1 The only purpose of the opening statement is to give you a general outline of the case and 2 3 what it's about so you will have a better understanding how each piece of evidence fits 4 5 into it, subject, of course, to your evaluation of the evidence as to its 6 7 credibility, its accuracy and weight. You're not to conclude that counsel 8

9 will necessarily be able to prove what they 10 say they expect to prove, nor that the Court 11 will necessarily permit such evidence to be 12 introduced.

Now, in conclusion, these
preliminary instructions are complete, and as
I have indicated earlier, counsel for
plaintiff now may present an opening
statement.

18 Thank you for your attention.

19 Counsel, you may.

20MR. STROKOVSKY: Permission to21approach the podium?

THE COURT: You may proceed,
Counsel.
MR. STROKOVSKY: It's January

24MR. STROKOVSKY:It's January 22,252019. Eddie Reginald Parks is being taken

1 back for surgery at Temple University Hospital. His right leg is about to be 2 3 amputated through his knee. An incision is made through his skin and tissue at his knee 4 5 and through his ligaments and joint at the knee until the lower part of his leg is 6 7 removed and disposed of. Just like that, everything changed. 8

9 Eddie was an active 27-year-old. He 10 had a job in the medical industry. He was a 11 certified nurse's assistant. He had a passion 12 for cooking and he had dreams and goals to 13 pursue that passion and one day make it a 14 career. And he had future plans with his 15 girlfriend Bree. They just found out that 16 Bree was pregnant. Eddie was going to be a 17 dad.

18 This amputation took Eddie's prime 19 from him and replaced it with chronic pain, 20 hardship and strained relationships with his 21 family, his friends and his son. He will 22 always be without his leg. He will always be 23 disfigured. And he will always be haunted by 24 what happened to him.

25 Eddie Parks' leg was cut off because

of the medical malpractice by defendants, Temple University Hospital, Incorporated and Defendant Dr. Lorei. No corporation or physician is above the law. The defendants must be held accountable for shattering this young father's life.

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen
of the jury. This here is trial technician
Lee Bitman. My name once again is Jordan
Strokovsky. And I have the honor and
privilege of representing the plaintiff in
this case, Eddie Reginald Parks.

13 It's been almost four and a half 14 years since he lost his leq. He's waited a 15 long time to be here. An we can't thank you 16 enough, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, 17 because without you, none of this is possible. 18 You, as the jury, serve as the conscience of 19 our community. And it is you, the jury, that 20 will hold defendants accountable.

Now, this trial is different than most personal injury trials. Most cases it would be my job, plaintiff, to prove that the other side, the defendant, made mistakes and there were injuries and harm as a result. But

1 now today defendants admit liability. That means they admit fault and they admit that 2 3 their malpractice, their negligence caused Mr. Parks to lose his leq. That will not be an 4 issue at this trial. The sole issue at this 5 6 trial is determining a fair and full 7 accounting of everything that Mr. Parks has lost and everything that he will be dealing 8 with for the rest of his life. 9

10 This, as you know, this is a civil 11 case. As you know, you don't have the ability 12 to give Mr. Parks his leg back. But you do 13 have the ability to give him justice by 14 delivering a verdict that fairly and fully 15 accounts for every bit of his loss. And at 16 the end of this trial you will be instructed 17 that you must fully and fairly compensate Mr. 18 Parks for all of his past and future pain and 19 suffering, embarrassment and humiliation, loss 20 of life's pleasures and disfigurement. And 21 all of his future medical costs.

And so to understand this trial, Mr. Parks' pain and suffering, you're going to learn about who he was before all this happened. You're going to hear about his horrific experience at Temple University Hospital and you're going to hear about how he has been fighting to live his life every single day since.

5

So let's get started.

Prior to this, Eddie was an 6 7 otherwise healthy and active 27-year-old, did normal things 27-year-olds do. Go out with 8 9 friends, be outside, play sports. Once in a 10 while ride his bike, go for a walk. And as I 11 already mentioned, he was a certified nursing 12 assistant. He got into that field because his 13 mom had that job before and Eddie really 14 enjoyed it. It was fulfilling work for him.

15 Eddie before all this very much so 16 was a happy-go-lucky guy, a very much so 17 nurturing person and he enjoyed helping people 18 and he enjoyed stories that he would hear from 19 his patients and the experience that they 20 would give him, the wisdom. And he also would 21 treat amputees, which is a bit ironic being 22 here today.

Now, Eddie got into being a CNA from
his mom --

25 MR. HOSMER: Your Honor, I

1 apologize, I have to object here. THE COURT: Overruled. You can be 2 3 seated. There is no claim --4 MR. HOSMER: 5 THE COURT: I beg your pardon. Counsel, please don't respond with some 6 7 editorial comment after I make a ruling. MR. HOSMER: Okay. 8 9 MR. STROKOVSKY: So Eddie got into 10 being a CNA from his mom. His passion was 11 cooking and he got that from his dad. Eddie 12 loved to cook. And about a year before all 13 this happened, he would start selling platters 14 in his neighborhood. He wasn't making any 15 money off of it, but he was on the verge of 16 becoming something. And it was his dream, it 17 was his plan to be successful enough with the 18 platters so he could get a food truck, and 19 from a food truck of getting a 20 brick-and-mortar restaurant. 21 And, also, at the time, or prior to 22 everything happening with Temple, Eddie was in 23 a relationship with his girlfriend Breeanca, who we call Bree. They had a solid 24 25 relationship, and one month before coming to

1

2

Temple Bree and Eddie found out that Bree was pregnant. Eddie was going to be a dad.

3 He was naturally excited, blessed and they had plans. Eddie's got to work more. 4 5 He doesn't want Bree to work while she's 6 pregnant. Eddie has to pick up his cooking. 7 Eddie has got to make moves to be there for his family, to build a family for the future. 8 9 So everything was mapped out. Keep working 10 hard, help people, keep cooking and be the 11 rock for his family and for his baby.

12 But then in late December 2018, 13 everything he knew would be shattered. 14 Defendants Temple and Dr. Lorei, they admit 15 fault. And their negligence caused Mr. Parks 16 to wake up in early January and see that the 17 leg injury that he came into was not fixed, 18 rather it was a lot worse. Part of his leq, 19 his muscles started to die and he had two 20 large open incisions on his leg, some over a 21 foot long and at one point 6 inches deep, and 22 for three weeks before his amputation, he 23 would be stuck in a hospital bed, looking at 24 his leg sliced open like that. And you will 25 see a picture of what his leg looked like in

1 that hospital bed.

2 And during those three weeks, his 3 leg, his muscle would continue to die more and more and more. But he was still hopeful that 4 5 the leg could be saved. And during this time period, he underwent six debridement and 6 7 washout procedures. That's a procedure where he's intubated. He is taken back for surgery. 8 9 They wash out his wounds and they remove dead tissue. 10

After removing dead tissue, after dead tissue, after dead tissue, after fighting to save his leg, being hopeful that his leg will be saved, he is given the news: Mr. Parks, you have two options. Either your leg is amputated or you're going to die.

17Sadly, Mr. Parks had to choose to18lose his leg. He wasn't going to give up on19his son.

20 And then he wakes up from his 21 amputation surgery that happened on January 22 22 and he sees his leg is gone. There is horror. 23 There is loss. There is grief. And he is in 24 pain for the three weeks prior. He's in 25 severe pain with his leg sliced open like that.

1

After the amputation, he is still in severe pain. But it's a little bit different. He has what is called "residual limb pain," which is pain in part of his leg that still remains, starting at the stump and going up the rest of his leg.

He also noticed almost immediately 8 9 after his surgery something called "phantom 10 limb pain." That's where you actually feel 11 the leg that is no longer there. Mr. Parks, 12 Eddie, can feel his toes wiggling that are no 13 longer there. That's why they call it phantom limb pain. It's like a ghost. 14 It's a 15 supernatural experience.

16 And you will hear in this case that 17 where this amputation was performed is 18 significant. It was amputated through the 19 knee. Typically, amputations are either below 20 the knee or above the knee. Eddie's case it 21 was through the knee, and through-the-knee 22 amputees are essentially considered 23 above-the-knee amputees for purposes of what 24 type of prosthetic you need. And that's 25 important because below-the-knee amputees --

1 don't get me wrong, any leg amputation is 2 horrific and catastrophic -- but 3 below-the-knee amputees it's easier to get prosthetics. It's easier to move around. 4 But 5 in Eddie's case, again, he's through the knee so he's considered an above-the-knee amputee 6 7 and it makes it much more difficult for the type of prosthetic that he needs and for 8 9 moving around. You're going to hear about 10 that from our expert who is a physiatrist who 11 devotes her life work to amputees, Dr. Mary 12 Ann Miknevich.

13 You're also going to see a photo of 14 Eddie Parks' limb just after the surgery. You 15 will see the extensive incisions. And not 16 only is it horrible to look at, but as Dr. 17 Miknevich will explain, it's also a 18 significant source of scar tissue and 19 something called "neuromas" because there are 20 so many nerves around the knee and all of them 21 were cut and all of those cut nerves are 22 sources for pain.

The phantom limb pain I just mentioned Eddie has been dealing with that ever since, ever since he's been dealing with

1 that. And what is worse than that, though, is his residual limb pain. That pain for him has 2 3 been a constant. He can always feel it. He is essentially always in pain. It's just a 4 5 matter of degree. Is it manageable where he can try to put on a smile and try to do 6 7 Is it bad where he is very limited in things? what he can do? Or is it unbearable where at 8 9 times it brings him to a point where he 10 doesn't want to do anything but just roll in a 11 ball in his bed and hope the pain goes away 12 while rubbing his limb.

After his amputation, Eddie was still at the hospital for about another two and a half weeks. Those were a tough two and half weeks that he had to endure every day at the hospital. And then he got to leave.

18 He was excited to leave. He wanted 19 to get out of Temple University Hospital. But he was also scared. What was he going to do? 20 21 What was going to go on with his life? How is 22 he going to take care of Bree and their baby 23 and work? How is he going to move around? 24 For the first year, Eddie didn't 25 have a prosthetic. So he went home and he

1 basically went from being a fully active independent person to being a child again, 2 3 almost like a baby at first. Couldn't move. He needed his girlfriend or his mom or others 4 5 to help him get in the bathtub, to help him go 6 to the bathroom. He couldn't go downstairs to 7 get food or water. He essentially had to rely on everyone for most activities of daily 8 9 living, and there were times where nobody would be around. 10

11 Still dealing with the pain, still 12 having issues sleeping every night, he has 13 issues sleeping, basically. It's something he 14 still deals with today. It's because of the 15 pain.

And Bree, she's pregnant during this whole time. So, again, instead of him being able to help Bree, make Bree's life easier, Bree now has to help him. Eddie can't even go to doctor's appointments with Bree.

But Zahir, who Eddie nicknamed Ziggy, he's born in August of 2019. That's a great moment. Such a blessed day. And Ziggy is his life. It's his pride. But there are issues right away with that. Because Eddie 1 wants to be the best possible dad he can, and he is being the best possible dad he can be. 2 3 But because of his amputation, there are a lot of things he can't do that he would otherwise 4 5 like to, including when his baby is crying in 6 the middle of the night, Eddie can't get out 7 of bed and go over to the baby and rock the baby to sleep. Bree has to do it. 8

9 So Eddie is getting around. He is 10 hopping on one foot, and you will hear Eddie 11 had a fair amount of falls during that first 12 year.

So 2019, had some bright moments,
still an incredibly painful and dark year for
Eddie.

16 2020, there are some progress. 17 There is some hope. The swelling and 18 incisions of his leg are healed, and he goes 19 through the process later in 2019, to get 20 approved for his first leq. So in 2020, end 21 of 2019, early 2020, he gets casted, his limb 22 gets casted and he gets his first prosthetic 23 It's very limited, though, in its use. leq. 24 Eddie is uncomfortable wearing it, thinks he 25 looks like a peg-leg.

And it's tough. You have to learn how to use the prosthetic. So he goes to physical therapy. Reaches a point where he can use the prosthetic and so he has some mobility, but not much. He is walking maybe four blocks before he is in pain and can't walk anymore.

8 Still not working. He is still lost 9 without purpose in his life and he still feels 10 stuck and bored.

11 In 2021, he does move on to a more 12 advanced leg and he gets a new leg and goes 13 through that whole process all over again. 14 Gets fitted for it. Goes through physical 15 therapy. And now, give or take, two years 16 later, his mobility granted is a lot better 17 then it once was, but it's still significantly 18 limited. Eddie can walk about 25 minutes at a 19 time before he needs to rest, sit down, take 20 some medicine for his pain. Still having pain 21 constantly. He is still having sleep issues. 22 Still has very limited endurance. There are 23 days where he can't do much of anything 24 because he's in so much pain. There are other 25 days where he tries to be as active as

possible, but then he becomes too active and that's a source of pain. Then there are times where the weather kicks in and that's another source of his pain.

5 Still not working. Not able to cook 6 the way he used to. Does care for his son. 7 Takes care of his son, but at times not as 8 well as he would like.

9 And in this case, you're going to 10 hear from Eddie, his parents. You're going to 11 hear from Bree. You will hear about his pain 12 and suffering, what it felt like to have this 13 happen to him. To not be able to work or 14 pursue his dream of cooking, his strained 15 relationships between his family and friends 16 and with his son and his mood. He still tries 17 to be a happy-go-lucky guy and put on a smile, 18 but there are times he just can't. His 19 patience sometimes is shot. He gets irritable 20 easily. He doesn't want to do that. It gives 21 him shame when that happens, but it's just 22 tough for him to deal with the pain and 23 limitations and lack of sleep every single 24 day.

25 And in this case you're going to

hear from, as I mentioned, Dr. Miknevich.
 She's what is called a "physiatrist." Her
 life's work is serving amputees. She's been
 doing it for almost 40 years.

5 So she evaluated Eddie. She talked with Eddie. She reviewed Eddie's treatment 6 7 records. She came up with a list of conditions that Eddie has because of his 8 9 amputation. And she did what she does with 10 her patients, which is provide an outline of 11 future recommendations of medical care that 12 Eddie is going to need for the rest of his 13 life. He's going to need prosthetics for the 14 rest of his life. He will need to follow up 15 with doctors for the rest of his life. Get 16 some testing done for the rest of his life. 17 Need some procedures to hopefully help reduce 18 some of his pain later in life.

And this case is for Eddie's entire life. He's expected to live into his 70s. He's 32 right now. So when he reaches the age of 60, he will start needing some help in the home because of his amputation.

And you're also going to hear from a life care plan expert. That's Alex Karras. 1

2

3

What he does is take the future

recommendations by Dr. Miknevich and he prices it out. So you will hear from him tomorrow.

And now the value of Eddie's medical 4 5 care is all adjusted to future medical costs. 6 It's adjusted to inflation, which I'm sure 7 everyone has been hearing a lot of in the news lately. So we will bring in an economist, 8 9 Andrew Verzilli. He will take the present day 10 value that Alex Karras provides and map out 11 what that value is over the next 40-plus 12 years. And that value is approximately \$6 13 million for future medical care.

14 You might be wondering if they admit 15 fault, they admit they caused this amputation 16 and debridement procedures, why are we here? 17 What are they going to argue? There is no 18 dispute that he's forever disfigured. I don't 19 think they're going to dispute that he will be 20 in pain. They're not going to dispute he 21 needs prosthetics for the rest of his life. Т 22 presume they will claim his pain isn't as bad 23 as the plaintiff says it is, or maybe they'll 24 cite to some of the hurdles he has overcome 25 over the last four-plus years, like he's more

active now than he was at the beginning. He can drive. He can ride a bike. Once he did, at least around the block. He can take care of his son to some degree. And sometimes he can wear his leg all day. And he goes on a trip once year.

7 But it's a nice thought thinking that Eddie is just going to get better and 8 9 better over time, but I submit after you hear 10 the evidence in this case, you realize that's 11 not what is going to happen. And I urge you 12 to use your common sense when evaluating the 13 recommendations of the plaintiff's experts and 14 the defense experts.

15 But I also want to point out that 16 the expert testimony is really just about 17 primarily one component of this case, which is 18 future medical costs. But there are several 19 more components for you to consider, which is 20 his lifetime of pain and suffering, 21 embarrassment and humiliation, loss of life's 22 pleasures and disfigurement, as well as those 23 things for the last four-plus years.

24 Eddie Parks was wronged by Temple 25 University Hospital and Dr. Lorei. We are not

asking you to punish them. We are not asking
you for a handout. All we are asking is for
an honest and thorough assessment of
everything that he has gone through and
everything that he will go through for the
rest of his long life.

7 And not only is doing that your duty under the law as a juror, but by doing that, 8 you are telling Mr. Parks that he is deserving 9 10 of justice, and you are telling Eddie Parks 11 and defendants in this case no one is above 12 the law and defendants are being held 13 accountable for shattering this young father's life. 14

15 Thank you.

16THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.17Counsel, you may address.18MR. HOSMER: Thank you, Your Honor.

19 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

I think everyone who is sitting here is old enough and experienced enough to know that whenever human beings get involved in an endeavor, mistakes can be made. We see it in our families, our governments, our churches, and despite all of the successes we hear from time to time through the media in medicine,
 medical providers do make mistakes.

3 I'm here on behalf of Dr. Lorei and Temple University Hospital to tell you today 4 5 that as Mr. Strokovsky correctly pointed out, on December 31, 2018, Dr. Lorei did not in a 6 7 timely fashion adequately appreciate the fact that Mr. Parks had a popliteal artery injury 8 9 that unfortunately cut off the flow of blood 10 to his lower extremity, and because of that, 11 he did have to undergo an amputation.

Dr. Lorei regrets the mistake was made. And on his behalf, I extend his sympathies to Mr. Parks and his family for all of the difficulties that he has gone through.

16 You may, as Mr. Strokovsky said, ask 17 yourselves why are we here if there has been 18 an admission of a mistake, as well as the fact 19 that it resulted in an amputation. Well, the 20 reason is, ladies and gentlemen, there is 21 another aspect to any kind of personal injury 22 case, and that is that even if there is a 23 mistake and an admission of a mistake, there 24 still has to be a determination as to what 25 constitutes fair and adequate compensation.

1 And that is what, I believe, Judge Crumlish 2 will charge you on at the conclusion of this 3 case.

It's you, the jury, that has to 4 5 determine what constitutes fair and adequate compensation for Mr. Parks because it's 6 7 something that the plaintiff and the defendant cannot agree on. We agree on the mistake 8 9 being made unfortunately. We agree that it 10 unfortunately resulted in an amputation. But 11 we can't agree as to what the law requires you 12 to determine what constitutes fair and 13 adequate compensation.

14 So to that end, ladies and gentlemen, you're going to hear, as 15 16 Mr. Strokovsky pointed out, you're going to 17 hear from the plaintiff and some of his family 18 members and some of his experts, and in all 19 likelihood you will hear from experts on 20 behalf of the defendants. You're going to 21 hear from a physiatrist, a physical medicine 22 and rehabilitation doctor by name of Frank 23 Sarlow. He's Board certified in physiatry and 24 practices in the Philadelphia area; specifically, in Newark and Wilmington, 25

1 Delaware.

2 You will probably hear from a life 3 care planner by the name of Kathleen Kuntz and an economist individual with a doctorate in 4 5 economics by the name of Gerard Olson. 6 The point of all that, ladies and 7 gentlemen, from Mr. Strokovsky and myself will be, again, to give you the facts in order to 8 make a determination as to what constitutes 9 10 fair and adequate compensation. That may at 11 times, ladies and gentlemen, require me, 12 perhaps Mr. Strokovsky, to ask pointed or 13 sharp questions of the witnesses in the case. 14 Please understand that if that happens, sharp 15 or pointed questions have to be asked, it's 16 not because we don't think that Mr. Parks 17 doesn't deserve fair and adequate compensation 18 It's not because we don't have he does. 19 sympathy for Mr. Parks, because we do. But in 20 order for you sometimes to get all the facts 21 necessary, it's necessary to ask questions of 22 opposing witnesses so everything is brought 23 out so you can hear everything that there is 24 to hear in order to make that determination as 25 to what constitutes fair and adequate

1 compensation.

25

2 I hasten to add in light of 3 Mr. Strokovsky's comment there is no claim, we have an agreement with the plaintiff there is 4 5 no claim here for loss of earnings or lost 6 future earning capacity or past lost earnings. 7 So what is the evidence that you're going to hear from the defense side? Well, 8 9 ladies and gentlemen, as I said, you're going 10 to hear from Dr. Sarlow. What Dr. Sarlow will 11 tell you, among other things, ladies and 12 gentlemen, is that among the various knee 13 amputation procedures that are available, what 14 Mr. Parks had was a right knee 15 disarticulation. Of the procedures that are 16 available that, obviously, no one wants to 17 have a leg amputated, but the more advantageous, for lack of a better word, this 18 19 is a more advantageous procedure in the sense 20 that it retained more bone, muscle and tissue 21 then some of the other amputation procedures 22 that are available. 23 Why that is important, ladies and 24 gentlemen, is it can lead to, and more often

than not does, greater functionality on the

1 part of the amputee.

2 That's what you're going to hear 3 from the witnesses for the defense concerning 4 Mr. Parks' functionality. He has regained, 5 ladies and gentlemen, a fair amount of 6 functionality. You're going to hear that from 7 our witnesses.

Dr. Sarlow, ladies and gentlemen, 8 9 will tell you that he examined Mr. Parks on two occasions; one back in 2021, and another 10 11 time about three or four weeks ago, two or 12 three weeks ago. He will tell you, ladies and 13 gentlemen, that he reviewed the medical 14 records of Mr. Parks, and he will tell you, 15 ladies and gentlemen, that Mr. Parks has had a 16 physiatrist managing him since 2019, by the 17 name of Bradley Tucker at Penn.

He will tell you, ladies and gentlemen, that he agrees with almost everything that Dr. Tucker -- you will hear Dr. Tucker's records, all of the conclusions that Dr. Tucker, the managing physician for Mr. Parks, believes to be true.

24You will hear that Mr. Parks is a K325ambulator. You will hear Dr. Sarlow and

perhaps Dr. Miknevich describe to you what a
 K3 ambulator is.

3 Ambulation, ladies and gentlemen, as I understand it, is rated on a scale of zero 4 It's called K0 to K4. K0 being 5 to four. unable to walk and K4 being an individual who 6 7 can participate in high energy and high impact kinds of activities, mountain climber, 8 9 sporting, heavy impact sports. 10 Mr. Parks has been rated by

11 Dr. Tucker and by Dr. Sarlow as a K3 12 ambulator. K3, obviously, is one step below a 13 K4 ambulator, and K3 ambulator, I think the 14 state-of-the-art definition means that it's an 15 individual who has the ability to traverse the 16 environmental barriers that we encounter on a 17 day-to-day basis; specifically, hills, ramps, 18 curbs, steps, that kind of thing, at varying 19 degrees of speed and cadence.

You will hear, ladies and gentlemen, that Mr. Parks has what is called a "K3 microprocessor prosthesis." It's one of those state-of-the-art, very sophisticated, very advanced prosthesis that actually is programmable to allow the amputee to perform

all sorts of activities with his prosthesis, 1 2 including going to the gym, jog on a 3 treadmill, swimming, and all the other things that Mr. Parks, you will hear, has been able 4 5 to do. 6 He's been able to go on vacations; 7 las Vegas, New Orleans, Atlantic City, Florida. He goes to the gym. He jogs on a 8 9 treadmill. Does aerobics. 10 Dr. Tucker, you will hear, ladies 11 and gentlemen, has described Mr. Parks as 12 early as August of 2021 as, quote, highly 13 functional. 14 Dr. Sarlow will point out all of 15 those things in his records. He will also 16 tell you, ladies and gentlemen, that in his 17 opinion, to a reasonable degree of medical 18 certainty, Mr. Parks, thankfully, is capable 19 of performing all of the activities that he 20 was doing before the amputation currently. 21 You will hear, ladies and gentlemen, 22 also, from probably from our life care 23 planner, her name is Kathleen Kuntz. Just 24 like the plaintiff's expert life care planner, 25 Ms. Kuntz will come in and she will tell you

based on what Dr. Sarlow feels Mr. Parks will need as to future medical care, what that care is and what the present day cost of it is.

1

2

3

Dr. Sarlow will tell you that not 4 5 withstanding his high functionality, unfortunately, Mr. Parks will need future 6 7 medical care. He has to have his prosthesis replaced, I think, every five or seven years. 8 9 He will have to have some incidence of 10 physical therapy to accommodate that new 11 prosthesis each time he gets one. And the 12 life care planner will explain to you what future medical care he will need and what the 13 14 present day cost of it is.

15 After that, you will probably hear 16 from Gerard Olson, a doctor in economics. Dr. 17 Olson will take the medical care that is 18 prognosticated by Dr. Sarlow and Ms. Kuntz and 19 apply economic principles to it, ladies and 20 gentlemen, and tell you what the cost of the 21 future medical care will be. Suffice it to 22 say, at this point, Ms. Kuntz' opinions 23 concerning the extent and duration of care 24 that Mr. Parks will need will be significantly less than what Mr. Karras will prognosticate 25

1 for you with respect to future medical care. 2 And the numbers that you may hear from Dr. 3 Olson will be significantly less than what you will hear from their economist, Mr. Verzilli. 4 5 Now, ladies and gentlemen, as I 6 pointed out to you before, and as 7 Mr. Strokovsky correctly pointed out, the issue before you is what is fair and adequate 8 9 compensation in this case. As I pointed out 10 to you, Mr. Parks is highly functional. He is 11 not taking any pain medications. And it will 12 be your duty, as charged to you by Judge 13 Crumlish, to determine what is fair and 14 adequate compensation.

15 You probably heard me use, and it 16 was not unintentional, the term "fair and 17 adequate compensation" several times during my 18 past seven minutes here. Fair and adequate 19 compensation, ladies and gentlemen, will be charged to you. I believe that's the language 20 21 that will be used by Dr. Crumlish. We kind of 22 know these things in advance.

The word "fair," ladies and gentlemen, implies just that. Suggesting just that fairness, as Mr. Strokovsky correctly

pointed out, it's not to punish. The idea is to be fair, to be fair to Mr. Parks. We will request that you be fair. That implies, ladies and gentlemen, looking at the evidence, evaluating it in an objective dispassionate and analytic way. Devoid of sympathy and overt emotion.

8 So I will return in my closing, I 9 will be back here in a couple of days, to ask 10 you to return a verdict for Mr. Parks that is 11 in accordance with the law: Fair and 12 adequate. That is fair to him, fair to Dr. 13 Lorei and adequate to compensate him for his 14 needs in the future.

15Thank you for your time, patience16and attention.

17 THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.

18 Counsel, you may call your first19 witness.

20MR. STROKOVSKY: Thank you, Your21Honor.

Plaintiff calls, we call plaintiff'sfather, Lisbon Eddie Parks.

24 THE CRIER: State your name.

25 THE WITNESS: Lisbon, L-I-S-B-O-N,