & 153: 17,153: 24, \end{aligned}$ | 124:5, 137:2, 139:4, | 163:25, 169:8, | 3, 95:25, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{117: 17,}{145: 23,} \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | $154: 22,154: 25,$ | 140:14, 144:18, | 70:21, 172:19 |  |
|  |  |  |  | 11-32:3 |


| vis | 167:6, 167:7, 167:8, | 124:20 | ions [11 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 137:16 | 167:13, 167:25, | deposed [11-95 | ctly 11 | donated [3]-76:20, |
| days [12]-46:2, | 168 | deposition | disagree [2]-16 | 100:12, 124:10 |
| 77:21, 87:25, 95:23, | 169:9, 169:13, | 71:23 | 144:21 | done [34]-9:15 |
| 110:10, 142:23, | 169:21, 170:1 | described [1]-155:11 | disaster ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$-128 | 12, 15:8, 16:23 |
| 150:4, 151:9, | definitions [4]-164:8, | describing [1]- | [11]-47: | 6:24, 18:4, 26:10 |
| 151:23, 152:11 | 166:7, 167:16, 170:3 | 154:20 | discard [11-36:8 | :13, 45:15, 45:16, |
| 152:13 | definitory [11-164:25 | deserve [11-135: | disclose [2]-130:2 | :13 |
| dazed | Deitr | design ${ }_{11} 1$ - 57 :2 | 174:9 | 83:15, 86:15, 88:23, |
| dead [2]-79:5, 1 | 124:11. 136:23 | des | disclosed [3]- | 4, 89:6, 10 |
| deal 54$]-24: 17$, | $137: 7$ | 42:19 |  | 0:5, 105:18, |
| 44:3, 123:15 | Deitrick's [11-9 | dest | 58:12 | : $6,122: 7$, |
| dealing [2]-28:5, | delay [2]-72:1 | 156:17. 156:22 | disclo | 125:25, 127:11. |
| 54:10 | 72:19 | detail | 130:5 | 127:15, 145:24, |
| dealt $111-28: 22$ | deliberate [6] - 11:14, | 88:22, 141: | discuss [2]-114:20, | $\frac{146: 3,154: 6,}{15414.157 .21}$ |
| dear [11-17:18 | 11:24, 16:15, 21:19, | detailed [1]-155 | 14:2 | 154:14, 157:21, |
| deaths [3]-23:15 | :13 | details [1]-25:3 | discussed 111 - 170:6 | 160:5, 164:8, 164:10 |
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| 85:3, 86:13 | 29:14, 80:15, 80:22, | resume ${ }^{\text {[1]-81:7 }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Roth } 19-6: 13,: 2, \\ & 7: 3,7: 6,148: 24, \end{aligned}$ | 9, 52:23, |
| relying $/ 44-46: 22$, | 81:17, 81:22, 82:6, | retail [1] - 101:14 | 61:10, 161:11, | $\frac{53: 15,54: 10,74: 23,}{75: 16,76: 7,79: 14,}$ |
| 84:23, 134:7, 134:15 | 82:8, 82:10, 82:13 | retained [5]-50:11, | 162:7, 162:13 |  |
| remain [4]-6:12, | represented [11- | 51:5, 51:15, 93:25 | ROTH [4] - 3:8, 3:9, |  |
| 54:21, 73:15, 140 | 10:20 | ret | 46:19, 148:10 | 98:17, 98:18, 98:20, |
| aining $11-97$ - |  | $\frac{\text { revenge }}{\text { [7] - 14:16, }}$ | Roth's [3]-147:21, | 99:10, 99:18, 99:24, |
| 年 | reproduction[1]- | $79: 12$ | $\frac{148: 18,149: 6}{\text { route }[2]-118: 11,}$ | 100:8, 100:9, |


| 100:13, 100:21, | 116:16 | 82:5, 82:20, 83:3, | shirt [4]-18:8, 18:9, | 37:14, 37:23, 38:7, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{101: 10,103: 10,}{103: 14,108: 6}$ | $\frac{\text { scripted [4]-161:16 }}{\text { 162:15, 162:21 }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83: 12,96: 12, \\ & 121: 23,121: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:11, } 18: 15 \\ & \text { shirts } 11]-18: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{38: 10,38: 13, ~ 46: 10,}{46: 16,47: 4, ~ 47: 6 .}$ |
| 109:5, |  | 134:23, 135:2, | shocked (11-50 | 47:24, 51:11, 52:5, |
| 109:15, 109 | scripting [1]-161 | 143:2, 150:2 | -1 | 52:25, 53:4, 65:13 |
| 110:5, 110:23, | (1) | sen | 9.15 | 80:24, 85:20, 85:24, |
| :13, | 162:23 | 107:20, 121:6 | sho | 94:5, 94:9, 108:20 |
| 8, 113:10, | Se | 121:7, 121:14 | Shonda -11-159:7 | Simmonds' $111-84: 25$ |
| 114:8, 114:9, | 96:17, 97:19, 135:3 | 121:18, 123:24 | shoot 111 - 15: | simple 11$]$ - 76 |
| 114:10, 117:16, | se | 126:20, 127:12 | shop [5]-24:12, | simply ${ }^{\text {[1] - } 171: 11}$ |
| $\frac{117: 21,117: 22,}{11 / 212,15}$ | seated [5]-6:12, | $\frac{127: 18,127: 25}{130}$ | : $22.83: 20,83: 22$ | Simpson [2]-2:22. |
| 118:12, 118:15 | 54:21, 73:11, 73:15, | 132:6, 138:3, | 131:17 | 137:18 |
| 118:21, 119:6, | 40:14 | $\frac{139: 13,140: 10,}{}$ | shopped [3]-76:20, | single $1100-23: 18$, |
| 120:19, 121:15 | second [16]-14:21, | 160:10, 163:6, 165:8 | 100:12, 124:10 | 58:23, 58:24, 61:20, |
| 123:16, 123:19, | 31:17, 38:5, 56:14, | sense | shops ${ }^{\text {[1] }}$ | 92:8, 94:4, 106:9, |
| 123:21, 124:7, | 6, 90:3, | 36:10, 36:12, 53:16, | short [4]-51:8, 73:8, | 110:20, 129:13 |
| $\frac{127: 2,128: 3,}{121112019}$ | 93:14, 132:22, | 101:2, | 108:15, 141:7 | 156:22 |
| 128:11, 129:19, | 133:16, 133:19 | 101:9, 166:9, 166:22 | shortened $111-13: 5$ | sit [87-9:17, 15:4, |
| 129:24, 131:12, | 135:23, 146:20, | sent ${ }_{101} 19-25: 8,37: 24$, | shortly 11 - $56: 18$ | 44:7, 47:16, 52:20 |
| 132:4, 133:14, | 160:17, 171:3, | 38:8, 38:23, 39:5, | shot [2]-37:4, 45:2 | 54:6, 151:22, 152:11 |
| $\frac{133: 20,134: 3,}{1345124}$ | 17 | 100:15, 100:18, | Show $[6]-18: 22$. | site [2]-117:11, |
| 134:12, 134:14, | sec | 105:13, 105:14 | 44:25, 96:18, | 119 |
| 135:24, 136:2, | 21:7, 53:7 | 121:19 | 104:18, 112:19 | sites $111-138: 12$ |
| 136:5, 136:8, | seconds $111-79: 20$ | 3, 123:2, | $\frac{1020}{120}$ | , |
| 12, 156:23 | section $11-90: 14$ | $\frac{123: 3,123: 7}{124}$ | showed [12]-46:13, | 1:20, 15:2, 28:11, |
| sarcastic [1]-49:9 | security [11-81:5 | 124:17, 127:19, | , | 57:24, 58:9, 86:19, |
| SARMINA[1]-1:23 | seduced [4]-24:25, | 135:16 | :17, 91:11 | 86:21, 99:16, |
| SAROWITZ ${ }_{\text {[1] - } 5: 21}$ | 58:12, 58:13 | separate [\|3]-16 | 113 | 3, 11 |
| $\frac{\text { sat }[3]-8: 11, ~ 9: 3, ~}{79.11}$ | seduces [11-28:3 | 107:12 | 124 | 1:8. |
| 79:11 | see [33]-8:24, 16:3, | SEPTA 11 -132: | 24:20, 132 | 152:12, 152:25, |
| satisfaction [2]-69:9, | 35.21.37.14.38.9 | serenade [1]-17:25 | showing ${ }_{\text {I11-1-103:13 }}$ | 159:23 |
| 69:18 | 38:11, 43:18, 45:6, | seriously $11-13: 12$ | shown [3]-10:15, | situation [4]-26:11, |
| satisfied [11-28:14 | 50:10, 53:8, 56:2, | servant [2]-151:12, | $\frac{90: 7,105: 7}{9}$ | 76:9, 84:13, 115:19 |
| satisfy [1]-169:3 | 69:21, | 151:14 | sho | six $11-161: 4$ |
| Saturday $111-107: 17$ | 77:8,78:5, 78:7, | serve [2]-10:5, 78:2 | :19 | size [3]-38:2, 38:5, |
| $\frac{\text { saw } 1177-7: 25,26: 8,}{32.7}$ | 78:15, 99:20, | served [2]-10:6, 63:4 | -154:10 | 38:18 |
| $\frac{32: 6,32: 7,38: 3,}{75 \cdot 10: 100 \cdot 5}$ | $\frac{101: 10,118: 20}{120.21 ~}$ | $\frac{\text { service } 41 /-9: 22,}{10.7}$ | shut [5]-104:8, | skating [11-77:23 |
| 75:10, 100:5, |  | 10:7 | 122:10, | skipped [1]-166:16 |
| 103:18, 109:24, | 140:11, 140:17, | Sessio | 127:21, 128:23 | sleep 1 |
| $\frac{112: 13,113: 3,}{113}$ | 142:4, 144:3, | settled $111-147$ | Siamese $[11-116: 8$ | slice $111-87: 9$ |
| $\frac{113: 4,113: 6, ~ 113: 7,}{110}$ | 151:25, 154:15, | Seven[11-105:3 | sick 111 - 92:8 | slide [22]-19:5, |
| $\frac{115: 16,120: 25,}{12: 13}$ | 159:13, 159:24, | Seventeenth $11-2: 14$ | side $141-16: 7,35: 8$, | 81:15, 81:20, 81:25, |
| 128:13 | 171:19, 173:3 | sex [1]-11:15 | 5:19, 45:23, | 82:4, 84:18, 85:2, |
| SCHAER $111-5: 9$ | seeing [3]-19:8, | shadows [1]-90:7 | 45:24, 46:3, 46:6, | 86:8, 87:2, 88:9, |
| $\frac{\text { schmergonoics }[11]-}{101: 24}$ | :17 | $\frac{\text { shaking } 77-113: 18,}{113 \cdot 25-114.3}$ | 54:15, 93:12. | 4:24, 96:1 |
| $\text { school }[4]-44: 8 \text {, }$ | see | $\frac{113: 25, ~ 114: 3}{114 \cdot 20 ~ 114: 24}$ | 158:20, 159:6, 159:8 |  |
| 60:19, 77:4, 81:13 | $\frac{\text { seeps }}{78: 21}$ | 115:2, 115:3 | $\frac{\text { sidebar }[7]-8: 13,}{55: 3,55: 6,97: 14,}$ | 119:19, 128:12, |
| Schuylkill [1]-118:13 | select 90 - 81:3, 81:5, | shame [2]-153:2, | , | 131:13, 132:18 |
| science $111-81: 8$ | 81:6, 82:21, 82:23, | 153:5 | $\underline{170.17}$ | 166:17 |
| scope | 83:10, 88:17, 88:18, | shape.11-138:9 | sides [3]-8:22, 9 | slides [2]-79:22, |
| 141:16, 142:6 | 143:12 | Share [2]-167:22, | 144:1 | 113:3 |
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| scratchy [1]-60:23 | 11:18, 87:7, 96:3, | sheet [7]-49:20, | sign [4]-52:17, 86:4, | slip [3] -60:7, 84:4, |
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| smart [2]-110:7 | 5:23 | 5:23 | 83:14 | straws [2]-31:2, 31:6 |
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| sneak 11 -81:14 | specific [1] - $134: 13$, | staff 11-154:4 | Sterling [4]-74:10, | 4:12, 4:22, 5:11, |
| sneer [ $27-27: 24,28: 3$ | 150:19 | stained [1]-124:22 | 84:19, 89:19, 93 | 5:23, 14:23, 116:21, |
| SNYDER [8]-5:4, | specifically $111-7: 12$ | stammered 111-137:5 | Stern 11-160:12 | 14, 118:18 |
| 156:8, 160:3, 160:8 | spectacle $11-16: 18$ | stand [11-12:22, | STERN $441-2: 13$, | :15 |
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| 168 | spe |  | Ste | 27 |
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| 75:14, 76:12, 76:24, | spe | standard [7]-84:7, | 154:25 | 80:17, 80:18 |
| 89:18, 92:2, 99:17, | 8:7, | 92:15, 100:21, | STEVEN [1]-2:20 | structural [3]-30:4, |
| 100:20, 100:23, | 139:21 | 100:24, 104:1 | stick [2]-21:11, | 74:7, 74:14 |
| 101:11, 102:19, | spill [6]-101:11, | 64:4, 164:18 | 136:17 | structurally[1-30:11 |
| 106:25, 112:24, | 101:13, 102:9, | Standard [1] | sticks [1]-157:1 | structure [1]-116:11 |
| 113:15, 118:3, | 105:16, 121:3, | standards | still $101-21: 10$, | stru |
| 122:8, 126:6, 129:2, | 121:17 | 102:25 | 31:11, 42:24, 103:4, | 49:14 |
| 129:22, 135:15, | spli | standing $15-15: 15$, | 3:1 | stucco $11-116$ |
| $\frac{156: 10,156: 13,}{150}$ | spoken [3]-8:2, | 15:18, 94:15, | 105:17, 138:6 | stuck [2]-13:5, |
| 156:20, 158:16, | 迷13 | 1:14, 166:7 | 3:13, 165:13 | 157: |
| 13 | spoon [1]-35:4 | standpoint [2]-27:8, | stole 11 -28:23 | stuff [5]-50:19, |
| Snyder's 5 -6:22. | spot (11)-17:19 | 39:16 | stood [5]-75:14, | 90:21, 127:11, |
| 7:7, 113:20, 128:12, | spousal 11-63:12 | stands [1]-16:19 | 92:5, 94:16, 168:6, | 141:11, 155:20 |
| 153:23 | SPRAGUE [40]-4:9, | Stanley | 169:13 | stupendous [11-9:2 |
| so-and-so [1]-43:18 | 4:10, 4:10, 7:20, | $\underline{s t}$ | sto | sty |
| so-called [11-113:11 | 7:23, 11:4, 15:22, | 23:23, 26:9, 133: | 104:11 | 158:4 |
| social [11-138:12 | 16:3, 22:20, 29:2, | started [9]-6:19, | stopped [5]-104:1 | Styles 111-158:5 |
| soda [11-78:15 | 31:21, 59:2, 60:2, | 63:9, 64:2, 77:21, | 120:14, 122:11 | submit $[8]-52:$ |
| sold 111-49:15 | 60:6, 61:4, 61:22, | 81:8, 97:16, 110:13, | 122:13 | 52:24, 83:8, 112:18, |
| sole [1]-86:23 | 65:8, 65:17, 66:2, | 137:19, 148:12 | store $301-76: 20$, | 134:19, 136:6, |
| sol | 66:17, 67:16, 67:24. | starts [2]-80:13, | 91:5, 99:21, 104:25, | 161:17, 169:7 |
| so | 68:19, 69:6, 69:11, | 157:25 | 108:22, 109:23, | submitting [1]- |
| 43:15, 78:12, 82:7, | 69 | Stasiorowski [2]-3:6 | 110:9, 111:2, | 169:25 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{82: 22,97: 10,102: 4,}{103: 13,127: 12,} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{70: 17,71: 6,157: 11,}{157: 18,158: 10,}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 137: } \\ & \text { state } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{116: 12,119: 5,}{121: 7,123: 24,} \end{aligned}$ | subordinate $[1]$ - |
| 131:19, 138:16, | 171:13, 172:3, | sta | 124:5, 128:23, | Su |
| 139:19, 143:24, | 17 | 63:20, 72:9, 147:21, |  | succe |
| 154:7, 158:20, | 174:2 | 148:25 | 129:11, 130:10 | 89:10, 8 |
| 173:15 | Sprag | statements [5]-7:11, | 130:12, 130:24 | successful 11$]$-89:16 |
| sometimes [1]-41:24 | 15:20, 15:23, 31:1 | 72.7.15 148:18 | 131:3, 131:16 | successfully $[4]-$ |
| somewhere | 45:12, 55:10, 57:15, | 49:7 | 1:17, 131:2 |  |
| :14, 154:5 | 58:21, 59:16, 61:2, | States | , 2, 132:10, | 89:7 |
| soon[11-9:25 | 63:2, 64:16, 64:23, | Statio | 133:17, 133:24, | suck [1]-58:4 |
| sophisticated [2]- | 65:25, 66:3, 66:14, | statute [3]-66:25 | 134:6 | sudden [2]-53:24, |
| 75:17, 84:5 | 66:19, 69:8, 70:10, | 164:24, 164:25 | $\underline{\text { storekeeper [11- }}$ | 112:11 |
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| sort [8]-18:16, 22:23, |  | ST | $\xrightarrow{\text { stories }}$ [41]-93:10 | 24, |
| 78:2, 107:22, | 88:20, 89:6, 91:2, | 50:18 | 93:11, 93:12, 125:24 | 50:21, 65:9, 65:12, |
| 141:13, 144:2, | 94:16, 97:24, | 50:24, 51:10, 51:13, | story 9 - $46: 24$, | 65:13, 66:9, 66:25, |
| 174:8, 175:15 | 101:18, 135:14, | 51:22, 52:5, 73:24, | 0:14, 90:19, 91:23 | 67:8, 67:12, 68:11, |
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| soule $11-56: 21$ | 173:23, 173:24 | 93:24, 94:7, 94:9, | 16:11, 116:12 | suffered [2]-13:17, |
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| 53:13, 134:13, | $\underline{t a r g e t i n g ~}^{[1]}$ - 161:15 | 62:4, 62:10, 62:17, | theatrics [1]-80:7 | 38:24, 139:4, 141:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 155:22, 162:15, | Tariq [2]-94:12, | 62:22, 63:6, 63:11, | theirs [1]-146:4 | toilet [7] - 41:25, 42:6 |
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| suggested [5]-37:12, | tarred [1]-106:12 | 64:6, 64:11, 64:19, | 36:4, 74:5, 74:12, | 44:10, 84:3 |
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| suing [3] - 16:14, | TERM ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$-1:7 | 140:13, 140:17, | 41:2, 43:13, 44:18, | tons [1]-102:15 |
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