1	(Brief recess.)
2	(Jury enters courtroom at 3:15 p.m.)
3	THE COURT: Welcome back, ladies and
4	gentlemen.
5	As you know now, the parties have
6	closed the record and now the evidence is to
7	be before you when you begin your
8	deliberations and we are now going to invite
9	counsel to give their closing arguments. So
10	pay close attention, as they address maybe
11	some of the important issues that you're
12	focusing on.
13	Counsel.
14	MR. STROKOVSKY: Thank you, Your
15	Honor.
16	THE COURT: Counsel, during
17	counsel's closing, you can move your chair
18	over if you need to see any of the exhibits.
19	MR. HOSMER: Thank you.
20	MR. STROKOVSKY: Good afternoon.
21	First off, I just want to thank you
22	for being here. You didn't have to be here,
23	and just as Judge Crumlish said at the very
24	beginning of this process, you all took an
25	oath and you all are fulfilling your duty and

you are the whole reason why there can be this thing called "justice." It is because of you and we really appreciate that.

2.0

2.1

2.4

And we also know that this couldn't have been easy. I mean, today was all expert talk, but Thursday and Friday that was real. That was raw pain. That was deep pain. That was real emotion. And I submit and I'm confident that everybody felt that in this courtroom. And the fact that you all have to leave your lives to come here and become a part of this, that's a lot.

You may also, it would be perfectly natural if you would be like what, what did Temple do, what did Dr. Lorei do, the fact that we are even here. It's been almost four and a half years since Eddie Parks lost his leg and it's been almost four years since we filed a lawsuit. And it's only been up until last week when defense goes we admit fault. We caused the amputation. We caused the presurgical procedures. We admit it all. We disagree on the extent of damages. So because of that, we are not bringing in experts to talk about the mistakes that Dr. Lorei and

Temple made. We just can't do it. They
already admitted to it.

2.1

But their admission of fault one week or days, or technically it was first day of trial, when they officially admitted fault, that's not justice. Just saying, Oh, we admit fault. That's not justice for Mr. Parks. You are the ones that will give us justice. And I submit to you, I understand you don't know the extent of the mistakes made and how they were made, but they admit to those mistakes 100 percent, 100 percent. This is not a case, Oh, well, it's this person a little bit or that person's fault here. We got to mix it all up. A hundred percent fault.

And Temple University Hospital,
Incorporated, they're a big corporation. It's
not easy for an amputee to go up against
Temple, let alone go through a lawsuit process
for four-plus years and show up to trial with
all your experts ready to go, Oh, no, we admit
the fault, oh, okay.

And it's not easy for Eddie Parks to be going through what he is going through always without his leq, always remembering the

1	three weeks were because of their mistakes.
2	He had this, this to look forward to, and I'm
3	sorry you got to see it. We have other
4	pictures. I didn't choose to show it to you.
5	I didn't want to overdo it. We only showed it
6	for a few seconds at a time. I'm sorry you
7	got to see this now. Eddie Parks had to see

this 24-seven for 21 days.

2.1

2.4

He's hoping his leg will get saved.

He is stuck in a hospital bed. His leg is split open. He's in a hospital where they are supposed to fix him and help him. Why me?

Why am I singled out? Why is this happening to me? This should not be happening. He sees his whole family come around, bawling. He is bawling. He is in severe pain. He is hallucinating.

And six surgeries, six times they take him under anesthesia. They take him back. They remove more of his own leg. I hate to say it, but it's like a butcher shop. This is like a horror movie, isn't it? This is a horror movie, you go somewhere where they're supposed to fix you all up. You have instead things go horribly wrong.

1	Nobody tells you things went
2	horribly wrong. You know the very first time
3	there was any semblance, there ever was an
4	apology was the first day in trial four and a
5	half years. It wasn't even Dr. Lorei who
6	apologized or anyone from Temple. It was
7	their attorney. I don't know if you noticed
8	this, I certainly didn't, their attorney
9	didn't even look Eddie in the eye. He was
10	looking at you. Yeah, we are sorry for what
11	we did to Eddie Parks.
12	But this, we are here, the trial is
13	about fairness and fair value. We admit we
14	are at fault. We're good people and do the
15	right thing here. Eddie is over there. What
16	is going on?
17	Let me say for the record that
18	apology, unacceptable. That was not cool in
19	the slightest.
20	So we don't expect to get justice
21	from Temple. We expect to get justice here in
22	this courtroom from all of you.
23	And I get it, they're a hospital.
24	There are probably some really good people
25	there. I got family members in the medical

field. I like doctors.

2.0

2.1

2.4

But, also, you have to realize Eddie was a health care professional, too. He was a CNA. His mom was a CNA. His sister is a nurse. And even if they try to say, Hey, people make mistakes, it what it is. You know what, people do make mistakes. When you make mystics, especially as catastrophic as this, and you give this man a life sentence of severe pain, disability, basically took his identity, they took his self. They took who he was. Not to mention during all of this, he has a son on the way. His son is born and he's stuck in bed in pain like this. I don't think that's how he planned out his future with his son.

But what I'm trying to make clear to you is even though we didn't get to parade our experts in and make this a three-week trial and prove about the mistakes that they made, it doesn't mean they didn't make it. And even if they tried to act nice and tried to get away with a discount, that is not justice. And if you we need justice for a full and fair and complete accounting for everything that

that man has gone through in the past
four-plus years and everything that he is
going to go through for the next 44 years.

2.1

And I understand that's a very, very tough concept to wrap your head around. How do you value a person's life? How do you value a person in their prime losing their leg? How do you value the impact on namely, his son, friends, your dreams, your ambitions? He was in the prime of his life. And you are tasked with valuing that. And I know it's not easy. All that I ask is that you take your time and you look at this case honestly and thoroughly, and so at the end of the day whatever verdict you reach, you know that you gave Mr. Parks justice. You gave Eddie Parks justice and he's never going to get his leg back.

Never going to get a real apology from Temple, which we don't really care about by now, that number, it's not just what he is entitled to under the law, which he is entitled to it. You must compensate him for every bit of his pain and suffering. His embarrassment and humiliation for being who he

is now. Every single moment of the day where everybody is looking at him differently. He is all alone in this. You have to ask to account for his life's pleasure, everything that he enjoyed that he can no longer do.

2.1

He was hustling. He wanted to have a food truck and a restaurant and they're all over here. Frankly, it's degrading. Eddie can do whatever he wants. Can you believe that? Is that their sense of justice? Oh, hey, we will admit fault on the day of trial. We are going to parade in here and we will nickel and dime him every single way we can.

Life expectancy, let's lop off five years. I think that's the word they used, "lop," which was quit sensitive, considering they lopped off this man's leg.

But you need to use, as the Judge will instruct you, your common sense, your human experience. We are all humans here. We all know what it's like to have a mom or be a dad, to have family, to have friends, to have dreams, to have ambitions, to just want to wake up and not be in pain, to want to have nice sleep one night, to just want to be

1 normal.

2.0

2.4

I understand it's a difficult task, but if there is not a full and thorough accounting for every bit of what he has gone through and every bit of what he will go through in a case where the hospital even admits that it's there fault or the doctor admits it's their fault 100 percent, if there cannot be justice here, I hate to say it, especially since this is my job, I don't know where there can be justice frankly.

It's not just the money. As I said in my opening, you are telling Eddie Parks with your verdict, yes, Eddie, we heard you. We felt you. We saw your family. We saw what this is doing to you, and it's a hundred percent their fault. They wronged you and we are not going to let them prance in here and think they are above the law, they got a get out of jail free card that they get out of this. You can hold them accountable. You can tell them and tell Eddie Parks that they are not above the law.

And it's going to be tough for Eddie. Do you think he wanted to come here?

He did. He wanted to be here. In a lot of ways he wanted his day in court, especially since he was waiting four-plus years before hearing, oh, wait, we are at fault. They were denying that the whole time before that.

2.1

But when he was actually here, is it tough for a person who lost their leg and is chronic severe pain to have to sit in a chair and have people coming in and staring at him, to have a false apology, to have people, have experts and people say, Hey, he's fine, he's got a prosthetic. He can do exactly what he did before.

Did you hear Dr. Sarlo? I read the whole part when I asked him what he does in the day. He stopped after the first three notices. He wakes up -- I don't know if you noticed it -- he hops, gets his son some juice, gets his kid to school and that's it. That's the day that Dr. Sarlo described that's all he is doing. But, Dr. Sarlo, is Eddie super motivated? He wants to do well. That's absolutely right. He wants to do well.

Eddie doesn't want to be in this situation. He would have his leg and have his

1 life. He would have manageable pain or no 2 pain at all and able to do something. 3 Instead, he's home all the time. they're like Eddie does fine, he can get his 4 5 kid juice, he can drive a car. Now he can 6 walk a little bit. He even can get on the 7 bike and go down the block. That's degrading. That's degrading the way they make it sound 8 like he's fine now. He is not fine now. 9 10 And it's just incredibly tough. It 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

wasn't tough for me. I'm sure it was tough for you. You had his father come in and his mother come in, his ex, his child's mom come in here and they basically say he is broken. Eddie Parks that I know here is dead. He's dead. Oh, we got to watch out. He gets in He doesn't want to be in moods. moods now. He's in so much pain he doesn't understand what is going on. Can you blame him? He was pinned down to a hospital bed for three weeks. His flesh all around here, all around here, inches, keep smelling it. They're putting him in restraints. His dad is crying about I'm going to undo the restraint so he can move his arm a little bit. That's just the first three

1 weeks.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

2 And you got to think to yourself, 3 what is full and fair compensation to go through five minutes like that? What is five 4 5 minutes? Do you think Eddie Parks, hey, 6 Eddie, we got this really bizarre theme park 7 It's called "go to hospital and we slice open your leg and your whole life is 8 9 ruined after that." What do you pay to get on that ride for five minutes? And he 10 11 experienced that every single moment of every 12 single day in that hospital.

And then they cut his leg off. And I don't know if you noticed this. Did you hear Dr. Sarlo? What pictures did you review? I saw Eddie Parks at Vegas. Any other pictures? No.

I thought it was the doctor's job to understand what a person goes through. Didn't see this. He loves talking about Eddie. He doesn't have bad pain. He's fine. He doesn't have residual pain. Oh, there is one note out of hundreds where it says, oh, phantom limb pain is rare. It's not as much this week. He's still feeling his toes from the leg they

chopped off, but it's rare. Okay. It's going to be gone.

2.1

2.4

The pain will be fine. The phantom limb pain will be fine. Yeah, we know he had it for the past four and a half years, but that's not that long. He will be fine. Everything in his life will be fine. He can be a firefighter, a bike messenger, a barback just jumping on kegs, lifting things up. He can do whatever he wants in this world. That's unacceptable.

about future medical costs. Don't get me wrong, future medical costs are important, but that's just one component of this case. I submit that's just the tip of the iceberg when we talk about the grand scheme of damages. But even when you consider that, they're nickel and diming him left and right.

Did you hear at the beginning they said, Hey, we will probably show you an economist, Olson. Do you remember that? But then do you remember when economist Verzilli came up and said, Hey, you know if they keep using what they have been doing, it's going to

1 be a higher number.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

2. So Temple, that's supposed to be 3 here all about justice, right, they can't stomach the fact that we're going to have an 4 5 economist and they will have a higher number 6 than their own economist. Now what we will 7 We will not call him. Many we will not call him. We are going to bash on Verzilli, 8 9 even though we said we were going to call our 10 own.

You heard Verzilli. If you use that economist's numbers, our figures would be higher. They would be higher than 5.9 million. Instead, they bring in Nurse Kuntz, who her first report said he needs that surgery, he needs a scooter, a home health aide. Over the last year since I saw him or he needs like multiple socket replacements and he's in pain and he has ingrown hairs and now he is actually home by himself because he's no longer with his girlfriend. Well, we actually don't think he needs any help in the home anymore. He doesn't need a surgery.

Dr. Sarlo says nothing in the records that indicate surgery. Nurse Kuntz is

like I see records he will need the surgery. A week before the surgery, no longer needs surgery or no help, does he need a scooter? How about when he is home alone at 60 and he falls down the stairs, an ER visit? No, he doesn't need anything. We got to give him crutches, a wheelchair. We give him the legs, he's fine. He will not be in pain. He will be a firefighter. He can do whatever he wants.

2.0

2.1

2.4

That's not justice. And just know that figure that they float out, we have Alex Karras' number, which was \$2,847,786.67 adjusted to inflation and you saw me go through it. Verzilli's justification. It makes sense. That over the course of 44 years, does more than double. Yes. That's what inflation does. That's the number we have, \$5,933,331. And I submit he deserves every penny of that for his future medical care. That is reasonable.

They could have factored in, well, hey, if he doesn't get a nurse or scooter, he's going to need to be in a nursing home around the clock, or he will need a surgery to

1	replace his hip if you don't give him these
2	things to give him any chance. By them saying
3	now like he doesn't need any type of
4	surgeries, you're basically saying there is no
5	chance his pain will improve. What is this
6	stuff he will get better as he ages? Use your
7	common sense. Use your human experience.
8	Every single day he has to get up out of bed
9	like this. He has to hop to the bathroom like
10	this. You saw the prosthetic he has to put or

and take off throughout the day.

2.1

And then he walks like this. You saw him walk. Do you think that's good on the body? Do you think this is easy to walk like this at all times? No.

He doesn't have back pain. He is never going to have back pain. They're making this case about back pain. Guys, I don't know if you notice, you chopped off a guy's leg, like he might have some back pain or there is some back pain. We are focused on the leg here. There were notes showing my picture, other medical records about the leg. They were showing Allied where it says patient blank signature, no back pain.

Mr. Parks doesn't deserve anything even though we are 100 percent at fault for ruining this guy's life. He was 27 years old. They ruined his life. He was a CNA. His job was fulfilling to him. He was a cook. a passion. He had a goal. He had a dream. He had friends. He did things. He was normal. He just found out he was going to be a dad. They ruined his life.

2.1

2.4

As much as I would love to say,

Eddie, I would love to say this so much, I
hope things get a heck of a lot better for
you, but you just got to base it off the
evidence. He has been doing this for
four-plus years. It's not getting better.
Everybody even on the defense agrees he is
motivated, he wants to do well, to do good.
Guess what? It hasn't done anything. That's
not going to change.

And he wants to make his son proud. Think of that from a human level, he wants to make his son proud. He will try. I got to tell you, Eddie, you're making your son proud by being here today. There are not too many people let alone with one leg, that will stand

up to one of the biggest corporations in the area. He's not getting punked by Temple. He's going to hold Temple accountable. By holding them accountable, that's on you, and I ask you to consider what he has been through, that five-week hospitalization, waking up, hey, where is my leg, I feel it, I can feel it. The dad says, no, it's not there.

2.1

All the pain, all the suffering, wondering if everybody is going to leave him. Will he move on in life? The five weeks alone, what is that worth? Being told his leg will be cut off, cutting it off. What is that worth? Going home, trying to go to the bathroom with one leg and severe pain, falling on yourself, soiling yourself, needing your mom and girlfriend to wipe you and bathe you and cook for you. Learning how to walk all over again. Not being able to be there for your baby. Still having issues.

Like, yes, yes, he went away for a few days once a year, I guess he's healed.

You must be a very good amputee. He gets to go to AC, spend a few days in Vegas. His life is going exactly as he planned it to be.

1	Everything he went through you need
2	to account for at the last four-plus years,
3	but you also need to account for the rest of
4	his life. And we know it's going to occur for
5	the rest of his life because his leg is never
6	coming back.
7	And you heard Dr. Miknevich. She
8	was genuine. Her life's work is helping
9	amputees. She probably sees more amputees in
10	one month then Sarlo seen in his whole career.
1	I don't know if you heard that. His
12	primary job is working with people with back
L3	and neck pain. He's a spine doctor in
_4	Christiana Spine Center, we are solely focused
15	on the spine. He didn't like to admit that.
16	Well, I do other things. I guess you do, but
17	your practice then misleads the public.
18	Again, no economist. Nurse Kuntz
L 9	taking things out of her plan and not even
20	writing about it.
21	You also heard none of them knew the
22	medical records. Eddie just fell in 2019.
23	Oh, really, Dr. Sarlo. Just fell in 2019.
24	Oh, well, those records would have been
>5	repeated Let's see the other records Let's

see them, then. Okay, Dr. Sarlo maybe you were wrong.

2.0

2.1

2.4

How about pain? Pain is not too bad. Every single time he sees his doctor, ten out of ten pain. I'm showing the last two-plus years. I didn't want to go from the very beginning because I'm pretty sure that even they would agree he was in really bad pain at that point.

But from a human level, human experience, common sense, you can't let them get away with this. You can't let them. We all leave here today. You all fortunately, rightfully so, when you render a verdict, you get to go on with your normal life. Temple will still be in business. Me, even I'm very much invested in this case, but I move on, too. Defense lawyer moves on. Everybody moves on, except that man and his family. He's got to live with this forever.

So you think about having a conversation with this Eddie Parks or you have a conversation with this Eddie Parks. Or you bump into that Eddie Parks at Wawa. Or you run into Eddie Parks 20 years from now. Or

1	you bump into Eddie parks 40 years from now.
2	Can you look him in the eye? Can you look him
3	in the eye and tell him, Mr. Parks, we heard
4	all the evidence in the case. We heard what
5	you went through. We know that they were a
6	hundred percent at fault, and by law, a
7	hundred percent, they must be held
8	accountable. And that by law, he must get a
9	verdict that compensates him for every bit of
10	his loss for the last four years and for the
11	rest of your life.

2.0

2.1

2.4

And, Mr. Parks, we thought hard and we were honest and we considered everything and rest assure, you, Mr. Parks, we delivered a verdict that gave you justice.

And maybe there will be a phone call when all this is said and done, a call to Temple, yeah, they held us fully accountable. Mr. Parks is not below the law. Mr. Parks is not undeserving of justice. However much we might like it to be, our nickel and dime act didn't work here. They rendered a verdict that accounts for the rest of this man's life, the rest of this struggle he's going to have the rest of his life, the rest that he will

remember what happened to him at Temple,
remember the horrors that happened to him.

2.0

2.4

And, frankly, parts of this trial was a horror. In fact, this will do to this Court, the first time they ever tried to apologize, they are looking at people that are not him. Forty-four more years they want to make this case about medical costs. Don't get me wrong, he's entitled to all the medical costs. That is the tip of the iceberg when you consider his pain and suffering, every moment, every day, everything that he has to do through. His embarrassment and humiliation, knowing he's inadequate.

His mom is worried about him. He should be worried about his mom. He wants to be a dad. I don't know if he can be the dad he wants to be. His own dad calling him broken and lost a lot of his friends are gone. He is single now, too.

Eddie has his charm, but it's not the easiest thing to bring on with your next partner to say every time will you massage my limb every time it's in pain. That's tough.

Or maybe he is walking like this and they

1 think maybe he has limp, and then he moves up 2. his leg and they see what is actually going on 3 and what he has to deal with. And I hope the happy-go-lucky Eddie 4 5 comes back, but he's in a dark place. He deserves justice. He lost everything for 6 7 something that a hundred percent was not his fault. 8 9 And you all, as the Judge instructs 10 you, all were picked because you can be 11 impartial and "impartial" meaning treating 12 people equally under the law. So no doctor 13 gets breaks. No hospital gets breaks. No 14 massive corporations get breaks. No victims 15 get breaks. We don't want a handout. 16 don't want you to punish them. But we want 17 you to feel his pain and suffering. We want 18 you to know what he is going through and will 19 go through the rest of his life. We ask for 2.0 justice. 2.1 Thank you. 22 THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel. 23 Counsel, you may proceed. 2.4 MR. HOSMER: Thank you.

Good afternoon, ladies and

25

1 gentlemen.

2.

2.0

2.4

I will start my closing the same way
I started my opening. As I told you at that
time, Dr. Lorei made a misjudgment. He did
not in a timely way adequately comprehend that
Mr. Parks had a popliteal artery injury. And
although he tries to do his best for every
single patient with whom he deals, he made
that misjudgment and as a consequence of that,
unfortunately Mr. Parks lost his leg.

Dr. Lorei regrets his mistake, regrets his misjudgment and as I've already done, we communicated our sympathies to Mr. Parks.

Now comes the point in time where it's incumbent upon you. The law charges you with the duty to determine what Judge Crumlish will tell you is fair and adequate compensation.

Before I go into the details of evidence that you already heard, I do want to take a minute to thank you for your time and your patience and your attention during the course of the trial. We understand that you've taken time out from your busy

1	schedules. We understand it's inconvenient
2	for you to do that and we appreciate the fact
3	that you have done that and that you have
1	given us vour time

2.0

2.4

Now, the evidence, ladies and gentlemen, in this case consisted of basically what is taking place in the past several years. We went through the history of Mr. Parks for a very expressed purpose because the history can tell us in hard, cold, objective facts what took place, and then you can use that as a guide to determine what is fair and adequate compensation.

You heard that Mr. Parks got out of Temple University Hospital in February of 2019. You heard from Dr. Miknevich, as well as Dr. Sarlo, that he did not see Dr. Meta until August of 2019, and during that entire period of time, he was not taking any pain medications.

You heard that subsequently, he went to see Dr. Lenrow. Saw him on two occasions in August and September of 2019. And at that time you saw, because we put it up today, Dr. Lenrow wrote denies difficulty with

1 ambulation, denies pain.

Moving on, in August of 2020, 2. 3 Mr. Parks saw Dr. Bradley Tucker, the man who has been managing him for the past four years. 4 5 Dr. Tucker wrote as of that time, that 6 Mr. Parks was capable of jogging and riding on 7 a stationary bicycle, and actually noticed that he had the health and the strength and 8 9 vitality and the youth in order to make the 10 C-leg usable in order to allow Mr. Parks to 11 take advantage of his abilities. The C-leg 12 was ordered. He got the microprocessor, 13 state-of-the-art leg, and since that time, he 14 has become progressively more capable of doing

the things that he wants to do.

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

Specifically, if you recall from

June 3, 2021, when he was seen by Allied

Orthotics, they noted at that point in time

that he was -- we saw it today -- the

abilities that he had including shopping,

weight training, jogging, and a number of

other things. His endurance and his balance

and his activity level was rated as an

excellent. His gait was rated as normal with

an endurance of three hours.

1 As of August of 2021, Mr. Parks saw 2 Dr. Tucker, and at that time Dr. Tucker noted 3 that he was jogging, he was bicycling and he said that Mr. Parks had his prosthesis with, 4 5 quote, without significant issues. And it is, 6 quote, working well for him. 7 We are pleased that Mr. Parks has been able to make that kind of an adjustment, 8 9 make those kind of advances. And then they continued. 10 In October of 2021, from the Allied 11 12 records, if you remember, page 73, said that 13 he was were going to the gym and, guote, feels 14 good. 15 Moving on to March 16 of 2022, Mr. 16 Parks again saw -- went back to Allied 17 Orthotics and at that time, again, he was 18 jogging, he was shopping, he was lifting 19 weights, engaging in aerobics and he was, 20 quote, taking long walks. So his activity 2.1 level was high. His endurance was good. His 22 gait was normal. 23 Moving on to the current period of 24 time, March of 2023. Dr. Miknevich saw

Mr. Parks and so did Mr. Sarlo. And if you

25

1	recall, ladies and gentlemen, Dr. Miknevich
2	said he is currently swimming at the YMCA.
3	He's using a stationary bicycle. He is
4	driving. He hadn't had a fall since January
5	of 2022. And he is able to take trips. He's
6	gone to Las Vegas, Atlantic City, Florida and
7	New Orleans.
8	Can you bring up those pictures,
9	Tim, of Las Vegas, please.
10	We are pleased and gratified that he
11	has been able to progress to the point where
12	he is able to do those things.
13	As you heard, ladies and gentlemen,
14	there is pictures Mr. Parks, in either
15	September of 2019 or September of 2020, spent
16	seven days in Las Vegas with Ms. Shearer.
17	These are the pictures of a man who is making
18	a good recovery and with good functionality.
19	Now, you heard Dr. Sarlo, ladies and
20	gentlemen. He testified in response to my
21	questions is Mr. Parks capable of performing
22	all the pre-amputation activities that he had
23	before he was before the amputation took

place. Is he currently able to do that? And

Dr. Sarlo told you to a reasonable degree of

1 medical certainty that he is.

2.

2.0

2.4

Just as importantly, ladies and gentlemen, Dr. Tucker wrote in March of 2022, that Mr. Parks is, quote, highly functional and Dr. Miknevich agreed that he is highly functional, and Dr. Sarlo agreed that he is highly functional. That has not been challenged or refuted. It's evidence in the case and there's nothing to contradict that.

Again, we are pleased that he regained that functionality. The fact that he is highly functional, however, doesn't mean that he doesn't need future medical care. We recognize that. That's why we put Ms. Kuntz on the stand to talk about what his plan will be in conjunction with Dr. Sarlo.

One of the threshold questions that you have to address when determining future medical care is what is his life expectancy. Well, ladies and gentlemen, you heard about two competing life expectancy tables. One being one for all males in the United States, and the other one being for, it's based on gender, race and age.

Now, I thought it was somewhat

unfortunate that Mr. Karras chose to depict
the life table for African-American males as
racist. It's not. It would be like saying
they're also sexist because all the life care
tables show that women live longer than men.

2.0

2.4

The fact of the matter is that Mr. Parks is a 32-year-old African-American male and the life expectancy table that is most appropriate for him is the one designed for him which gives him a life expectancy of 39 years.

Now, based on that, you heard Ms.

Kuntz. She was in here today. She told you,
ladies and gentlemen, she laid out a plan for
his life care for the remainder of his life of
39 years, and the cost of it being \$1.2

million, and then some change.

I want to take a minute to talk to you about, ladies and gentlemen, about what you heard about the life care plan from the plaintiff because the concept remains the same.

Did the evidence that came from those individuals, specifically, Mr. Karras, is that the kind of evidence that you think

was designed to help you reach a fair and impartial verdict as to what constitutes fair and adequate compensation?

2.0

2.4

Judge Crumlish will tell you, ladies and gentlemen, that you are permitted to consider a witness' demeanor, as well as the way they respond to questions. Think back to yesterday when I was cross-examining Mr.

Karras. What did you think of his demeanor?

What did you think of the way he answered

Mr. Strokovsky's questions compared to the way he responded to me? Did you get the sense, ladies and gentlemen, that he was trying to convey to you information that would help you reach a fair and impartial verdict in this case?

Take a couple of examples. Dr.

Miknevich testified that neuroma scar
injections would be necessary in the event,
one, that he had pain; two, that a pain
management specialist recommended it; and,
three, once he got one, they would have to
remain successful, the injections would have
to remain successful in order for him to
continue to get the injections.

1	But when we pointed it out to Mr.
2	Karras that he had plugged into his life care
3	plan 44 neuroma scar injections over the
4	course of 44 years, ladies and gentlemen,
5	that's inconsistent with what Dr. Miknevich
6	was laying out. I would submit to you it's
7	inconsistent with what you're charged to do,
8	which is come up with a verdict that awards
9	damages on the basis of fairness and adequacy.
10	Consider another example is a spinal
1	cord stimulator and the way Mr. Karras handled
_2	that. If you recall, Mr. Karras was in the
L3	courtroom at the time Dr. Miknevich was
_4	testifying. I specifically asked Dr.
15	Miknevich how many spinal cord implantations
16	do you believe the patient will need,
17	Mr. Parks will need in the event that he needs
18	one at all. She said one.
19	And if you recall, I brought out
20	that to Mr. Karras' attention that he actually
21	plugged into his life care plan four spinal
22	cord implantations. When I said to him, Mr.
23	Karras, you were here at the time when Dr.
24	Miknevich testified. You heard what she said
25	about the spinal cord, the implantation of the

spinal cord stimulator. Do you remember what his response was? I didn't hear it. I don't remember. I heard something about battery replacements, but I don't remember hearing anything about the number of implantations or being different than the four that he prognosticated.

2.

2.0

2.4

Well, again, ladies and gentlemen, I submit to you that you need to consider that when you determine whether you were getting information from Mr. Karras that was designed to lead to a determination as to what constitutes fair and impartial -- fair and adequate compensation in this case.

Consider the fact, ladies and gentlemen, that I will point out that Dr.

Miknevich that she had prognosticated, as of 2021, when she wrote her first report, that Mr. Parks was going to need a pain management specialist four times a year, going to need formal occupational and physical therapy four times a year, in 2021, was going to need lumbar epidural injections four times a year. Pointed out to Dr. Miknevich, it was never recommended by Dr. Tucker at any time between

1	2021 and 2023, nor did Mr. Parks undertake
2	having any of those treatment modalities.
3	And.

2.0

2.1

2.4

I said to Dr. Miknevich, well, in light of the fact that the doctor has been managing this patient, Mr. Parks, for four years, doesn't recommend it, in light of the fact that Mr. Parks himself never underwent it, despite the fact that he had three socket changes, doesn't that suggest to you, ma'am, that perhaps he doesn't need those modalities? And you can come to that conclusion, ladies and gentlemen, if you think it's justified.

Consider this, those recommendations by Dr. Miknevich made in 2021, were reiterated by her in 2023, when she wrote her second report. And if you recall, I said to Dr. Miknevich, Doctor, inasmuch as the predictions that you made in 2021 were near-term, specifically pain management, orthopedic consult, the lumbar epidural injections, the physical therapy and occupational therapy, the fact that it didn't occur, doesn't that suggest to you that these, if you're incorrect on the short-term predictions, there is an

<u>T</u>	even greater chance that your long-term
2	predictions will be incorrect. She said, I
3	don't believe I was incorrect, but she said, I
4	do agree that they could be that the expenses
5	for future medical care could be less.
6	Ladies and gentlemen, you heard the
7	evidence in regard to the future medical
8	expenses, and I, if you recall, cross-examined
9	or examined Ms. Kuntz about what she added up
10	were actual medical expenses. I was
11	attempting to move from the theoretical kind
12	of crystal ball predictions that is inherent
13	with any life care planner and try to get into
14	the actual real costs of what happened in 2021
15	and 2022 for the purpose of providing you a
16	guide for the rest of the remaining 39 years
17	of his life expectancy.
18	Now, I did the arithmetic during our
19	lunch break
20	MR. STROKOVSKY: Objection.
21	MR. HOSMER: putting up the
22	numbers, one what actually happened and theory
23	under Dr. Verzilli.
24	THE COURT: It's argument. It's
25	closing argument. You can address it in your

1	rebuttal.
2	MR. HOSMER: Ladies and gentlemen,
3	you heard Mr. Karras testify and after some
4	jousting, he finally admitted that the medical
5	expenses in 2021, I believe, were \$8,060 and
6	in 2023, 6,581.
7	If you recall, ladies and gentlemen,
8	Mr. Verzilli testified that the rates of
9	inflation vary. All he can do is rely on the
10	medical, the life care plan provided to him by
11	Mr. Karras. Mr. Verzilli, well intentioned,
12	but he is hamstrung by the numbers he gets
13	from Mr. Karras.
14	So what I did as a result during the
15	course of cross-examination with Mr. Verzilli,
16	I took the percentage Mr. Verzilli
17	prognosticated in 2021, that medical expenses
18	would be \$97,611 and prognosticated as of
19	2021, medical expenses for 2022 at \$50,095.
20	It's a far cry, ladies and gentlemen, from the
21	actual expenses incurred.
22	As a matter of fact, it's such a far
23	cry, if you decide 8,060 by Mr. Verzilli's
24	number only 9 percent. If you divide the 2022
25	number by Mr. Verzilli's proposed predicted

Τ	number for medical expenses in 2022, it's
2	14 percent. So the medical expenses actually
3	incurred in 2021 and 2022, were only 9 percent
4	of what Mr. Verzilli was predicting for 2021,
5	and 14 percent of what he predicted for 2022.
6	Now, if you carry that forward, if
7	history repeats itself, and you take Mr.
8	Verzilli's number to the year 2066,
9	\$5,933,331, with a 44-year life expectancy,
10	and his costs to the year 2061, based on
11	39-year life expectancy, 41,858. I did the
12	arithmetic down here, the costs to 2061,
13	5,933,331, if you subtract out the last five
14	years of his Mr. Parks' life expectancy,
15	because of Table 14, that predicted life
16	expectancy of 39, that total reduces Mr.
17	Verzilli's number by \$1,778,755.
18	So if we take the mid point between
19	the 9 percent an the 14 percent that he was
20	off and just pick 12 percent and multiply that
21	by 5,933,331, that comes out to \$712,000 in
22	the year 2066 with a 44-year life expectancy.
23	If you take the diminished life expectancy of
24	39 years, multiply by 12 percent, \$498,495.
25	Now, ladies and gentlemen, I'm not

1	suggesting to you that you adopt these
2	numbers. The point is that the
3	prognostication, the predictions, the
4	assumptions that have to be made, rendered the
5	numbers that Mr. Verzilli presented to you as
6	not entirely reliable. Well intentioned, but
7	not entirely reliable.

2.1

2.4

So what I tried to do was bring it down to the reality of what we know, hard, cold facts. Specifically, medical expenses in 2021 of \$8,060, and 2022, \$6,581. If one carries that forward, you get a much lower diminished cost of life care plan.

But as I said, I'm not expecting you to adopt those numbers, they're probably a little bit higher, but at least they're grounded in reality. At least they are grounded in what we know already occurred in 2021 and 2022, and they're not grounded in theoretical possibilities put forward by life care planners based on dubious assumptions about pain management consultants, orthopedic consultants, physical therapy four times a year, the neuroma scar injections, lumbar epidural injections, based on what we actually

1 know.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

2. Now, we did that because as you 3 heard Mr. Verzilli say rates of inflation vary. We don't really know what inflation 4 5 will be in the future. We can only go by what inflation has been in the past. If you 6 7 recall, Mr. Verzilli said, I went back ten years, took the inflation rate and presented 8 the inflation rates that he did. 9

I'm suggesting to you, ladies and gentlemen, if we will do it with rates of inflation, let's do it with the medical expenses, as well. We can't go back ten years because he doesn't have ten years of medical expenses. We have medical expenses for those two years, they are grounded in reality, ladies and gentlemen.

Now, when I appeared before you for my opening stage, I said to you, you may hear from Dr. Sarlo, you may hear from Kathleen Kuntz, you may hear from Gerard Olson.

You're not hearing from Gerard
Olson. The reason is this. I basically spent
the last five to seven minutes telling you why
these numbers are so far out and so

1	speculative that it would seem hypocritical or
2	unseeming to bring Mr. Olson and then present
3	numbers like that. We are not doing that. We
4	are simply basing our argument on what we know
5	to be true, what we know to be actual
6	expenses, what we know to be reliable, because
7	Mr. Karras himself has told you those are
8	expenses that were incurred to a reasonable
9	degree of professional certainty.

2.0

2.1

2.4

So, ladies and gentlemen, the question is still before you. What is fair and adequate compensation for Mr. Parks' unfortunate injuries and his difficulties? As I said to you in my opening, the word "fairness" implies just that. Fairness. It means looking at the case, looking at the facts in an actual, objective, dispassionate manner free of overt sympathy, free of overt emotion and arriving at a verdict that is fair.

"Adequate," ladies and gentlemen,
means the amount of money necessary to
adequately cover his expenses, to fulfill the
needs that he has.

I'm asking you now and I appreciate

1	your time, patience and attention, but
2	whatever verdict you reach, please do so in a
3	dispassionate, objective, fair way, devoid of
4	sympathy, but one that adequately covers all
5	of his expenses for the future.
6	Thank you for your time, patience
7	and attention.
8	THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.
9	MR. STROKOVSKY: Props to Chandler
10	Hosmer, everybody. That was unbelievable.
11	That was unbelievable, okay.
12	What he is saying is you will accept
13	my representation of that. Did we see any
14	actual billing records?
15	And that's why I made a point today
16	with Nurse Kuntz. Nurse Kuntz, you agree that
17	the cost of a socket replacement is \$17,000?
18	Yes. How many did Eddie get in the last three
19	years in addition to his prosthetic? Three.
20	That's \$50,000 right there.
21	He's just shown it's \$8,000, it's
22	\$6,000. That's why he didn't send his expert
23	up here to do the inflation numbers.
24	What he is also saying is these
25	numbers are dramatically less than Nurse

1	Kuntz' present value. So even though Nurse
2	Kuntz comes up here and tries to find every
3	single way to nickel and dime Eddie, he still
4	says don't even follow Nurse Kuntz. Follow my
5	math. Because the only he only did X , Y
6	and Z for the past year because Alex Karas
7	accepted my representation those are the
8	bills. Let's not factor in a prosthetic.
9	Let's not factor in socket replacements.
10	Let's not factor in getting home health aide
11	when he is 60. Let's not factor any of that.
12	You have to remember the very floor
13	of this case, and I submit to you that if you
14	weigh the evidence, you will follow the plan
15	that Alex Karas used based off Dr. Miknevich's
16	recommendations.
17	By the way, I don't know if you
18	noticed, Nurse Kuntz, she had no talks with
19	Dr. Sarlo. They were not working
20	collaboratively for her to figure out her
21	plan. That's why she disagreed with several
22	things that Dr. Sarlo said. They love saying,

Hey, I agree with Dr. Tucker with this when I

confront them. Didn't Dr. Tucker say that?

Oh, I don't agree with that.

23

24

1	The same way that their experts had
2	no idea what was going on. They think Eddie
3	got two amputations. They thought the guy who
4	cut off his leg was his primary care doctor.
5	They got all the dates wrong.
6	So did he. He just got up here

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

So did he. He just got up here again and gave you wrong dates. He doesn't know this case. He is not living this life.

And you will hear Nurse Kuntz say she agrees with everything related to the prosthetic. She agrees with a lot of things in our plan. That's why her floor was about 1.2, 1.3 million. So that's the floor of present value costs, not what Mr. Hosmer says. Hey, like, we'll even throw this expert that I took up here and got her under oath and explained everything and worked with her over the last two years, don't believe what she says. Don't use her plan even when that was also to a reasonable degree of medical certainty. Use my plan. Well, it's just like \$5,000 a year. Let's just add it up. People will agree. That's why I didn't bring in my own economist.

Even the law tells you. Judge

Crumlish will instruct you future medical
costs must be factored in for inflation. Not
somebody from King of Prussia coming in who
pretend they're an economist saying the math.
That makes zero sense. This is Philly. You
will not fall for that.

2.0

2.1

2.4

They didn't bring in an economist because they didn't want an even larger number because as much as they say Mr. Parks deserves justice and a full and fair accounting for what he has gone through, they don't want that.

The fact that he had an economist ready to come here, scheduled to come here. The fact that they didn't bring him up here. What a more fitting example. Do you get that their sole purpose is to get as much of a discount as possible.

Now, if this was last year when their expert had the one inflationary rate that was less than our expert, I'm sure he would have come. Oh, I wrote figures less than that. Follow that guy. Now that it's high, oh, that guy is not here because I did the math over the five-minute break because

I'm qualified to tell you at the end of this
what I had placed in front of Mr. Karas is
true, \$5,000 that was spent for medical
visits.

2.0

2.1

2.4

That's another thing, too. They're basically taking advantage of Eddie for the fact that he doesn't like going to hospitals or going to doctors because of what they did to him. You heard Dr. Miknevich testify he doesn't want to go hospitals. He's afraid he will get killed or never go back. Again, he is hoping that will change over time.

But the way they try to nickel and dime. Your plan hear says four physical therapy visits and he didn't get any yet. Then it's like, well, he's also scheduled after he gets a socket to probably get 12 or more sessions, so the average of four a year will probably be hit.

You also have to understand some of these costs, like they're attacking like the smallest costs. Like the 20,000 here, the 20,000 here. That's what they are trying to do, nickel and dime you. They don't mention at all about the prosthetics because that's

what they're all in agreement with.

2 You heard me talk about Dr. Sarlo.

3 Dr. Sarlo and Nurse Kuntz, they all agree with

4 that stuff except they're further. Hey,

5 Dr. Sarlo, every three to five years. Do they

6 make an average every four years? No, let's

7 say five years. We will go with that because

8 that's more money we can save Temple. It's

like he hits 60, let's change the plan up.

10 Let's make it every eight years because we

11 will give him a power scooter so he can use

that instead of a prosthetic. Then we take

away the power scooter. You may need the

14 prosthetic once every eight years.

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

When you think about this number here, 1.4, I wish we could use Mr. Hosmer's logic. I wish we could use fuzzy math to shock you, exploit the number. Eddie only had his new prosthetic for two and a half years. The recommendation for a replacement socket is 17,000 once every two and a half years. Up to this point, he should only be having one new socket. He's already on his third. So we can

very easily say, well, instead of needing one

every two and a half years based off of that

1	math, he needs a new socket every eight years.
2	So then we have to add the socket value up
3	three times, inflate this up to 2 million if
4	we follow their logic. They are trying to
5	nickel and dime you on a 20,000 figure to make
6	a 20,000 figure, to make a \$10,000 figure. If
7	you use their own logic, take a 1.4 million
8	figure and make it a 2 million verdict.
9	Could we show the verdict sheet,
10	please.
11	The verdict sheet actually has
12	and they know this it has a line by line
13	item for each year in future medical costs,
14	not present, not what Nurse Kuntz put in
15	there, not what Alex Karras put in there.
16	It's future medical costs to adjust for
17	inflation.
18	They had an expert who was willing
19	to come in here and let you know every single
20	year what the life care plan would be
21	projected over the course of the next 40.
22	Next page.
23	The next page after that.
24	We had that. We came hear. We came
25	prepared. We are here to back up our claims.

Τ	They just want to save money. So like we are
2	not doing that. We will not have our expert
3	present yearly figures. We will send the jury
4	back there in the dark with zero evidence as
5	to how this 5.9 million breaks out year by
6	year. We want to confuse the jury. We don't
7	want to do that. We want them to give a
8	complete discount for what Mr. Parks is going
9	through.
10	That's not going to happen. I know
11	you will not let that happen.
12	They made a calculation that they
13	could get away with not bringing in an
14	economist. Their calculation is way off.
15	If you can show the top part of the
16	verdict sheet, please.
17	Take that down.
18	The verdict sheet is going to
19	show will ask you to put in a line item for
20	all this past pain and suffering, past
21	noneconomic damages, that pain and suffering,
22	embarrassment and humiliation, that loss of
23	life's pleasures, that disfigurement.
24	Disfigurement is his limp. Disfigurement is
25	his limb. It's everything that he is reminded

of when he wakes up and looks in the mirror and sees who he is now and you need to give a number that fairly and fully accounts for all of his past damages, every single of those subcategories.

2.

2.0

2.4

And then the same way you see a line by line year for future medical costs, you only put in one number, there will be a line for future noneconomic damages such as physical pain, mental anguish, embarrassment humiliation, the disfigurement.

Forty-four years, you heard two different methodologies on which life expectancy to use. I submit you should use ours. And to even put salt on the wound on that one is you notice the three-year drop because of COVID. There is no, oh, well, we cut off this guy's leg. We will use life expectancy that is less than the overall for males in this sector and we will not account for COVID. God forbid. You know it's COVID-19. We know the stats are skewed a little bit. Let's give this guy one or two years of medical care. In fact, they will not even send in an economist. They're not going

1 do that.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

2 Nothing has changed. Don't believe 3 the fuzzy math that's not backed up by the It's not backed up by common sense. 4 Don't be deceived. This is here about justice 5 and, frankly, they could have played this case 6 7 a lot more honorable. I don't think they care about justice, frankly. But it doesn't matter 8 9 if they care about justice. It matters if you 10 care about justice.

And you notice they spent all their time again just talking about these numbers, which I thought was incredible because, again, future medical costs is just the tip of the iceberg, just one component of this vast component of damages that you are to calculate and deliver a verdict on. And they're just focusing on the medical future costs without an economist.

And then they completely throw their own experts under the bus, oh, yeah, well this expert says to within a reasonable degree of medical certainty 1.3 million, which is already substantially less than our plan because they don't include -- they took off

home health aide, took off when he is older, give you a prosthetic and a couple of checkup visits and so be it.

2.0

2.1

2.4

They don't care. They don't have to care under the law. It doesn't matter if they care or don't care. Doesn't matter. They don't have to apologize. You see that again and again. We express sympathies. He couldn't even look at you when he said it that time. That is unacceptable.

But that doesn't matter. That doesn't matter. We are not here to punish Temple University Hospital, Incorporated. We are not here to punish Dr. Lorei. But as Darla Dennis said, her son deserves justice. Give him everything that he deserves. We don't want anything more than he deserves, but we don't want anything less than he deserves. That's all we ask of you. Again, you're impartial. Everything is equal. No one is above the law. No one is below the law.

I just ask you to use your human experience. I just ask you to use your common sense. And I ask you to fully, fairly and completely compensate Mr. Parks for everything

that he has gone through and everything that

he will go through until he breathes his last

dying breath, which is a long time from now.

He deserves justice.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

Temple stipulated, they admitted a hundred percent fault. They admitted that all of those procedures are because of their fault. They admitted the amputation is all of their fault. And he's permanently -- will be missing his leg because of that. And if you think putting a picture on social media, smiling, trying -- Eddie wants to get away. He is going through a lot. He's allowed to have a few days where maybe he can try a different environment. The pain is not going The disfigurement not going away. All away. of his problems are not going away, but to show a picture like that as some sort of justification that they deserve a discount is ridiculous.

THE COURT: Counsel.

MR. STROKOVSKY: Again, in the end I thank you for your service. Eddie Parks thanks you for your service. All we ask for is accountability. All we ask is for you to

1 deliver a verdict that says, Temple, we heard everything. We are holding you accountable. 2. 3 Mr. Parks, we heard everything. You're human. You deserve justice just like 4 everybody else does. And we truly believe we 5 did that for you for your past, for the rest 6 7 of your life. 8 Thank you. 9 THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel. 10 So, ladies and gentlemen, as I had 11 promised you, or warned you, this is the last 12 time that I will be speaking to you to give 13 you the guidance on the law to help you in 14 your deliberations. 15 So as you have seen, the evidence 16 presented to you was either direct or circumstantial evidence. Direct evidence is 17 18 testimony about what a witness personally saw, 19 heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is 2.0 testimony about one or more facts that 21 logically lead you to believe the truth of 22 another fact. 23 You should consider both direct and 2.4 circumstantial evidence in reaching your

verdict. You may decide the facts in this

25