



Deposition of:

Trial Vol. I

January 17, 2017

In the Matter of:

In Re: Georgia Southern Nurses

Tiffany Alley, A Veritext Company

1075 Peachtree St. NE , Suite 3625 Atlanta, GA, 30309 800.808.4958 | calendar-ga@veritext.com | 770.343.9696

	Page 1					
1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF BRYAN COUNTY					
2	STATE OF GEORGIA					
3						
	MEGAN REBECCA RICHARDS,					
4						
	Plaintiff,					
5	CIVIL ACTION FILE					
	vs.					
6	NO. 2015-V-174(RO)					
	TOTAL TRANSPORTATION OF					
7	MISSISSIPPI, LLC, U.S. XPRESS					
	ENTERPRISES, INC., U.S.					
8	XPRESS, INC., U.S. XPRESS					
	LEASING, INC., NEW MOUNTAIN					
9	LAKE HOLDINGS, LLC, MOUNTAIN					
	LAKE RISK RETENTION GROUP,					
10	INC., JOHN WAYNE JOHNSON,					
	GREYWOLF LOGISTICS, INC., ARCH					
11	INSURANCE COMPANY, and ROBERT					
	GORDON TAYLOE,					
12						
	Defendants.					
13						
14						
15	PROCEEDINGS HELD					
16	BEFORE HONORABLE CHARLES P. ROSE					
17	January 17, 2017					
	10:15 A.M.					
18						
	151 South College Street					
19	Pembroke, Georgia					
2 0	Lee Ann Barnes, CCR-1852, RPR, CRR					
21						
2 2						
2 3						
2 4						
2 5						

Tiffany Alley, A Veritext Company

800.808.4958 770.343.9696

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
2	
3	On behalf of Plaintiff:
4	ROBERT D. CHEELEY, ESQ.
_	KEITH A. PITTMAN, ESQ.
5	CHEELEY LAW GROUP, LLC
_	299 South Main Street
6	Suite A Alpharetta, Georgia 30009
7	770.861.4100
,	678.559.0273 (facsimile)
8	bob@cheeleylawgroup.com
Ü	keith@cheeleylawgroup.com
9	nereneere, rawgroup toom
10	- and -
11	BILLY N. JONES, ESQ.
	CARL R. VARNEDOE, ESQ.
12	JONES, OSTEEN & JONES
	206 E. Court Street
13	Hinesville, Georgia 31313
	912.876.011
14	bjones@jojlaw.com
	cvarnedoe@jojlaw.com
15	
16	On behalf of the Defendants Greywolf Logistics, Arch
	Insurance and Robert Tayloe:
17	
	MATTHEW F. BARR, ESQ.
18	HAWKINS PARNELL THACKSTON & YOUNG LLP
	4000 SunTrust Plaza
19	303 Peachtree Street, NE
0.0	Atlanta, Georgia 30308-3243
20	404.614.7453
O 1	mbarr@hptylaw.com
21	
22	
24	
25	
ر ک	

Tiffany Alley, A Veritext Company

	Page 3
1 2	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
3	On behalf of the Defendants John Wayne Johnson, Total Transportation and the U.S. Xpress Entities:
4	DAVID A. DIAL, ESQ.
5	JACKSON A. DIAL, ESQ. RICHARD H. HILL, II, ESQ.
6	ROBERT MARCOVITCH, ESQ.
7	AMY CREAGER, PARALEGAL WEINBERG WHEELER HUDGINS GUNN & DIAL 3344 Peachtree Road
8	Suite 2400 Atlanta, Georgia 30326
9	404.832.9534 404.875.9433 (facsimile)
10	ddial@wwhgd.com jdial@wwhgd.com
11	rhill@wwhgd.com rmarcovitch@wwhgd.com
12	
13	- and -
14	NALL & MILLER, LLP MICHAEL D. HOSTETTER, ESQ.
15	Peachtree Center, North Tower 235 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 1500
16	Atlanta, Georgia 30303 678.608.1713
17	404.522.2208 (facsimile) mhostetter@nallmiller.com
18	
19	On behalf of the Defendants US Xpress Enterprises, US Xpress, Inc., US Xpress Leasing, Mountain Lake Holdings, and Mountain Lake Risk Retention Group:
20	
21	MARK A. BARBER, ESQ. BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC
22	Monarch Plaza Suite 1600
23	341 Peachtree Road NE Atlanta, Georgia 30326
24	404.443.6713 404.238.9753 (facsimile)
25	mbarber@bakerdonelson.com

Tiffany Alley, A Veritext Company

						Page 4
1				I N	D E X	
2						PAGE
3	Opening	Statement	bу	Mr.	Cheeley	4 8
4	Opening	Statement	bу	Mr.	D. Dial	8 3
5	Opening	Statement	bу	Mr.	Barr	107
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
2 4						
25						

THE COURT: All right. Good morning. 1 We'll go ahead and get started. This is Case 2 No. 2015-V-174, Richards versus Total 3 Transportation of Mississippi, et al. 5 Let the record reflect that all counsel of 6 record are present in the courtroom. We have 7 95 jurors who are outside the courtroom at this They're ready to go. We have another 30 R time. 9 or 40 jurors that we had sent to another courtroom for state court juries. So we are 10 11 ready to go. 12 I do see -- I was just handed by defense counsel a motion to continue this case. I'll 13 14 hear that and a couple of other issues that I looked at over the weekend and then we'll 15 16 proceed with jury selection. 17 So, Mr. Dial, you're the moving party. 18 MR. D. DIAL: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. Good morning. How are you? 19 20 THE COURT: I'm good, thank you. 21 MR. D. DIAL: Your Honor, yesterday 22 starting at about 12:18 in the afternoon, we 23 began to receive new expert reports from the 24 plaintiff. The first receive was around 12:18 from Dr. Sass, and I'll talk about the details 25

Page 6 of it in a moment; the second was received I 1 believe sometime after 5:00 p.m., and it was 3 the first time we received a written report from Dr. Lacy; and then after 7:00 p.m., we 5 received for the first time a report from Mr. Stone providing opinions for the first 7 All of these were literally provided to us within 24 hours of the start of trial. R 9 With respect to the issues, as Your Honor may be aware from our earlier motion to 10 continue, the deadline for disclosure of expert 11 12 reports was October the 28th. It was in an 13 order signed by Your Honor, October 28th of 2016. 14 15 With respect to Mr. --16 THE COURT: And let me ask this: 17 are all treating physicians? MR. D. DIAL: No, sir. 18 THE COURT: They're not? 19 20 MR. D. DIAL: No, sir. 2.1 THE COURT: Then tell me what they are. 22 MR. D. DIAL: Dr. Sass, as he testified in 23 his deposition I believe we may have cited to 24 Your Honor, but we certainly can, was retained 25 by Mr. Cheeley, is being paid by Mr. Cheeley at

January 17, 2017

the rate of \$250 per hour for evaluation and \$700 an hour for testimony. He specifically testified that he is not a treating neuropsychologist, that he is serving as an expert. So he is certainly an expert that was subject to the disclosure on October the 28th and subject to all the rules concerning disclosures by expert witnesses.

Dr. Sass' involvement we know started at least in October of 2015, when he did a testing and evaluation of Ms. Richards and issued a report. Importantly, in that report, he said based upon his evaluation and his testing,

Ms. Richards did not have a traumatic brain injury. He definitively stated that, something that has been relied upon by the defense in preparing to defend this case.

He also ruled out that she suffers from a disorder called somatic symptom disorder. He ruled that out. It's specifically in his report. There's no question about it, he ruled out that she suffered from that symptom or that disorder.

Yesterday -- and in his deposition, I should state, that was -- took place on

R

November the 30th of 2016, he confirmed both of those opinions. He confirmed that she -- his testing and evaluation revealed no traumatic brain injury and he confirmed that she did not have somatic symptom disorder.

He also confirmed that based upon his testing and evaluation, Ms. Richards would be able to continue and perform services as a nurse without qualification. That was his opinion in his report and that was the opinions in his deposition.

Yesterday, those opinions completely flipped, went 180. He now says she suffers from a mild traumatic brain injury, after having denied it both in his report and his deposition. He has now changed that opinion.

He also has completely flipped on the opinion of whether she has somatic symptom disorder. He now testified in his -- despite what he said in his deposition and despite what he said in his report, he now changed that in this report we received yesterday at 12:18 and says she now suffers from that. So he's completely changed his diagnosis, completely, without any notice, a 180.

R

Page 9

Now, what he also has done, and equally important, is he has taken that change in the diagnosis to project into the future what it will mean to Ms. Richards in a fashion he has never previously done. He now says and talks about issues she will have being a nurse or risks she has in being a nurse and she -- talks about chronic pain issues, which he's never discussed before, and how that may -- or puts her at risk from being able to perform the services and duties of a nurse, all brand new, all without disclosure, all without any notice, all occurring yesterday at 12:18.

We have had no opportunity to determine whether those opinions are challengeable under Daubert. We think there may be some issues with them. We have had no opportunity to consult with any neuropsychologist, neurologist, or anyone else concerning the change and whether the change is based on something that is credible, real, reliable, and will pass the test.

Same for the change in the somatic symptom disorder, what is the basis of it? Why did you make that change? And we haven't been able to

R

ask Dr. Sass that, much less consult with our own consulting experts to determine whether or not that is a legitimate and sound change.

So this is completely new. There is no question. Plaintiffs recognize it. When they sent the new report over in an e-mail, they say, "Here are his revised opinions." Now, I mean, you know, they were up front about it, these are different opinions. These have been revised. These are new. These are different.

And not only is the diagnosis different, the projection for the impact on Ms. Richards in the future is different. It changes the case completely. It's a different case completely.

And, again, he brings into the issue of chronic pain resulting from this disorder, which is new.

Now, with Dr. Lacy, Dr. Lacy is a treating doctor. There's no question about that.

Her -- she had her depo- -- she's been treating Ms. Richards since May of 2015. She never issued any report based on the fact that I suppose that she is a treating physician.

She was, however -- had her deposition

R

Page 11

taken on December the 1st, and at that deposition, she testified and her records indicated that she was treating Ms. Richards for PTSD; she was also treating Ms. Richards for post-concussive syndrome. She did not testify that she was treating Ms. Richards for traumatic brain injury other than the fact that a concussion falls within the large category of traumatic brain injuries.

Yesterday, and the first time we received a report from her, she opines that Ms. Richards is suffering from complicated mild traumatic brain injury, a significantly different diagnosis than post-concussion syndrome and a brand new diagnosis, never revealed by her in her deposition or in any of her treating notes that were provided to us.

She also expands on her opinions about what possible problems Ms. Richards may experience in the future performing services as a nurse, again a brand new diagnosis.

Now, both of these diagnoses of these doctors, one a paid-for expert and the other one a treating physician, result supposedly from having seen the MRI performed in --

1

3

5

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

December 2, 2016. To put that in context, that happens to be a day after we took the depositions of Dr. Lacy and two days after we took the deposition of Dr. Sass. Then there is an MRI performed and then Dr. Forseen eventually reads that MRI, which is provided to the plaintiffs and it was the subject matter of the call we had, if Your Honor recalls.

THE COURT: I remember.

MR. D. DIAL: Provided to the plaintiffs and then I suppose, obviously, provided to these experts. I assume it would have been provided in December, December the 8th of 2016.

Why they have waited until literally within 24 hours of starting trial to have these doctors give an opinion based upon that MRI and Dr. Forseen's report, which changes the diagnosis, I have no explanation, nor have they afforded us any explanation, and, frankly, I don't know that there could be an explanation.

They may tell you that they waited to provide the MRI -- and, remember, Dr. Forseen did issue a report, along with reading -- once he read the MRI, he issued a report, and it's been available since at least early December of

R

January 17, 2017

2016.

1

3

5

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

His deposition was not taken -- his discovery deposition was not taken until January the 4th, I believe, of 2017, because he went on vacation and was unavailable and that was the first time the parties could get back and take his evidentiary deposition -- I mean, excuse me, discovery deposition, and then his evidentiary deposition was taken --

MR. J. DIAL: Just thereafter.

MR. D. DIAL: -- that same day on the 4th.

So his information has been available since early December and his deposition's been taken a couple of weeks ago. Again, I have no explanation why these opinions found their way to us for the first time yesterday right before trial.

That, Your Honor -- this case is a different case than we prepared for. It is different. We are dealing with a diagnosis of different injuries that have different ramifications if they are, in fact, true.

We have had no opportunity to take anyone's deposition concerning these diagnoses. We've had no opportunity to consult with

Tiffany Alley, A Veritext Company

800.808.4958

770.343.9696

	Page 14
1	potential experts to help us prepare for the
2	deposition and perhaps serve as rebuttal
3	witnesses, and it would be grossly unfair and
4	it would prejudice and I will tell you on
5	the record it will greatly prejudice our
6	clients to put us to trial on the case that
7	THE COURT: How?
8	MR. D. DIAL: Because I don't have experts
9	available to rebut this.
10	THE COURT: You don't have an expert in
11	this case?
12	MR. D. DIAL: Not with respect to these
13	opinions, Your Honor, no. They just came
14	forward. These are brand new opinions.
15	We were satisfied with the state of the
16	record as it existed and weren't going to call
17	an expert. We were satisfied that Dr. Sass
18	testified she had no traumatic brain injury.
19	We were satisfied with what Dr. Lacy had said.
20	We could live with that. We could defend that
21	case.
22	THE COURT: Well, those are the facts.
23	Whether you can live with them or not is
24	inconsequential.
25	MR. D. DIAL: What aren't the facts are

Page 15

these opinions, Your Honor, that we just got.

Those aren't facts, those are opinions.

THE COURT: What you're telling me is you liked the status of the case before, you don't like the status of it now. That's not the focus. How are you prejudiced?

MR. D. DIAL: Because I don't have expert witnesses to rebut this testimony. I have been given no opportunity to take the deposition of these witnesses concerning these new diagnoses.

I've had no opportunity to look at the literature and look at everything and determine whether I want to make a Daubert challenge with respect to these opinions, which I should be entitled to do and would be entitled to do.

I have been hit in the face, ambushed with new opinions, and I think it's, frankly, self-evident how I would be prejudiced. I've not had an opportunity to defend these assertions and these opinions, which go to the heart of their damages, the very heart of their damages.

Before Your Honor, as you'll recall, most of the testimony and all of the opinions or most of the opinions, the vast majority of the

1

3

5

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 16

opinions, were that Ms. Richards was suffering her distress and similar issues because of her participation in the event.

And as you know, we don't think that's recoverable under Georgia law. Your Honor disagrees with us. We don't agree that that's recoverable. We were satisfied with that testimony because it was going to give us a clear path to put that issue before an appellate court in the future.

That now has changed. We now have someone opining that there is a physical injury, a traumatic brain injury, which is giving cause to the problems -- at least in part to the problems she's suffering. That's new. That's a different case. That's a different case to defend and we have not been afforded an opportunity to do it.

Your Honor put in scheduling order in place to avoid this exact problem, and the defendants did nothing to create this problem, not a thing to create this problem. We had nothing to do with the timing of the MRI, we had nothing to do with the timing of providing that information to their doctors, and we had

R

Page 17

nothing to do with the timing of the release of this information.

We did file a motion on Friday, last
Friday, asking Your Honor to exclude from
evidence any opinions, supplemental or
whatever, new, revised, supplemental, based
upon Dr. Forseen's work and his testimony. We
filed that and it was after that motion was
filed -- well, two days after that motion was
filed or three days after that motion was
filed, that we got these changed reports.
Perhaps that motivated the late disclosure of
the opinions, was that motion pending before
Your Honor.

So that's where we stand, and it is simply -- frankly, Your Honor, it is incredible to think that a defendant would be getting new opinions and changed opinions within 24 hours of trial and the question to be how are you prejudiced. We are very prejudiced.

THE COURT: That's the legal analysis. If you go back and look at the GM case, that's what the Court's focused on. You had a GM case where they disclosed expert testimony just a couple of days before trial and the Court of

R

Appeals held that it was within the Trial's Court's discretion to allow the case to go forward.

And the problem the defense had in that case is they didn't articulate how they were prejudiced. That's why I'm asking the question --

MR. D. DIAL: Well, Your Honor, let me articulate --

THE COURT: -- because I'm relying on the law.

MR. D. DIAL: Thank you.

THE COURT: So, I mean, if you're offended by me asking how you're prejudiced, I'm looking at the case law.

By the way, it's General Motors versus

Blake, and that's the analysis the Court

undertakes. So all I'm trying to understand is

what is your legal basis for making this

request.

MR. D. DIAL: And my legal basis, as I stated, is that we have been prejudiced in the ability to defend this case and that we have not retained any -- we have not been -- we have a consulting expert, but he has not been

1

3

5

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

confronted with these opinions or the basis of these opinions to advise us about whether or not it's valid, invalid, here's how you would attack it, here's some...

And more importantly, we have not had an opportunity to identify him and determine whether we want him to be a rebuttal witness. It simply has not been available to us because these opinions are new and different than what we have been working with up to this time, new and different.

Complete reversals. I mean, I really have never seen anything quite like it. It's one thing on one day and the day before the trial it's something else dealing with the exact same issue, a complete different opinion, a 180.

Now, in addition to that, at 7:00 we get for the first time a report from a gentleman named Randy Stone, who has been involved in this case since way back in 2015, at least since the summer of 2015, and his focus has been on whether or not he could discern whether there's been texts that involved the transferal of videos and -- and/or photographs between Mr. Johnson and others. He says that in his

R

January 17, 2017

new report. He actually describes what he was doing and he describes it accurately.

As Your Honor knows, we were able to point out to Your Honor via records, the AT&T records that we have in hand, that, in fact -- and records that everyone's had in hand, frankly, since at least December 2015, because they were used in Mr. Johnson's deposition, been able to point out to Your Honor that there were no texts that had phones -- that had the photos or videos attached to it that occurred during this trip.

And the reason we pointed that out to Your Honor was because in our pretrial conference, you made it known and clear that you weren't going to allow this idea of texts that had photos or videos attached to it into evidence with some allegation that it involved sexting unless it could have been shown to have occurred during the trip, and we quoted that to Your Honor in our supplemental motion.

We gave Your Honor the records that showed that it did not happen during the trip, that there was no such text during this trip, and at that point in time -- and, frankly, Mr. Stone

R

agrees with that in the report he issued yesterday. He agrees that that did not happen during this trip.

So what he did was he honestly says in his report, "I started looking at different data, new data, a new basis, and in looking at it, I have decided that I think maybe there was a use of an app going on based upon me looking at this data and opining from this data." He says, "I really don't know whether it was or not, but the amount of data being used, in my view, is consistent with someone streaming music or some other type of, you know, usage --data usage."

Mr. Stone never has said that before. He admits he's never done it before. He admits that he started a new review, looked at different data, and now is giving a different opinion. Again, that's brand new to us.

Do we have a phone consultant? Yes, we do. Has this phone consultant been confronted with this and had an opportunity to analyze it?

No. Have we had an opportunity to depose

Mr. Stone about these opinions like we did his earlier opinions? No.

R

So we've been prejudiced again, because we have new allegations being made about what Mr. Johnson was doing during the trip. Now that we've disproven the texting allegation, we've got a new allegation based on some data that Mr. Stone is just now reviewing that we've had no opportunity to address.

I mean, we literally got this at 7:18 last night and we did have other things to do, including trying to get ready to pick a jury, do opening statements, and everything else.

So that's where we stand, Your Honor, and I would ask that Your Honor continue this case. I suppose Your Honor could give the plaintiffs the option, "Either you're gonna proceed with trial without these new opinions or I'm going to grant a continuance." I am concerned at some point because of some fuzzy law, I'll call it, on whether or not it is a proper remedy for the late disclosure of opinions to essentially strike those opinions or exclude those opinions. I now that issue's up before the Georgia Supreme Court as we speak, but I suppose if Your Honor gave people an option, he could do that.

R

Page 23

But I don't know whether I can ask for that as relief. Maybe I can, we're not clear on it, and I sure don't want to invite any error into this case. It seems to me that unless there's a scheduling order in place that specifically says, "And if you disclose it late I am going to exclude it," so everybody's on notice, in that situation I think I could ask you to strike these opinions.

We have discussed this issue with Your Honor previously. Whether that is enough notice that will allow you to strike it, I don't know, but I would say if Your Honor believes that that is in his discretion, I would ask for that relief or that the case be continued.

Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. CHEELEY: Your Honor, I want to take the last issue that Mr. Dial brought up first, if you would allow me to do.

Mr. Randy Stone is -- he works for Dell,

Dell SecureWorks. He is a forensic IT guy.

He, at my request, went back and looked at the

data that had been subpoenaed from AT&T or the

Tiffany Alley, A Veritext Company

800.808.4958

R

770.343.9696

Page 24

cell phone of Mr. Johnson. He compared that data for the first time with the data from the defendants' very own GPS system on this truck, and when you put the two together, it shows where that truck was at every mile marker along the route on I-16 headed to Savannah.

And when he put those two together, something popped up that he had not seen before, and that was he had been focusing on whether or not Mr. Johnson had been texting or was on his cell phone at the time of the crash, but he had not looked at the data for uploads and downloads of data for applications on his cell phone.

And what he discovered, when you put those two together, that Mr. Johnson was uploading and downloading data for 42 minutes from the --preceding the crash up until the moment of the crash, and then suddenly at the time of the crash, at 5:53 a.m., the data uploading stopped.

The next thing that happened on that cell phone was a phone call from Mr. Johnson to U.S. Xpress claims department notifying them of this tragedy.

R

Page 25

So, again, the defendants have a cell phone expert. He was there when we tried to get into this cell phone of Mr. Johnson back in -- a month or so after the wreck, and they can call him if they -- if they disagree with Mr. Stone's opinions, they can call their expert and say, "I disagree and here are the reasons I disagree."

Mr. Stone, Randy Stone, lives in Wichita, Kansas. He's flying in today. We thought there for a minute he was going to be trapped by that ice storm that hit, but he is able to get out today and he'll be in Atlanta and we're going to have him over here this evening. they want to depose him tonight, they can, and he'll -- but it's simple. He's spelled it out in a two-page report -- two- or three-page report. I sent it to him as soon as I received it from him yesterday.

As far as their other protests about the supplemental opinions of Dr. Lacy, who is a neuropsychologist, and Dr. Sass, who is also a neuropsychologist, who did cognitive testing on Megan back in 2015, the only difference that has occurred -- and we made them aware of this,

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that this was coming -- because Dr. Sass and Dr. Lacy relied upon this new MRI that was performed by Dr. Forseen. Dr. Forseen was not able to give a deposition because he was traveling over the Christmas holidays for two weeks to Michigan, and so I gave the defendants an opportunity. I sent several e-mails to them saying, "Here's the dates that he's available in December before he leaves. Please take advantage of this opportunity. Don't push it off into January. Take his deposition."

So as soon as we got his deposition completed, we -- I sent that deposition -- in fact, I ordered expedited delivery of it, got it the next morning, and I sent it to Dr. Sass and Dr. Lacy and told them to take a look at what Dr. Forseen is describing in this MRI, which shows shearing of the brain.

Up until that point in time before this

MRI was taken, we -- nobody had any proof that

there was any kind of definitive head injury.

So that's why as soon as we got that

information from Dr. Forseen in Augusta in mid

December, December 7th or 8th, I sent that

report, his one-page report, to Dr. Lacy and

R

Trial Vol. I

In Re: Georgia Southern Nurses

Page 27

January 17, 2017

Dr. Sass and they said, "Yes, this is what we suspected, but we weren't able to prove that Megan has a head injury."

So instead of this being a concussion, this goes to the next step up to a mild traumatic brain injury. And so they are just -- we're just making the defendants aware that they have new basis for their opinions that Megan did, in fact, suffer a traumatic brain injury, instead of the assumed concussion that we had all been operating under until this MRI was done in December.

THE COURT: Wouldn't you have to concede that is a very significant difference?

MR. CHEELEY: I don't think it is a very significant difference.

THE COURT: From a concussion to a brain injury?

MR. CHEELEY: Well, we -- they took the deposition of Dr. Forseen on January the 4th and they've had the report since well before that. They had it as soon as I got it back on December the 7th or 8th.

So they never said, "We're going to name a rebuttal expert to rebut this or to interpret

1

3

5

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 28 these -- this susceptibility-weighted imaging 1 in a different way than Dr. Forseen is 3 interpreting it." THE COURT: Specifically, what was 5 Dr. Forseen's opinion back on January the 4th? 6 MR. CHEELEY: Well, we received his 7 opinion -- I think it was dated December the 7th, a report, and on that -- in that report, R 9 he said Megan has a shearing injury of the left frontal lobe of the brain and it is 10 11 susceptible -- it is suspicious for closed head 12 injury. 13 So they've had that since then. They've 14 not done anything to say, "We need to name a 15 rebuttal expert or bring this to the attention 16 of the Court." They knew this was going to be 17 an issue and they've sat on their hands and done nothing. This is nothing more than a 18 19 tempest in a teapot. THE COURT: And you acknowledge that 20 21 Dr. Sass, who's your retained expert, you don't 22 supplement your discovery responses, you know, 23 under 9-11-26 until yesterday? Is that true? 24 MR. CHEELEY: I supplemented them as soon as Dr. Sass -- he wanted -- before he wrote any 25

January 17, 2017

Trial Vol. I In Re: Georgia Southern Nurses

Page 29 additional supplement to his report, he wanted 1 to speak with Dr. Forseen. So I got 3 Dr. Forseen and Dr. Sass on a phone call last week and they discussed it, and then as soon 5 as -- you know, Dr. Sass sees patients every day. 7 THE COURT: Right, but they're alleging that his revised report came in yesterday. Is R 9 that correct? MR. CHEELEY: That's when I received it. 10 11 As soon as I received it, I sent it to them. 12 THE COURT: They make the same allegation 13 about Dr. Lacy. 14 Is that correct? 15 MR. CHEELEY: They do, and, again --16 THE COURT: But --17 MR. CHEELEY: -- this is just a basis of 18 their opinions, that instead of it being a concussion, there is evidence of a closed head 19 20 injury, and the doctors both -- all three 21 doctors, Dr. Forseen, Dr. Sass, and Dr. Lacy, all concur that it's a mild traumatic brain 22 23 injury. 24 THE COURT: Would they be available for a 25 deposition in the next day or so?

800.808.4958 770.343.9696

770.343.9696

Trial Vol. I In Re: Georgia Southern Nurses

Page 30 MR. CHEELEY: They'll be available for 1 deposition, yes, as early as tomorrow morning. 2 They'll be here in Hinesville. 3 I mean, it's unfortunate that this 5 information has been disclosed. I mean, it is in violation of the scheduling order. 7 very late. I mean, it's prejudicial. MR. CHEELEY: Your Honor, I would point R 9 out that the scheduling order, paragraph --THE COURT: When you go from a concussion 10 11 to a brain injury the week or so before trial, 12 that is significant. I think any objective view of the case would be that that is a 13 14 significant change or a potential significant change in how the case is tried. 15 16 MR. CHEELEY: The defense is still that 17 Megan is making up these symptoms, that she is 18 faking that she has all these symptoms, such 19 as --THE COURT: Well, I don't know that. I 20 haven't heard that, but --21 22 MR. CHEELEY: That's not going to change. 23 THE COURT: -- the medical diagnosis has definitely changed to the point where the 24 25 injury appears to be much more significant than

800.808.4958

Page 31

it was just a couple months ago.

MR. CHEELEY: Well, and, Your Honor, I would point out that the defendants do have, apparently, a consultant medical doctor. They said -- if you recall in our conversation on the phone when they filed their emergency motion to stop the deposition of Dr. Forseen --

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. CHEELEY: -- Mr. Dial made the statement that he needed time to get with his own medical consultants to take this up with them.

Well, I guess he did take it up with them and they apparently didn't see anything that they could challenge about Dr. Forseen's report that he had found a traumatic brain injury.

So they've sat on this information now since December the 9th and done nothing. I tried to schedule Dr. Forseen's deposition the following week after we made that report available to them and, you know, they -- they filed -- I file a notice of the deposition and they filed an emergency motion to quash the deposition and for a protective order.

And they obviously -- they told the Court

1

2

3

5

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 32

at that phone call that they had a medical doctor they wanted -- that there wasn't going to be enough time from the date that I had it noticed for a deposition, there wasn't going to be enough time for them to talk to their expert and prepare for a defense of the case.

Then I finally get the deposition scheduled on June the -- or January the 4th and Mr. Dial Sr. doesn't even show up for the deposition. You know, he was the one that was making the claims of prejudice on the phone call to you, Your Honor, and he didn't even bother to show up for the deposition in Augusta of Dr. Forseen.

And instead, Jad Dial, his son, shows up and takes the deposition, doesn't ask him anything, doesn't have any questions about literature, you know, "What's your opinion about this? Is it not substantiated in the literature?" So it was just a rudimentary deposition.

In fact, Jad Dial asked Dr. Forseen if the kind of injury that Megan is showing in her brain could have been suffered from shaken baby syndrome. That's the kind of ludicrous

1

2

3

5

6

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 33 positions that these defendants are taking, and 1 they're taking this whole thing so 2 3 nonchalantly, like Megan is faking her injuries, and that's all this whole thing boils 5 down to. It's a tempest in a teapot. They've had an expert. Apparently, he's not going to 6 7 help them, so now they want the Court to help them by saying, "Well, go find another expert." 8 9 THE COURT: Let me hear Mr. Dial's 10 response. 11 Mr. Dial, I want you to respond to what I 12 think is a good point made by plaintiffs' counsel that when back in December there was 13 14 testimony in the record about brain shearing, 15 you were on notice. So, you know, how did you 16 sit on your hands and not be proactive? You 17 were on notice early December that this was a 18 potential issue. You need to respond to that. MR. D. DIAL: I am. I'm prepared to do 19 it. 20 21 What happened, Your Honor, was we had an 22 MRI and a report from the MRI. We ended up 23 getting the films from the MRI -- I forget --24 sometime I think in --25 MR. J. DIAL: December 16th they were back

in our office.

R

MR. D. DIAL: December the 16th is when we first get the MRI. By that time, we had located a neuroradiologist to look at those films to see if he agreed or disagreed with the -- what the -- remember, what Dr. Forseen is is a neuroradiologist. In other words, did he read the film in the same way that Dr. Forseen reads the film.

We did do that, as we said we would do.

Frankly, there wasn't a lot of difference.

He's a difference in degrees and he says it's

a -- he doesn't believe -- it's mild, it shows

maybe some mild injury.

THE COURT: Well, that's what Mr. Cheeley just said.

MR. D. DIAL: Right, and it ultimately came down. So that's step one.

We then take Dr. Forseen's deposition in January, and remember, we take Dr. Forseen's deposition January the 4th because he goes on vacation. And at that deposition, after we get him to confirm what his readings were, we then ask him a series of questions of whether he can opine or is he opining that this injury is

January 17, 2017

causing Ms. Richards a litany of problems, anxiety, cognitive problems, depression. We go through the list and he says, "No, I'm not opining to any of that." So he's not made any link between what he sees on the film and any problems Ms. Richards may or may not be having. He doesn't provide that link.

So at that deposition, we say to -- on the record to counsel for plaintiffs, "If some other witness" -- this is January 4th -- "If some other witness is going to modify their opinions, Dr. Sass and Dr. Lacy specifically, if they're going to modify their opinions based on Dr. Forseen's report on this MRI film and his deposition, please let us know immediately." That's on the record. Nothing happened, no -- no response then, no response later.

We follow it up with an e-mail. "If anybody is going to rely on Dr. Forseen's testimony and his work and services to modify their opinions, let us know ASAP." No response.

We follow it up again. "If you're going to supplement opinions or change opinions based

800.808.4958

R

January 17, 2017

on Dr. Forseen's work, let us know." Nothing happened. We don't hear anything until yesterday.

So it wasn't us sitting on our hands.

We're trying to find out. We're trying to find out, because we've got Sass saying no brain injury. We've got Lacy saying concussion.

We've got none of them making the kind of links to future problems that they're now making.

We're satisfied that we can live with that testimony. We can go forward because we think that we can defend the case on that basis, and -- but we didn't want to be ambushed. So we kept asking and asking for the reports to be supplemented and finally they were yesterday.

Admittedly by Mr. Cheeley, they changed the diagnosis. And it's not just the diagnosis, it's what the diagnosis means in the future, which, you know, makes the case worse and more difficult to defend.

They're taking a position that she may not be able to perform some of the services as a pediatric nurse. We don't have a vocational expert who addresses that. We don't have any kind of opinion like that. That's their

R

____-

1 opinion.

3

5

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We think the opinions may very well be challengeable under Daubert, but we've got to have an opportunity to be able to do that.

That's where we stand.

When we got the reports yesterday, we shot them off to our consulting doctors to see, you know, what they may be able to do or not do.

But, Your Honor, I'm in the middle of trial. I can't go take depositions. These gentlemen can't go take depositions. We can't prepare a witness. We can't prepare a possible rebuttal witness. This trial will be over before that.

Your Honor gives -- in the scheduling order has given the parties 30 days to react to opinions. Here we're talking about trying to do it live while in trial. That just can't be done.

So let's see where we are.

THE COURT: I've seen it done in many cases, Mr. Dial.

MR. D. DIAL: Your Honor, it prejudices the case.

And I want to tell you what a big

Tiffany Alley, A Veritext Company

different it makes. And I won't speak specifics, but you'll recall in a conference we had before Your Honor on Friday, this last Friday, when there was discussion of case exposure and values. The case went up tremendously in the eyes of the plaintiff and it's based on this development.

So to say that it's a tempest in the teapot is just not being truthful with Your Honor. It is supposedly the basis for increased exposure to the defendants and increased harm to the plaintiffs.

This is it, I suppose. We've finally seen the justification that I believe Your Honor inquired about. So it's not honest to say this didn't have a significant impact on this case and we need a fair opportunity. There's a lot to do. They're saying they're going to call 20 witnesses -- that's what they said on the news last night when they were being interviewed, they're going to call 20 witnesses in this case. They have 50-something people identified. We've got multi-parties.

We can't, in the most critical area of this case -- this is a damages case. That'

R

Page 39 what this is about. This is a damages case and 1 here we are confronted with a brand new theory 3 which supposedly increases those damages substantially, according to the plaintiff. 5 Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Do you have any 7 cases that you rely upon in support of your position? R 9 MR. D. DIAL: We have cited in our motion, Your Honor, which we put together last night, 10 11 the general rules on continuance, but if Your 12 Honor would like further authority --13 THE COURT: No, I did some research 14 yesterday. I've seen some cases. 15 Well, the way I view the case, it's 16 unfortunate that this development has occurred. 17 Obviously, I would have preferred that this 18 information had come to light earlier than it has. 19 20 However, the Court views it in a way that 21 appears this issue was surfacing back in 22 December, so I think it's unfair to say that 23 this was all thrown into the fray the day 24 before trial. This issue has been bubbling 25 since early December.

800.808.4958

Page 40

January 17, 2017

We can't control course of treatment. We can't control totally what doctors will opine, when they will revise their opinions, but it seems to me that you were placed on some notice that there were going to be some revised opinions.

You had an expert that apparently you referred this information to back in December. When I asked you about specifically how you would be prejudiced, you noted you wanted to explore the Daubert issue and you wanted to consult with other experts. That's exactly what you said. I don't think a delay would serve any purpose. I don't think the evidence is going to change.

The Court's going to exercise its discretion and deny the motion for continuance and will proceed with the trial. I'll give counsel about 10 minutes and we'll bring our jury panel in.

MR. D. DIAL: Thank you, Your Honor.

(Thereupon, there was an interruption in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: Mr. Dial wanted to place something else on the record.

Tiffany Alley, A Veritext Company

R

Trial Vol. I January 17, 2017 In Re: Georgia Southern Nurses

Page 41 1 Go ahead, sir. MR. D. DIAL: Thank you, Your Honor. 3 In your ruling on the motion to continue, you made reliance on the fact that the issue 5 had been percolating -- and I think you were talking about the medical issues -- since 7 December, and I just wanted to point out this phone issue has not been percolating since R 9 December. It is brand new. It is pending and this data usage issue only surfaced yesterday. 10 11 THE COURT: All right. I understand that, 12 but the Court's going to deny the motion to continue the case on that basis also. So 13 14 that's now on the record. 15 MR. D. DIAL: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 MR. CHEELEY: Thank you. 17 (Thereupon, there was an interruption in 18 the proceedings.) MR. D. DIAL: There's several objections. 19 I don't know if Your Honor intends to read the 20 21 pretrial statement. THE COURT: No. 22 23 MR. D. DIAL: Okay. And in terms of 24 qualifications, there are a number of insurance 25 company lists under the theory that they have a

770.343.9696

Trial Vol. I In Re: Georgia Southern Nurses

Page 42 financial interest in the outcome of the case. 1 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 3 MR. D. DIAL: They do not. As Your Honor knows, there's been other resolutions of the 5 cases. There's only one insurance company that remains with any financial interest in the case 7 and that is Lexington Insurance, listed as No. 12. R 9 THE COURT: Lexington? MR. D. DIAL: The other insurances above 10 11 it -- 9, 10, and 11 -- have exhausted their 12 policy limits and have no remaining financial 13 interest. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Jones? MR. JONES: Your Honor, a bare statement 15 16 by Mr. Dial I don't believe is sufficient. 17 Mountain Lake Risk Retention Group is a named defendant in the case, and without any evidence 18 of the fact that they've exhausted their 19 limits, we've named them. They've been a party 20 21 for a long time. The pretrial scheduling order 22 requires --23 THE COURT: I think he's specifically 24 referring to American International Group, AIG; National Fire and Marine; and National Union 25

January 17, 2017

Trial Vol. I In Re: Georgia Southern Nurses

Page 43 1 Fire Insurance Company. MR. JONES: Are you saying AIG has no financial interest in the outcome of the case? 3 MR. D. DIAL: Not anymore. Their policy was exhausted. Lexington still does. 5 And, Your Honor, I'm expecting that these 7 lawyers wouldn't accept my representation. have Ms. Battersby available. R 9 THE COURT: Look, if you say they've exhausted their limits, I believe you, and if 10 11 they have exhausted their limits, I would not 12 qualify the jury as -- they have no exposure. 13 But any insurance company that has any exposure 14 I'll qualify the jury on. Now --15 16 MR. JONES: So which ones are in the case 17 now? 18 MR. D. DIAL: Lexington Insurance Company. They have the remaining policy limits. 19 THE COURT: I'll tell you what, the 20 21 parties that I will qualify the jury on will 22 be, obviously, the plaintiff; the plaintiff's 23 lawyers; Total Transportation of Mississippi, 24 LLC; U.S. Xpress Enterprises, Inc.; U.S. 25 Xpress, Inc.; U.S. Xpress Leasing, Inc.; New

	Davis 44
	Page 44
1	Mountain Lake Holdings, LLC; Mountain Lake Risk
2	Retention Group, Inc.; Greywolf Logistics, Arch
3	Insurance Company; Lexington Insurance Company;
4	the Cheeley Law Group; Jones Osteen & Jones;
5	and all the lawyers.
6	MR. JONES: And the defendant drivers,
7	Your Honor, John Wayne Johnson and Robert
8	Gordon Tayloe.
9	THE COURT: Correct.
10	All right. Are we on the same page?
11	MR. D. DIAL: Yes, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then let's
13	bring our jury in.
14	(Whereupon, voir dire was conducted and
15	the jury was selected and sworn.)
16	THE COURT: If I could see counsel at the
17	bench.
18	(The following proceedings were held at
19	the bench, outside the hearing of the jury.)
20	THE COURT: I thought we'd go ahead and
21	proceed with opening statements, then come back
22	in the morning and begin with our live
23	testimony.
24	MR. D. DIAL: Judge, that means I'm going
25	to be doing my opening

	Page 45
1	THE COURT: Excuse me?
2	MR. D. DIAL: Are we sure we want to keep
3	them here that long? And I'm worn out.
4	THE COURT: You're going to go an hour?
5	How long did you expect? 30 or 40 minutes
6	apiece?
7	MR. CHEELEY: Yes.
8	THE COURT: I'd rather be here on Tuesday
9	night than Friday night, so we'll proceed with
10	the opening statements.
11	MR. BARBER: Judge, there's one other
12	thing I wanted to mention I mentioned to
13	Mr. Cheeley. I haven't heard back from him.
14	We did file a motion about the confidentiality.
15	THE COURT: Yes, sir. I've got it. I
16	wouldn't think there would be any objection
17	about that except that I'm not going to exclude
18	people from the courtroom. There's that's
19	too perilous a path to take.
20	MR. BARBER: Okay. The taping on the TV
21	screen of our personal of our confidential
22	financial information, that's the piece that
23	we're worried about.
24	THE COURT: All right. Bring that to my
25	attention when we get there. I didn't see any

	Page 46
1	other problems with the order, but when you
2	start excluding the public from the courtroom,
3	you have to go through that analysis.
4	MR. BARBER: Right.
5	THE COURT: And just very recently, Judge
6	Peterson, who's now with the Supreme Court,
7	wrote a scathing opinion about trial judges
8	excluding people from courtrooms. I'm not
9	going down that path unless it's absolutely
10	necessary.
11	MR. BARBER: Okay. Well, I just would ask
12	that before we start talking specific numbers,
13	somebody give us a warning of that.
14	THE COURT: That's fine. Just bring it to
15	my attention. We'll investigate it at that
16	time.
17	MR. D. DIAL: Your Honor, we raised
18	[inaudible].
19	THE COURT: We'll talk about all that, as
20	well.
21	MR. D. DIAL: About the opening, I'm
22	wondering if Your Honor is going to allow them
23	to talk about this new opinion that they got
24	from the phone guy.
25	THE COURT: Yes, sir. You asked for

	Page 47
1	clarification
2	MR. D. DIAL: Yeah.
3	THE COURT: when I made the ruling and
4	I clarified by telling you that I was denying
5	your motion for continuance on that basis also,
6	which would necessarily imply that evidence
7	would be admissible.
8	MR. D. DIAL: Just I was you
9	mentioned and they had mentioned that we would
10	have a chance to take their deposition and we
11	haven't had that, and we're about to have
12	opening.
13	THE COURT: We can talk about that after
14	we have the opening statements.
15	MR. BARBER: Could we have a chance to
16	reorient our seats?
17	THE COURT: Sure, that's fine.
18	MR. BARR: Judge, we have one evidentiary
19	issue in terms of a motion in limine was
20	[inaudible] yesterday on my client's drug test.
21	THE COURT: I won't allow that.
22	MR. BARR: I just wanted to clarify
23	before
24	THE COURT: That's the other accident?
25	MR. BARR: Yes.

	Page 48
1	THE COURT: I'm not going to allow that.
2	(The following proceedings were held in
3	open court, in the hearing of the jury.)
4	THE COURT: All right. Ladies and
5	gentlemen of the jury, we are going to allow
6	you to hear the opening statements made by
7	counsel. Then we'll break for the evening.
8	We'll come back tomorrow around 8:30, 8:40.
9	Do you all feel well enough to hear the
10	opening statements before we go home for the
11	evening?
12	All right. Very well. Mr. Cheeley, you
13	may proceed for the plaintiffs.
14	MR. CHEELEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
15	May it please the Court. I want to read
16	something to you, ladies and gentlemen, from
17	Megan.
18	Just a moment, Your Honor.
19	May I proceed, Your Honor?
20	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
21	MR. CHEELEY: Ladies and gentlemen, I want
22	to show you a photograph of the car at the
23	scene of the wreck that Megan was a passenger
24	in. This is a Ford Escape. Megan was in the
25	right rear seat.

This is what Megan wrote to me for me to read to each of you. "When I look at this picture, I see a picture of me because even though I look normal on the outside now, I really feel like damaged goods on the inside. So when I look at this picture, instead of seeing the totally destroyed car, which I am amazed I did not die in, I see a picture of what happened to my body, to my back, to my brain, to my memory, to my emotions, to my confidence, to my relationships, my career, my hopes, my dreams, my future, my everything.

"I know you see a picture of a horribly mangled SUV, but I see a picture of my whole life cracked and not put together, smashed and damaged from the inside out.

"But when people look at me now, they see the Megan who is different from the Megan who enjoyed everything about life and looked forward to her future with enthusiasm. That was before April 22, 2015. That day changed my life forever.

"When people see me, the Megan on the outside, they have absolutely no idea just how much things have changed for me on the inside.

R

Page 50

January 17, 2017

They don't see me as a person filled with anxiety. They don't see the Megan who has difficulty going to sleep at night. They don't see the Megan who wakes up from awful nightmares about this wreck. They don't see me when I am a basket case driving on the road and another giant truck comes up on my rear bumper and I freak out.

"Now, I no longer have the self-confidence I had that horrible April morning -- or before that horrible April morning when my world changed. For me, April 22, 2015, was my 9/11. That's the day my world stopped turning smoothly on its axis and what had been a wonderful world now is a wobbly and uncertain world, and that for me is the most frustrating thing of all.

"Now that I have a brain injury on the inside, my outward appearance is deceiving and I hate that I can no longer be the Megan who I once was."

That's the end of the note.

May it please the Court, counsel, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, what I just read is a note from Megan to you. Megan's world is

R

Page 51

wobbly now. It is not the innocent world which she once lived in. Megan is clearly a changed person for those who know her best, and she does not like her new reality.

Later in this trial, you will meet Megan.

Megan's doctors cautioned us that this trial

would retraumatize her if she had to sit here
in this courtroom and listen to all this

evidence. Those wounds that are attempting to
heal would be reopened. Those wounds are raw
and they are real.

Megan suffered physical injuries to her left shoulder, her lower vertebrae and her spine, and a physical injury to her brain, which can be seen on an MRI. No human being should have to live through what Megan lived through on I-16 in Bryan County once, much less a second time during this trial. Megan was traumatized once and once is too much. That is why we have elected to spare her fragile spirit from having to relive these terrible things that she saw, the things that she smelled, the things that she heard, the things that she felt, and even the things that she tasted in the awful morning air of April 22. You will

R

understand why this day was Megan's 9/11.

Megan has been diagnosed by three different doctors with a mild traumatic brain injury to the left frontal lobe of the brain. This is an injury which is suffered when the brain is violently slammed against the inside of the skull. This traumatic brain injury, together with the horrific near-death experience of this violent collision between a 75,000-pound tractor-trailer traveling at 68 miles per hour and slamming into this tiny SUV in which she was a passenger when she was hit from the rear while at a stop has played a significant role in Megan's development of and diagnosis of PTSD, posttraumatic stress disorder, of her depression, and of her acute anxiety disorder.

The defendants would have you believe that

Megan is faking or grossly exaggerating her

mental and emotional trauma arising out of this

tragedy which killed five of her closest

friends.

One important thing to note, ladies and gentlemen, is that we are bringing in four board-certified medical doctors to give you the

1

3

5

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

truth. These are Megan's treating physicians, they're not some hired gun.

And you might ask will the defendants bring before you anyone to testify about their contention that Megan is either exaggerating or faking her injuries or that she is really doing okay, all things considered, and that she should just get over it? No one, not one single doctor, could the defendants find to challenge any of the facts which Megan's doctors have testified about, not one.

A preeminent doctor in the field of neuroradiology, which is the study of injury to the brain, Dr. Scott Forseen, is in Augusta at what used to be known as Medical College of Georgia, which is now referred to as Augusta University Hospital.

Dr. Forseen performed a special type of MRI known as a susceptibility-weighted analysis or imaging analysis on Megan back in December. That MRI was ordered by Megan's neurologist, Dr. Ellen Shaver of Augusta, because Megan was reporting problems with memory, cognition, concentration, and being depressed and moody.

This -- I'll call it an SWI, for

R

susceptibility-weighted imaging -- this SWI MRI is a very sophisticated piece of medical equipment which is only found in a handful of hospitals in Georgia. It detects blood stains in the brain, which are known as hemosiderins. Hemosiderins are markers that shearing injuries have been suffered by Megan. It's like -- these hemosiderins are like footprints in the sand. It's proof positive that the brain and its functioning have been permanently compromised. This SWI MRI proves beyond a shadow of a doubt that Megan has suffered a traumatic brain injury.

Dr. Forseen sees all types and levels of severity of brain injuries in his patients, most from high-speed car crashes, but a few from sports-related concussions like football.

Dr. Forseen will testify that Megan's brain injury severity is in the mid range of the types of injuries to the brain that he sees in his practice. Dr. Forseen says that a number of -- that the number of hemosiderins that he counts is indicative of how serious the brain injury is, and given that Megan has 8 to 10 of these hemosiderins, Dr. Forseen scales

R

her brain injury as mild TBI, which is much more severe than a concussion.

Dr. Forseen took a picture of Megan's damage to her brain and he has labeled one of the many hemosiderins that you will see when he testifies by video.

Dr. Forseen characterized these as evidence of shearing of the axons in the brain where the gray matter and the white matter come together. Dr. Forseen says that the shearing occurred in the left frontal lobe directly inside of where Megan had suffered an outward contact to her forehead during this terrible tragedy.

Megan had external physical injuries to her left forehead, which Dr. Forseen says correlates to the internal injuries to her brain.

You will be presented with undisputed and conclusive testimony about the permanency of Megan's physical injury to her brain and physical injury to her back and shoulder, all of which cause her problems and pain.

Megan also was tested by a renowned neuropsychologist, Dr. John Sass in Atlanta.

2425

1

3

5

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Tiffany Alley, A Veritext Company

Page 56

Dr. Sass is a specialist in treating patients with brain injuries to determine if there is evidence of diminished cognitive ability.

Dr. Sass tested Megan and found that she did,

her brain.

Defendants have no medical experts to disagree with or deny our medical proof. The defendants are, by any window, and without a single doctor to testify on their behalf, suggesting that Megan is not hurt on the inside.

in fact, have significant cognitive deficits in

You need to be on the lookout during this trial for a sneak attack on Megan. I call it, $S\text{-I-P-E}. \quad \text{It's an acronym}.$

The first, "S," suspicion. They will want you to be suspicious of Megan's complaints of pain or that she will continue to suffer pain, physical pain of the body and the mind.

Secondly, "I," innuendo. They want you to believe that Megan is an opportunistic plaintiff, that she is sue happy and trying to take advantage of the situation.

MR. D. DIAL: Your Honor, I object. This has moved from opening into argument.

7

9

10

1

3

5

1112

13

14

16

15

1718

19

20

21

22

23

Page 57 1

THE COURT: It is more argument. Proceed.

MR. CHEELEY: I'll move along, Your Honor.

"P," prejudice, and "E," envy. And I'll tell you more about those in closing argument.

Dr. Forseen will tell you that Megan is now at an elevated risk of developing more serious conditions, such as early onset of dementia, according to scientific studies. will tell you that Megan is at risk of a much more serious level of traumatic brain injury if she sustains another head injury. The prospect of dementia is something that the defendants are unwilling to acknowledge and are unwilling

And this is very important, ladies and gentlemen. One thing you will not see is any medical doctor for the defendant coming into this courtroom to tell you otherwise.

to compensate Megan for.

The defendants want you to look at Megan and consider that she looks like a normal person, like the pre-wreck Megan, but you must remember her brain is damaged goods. It is not the brain that God blessed Megan with, and it's because of the negligence of the company's driver that we are here. Megan did not ask for

3

5

6

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

January 17, 2017

Page 58

Trial Vol. I In Re: Georgia Southern Nurses

this; it was thrust upon her by the company's driver.

We have an expert, an engineer, named

Bryant Buckner. Bryant has a company located

in Tallahassee, Florida which does

reconstruction of serious wrecks like this one.

Bryant will tell you that Megan's head experienced such a terrifically violent force from sitting still and then being struck by this 68-mile-per-hour 75,000-pound tractor-trailer that the resulting change in velocity to her entire body and to her brain was equivalent to having Megan hit the ground after being pushed in a strapped seat from a seven-story building. That's how serious this is.

Think about that, ladies and gentlemen.

It's a wonder that Megan isn't in a coma for life, and there is no telling what kind of complications she is going to have in the future.

Before April 22, 2015, Megan had an unlimited and bright future. This wreck occurred when the company's driver, who had to wait over nine hours before he could depart the

Tiffany Alley, A Veritext Company

800.808.4958

R

770.343.9696

R

Page 59

terminal in Mississippi because his truck
wasn't ready, was operating on very little
sleep. He was headed for Savannah, Georgia,
from Richland, Mississippi.

The company's driver had ridden a bus all night from Shreveport, Louisiana, to Jackson, Mississippi. The driver did not sleep on the bus. We know that because we have his cell phone records. He was on the phone making and receiving calls and making and receiving text messages. In fact, the driver had slept very little for the two to three days prior to this wreck.

The company's dispatcher told the driver to be at the terminal on April 21, the day before this wreck, at 7:00 a.m. and be ready to roll. The driver showed up on time but his truck was not ready. It was in the shop and it stayed there for another nine or so hours.

The driver did not catch a nap, but stayed on his cell phone in the company's driver's lounge. We know that by his cell phone records.

The driver says no one at the company said anything to him about causing him to wait an

Tiffany Alley, A Veritext Company

Page 60

extra nine hours, and he could have, but didn't, tell the company that he needed to take a nap before driving through the night.

So the driver left Jackson, Mississippi around 5:00 p.m. He had to be fatigued from staying awake for more than 24 to as much as 36 hours.

As he approached the point where this traffic was backed up on I-16 around 5:45 to 5:50 in the morning on April the 22nd, the driver had a line of sight of almost one mile.

This is towards -- this is the west. This is towards Savannah at the bottom of the photograph. Here is the collision location. This distance from around the curve to this location is about 8/10 of a mile.

The company's driver was not paying attention to the road either because he was sleepy or distracted. We don't know for sure what the company's driver was doing and he is not telling us, but we have some ideas.

Any professional truck driver getting paid by the company to drive for the company's very large tractor-trailers for a living must pay attention to the traffic in front of them at

R

all times. Professional truck drivers are not allowed to do anything that could distract them as they are driving.

These drivers are supposed to have professional training and experience before they drive. It's the truck driver's responsibility to avoid doing anything that could take their eyes, focus, or attention off the road while driving these large trucks.

It is also the responsibility of the trucking companies to make sure that their truck drivers are not driving distracted. We have learned that the company did try to train this driver, but maybe he did not care enough to obey the company's policies. It will be for you to decide whether he was a good, responsible driver.

Trucking companies like these companies are having a hard time finding qualified drivers because the skilled and seasoned drivers are retiring and there are not enough good younger people wanting to drive large trucks and live on the road.

This defendant had been driving for Total Transportation of Mississippi since December of

1

3

5

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 62 2013. Before he took this job with this 1 company, he drove for a company named Stevens 3 Transport in Dallas, Texas. Mr. Johnson did not follow that company's policies and he had 5 several speeding violations there. 6 MR. D. DIAL: Objection, Your Honor. believe that violates the motion in limine Your 7 Honor had ruled on. R 9 THE COURT: Come to the bench, gentlemen. (The following proceedings were held at 10 11 the bench, outside the hearing of the jury.) 12 MR. CHEELEY: This goes to the punitive 13 damages against Mr. Johnson. 14 MR. D. DIAL: Your Honor, you ruled 15 that -- in your ruling that the punitive 16 conduct against Mr. Johnson would arise from his conduct on the trip that was the cause of 17 18 the accident, not what he did several years That would be inadmissible. We made a 19 prior. motion in limine and Your Honor granted the 20 motion in limine. 21 22 MR. JONES: Not as to John Wayne Johnson. 23 MR. D. DIAL: Not -- as to his driving, he 24 did. 25 MR. CHEELEY: Judge, I am going to prove

Page 63 in this case that Mr. Johnson is the kind of 1 man that did not follow corporate policies. He 3 didn't follow it at Stevens Transport and he didn't follow it here for TTM. I am entitled 5 to prove that because that's the basis for punitive damages against this man. MR. D. DIAL: Your Honor, punitive damages 7 have to be based on the actions that caused the R 9 harm, not things that happened several years 10 ago. 11 THE COURT: I'm going to ask that you stay 12 away from that. I'll reserve ruling, but the 13 ruling was solely to his conduct. As long as 14 you don't get into what they overlooked about him. 15 16 MR. CHEELEY: I'm not going to get into 17 what they overlooked; I'm going to talk about 18 what he did himself. He didn't follow anybody's corporate policies and he never got 19 He was always driving fatigued. 20 enough rest. THE COURT: That would involve his 21 conduct; it doesn't involve the conduct of the 22 23 corporate defendants. 24 MR. D. DIAL: My objection is that the

only conduct that Mr. Johnson -- under the law,

800.808.4958

Page 64

January 17, 2017

Your Honor, that would even support a punitive damages is the conduct that caused the harm,
Mr. Johnson's conduct that caused the harm.
What happened several years ago at a different company had nothing to do with the conduct that caused the harm.

THE COURT: I'll have to look at that overnight, but it does appear to be evidence that you're putting in his past wrong acts to show that he was not in conformance with that same act. I'll look at that from a punitive aspect, but I'm a little skeptical of that.

MR. CHEELEY: Okay.

(The following proceedings were held in open court, in the hearing of the jury.)

MR. CHEELEY: In this case, ladies and gentlemen, as Mr. Dial told you in the jury selection process, Total Transportation of Mississippi has acknowledged that Mr. Johnson was acting within the course and the scope of his employment on the morning of April the 22, 2015, when he crashed into the rear of these two cars.

Johnson was, in fact, driving for Total Transportation of Mississippi and Total

Tiffany Alley, A Veritext Company

1

3

5

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 65

January 17, 2017

Transportation of Mississippi is liable for his negligence, so when you, the jury, bring back money damages of any kind, Total Transportation of Mississippi is liable to Megan for those damages, for compensatory damages.

Now back to the facts of this tragedy. On the morning of April 22, 2015, at about 5:30 a.m. or so, the company's driver, Mr. Johnson, was a few miles west of where the two cars of Georgia Southern University nursing students were getting onto I-16 headed east to Savannah. Abbie and Emily's vehicles were probably only a couple miles ahead of the company's driver.

Here's a picture of the occupants of the two cars. First of all, the Ford Escape contained four young ladies: Abbie Deloach of Savannah, the driver; right front seat was Brittney McDaniel; left rear seat, Morgan Bass; right rear seat, Megan Richards.

The two young ladies on the left-hand side of the vehicle did not make it, the two on the right side did, and I'll tell you why they did shortly.

The Toyota Corolla, which was following

R

	Page 66
1	behind, had three individuals in it: Emily
2	Clark was the driver, Catherine Pittman was the
3	right front passenger, Caitlyn Baggett was the
4	left rear passenger. None of these three girls
5	made it. Their car caught on fire and burned
6	them alive.
7	MR. D. DIAL: Objection, Your Honor. Move
8	to strike. There is a motion in limine may
9	I approach, Your Honor?
10	THE COURT: Yes. Counsel approach.
11	(The following proceedings were held at
12	the bench, outside the hearing of the jury.)
13	MR. D. DIAL: Your Honor, there was a
14	motion in limine.
15	THE COURT: Their conscious pain and
16	suffering is not
17	MR. CHEELEY: I'm not getting into that.
18	THE COURT: You just said that they were
19	burned alive.
20	MR. CHEELEY: They did burn alive.
21	THE COURT: Yes, they did, but it's not
22	admissible in this case.
23	MR. D. DIAL: And, Your Honor, I move for
24	a mistrial. That is so inflammatory and
25	prejudicial and it violated a motion in limine

	Page 67
1	that counsel each agreed that he wasn't going
2	to try to get into the pain
3	THE COURT: We did talk about this at the
4	pretrial. The conscious pain and suffering of
5	other plaintiffs would not be admissible to
6	prove damages on behalf of Megan.
7	MR. JONES: Your Honor, we have to be able
8	to show the facts and circumstances of the
9	accident.
10	THE COURT: No. The Court's not going to
11	allow that.
12	MR. D. DIAL: On that point, Your Honor,
13	we have a pending motion in limine that also
14	goes to this issue that based upon what he
15	said
16	THE COURT: But what I talked about was
17	whatever she perceived, whatever she saw. She
18	doesn't know whether they suffered.
19	MR. CHEELEY: I'm not saying that she
20	knew
21	THE COURT: But you just said that. I'm
22	going to ask the jury if they can disregard
23	that and I'll give curative instructions.
24	MR. D. DIAL: To keep me from having to
25	object, he's now also going to talk about what

	Page 68
1	Abbie Deloach perceived and did, accelerated.
2	That goes to her pre
3	THE COURT: I'll deny that as part of the
4	accident. Impact, speed, angles, all of that's
5	admissible, so I'm overruling your objection
6	with regard to that. I am sustaining it with
7	regard to this conscious pain and suffering.
8	MR. D. DIAL: Okay.
9	THE COURT: All right. We can go into
10	that later.
11	(The following proceedings were held in
12	open court, in the hearing of the jury.)
13	THE COURT: Mr. Cheeley, can we put down
14	the exhibits?
15	MR. CHEELEY: Yes, sir.
16	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, the
17	plaintiff's counsel just made reference to the
18	fact that other people who were at the scene
19	and who are now deceased may have suffered.
20	I instruct you, ladies and gentlemen, that
21	you cannot consider that in any way whatsoever.
22	It has no bearing on the issues that you have
23	to decide in this case.
24	Now, I'm going to ask you very directly
25	right now, is there anyone who cannot abide by

Page 69 the Court's instructions in that regard? 1 you cannot abide by those instructions, please 3 raise your hand. All right. Let the record reflect there 5 was no response from any juror. All right. You may proceed, Mr. Cheeley. 7 MR. CHEELEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Megan and these other young women would R 9 meet once a week or twice a week at a place called Woody's in Statesboro. It's a place 10 where nurses bought their attire and their 11 12 supplies. They would meet early in the morning 13 in the parking lot and they would carpool 14 together for clinicals in Savannah. 15 Megan regrets that she was not tardy that 16 morning arriving at Woody's Scrubs, because she 17 feels that if she had been tardy, none of these 18 friends of hers would have lost their lives and that she would not be suffering as she is 19 20 today. Megan's mind tortures her all the time, 21 accusing her that it is her fault that her 22 23 friends died. She feels guilty about the fact that she survived but her friends died. 24

is known in the medical profession as a

January 17, 2017

byproduct of PTSD. It's known as survivor's guilt.

Megan hears something inside of her accuse her that "if only you had done something different, Megan." That's the PTSD haunting her.

Abbie and Emily's vehicles entered I-16
less than two minutes or so ahead of the
company's driver. About Mile Marker 141, the
young women encountered stopped traffic due to
an earlier wreck caused by another defendant in
this case, Greywolf Logistics, but Abbie, Emily
saw, along with another 150 or so cars ahead of
them. They were all able to stop safely.
After all, the bright red taillights were
easily visible against the clear, dark, early
morning sky.

As our young women have been stopped for about 10 to 20 seconds, the company's truck and the company's driver approached rapidly from the rear at a speed of 68 miles per hour. The truck was set on cruise control. There was no slowing. There was no braking. There was nothing.

Suddenly, a horrific crash occurred.

R

Page 71

January 17, 2017

Witnesses in the left lane -- this occurred in the right lane, the crash, but witnesses in the left lane will come in and testify to you about what a horrible event it was, that it looked like two trains colliding because these two cars of nursing students were sitting right behind a tanker truck and they were crushed momentarily between the two massive trucks.

Witnesses in the left lane said that it sounded like a bomb. The 75,000-pound Total Transportation tractor-trailer crashed at its full-end top speed into the rear of Emily's little car, shearing the roof off and casting it aside like a Coke can being chewed up by a lawnmower. The three girls inside did not make it.

The large tractor-trailer then collided violently into the rear of Abbie's little SUV, slamming it into the rear of the tanker truck owned by Shepherd's Tanker Service. That truck was stopped or barely moving in front of Abbie.

According to our expert, Bryant Buckner, if not for the quick reflexes of Abbie Deloach, Megan and Brittany would both be dead. We know that Abbie steered hard to the right and she

Tiffany Alley, A Veritext Company

R

Page 72

January 17, 2017

stepped hard on the accelerator pedal at the last moment in an attempt to get off the road. She must have seen the mammoth tractor-trailer barreling down on her in her rearview mirror.

If Abbie had not gotten the least -- at least the front of her vehicle pointed in the direction of the shoulder and not directly into the rear of the tanker truck, her little SUV would have been crushed like a Coke can between these two giant rigs. Megan and Brittany would surely have died.

The little SUV was slammed violently against the rear of the tanker. Then it was kicked like a football to the shoulder of the road, where it rolled over violently two times and then smashed against the trees, facing back towards Statesboro.

Megan was knocked unconscious. Morgan
Bass, who moments before was sitting just to
the left of Megan in the rear seat, was ejected
and died when her body hit the ground.

Morgan's body was lying within a few feet of
the SUV when the vehicle came to a rest and it
was just outside Megan's door. This white
sheet covers Morgan's body. That's where Megan

R

Page 73

was.

1

3

5

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What I'm about to tell you is going to be hard for you to listen to. I'm not telling you these facts to upset you, but rather to give you a word picture of what Megan experienced and what continues to haunt her.

After being hit from the rear by this company's truck driver, Megan woke after being knocked out briefly. She saw a horrible sight. Megan sees the Toyota on fire. She smells an awful smell. It was the smell of human bodies. The smell was thick in the early morning air and witnesses at the scene described that you could almost taste that awful smell.

Megan panics. She is in intense pain.

She thinks her arm is broken, but instead it's a fracture to her left shoulder. She fears that Abbie's SUV will catch fire, too.

Her heart races and she thinks that she is about to die. She hears screams. It is dark and eerie and dust is still floating through the air where these two massive trucks collided and skidded off the road.

The company's tractor-trailer shoved that tanker truck clear off the road. The company's

tractor has its entire engine and front axle knocked away from the cab and chassis.

There is a strong odor of diesel fuel leaking from the ruptured fuel tanks on the Total Transportation truck into the ditch beside Megan. She fears that the fire from the burning car will ignite that diesel fuel, which is all around the SUV.

Megan cannot get free. She feels
entrapped in the vehicle. The flames from the
burning Toyota are growing higher and more
intense and they're reaching high above the
tractor-trailer, the Total Transportation's
trailer.

Megan's heart races. She can hardly breathe due to the adrenaline rush. Megan has never seen anything like this and she wrestles to try to find a way out of that mangled vehicle.

Her side window is broken and there's glass all over her. Megan feels blood running down her face from her left forehead and eye. She is hoping that this is a dream, but it is not. Megan screams and she cries out, "Someone, please help me. Help."

R

Page 75

That morning, to Megan, is a day that will live in infamy. That morning, she witnessed what happens when an inattentive driver causes a deadly crash because he is more interested in watching something on his smartphone than he is watching the road ahead.

The driver put everyone's life in danger that morning at Mile Marker 141. We know that based on his cell phone records, that he was downloading and uploading something for 42 minutes leading up to this crash. You will hear our expert from Dell Computers SecureWorks come in and testify and show you how that data was being uploaded and downloaded for 42 minutes.

We know that he was looking at his cell phone, he had to be, and he's going to be given the chance to tell you what he was doing, because up until now he has not told us. When the crash occurred, the data transfer interestingly stopped on his phone.

This is truly, in my opinion, a wicked act, an act which was played out by the defendant's chosen driver, John Wayne Johnson. The company's driver was playing Russian

R

Page 76

roulette with the lives of everyone on that stretch of I-16 that morning, driving a 75,000-pound 18-wheeler at almost 70 miles per hour while watching movies or whatever he was doing, Facebooking or whatever, is unconscionable. It displays a conscious indifference to the consequences.

Unfortunately, the company never interviewed John Wayne Johnson to find out what he was doing in that line of traffic or asked him what he was doing before the wreck. Unfortunately, the company did not seize his cell phone immediately after the wreck so that it could be tested.

Now, Mr. Johnson, when we get ahold of it, the cell phone, about a month or so after the wreck, guess what? He claims that he cannot remember his four-digit code to open up his cell phone so that the folks from Dell Computers could check it out and see what was going on. To this day, the company's driver has not told us what that four-digit code is.

Seven young women paid dearly for what Mr. Johnson was doing on that cell phone. That morning, seven young women left Statesboro

1

3

5

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 77

pursuing their dreams of becoming nurses. Abbie and Megan wanted to work together at Memorial Hospital in Savannah.

Unfortunately, only two of those seven nurses returned to Statesboro, ultimately, Megan Richards and Brittany McDaniel, but they returned very different people than the ones who had left that morning.

Both Megan and her friends will tell you that there is a material change in her personality. Megan is haunted by that terrible morning. Instead of working at Memorial Hospital with Abbie, Megan will be working there, she hopes, with the knowledge that Abbie was pronounced dead in that hospital. That, too, haunts her.

We're here today because the defendants will not accept responsibility for the permanent damage that has been done to Megan's once healthy body, including her back and her brain.

So, members of the jury, this case is about a company driver who essentially was operating a missile on the interstate that morning in Bryan County with a conscious

1

3

5

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 78 indifference to the safety of these young 1 women. 3 Now, insult is added to injury because the company and its insurers claim that Megan is 5 not hurt as much as she claims. arrogance, ladies and gentlemen, adds more 7 anxiety to Megan. I will tell you something, most 22-year-olds would not take on the R 9 nation's fourth largest motor carrier, U.S. Xpress. 10 11 MR. D. DIAL: Objection, Your Honor. It's 12 improper argument. 13 THE COURT: It's more in the way of 14 argument than evidence. MR. CHEELEY: This case is about permanent 15 16 brain damage to an innocent, beautiful young 17 woman, pure and simple. The case is about 18 taking a dreadful wrong and making it right. Your duty is to sift through the facts and 19 decide that -- if we, as Megan's lawyers, are 20 21 shooting straight with you or if the defendants 22 are. 23 Remember, as you listen to the evidence 24 that will come from that witness stand, if

you're hearing fact or fiction, medical proof

Page 79 1 or artful lawyering. This case is about the refusal of these 3 defendants to acknowledge that Megan's brain injury is very real. 5 The facts of this case will show that U.S. 6 Xpress has total control over Total 7 Transportation. First, U.S. Xpress is shown as the carrier R 9 on the last document that was provided to the Georgia State Patrol, not Total Transportation 10 11 of Mississippi. 12 Second, U.S. Xpress and Total 13 Transportation's revenues are deposited into a 14 single bank account which U.S. Xpress controls. 15 Third, U.S. Xpress pledged all of the 16 assets of Total Transportation to a bank to 17 secure a \$200-and-some-odd million line of 18 credit, all without the knowledge of this man, John Stomps, the CEO of Total Transportation. 19 He didn't even know about it. That's control 20 21 and that's what the law of Georgia requires 22 that we show, control, and we will. 23 And lastly, but probably most tellingly, is that John Wayne Johnson's W-2s are issued by 24 25 U.S. Xpress.

January 17, 2017

There are many other examples of control of the parent over the subsidiary, so the question is why is U.S. Xpress trying to get out of this? I submit that the answer is that they're trying to avoid responsibility here.

My job and Billy's job is to lead you on a diligent search for the truth, and I will not mislead you.

But before I discuss our specific claims,

I want you to understand one thing about the

burden of proof. Judge Rose will tell you more

about this, but this is not a criminal case

where you have to prove everything beyond a

reasonable doubt.

When you hear the term "burden of proof,"

I want you to think of the scales of justice

and I want you to remember that all we have to

do is prove that what we're saying is more

likely than not that it was the company's

negligence that caused all of Megan's injuries.

Physically, Megan now lives in chronic pain with her back and you'll hear the testimony of her doctor, her orthopaedic doctor from Emory in Atlanta.

Emotionally, Megan's family and friends

R

Page 81

will testify that she's depressed. She takes
Zoloft for depression. She has frequent crying
spells, which is totally unlike her.

Megan now must take sleeping pills every night just to go to sleep. She wakes up in the middle of the night with nightmares and in full sweat.

Cognitively, Megan's family and friends will testify that she's forgetful, she often repeats herself, forgetting that she just said the same thing only a few minutes before.

There are signs and symptoms of traumatic brain injury.

Megan now has to write herself notes to stay on track throughout the day. Megan's worried that her memory may adversely affect her ability to be a careful and effective pediatric ICU nurse.

Vocationally, Megan's family and friends and coworkers will testify that she's lethargic. She's slow and inefficient, which frustrates her to no end. Her roommate will tell you that she sleeps for way more than eight hours a day, also another telltale sign of PTSD and depression. That's not the Megan

R

January 17, 2017

who existed prior to April 22, 2015.

Relationally, Megan's family and friends will tell you that Megan is at times moody and irritable, which is totally and completely opposite of the before version of Megan.

Megan is also impatient with almost everyone because she's always tired and hurting. According to her boyfriend, Jacob, Megan seldom does anything of the things that she used to do before the wreck, such as outdoor activities and exercising, playing with her dog.

My time is about up, but at the conclusion of this case, ladies and gentlemen, we're going to ask you for a significant verdict that speaks the truth about the importance of having this right be corrected -- this wrong be corrected and made right. We're going to ask you to bring back an award that shows that -- the importance of having the right to be left alone and not be almost killed on the road going to work and pursuing your education and about the resulting value of human suffering when someone like this company's driver is so consciously indifferent to the safety of these

R

Page 83 young women that it has perpetrated a serious 1 permanent harm to a totally innocent young 3 woman. Now, after you hear from defense counsel, 5 tomorrow morning we'll start putting up our evidence on the amount of her damage. She is a wonderful young woman, but she is a shell of 7 her former self because of these defendants' R 9 driver's carelessness. And we ask you to be attentive to both the 10 11 plaintiff and the defense and to render a just 12 and true verdict at the end that fully and 13 completely makes Megan whole. 14 Thank you. 15 Thank you, Judge. 16 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Cheeley. 17 Mr. Dial, you may proceed. 18 MR. D. DIAL: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 Good evening, jury. The judge will tell you that everything 20 21 that the lawyers say in this case is not 22 evidence. Everything you just heard is not 23 evidence. It doesn't prove a thing. 24 What happens after opening statements, 25 when the lawyers are supposed to give you a

January 17, 2017

brief and concise statement of what the evidence will be, is that the evidence will then start. So everything you just heard, everything that you just read, is not evidence.

Evidence comes from this witness stand by witnesses who take an oath and testify.

Evidence comes in the form, these days, in video testimony, where people have already taken the oath and are testifying. Evidence is documentary evidence that comes in. That is what you will make your decision upon, not what Mr. Cheeley says, not what Mr. Cheeley thinks, what not -- not what Mr. Cheeley argues.

And I am asking you on behalf of my client that you wait and hear the evidence. Wait and hear the evidence and compare it to what you just heard, and you decide who -- what the evidence is, not what Mr. Cheeley says it is.

And I'm going to point out where there will be some grave differences in the actual evidence and the argument you just heard.

What I say is not evidence either. I ask that you wait and hear all the evidence. He'll put on witnesses, we'll get to cross-examine witnesses, and then we'll put on witnesses.

Tiffany Alley, A Veritext Company

R

Don't make your decision up until you've heard it all. Don't make it up until you hear Your Honor instruct you on what the law is so that you'll know how to properly apply the evidence, as opposed to lawyer argument, to the law and then make a decision. And the decision you're going at be making here is what is fair compensation -- as I said in my voir dire, what is fair compensation to both parties for the injuries suffered by Ms. Richards. That will be your decision to be made.

Mr. Cheeley said on several occasions that the defendants or the defendants' lawyers are saying that Megan Richards is faking and that she was not injured. There will be not a shred of evidence that that is the case. That is absolutely false. The defendants have never taken the position that Ms. Richards was not injured, never, never, never. That is false.

And what he's tried to do by using analogies and arguments is to tell you that if we even dare to question anything about the treatment of her injuries, if we introduce to you all the evidence about Ms. Richards' condition both prior to the accident and after

R

Page 86

January 17, 2017

the accident, if we ask you to listen to all the evidence, not hand-selected evidence by the plaintiff's lawyers, they then accuse us of, "Well, you must be insensitive and uncaring. Why don't you just accept Mr. Cheeley's argument. You say she's faking." That is flat-out false. It never happened, it never would happen, and it never will happen in the future. We know Ms. Richards was injured. There is no question about that.

If you hear evidence that she had to, for instance, expend a certain amount of money to treat her injuries, award that money. Give it to her, please. Entitled to every penny of it.

If you hear evidence of what her future care will be related to her injuries, give her that money. We don't dispute it. Pay for it. We want to pay for it. We want to pay for her care and treatment. We've never disputed it. Never been disputed that she's injured.

As I told you, your decision, again, is going to be to decide what's fair, and when you're doing that, we simply want to tell the complete story, not just part of the story.

Now, one of the things that he talked

Tiffany Alley, A Veritext Company

800.808.4958

R

770.343.9696

Page 87

about was the conduct of the driver. Again, listen to what the evidence actually is, and here's what it will be.

The evidence will be that Mr. Johnson had been on vacation prior to this trip. The evidence is that he returned to -- was planning to return from vacation back to work and he was asked, "When will you be available?" He was not told when to be available, he was asked, "When will you be ready to work," and Mr. Johnson said, "I'll be ready to go at 7:00 a.m." He wasn't told to be anywhere, he said, "I'll be available at 7:00 a.m."

The evidence will be that he got plenty of rest the day before, the day before leaving for the trip, in his hotel in Shreveport, that he rested.

The evidence will be that during the bus trip from Shreveport to Jackson he slept, he took naps. Yes, he was up occasionally. Yes, he was occasionally texting or on the phone, but there's plenty of time where there's long time periods of no interaction and Mr. Johnson will tell you that during those time periods, he was getting additional rest.

R

Page 88

Yes, when he got to the terminal at 7:00 a.m. that morning, his truck wasn't ready. What did he do? He went to a driver's lounge that is supplied by Total for its drivers so that they can rest, take naps, sleep while they're waiting to get their load, and that's what he did.

Was he asleep the entire time? No. But was he napping? Was he resting? Was he lounging? Yes, he was.

And at that point in time when he got his load later that day, it is up to Mr. Johnson to decide whether he feels rested. He doesn't have to take the load. If he feels fatigued, if he feels like he's not capable of driving, then he doesn't take the load.

But he felt fine. He had had plenty of rest the days prior, he had had plenty of rest during the trip, he had rested all day in the lounge, there's nice sleeper lounges. It's easy. Many drivers go in there and rest while waiting on the truck.

The idea that he went two days without sleep is false. Listen to the evidence on that. Yes, he wasn't asleep the entire time,

R

January 17, 2017

but, yes, he was also resting and he felt rested when he started his trip.

With respect to his driving, it will show that at the time of the accident, these facts are undisputed:

Mr. Johnson was not speeding.

Mr. Johnson was not over hours. In the trucking industry, there's strict rules and regulations about how much a trucker -- how long a trucker can drive and be on duty. He was in one hundred compliance with the law in that regard.

He was not texting. They're going -they're asking you to speculate that he somehow
was using the phone otherwise. He has
testified that he was not, and they're relying
on a witness -- expert witness that they have
called who just yesterday came up with this
opinion that you heard about, this
Johnny-come-lately, brand new opinion that's
never been in this case, because the first
opinion he had that he was texting and there
are videos and photographs attached to the
texts, so surely Mr. Johnson must have been
looking at those at the time of the accident,

R

guess what, that was proved to be false and wrong.

So at the very last minute, literally within several hours of this trial, this expert comes up with yet another theory of what Mr. Johnson was doing. That will also be proven wrong. Wait and hear the evidence.

It's just not true, just like the texting while he was driving and he must have been looking at a picture or video that he received in a text. That was their position for two years of litigation. They changed it last night because it was proven to be false.

This is a Johnny-come-lately theory only for the purpose of trying to anger you and have you make this decision on some reason other than the evidence that you will hear.

He told you a lot about the scene, and that is gleaned from other witnesses because, again, the evidence will show that Ms. Richards recalls very little from the scene. She was knocked unconscious. We don't dispute that. She suffered a concussion. We don't dispute that.

Her testimony that you will see on

R

videotape and perhaps live, she will tell you that she remembers very little. She doesn't remember anything about the truck coming from behind her; she doesn't remember anything about Abbie Deloach driving; she doesn't remember seeing or whether she even did see the other girls that were in the wreck. She has no recollection of that.

She didn't even know that the people in the Corolla behind her were fellow nursing students. She had no idea. Yes, she saw a burning car, but she never saw the occupants, whoever they might have been, and she never knew they were her co-students, her fellow students at Georgia Southern. She learned that well after the accident. She has very little recollection of the actual accident scene, very little.

What you heard from Mr. Cheeley is what other people observed, not Ms. Richards, what other people know about the accident scene, not Ms. Richards. She testified, again, that she recalled very little.

Again, certainly Ms. Richards is grieved by the fact that she had friends and colleagues

R

that died in this accident. No one doubts it.

Certainly she has anxiety. Certainly she has bouts of depression. Certainly she grieves.

It's only normal that you would grieve and no one disputes that. That's simply not true to say that's disputed. Absolutely she does.

And when you're determining that, you should award damages to the extent that under Georgia law they are recoverable for that type of emotional distress arising from injuries you suffered in an automobile accident. You should award that, absolutely. If it can be shown she was injured and her emotional distress arises from those injuries, you should award damages for that. No one disputes that. No one disputes that.

What you can't award her damages for is the suffering of anyone else, the suffering of the family members, the tragedies bestowed upon others. That's not an element of damages she can recover. That's not recoverable under law. That's other people's claims, which has been resolved, as I mentioned to you earlier in voir dire.

Speaking of Ms. Richards, there's no

R

Page 93

question -- again, this is not a dispute that prior to this event, Ms. Richards was a happy, was a productive, was a fun-loving college student who was doing well in college. That is the facts. She was. No one disputes it.

She had no problems that had ever been diagnosed with any type of issues that she suffered after the accident. We don't dispute that there was some preexisting problem here prior to the accident. That's not an issue that's in dispute.

What has her life been like after the accident? Now, you've heard from Mr. Cheeley his description, and this is an instance where I am going to give you some other evidence you will hear and you should consider. And it's not being done, again, because we don't believe Megan was injured or because we believe she's faking; it's simply so that you will have the full evidence, and here it is.

And don't hold it against me. Don't make it appear that I'm insensitive and clearly don't hold it against my client. I simply want you to know the rest of the story. The next story has these parts, as well. The story says

1

3

5

6

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

this:

R

This accident happened on April 22, 2015. By July of 2015, Ms. Richards had recovered enough that she was able to return to school and take finals that she would have taken in late April or May. They were delayed to allow her time to recover and she went and took her finals in nursing and she did well. She finished that semester with about a 3.44 average.

She reentered school full time in August of '15 and continued in the nursing program, and each semester her grades continued to improve. She made a 3.6 GPA in her curriculum in the immediate semester, the fall semester of 2015, and eventually in the last two years, her semesters were 3.93 GPA and a 3.8-something GPA and she was able to graduate in December with honors, cum laude. She did very well as a student, and she's to be congratulated and admired for doing that.

But that shows that the problems she has have not prevented her from being a very successful student and from being offered a job as a nurse, which we know takes a lot of

Page 95

achievement and it's difficult and it's not easy to do.

That's just additional facts that you need to consider when considering how serious her brain injury is.

And let me say this about brain injuries.

Her treating doctors, who treated her from the time of the accident, until yesterday, until last night, never diagnosed her with a traumatic brain injury. They always diagnosed her with a concussive syndrome. That was the diagnosis.

Her neuropsychologist who tested her testified under oath that she did not -- this testing and evaluation did not indicate that she suffered a traumatic brain injury.

Dr. Lacy, who treated her on several occasions over the years, never said -- she's treating her for post-concussive syndrome.

That changed last night and became now something that they're contending she has, and frankly we just heard of this, that she has complicated mild traumatic brain injury, and her neuropsych, who had tested her and evaluated her, has flipped his opinion

R

completely, 180, to go from not being traumatically brain injured to now being traumatically brain injured.

That all happened last night after these witnesses and treaters consulted hours with Mr. Cheeley, and that will be the evidence you will hear. They submitted in their own records the communications they had with Mr. Cheeley before they changed their opinions, and that happened literally last night, folks. Last night.

Dr. Forseen, who he speaks of and he opines about, he was a neuroradiologist. He read the film. He interpreted what the film shows and he testified, not surprisingly, that the evidence shows that she did, in fact, suffer a concussion and would be even qualified perhaps as a mild traumatic brain injury, but he would not link that -- what he saw on the film to any problems that Megan Richards was experiencing. He wouldn't make -- he wouldn't say, "Here's what I see and I believe and I'm opining that it's causing these problems."

He's never seen Megan Richards. He never met

R

Page 97

Richards.

R

He simply said what was on the film and that he was not willing to take that and turn it into some sort of diagnosis of a problem.

He simply interpreted the film.

So when you hear about Dr. Forseen, please keep in mind that Dr. Forseen's never seen

Megan Richards and will not -- and you'll see from the testimony -- will not link her problems up to any particular problem she's having.

I would just ask you when you hear the medical testimony, listen to it all. We're going to present to you in the portions that we get to ask what the doctors are saying contemporaneously with the treatment when it was ongoing in '15 and '16, that Megan is showing continued improvement in all areas. Did she continue to have some problems from time to time? Yes, she did, but was she improving? Just listen -- don't take my word for it, listen to what the doctors say and what they wrote in their notes.

And, again, that's not -- we're not saying she wasn't injured. We're not. We're not

Page 98

saying that this was not a horrific event. It was. We're not saying she shouldn't be fairly compensated. She should. We're just asking that you consider everything.

Personally, after the accident, you heard the description by Mr. Cheeley. And, again, this is an area where we'll want to submit some additional evidence, and the additional evidence is that since the accident, she's gone on eight different vacations throughout the country, West Palm Beach, Puerto Rico, other places, and that's great. It's great that she's able to have fun and enjoy herself with her family and friends. We're happy about that. We want that. We're so happy about that. Nobody wants this young lady to be sad or miserable.

But she is able to enjoy herself. Just as she was successful in school, she has been able to also have moments of pleasure and joy, and the pictures show it. And these are pictures she posted on social media, not anything we fabricated or discovered.

That's just another piece of evidence for you to consider when you're trying to decide

R

Page 99

what's fair and what her condition is and what needs to be provided to her to compensate her. And we want you to compensate her. That's what we're asking you to do, to fairly compensate her.

I should have also mentioned additional evidence you will hear about Mr. Johnson that's important for you in considering whether his conduct shows a willful and wanton and oppressive -- and acted in that fashion. Again, wasn't speeding, wasn't over hours, wasn't impaired by drugs, wasn't impaired by alcohol, wasn't texting, wasn't on the phone. His cell records -- the cell phone records show that, wasn't on the phone making any calls.

He will explain to you and has explained consistently over and over again that he was driving down I-16. He had been driving on interstate traffic for some time. The traffic had been light and he had not been gaining on any traffic the entire trip. Traffic had been passing him because, as everyone knows, if you're going 68 on I-75 or I-16, you're getting passed by somebody in the left-hand lane going faster.

1

2

3

5

6

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

January 17, 2017

This was not an experience where

Mr. Johnson had experienced a lot of

stop-and-go driving, where he's coming up on

traffic and having to stop, catching up with

traffic and having to slow down. He's

essentially -- as he said, he's got it on his

cruise control. He's moving at 68 and

everybody's moving away from him.

He sees -- he's testified to this from the moment he was asked about it when he got out of the truck by the State Patrol and by a bystander, he has admitted, "I saw the lights ahead of me. I saw the lights of the tanker truck. I saw them. I thought I saw the tanker truck move from the left lane into the right. I thought I might be gaining on him, but I had no perception that I was gaining on him this quickly, and the next thing I knew I was on him and I hit him."

He was on doubt, road hypnosis. He was not -- his depth perception was not working.

He saw it, but he didn't react, couldn't react.

But he always consistently told everyone who asked, including immediately after the accident, he admitted that he saw him ahead,

800.808.4958

R

January 17, 2017

Page 101

but he just didn't react.

He told the State Patrol that, he's told a good Samaritan that, he testified to that in his deposition. He has been 100 percent consistent. He can't explain why he didn't react. He wishes he could. He saw, but he didn't perceive and react after driving from -- a long time on the interstate.

He wishes he had a better explanation, but he doesn't. He can't understand it himself.

He's remorseful. You'll see he's remorseful. As I told you earlier, he's serving -- he's being punished now in prison as a result of him pleading guilty for the criminal offense associated with this.

There's another -- I want to briefly move over to something and then come back. Greywolf is a defendant in this case, as well, sued by the plaintiffs, not by the defendant. The plaintiffs blame Greywolf for being partly responsible for causing this accident.

Greywolf was the trucking company who the driver was involved in the first accident that caused the traffic to back up. Greywolf and its driver have admitted that they were at

R

January 17, 2017

fault for that accident, it was their fault for that accident occurring.

The plaintiffs sued them essentially saying, "But for the fact that you caused this wreck, this backup would not have happened and the wreck, therefore, would not have happened."

Now, I'm telling you that not because I'm saying it excuses Mr. Johnson for not reacting properly or quickly enough in coming to a safe stop; I'm telling you that because when you're trying to figure out whether or not Greywolf is responsible for any of the fault associated with this -- the plaintiffs contend they are -- Your Honor will instruct you that the law in Georgia is that if you cause an accident which causes a backup, you can be deemed liable for a subsequent accident that happens. That's the law in Georgia. It's called Smith. All these lawyers in here are familiar with it.

I'll leave it up to you, once you hear that, to decide what, if any, percentage of fault, which you'll be asked to do, to allocate fault between John Wayne Johnson and Greywolf, what, if any, percentage of that fault should go to Greywolf.

R

Page 103

Plaintiffs sued. Plaintiffs allege they were at fault. Plaintiffs brought them in here, that wasn't done by the defendants, but that is the fact. That's why they're here. You may have been wondering why they're here. That's why they're here.

Total was Mr. Johnson's employer. As you heard from Mr. Cheeley, they're going to argue to you that these U.S. Xpress, Inc., U.S. Xpress Enterprises, U.S. Xpress Leasing, New Mountain Lake Holdings, that all of those people somehow are also Mr. Johnson's employer. Those people are all part of the same corporate family. They're all individual members of the same corporate family, and under the law, a corporation is treated just as a person is treated.

So when you're talking about families, each individual company is essentially like a member of a family. Could be the son, could be the brother, could be the mother, could be the father. They're part of a family.

So, yes, they do cooperate in some areas, but you will hear, for instance, John Stomps controls the operations of Total. He will tell

R

In Re: Georgia Southern Nurses

Page 104

you, "The buck stops with me, John Stomps. I decide what the policies and considerations and I run Total. I have control over Total's operations."

Mr. Stomps will also tell you he has no control over what the U.S. X companies do. He has no authority over them, just as they have no authority over his employees. He hires his own employees. He has contracts with his won -- Total does -- with his own customers. Sometimes he competes with U.S. Xpress for customers. They enter into totally separate contracts with customers. They have different DOT numbers. They have different names, of course. They have different placards, which is meaningful in the trucking industry. They are different companies. Each is its own legal entity, its own corporation.

There is ownership interest. U.S. X does own part of Total. It owns the majority of Total, but it doesn't control Total. It doesn't control its operations and its actions, and that's what's important in determining whether these companies are, indeed, separate, what level of control exists.

R

Mr. Johnson is not the employee of any of the U.S. Xpress entities. There are -- there is an agreement in place which Total pays U.S. Xpress for where U.S. Xpress provides certain kind of general and administrative services to Total. Just as if they were to go outside and hire an accounting firm, instead they pay U.S. Xpress to perform some services for them. They're just like an outside vendor. They pay for it, they're not providing it. They have a contract for it and Mr. Stomps and Total pay for the services they're provided. That doesn't show control at all. They're related, but not the same. John Stomps runs Total and John Stomps doesn't do anything to run the U.S. X entities.

It is very unfortunate that we are here, but we are not here because the defendants think Megan Richards is faking. We are not here because the defendants don't take Megan Richards' injuries seriously.

From the day this accident happened,

Total, led by Mr. Stomps, have done everything
they could to resolve all of these claims and
to try to see that these families and these

1

2

3

5

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

January 17, 2017

young ladies were fairly compensated. It's been unsuccessful here.

Plaintiffs say it's unsuccessful because we don't take Ms. Richards serious. That's not the reason why. Nobody has ever said that and nobody ever will. She deserves compensation and we expect that you will do it. We expect and are asking you to provide fair compensation. Base it on the evidence, base it on the law, base it on what you hear from the witness stand. Don't base it on wild speculation, base it on the facts.

Ms. Richards, I assume, will be here at some point. She will testify. You will probably see her testify via video, as well.

I've already been able to ask her some questions. She's a wonderful young lady. She deserves to be treated fairly and we want her to be treated fairly, and I know that you will and you will do it in a fashion that the law requires that is fair to all.

Thank you.

MR. BARR: Judge, can I just take five or ten minutes?

THE COURT: Go ahead.

1

3

5

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 107

MR. BARR: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. It's always hard going last and it's really hard to go last when it's 6:30, but I promise my part will only take five or ten minutes. It will be much, much shorter, and I do appreciate y'all paying attention even though it's later in the day.

My name is Matt Barr. I really haven't had a chance to talk to you yet. Before I tell you who I represent, let me tell you who I don't represent. I do not represent John Wayne Johnson, I do not represent Total Transportation, and I do not represent U.S. Xpress.

My clients, Greywolf Logistics, which is a company down the street in Pooler, and its driver, Robert Tayloe, who lives in Dublin with his wife and kids, have been named in this case because they caused the first wreck that caused the traffic to back up in the first place.

My client's vehicle did not come within a mile of Ms. Richard's vehicle, and so what I'd like to do is just sort of explain a little bit about the first wreck, because you really haven't heard about it.

R

Page 108

Mr. Cheeley spoke about 30 to 45 minutes and he mentioned Greywolf one time and that's it. Mr. Dial spent some time on it.

But -- we are technically in the case, but it's our position that it is grossly unfair for my clients to be involved in this case. There were two wrecks. I'm going to tell you a little bit about the differences. We believe this case is about the second wreck, the one involving this driver and this young lady.

There is no relationship between Greywolf and Mr. Tayloe and these other defendants.

Again, the accidents happened Mile Marker 143 and 141, so it may have been a little bit more than a mile apart.

They also happened about six hours apart.

The first accident happened at around midnight;

the one involving Mr. Johnson happened just

before 6:00 a.m., so six hours later.

So with regard to the first wreck, as Mr. Dial just pointed out, Greywolf has admitted that the driver, Mr. Tayloe, was responsible for that wreck. It involved a tractor-trailer and a Winnebago and it was his fault.

R

Page 109

What's important that I wanted to point out to y'all is that the occupants of the Winnebago have been compensated. We have resolved -- taken responsibility and resolved that claim asserted by the occupants of the Winnebago, okay, and that's not an issue in this case.

And so because we've admitted that the first wreck was caused by my client,
Mr. Tayloe, how it happened and why it happened, you're really not going to hear any evidence about that.

What's important, though, is can the first wreck be considered to be a cause of the second wreck? And that's what I want to spend my remaining minutes on here.

I mentioned the time difference, I mentioned the distance, the six miles, and I think you can tell by listening to Mr. Cheeley and the presentation of the evidence, the focus of this case is on Mr. Johnson. It's on the second wreck, where it should be, and that makes sense.

The claims against my clients are completely different than the ones that you've

Tiffany Alley, A Veritext Company

R

R

Page 110

heard about so far today. There is no claim against my clients for punitive damages.

There's no claim that our driver, Mr. Tayloe, was sleepy or overworked or was texting.

You're not going to hear any evidence about that. That's not a claim that's being asserted in this case.

Again, there's only one issue that you'll have to, at the end of the trial, address as to my clients, and that is did the first accident happen close enough to the second to be considered one in the same, to be considered a direct or what we call a proximate cause of it.

And what Mr. Dial said is true a minute ago, that if you cause one accident and cause a backup where there's a second accident, you could be responsible, but only in certain circumstances. Okay? And it's only where the second accident is reasonably foreseeable.

If you cause an accident and it's reasonably foreseeable -- again, this is not a situation where the first accident happened and then Mr. Johnson came up on it 15 seconds later and had an accident. This is six hours later.

This is not a situation where the first

Tiffany Alley, A Veritext Company

Page 111

accident happened and Mr. Tayloe was right there behind and couldn't avoid it. If that was the case, then it would be a different case. This is six hours and a full mile.

Okay?

So as it relates to my clients, what you'll need to decide -- and think about the evidence as you hear it -- is were the actions of Mr. Johnson reasonably foreseeable?

Mr. Johnson himself admits that they weren't reasonable. Right? He's admitted responsibility. He's admitted -- he doesn't have an explanation for why he didn't stop.

In addition to the -- the difference in the time and the distance, think about the time of day this happened. You would have been confronted with a sea of red lights, and so the question is: Is it reasonably foreseeable that a professional driver would come upon a sea of red lights when it's still dark, with a mile full of sight, and not stop like the hundreds of people in front of him stopped. Okay?

We believe the evidence will show without question that that is not reasonably foreseeable, and if it wasn't a reasonably

R

Page 112

foreseeable thing to happen, then the first accident automatically is too far removed from the second and there can't be any liability.

So that's basically what I wanted to outline. I can talk to you a little bit more at closing, but that's who we are. You heard the word "Greywolf," but clearly it's not a focus in the case. I don't know that I'll have much of a role to play in the case because it's a dispute between these parties, but we are in the case, and so I am here to stand up for Greywolf and Mr. Tayloe.

Again, they have taken responsibility for what they did, but we are here to defend ourselves on what we didn't do. We don't believe it's fair for Greywolf or Mr. Tayloe to be alleged liable for the things that happened to Ms. Richards.

Thank you for your time and, again, thanks for your attention.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, counsel.

All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we're about finished here this evening. I do want to apologize for the late hour and hopefully

Tiffany Alley, A Veritext Company

1

3

5

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 113

things will move along at a better pace as we get into the actual evidence in the trial.

The lawyers have correctly advised you and told you that what they have just said is not evidence and cannot be considered by you as evidence in the case. Those statements are what they expect the evidence will show in the case. The actual evidence will begin tomorrow with the sworn testimony offered by witnesses. There may be videos, exhibits. That will be the evidence that you must decide the case upon.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, I am required to give you certain instructions before I dismiss you.

You have taken an oath and you have -- to quote the oath, have told us that you would decide this case to the best of your skill and knowledge without favor or affection to either party; that is, you will render a verdict fairly and impartially to both parties.

Now, in order to do that, there are certain things you cannot consider. You see all of the cameras. You see all of the television media here. You cannot watch those

R

Page 114

programs.

R

Ladies and gentlemen, to preserve the integrity of the jury, you, as the finders of fact, must decide this case based solely upon the evidence presented in the courtroom. That means that during the trial, you cannot conduct any independent research. You cannot confer with any Google searches, you know, phone searches. In fact, we've had some trials where we've actually had to take the cell phones away from the jurors, so please do not -- I won't do that, but do not try to conduct any inquiries to try to learn anything about the facts of the case, anything outside the confines of this courtroom.

One last thing. You cannot discuss this case with your fellow jurors until you actually begin your deliberations at the conclusion of the trial. You also cannot discuss the case with any family members or friends. You have to preserve the integrity of this case until you actually publish your verdict in open court.

	Page 115
1	you come back at 8:45 in the morning. Thank
2	you very much.
3	(Thereupon, the proceedings were suspended
4	at 6:30 p.m.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Tiffany Alley, A Veritext Company

	Page 116
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
	STATE OF GEORGIA:
4	
	COUNTY OF FULTON:
5	
6	
	I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was
7	taken down, as stated in the caption, and the
	questions and answers thereto were reduced to
8	typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing
	pages represent a true, complete, and correct
9	transcript of the evidence given upon said hearing,
	and I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel
10	to the parties in the case; am not in the regular
	employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor am I in
11	anywise interested in the result of said case.
12	
13	
14	Lee An Baines
15	
16	LEE ANN BARNES, CCR B-1852, RPR, CRR
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 117

COURT REPORTER DISCLOSURE

2
 3

4

5

6

7

8

Pursuant to Article 10.B. of the Rules and Regulations of the Board of Court Reporting of the Judicial Council of Georgia which states: "Each court reporter shall tender a disclosure form at the time of the taking of the deposition stating the arrangements made for the reporting services of the certified court reporter, by the certified court reporter, the court reporter's employer, or the referral source for the deposition, with any party to the litigation, counsel to the parties or other entity. Such form shall be attached to the deposition transcript," I make the following disclosure:

9 10

11

12

I am a Georgia Certified Court Reporter. I am here as a representative of Veritext Legal Solutions. Veritext Legal Solutions was contacted to provide court reporting services for the deposition. Veritext Legal Solutions will not be taking this deposition under any contract that is prohibited by O.C.G.A. 9-11-28 (c).

131415

16

17

18

Veritext Legal Solutions has no contract/agreement to provide reporting services with any party to the case, any counsel in the case, or any reporter or reporting agency from whom a referral might have been made to cover this deposition. Veritext Legal Solutions will charge its usual and customary rates to all parties in the case, and a financial discount will not be given to any party to this litigation.

19

20 Lee Are Barnes

2122

LEE ANN BARNES, CCR B-1852, RPR, CRR, CRC

2324

Page 118 TIFFANY ALLEY, A VERITEXT COMPANY 1 2 FIRM CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE 3 Tiffany Alley Veritext represents that the 4 foregoing transcript as produced by our Production Coordinators, Georgia Certified Notaries, is a true, 5 correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, 6 questions and answers as submitted by the certified court reporter in this case. Tiffany Alley Veritext 7 further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are a true, correct and complete copy as submitted by the certified reporter, attorneys or witness in this case; 8 and that the exhibits were handled and produced exclusively through our Production Coordinators, Georgia Certified 9 Notaries. Copies of notarized production certificates 10 related to this proceeding are available upon request to litsup-ga@veritext.com. 11 Tiffany Alley Veritext is not taking this deposition 12 under any relationship that is prohibited by OCGA 15-14-37(a)and(b). Case-specific discounts are automatically applied to all parties, at such time as any 13 party receives a discount. Ancillary services such as 14 calendar and financial reports are available to all parties upon request. 15 16 17 18 19 20

24

21 22 23

[**& - able**] Page 1

	2015 1 6 5 2 7 10	26 60 6	00.2
&	2015 1:6 5:3 7:10	36 60:6	88:2
& 2:12,18 3:7,13	10:22 19:20,21	4	7:18 22:8
3:21 44:4	20:7 25:24 49:21	40 5:9 45:5	7th 26:24 27:23
1	50:12 58:22 64:22	4000 2:18	28:8
10 40:19 42:11	65:7 82:1 94:2,3	404.238.9753 3:24	8
54:25 70:19	94:16	404.443.6713 3:24	8 54:24
10.b. 117:3	2016 6:14 8:1 12:1	404.522.2208 3:16	8/10 60:16
10.0. 117.3 100 101:4	12:13 13:1	404.614.7453 2:20	83 4:4
100 101.4 107 4:5	2017 1:17 13:4	404.832.9534 3:9	8:30 48:8
10 7 4.3 10:15 1:17	206 2:12	404.875.9433 3:9	8:40 48:8
10:15 1:17 11 42:11	21 59:15	42 24:17 75:10,14	8:45 115:1
	22 49:21 50:12	45 108:1	8th 12:13 26:24
12 42:8	51:25 58:22 64:21	48 4:3	27:23
141 70:9 75:8	65:7 78:8 82:1	4th 13:4,11 27:20	9
108:14	94:2	28:5 32:8 34:21	_
143 108:13	22nd 60:10	35:10	9 42:11
15 94:12 97:17	235 3:15	5	9-11-26 28:23
110:23	24 6:8 12:15 17:18		9-11-28 117:13
15-14-37 118:12	60:6	50 38:22	9/11 50:12 52:1
150 70:13	2400 3:8	5:00 6:2 60:5	912.876.011 2:13
1500 3:15	250 7:1	5:30 65:8	95 5:7
151 1:18	28th 6:12,13 7:6	5:45 60:9	9th 31:18
16 24:6 51:17 60:9	299 2:5	5:50 60:10	a
65:11 70:7 76:2	2s 79:24	5:53 24:20	a.m. 1:17 24:20
97:17 99:18,23	3	6	59:16 65:8 87:12
1600 3:22	3.44 94:9	678.559.0273 2:7	87:13 88:2 108:19
16th 33:25 34:2	3.6 94:14	678.608.1713 3:16	abbie 65:12,17
17 1:17	3.8 94:17	68 52:11 58:10	68:1 70:7,12
174 1:6 5:3	3.93 94:17	70:21 99:23 100:7	71:21,23,25 72:5
18 76:3	30 5:8 37:16 45:5	6:00 108:19	77:2,13,14 91:5
180 8:13,25 19:16	108:1	6:30 107:3 115:4	abbie's 71:18
96:1	30009 2:6	7	73:18
1852 1:20 116:16	303 2:19		abide 68:25 69:2
117:22		70 76:3	114:24
1st 11:1	30303 3:15	700 7:2	ability 18:23 56:3
2	30308-3243 2:19	75 99:23	81:17
2 12:1	30326 3:8,23	75,000 52:10 58:10	able 8:8 9:10,25
20 38:18,21 70:19	30th 8:1	71:10 76:3	20:3,8 25:12 26:4
200 79:17	31313 2:13	770.861.4100 2:7	27:2 36:22 37:4,8
200 79.17 2013 62:1	3344 3:7	7:00 6:4 19:17	67:7 70:14 94:4
2013 02.1	341 3:23	59:16 87:12,13	94:18 98:13,18,19
			74.10 70.13,10,19

[able - april] Page 2

106:16	actual 84:20 91:17	agree 16:6	analyze 21:22
absolutely 46:9	113:2,8	agreed 34:5 67:1	ancillary 118:13
49:24 85:17 92:6	acute 52:16	agreement 105:3	anger 90:15
92:12	added 78:3	117:15	angles 68:4
accelerated 68:1	addition 19:17	agrees 21:1,2	ann 1:20 116:16
accelerator 72:1	111:14	ahead 5:2 41:1	117:22
accept 43:7 77:18	additional 29:1	44:20 65:13 70:8	answer 80:4
86:5	87:25 95:3 98:8,8	70:13 75:6 100:13	answers 116:7
accident 47:24	99:6	100:25 106:25	118:6
62:18 67:9 68:4	address 22:7	ahold 76:15	anxiety 35:2 50:2
85:25 86:1 89:4	110:9	aig 42:24 43:2	52:17 78:7 92:2
89:25 91:16,17,21	addresses 36:24	air 51:25 73:12,22	anybody 35:20
92:1,11 93:8,10,13	adds 78:6	al 5:4	anybody's 63:19
94:2 95:8 98:5,9	administrative	alcohol 99:13	anymore 43:4
100:25 101:21,23	105:5	alive 66:6,19,20	anyone's 13:24
102:1,2,15,17	admired 94:21	allegation 20:18	anywise 116:11
105:22 108:17	admissible 47:7	22:4,5 29:12	apart 108:15,16
110:10,15,16,19	66:22 67:5 68:5	allegations 22:2	apiece 45:6
110:20,22,24	admits 21:16,16	allege 103:1	apologize 112:25
111:1 112:2	111:10	alleged 112:17	app 21:8
accidents 108:13	admitted 100:12	alleging 29:7	apparently 31:4
account 79:14	100:25 101:25	alley 118:1,4,6,11	31:14 33:6 40:7
accounting 105:7	108:22 109:8	allocate 102:22	appeals 18:1
accurately 20:2	111:11,12	allow 18:2 20:16	appear 64:8 93:22
accuse 70:3 86:3	admittedly 36:16	23:13,21 46:22	appearance 50:19
accusing 69:22	adrenaline 74:16	47:21 48:1,5	appearances 2:1
achievement 95:1	advantage 26:10	67:11 94:6	3:1
acknowledge	56:23	allowed 61:2	appears 30:25
28:20 57:13 79:3	adversely 81:16	alpharetta 2:6	39:21
acknowledged	advise 19:2	amazed 49:8	appellate 16:10
64:19	advised 113:3	ambushed 15:16	applications 24:13
acronym 56:15	affect 81:16	36:13	applied 118:13
act 64:11 75:23,23	affection 113:19	american 42:24	apply 85:4
acted 99:10	afforded 12:19	amount 21:11	appreciate 107:6
acting 64:20	16:17	83:6 86:12	approach 66:9,10
action 1:5	afternoon 5:22	amy 3:6	approached 60:8
actions 63:8	107:1	analogies 85:21	70:20
104:22 111:8	agency 117:16	analysis 17:21	april 49:21 50:10
activities 82:11	ago 13:14 31:1	18:17 46:3 53:19	50:11,12 51:25
acts 64:9	63:10 64:4 110:15	53:20	58:22 59:15 60:10
			64:21 65:7 82:1

[april - big] Page 3

94:2,6	assume 12:12	awful 50:4 51:25	based 7:13 8:6
arch 1:10 2:16	106:13	73:11,14	9:20 10:23 12:16
44:2	assumed 27:10	axis 50:14	17:6 21:8 22:5
area 38:24 98:7	at&t 20:4 23:25	axle 74:1	35:13,25 38:7
areas 97:18	atlanta 2:19 3:8,15	axons 55:8	63:8 67:14 75:9
103:23	3:23 25:13 55:25	b	114:4
argue 103:8	80:24	b 116:16 117:22	basically 112:4
argues 84:13	attached 20:11,17	118:12	basis 9:24 18:19
argument 56:25	89:23 117:8 118:7	baby 32:24	18:21 19:1 21:6
57:1,4 78:12,14	attack 19:4 56:14	back 13:6 17:22	27:8 29:17 36:12
84:21 85:5 86:6	attempt 72:2	19:20 23:24 25:3	38:10 41:13 47:5
arguments 85:21	attempting 51:9	25:24 27:22 28:5	63:5
arises 92:13	attention 28:15	33:13,25 39:21	basket 50:6
arising 52:20	45:25 46:15 60:18	40:8 44:21 45:13	bass 65:19 72:19
92:10	60:25 61:8 107:6	48:8 49:9 53:20	battersby 43:8
arm 73:16	112:20	55:22 65:2,6	beach 98:11
arrangements	attentive 83:10	72:16 77:20 80:22	bearing 68:22
117:5	attire 69:11	82:19 87:7 101:17	bearman 3:21
arriving 69:16	attorneys 118:8	101:24 107:20	beautiful 78:16
arrogance 78:6	august 94:11	115:1	becoming 77:1
artful 79:1	augusta 26:23	backed 60:9	began 5:23
article 117:3	32:13 53:14,16,22	backup 102:5,16	behalf 2:3,16 3:3
articulate 18:5,9	authority 39:12	110:16	3:18 56:10 67:6
asap 35:22	104:7,8	baggett 66:3	84:14
aside 71:14	automatically	baker 3:21	believe 6:2,23 13:4
asked 32:22 40:9	112:2 118:13	bakerdonelson.c	34:13 38:14 42:16
46:25 76:10 87:8	automobile 92:11	3:25	43:10 52:18 56:21
87:9 100:10,24	available 12:25	bank 79:14,16	62:7 93:17,18
102:22	13:12 14:9 19:8	barber 3:20 45:11	96:22 108:8
asking 17:4 18:6	26:8 29:24 30:1	45:20 46:4,11	111:23 112:16
18:14 36:14,14	31:21 43:8 87:8,9	47:15	believes 23:14
84:14 89:14 98:3	87:13 118:10,14	bare 42:15	bench 44:17,19
99:4 106:8	average 94:10	barely 71:21	62:9,11 66:12
asleep 88:8,25	avoid 16:20 61:7	barnes 1:20	berkowitz 3:21
aspect 64:12	80:5 111:2	116:16 117:22	best 51:3 113:18
asserted 109:5	awake 60:6	barr 2:17 4:5	bestowed 92:19
110:6	award 82:19 86:13	47:18,22,25	better 101:9 113:1
assertions 15:20	92:8,12,14,17	106:23 107:1,8	beyond 54:11
assets 79:16	aware 6:10 25:25	barreling 72:4	80:13
associated 101:15	27:7	base 106:9,9,10,11	big 37:25
102:12		106:12	
		100.12	

[billy - ceo] Page 4

		T	
billy 2:11	break 48:7	caitlyn 66:3	79:2,5 80:12
billy's 80:6	breathe 74:16	caldwell 3:21	82:14 83:21 85:16
bit 107:23 108:8	brief 84:1	calendar 118:14	89:21 101:18
108:14 112:5	briefly 73:9	call 12:8 14:16	107:18 108:4,6,9
bjones 2:14	101:16	22:18 24:23 25:5	109:7,21 110:7
blake 18:17	bright 58:23 70:15	25:6 29:3 32:1,12	111:3,4 112:8,9,11
blame 101:20	bring 28:15 40:19	38:18,21 53:25	113:6,8,11,18
blessed 57:23	44:13 45:24 46:14	56:14 110:13	114:4,14,17,19,21
blood 54:4 74:21	53:4 65:2 82:19	called 7:19 69:10	116:10,11 117:15
board 52:25 117:3	bringing 52:24	89:18 102:18	117:16,18 118:6,8
bob 2:8	brings 10:16	calls 59:10 99:15	118:12
bodies 73:11	brittany 71:24	cameras 113:24	cases 37:22 39:7
body 49:9 56:19	72:10 77:6	capable 88:15	39:14 42:5
58:12 72:21,22,25	brittney 65:19	caption 116:7	casting 71:13
77:20	broken 73:16	car 48:22 49:7	catch 59:20 73:18
boils 33:4	74:20	54:16 66:5 71:13	catching 100:4
bomb 71:10	brother 103:21	74:7 91:12	category 11:8
bother 32:13	brought 23:20	care 61:14 86:16	catherine 66:2
bottom 60:13	103:2	86:19	caught 66:5
bought 69:11	bryan 1:1 51:17	career 49:11	cause 16:13 55:23
bouts 92:3	77:25	careful 81:17	62:17 102:15
boyfriend 82:8	bryant 58:4,4,7	carelessness 83:9	109:14 110:13,15
brain 7:14 8:4,14	71:22	carl 2:11	110:15,20
11:7,9,13 14:18	bubbling 39:24	carpool 69:13	caused 63:8 64:2,3
16:13 26:18 27:6	buck 104:1	carrier 78:9 79:8	64:6 70:11 80:20
27:10,17 28:10	buckner 58:4	cars 64:23 65:10	101:24 102:4
29:22 30:11 31:16	71:22	65:16 70:13 71:6	107:19,19 109:9
32:24 33:14 36:6	building 58:15	case 5:2,13 7:17	causes 75:3 102:16
49:10 50:18 51:14	bumper 50:7	10:14,14 13:18,19	causing 35:1 59:25
52:3,4,6,7 53:14	burden 80:11,15	14:6,11,21 15:4	96:23 101:21
54:5,9,13,15,19,20	burn 66:20	16:16,16 17:22,23	cautioned 51:6
54:24 55:1,4,8,18	burned 66:5,19	18:2,5,15,23 19:20	ccr 1:20 116:16
55:21 56:2,6	burning 74:7,11	22:13 23:4,16	117:22
57:10,22,23 58:12	91:12	30:13,15 32:6	cell 24:1,11,14,22
77:21 78:16 79:3	bus 59:5,8 87:18	36:12,19 37:24	25:1,3 59:8,21,22
81:12 95:5,6,10,16	byproduct 70:1	38:4,5,16,22,25,25	75:9,16 76:13,16
95:23 96:2,3,18	bystander 100:12	39:1,15 41:13	76:19,24 99:14,14
braking 70:23	c	42:1,6,18 43:3,16	114:10
brand 9:11 11:15		50:6 63:1 64:16	center 3:14
11:21 14:14 21:19	c 116:1,1 117:13	66:22 68:23 70:12	ceo 79:19
39:2 41:9 89:20	cab 74:2	77:22 78:15,17	
		,	

$[certain \hbox{-} compromised]$

Page 5

	T	T	T
certain 86:12	44:4 45:7,13	clients 14:6 107:15	43:13,18 44:3,3
105:4 110:17	48:12,14,21 57:2	108:6 109:24	58:4 59:24 60:2
113:14,23	62:12,25 63:16	110:2,10 111:6	60:23 61:13 62:2
certainly 6:24 7:5	64:13,16 66:17,20	clinicals 69:14	62:2 64:5 76:8,12
91:24 92:2,2,3	67:19 68:13,15	close 110:11	77:23 78:4 101:22
certificate 118:2	69:6,7 78:15	closed 28:11 29:19	103:19 107:16
certificates 118:9	83:16 84:12,12,13	closest 52:21	118:1
certified 52:25	84:18 85:12 91:19	closing 57:4 112:6	company's 57:24
117:5,6,10 118:5,6	93:13 96:6,8 98:6	code 76:18,22	58:1,24 59:5,14,21
118:8,9	103:8 108:1	cognition 53:23	60:17,20,23 61:15
certify 116:6,9	109:19	cognitive 25:23	62:4 65:8,14 70:9
challenge 15:13	cheeley's 86:5	35:2 56:3,5	70:19,20 73:8,24
31:15 53:10	cheeleylawgrou	cognitively 81:8	73:25 75:25 76:21
challengeable 9:15	2:8,8	coke 71:14 72:9	80:19 82:24
37:3	chewed 71:14	colleagues 91:25	compare 84:16
chance 47:10,15	chosen 75:24	college 1:18 53:15	compared 24:1
75:18 107:9	christmas 26:5	93:3,4	compensate 57:14
change 9:2,20,20	chronic 9:8 10:17	collided 71:17	99:2,3,4
9:23,25 10:3	80:21	73:22	compensated 98:3
30:14,15,22 35:25	circumstances	colliding 71:5	106:1 109:3
40:15 58:11 77:10	67:8 110:18	collision 52:9	compensation
changed 8:16,21	cited 6:23 39:9	60:14	85:8,9 106:6,9
8:24 16:11 17:11	civil 1:5	colloquies 118:5	compensatory
17:18 30:24 36:16	claim 78:4 109:5	coma 58:18	65:5
49:21,25 50:12	110:1,3,6	come 39:18 44:21	competes 104:11
51:2 90:12 95:20	claims 24:24 32:11	48:8 55:9 62:9	complaints 56:17
96:9	76:17 78:5 80:9	71:3 75:13 78:24	complete 19:12,16
changes 10:13	92:22 105:24	89:20 90:14	86:24 116:8 118:5
12:17	109:24	101:17 107:21	118:7
characterized	clarification 47:1	111:19 115:1	completed 26:13
55:7	clarified 47:4	comes 50:7 84:5,7	completely 8:12
charge 117:17	clarify 47:22	84:10 90:5	8:17,24,24 10:4,14
charles 1:16	clark 66:2	coming 26:1 57:17	10:15 82:4 83:13
chassis 74:2	clear 16:9 20:15	91:3 100:3 102:9	96:1 109:25
check 76:20	23:2 70:16 73:25	communications	compliance 89:11
cheeley 2:4,5 4:3	clearly 51:2 93:22	96:8	complicated 11:12
6:25,25 23:19	112:7	companies 61:11	95:23
27:15,19 28:6,24	client 84:14 93:23	61:18,18 104:6,17	complications
29:10,15,17 30:1,8	109:9	104:24	58:20
30:16,22 31:2,9	client's 47:20	company 1:11	compromised
34:15 36:16 41:16	107:21	41:25 42:5 43:1	54:11

[computers - court]

Page 6

computors 75.12	conformance	contention 53:5	counsel 2:1 3:1 5:5
computers 75:12 76:20	64:10	context 12:1	5:13 33:13 35:9
concede 27:13	confronted 19:1	continuance 22:17	40:19 44:16 48:7
concentration	21:21 39:2 111:17	39:11 40:17 47:5	50:23 66:10 67:1
53:24	congratulated	continue 5:13 6:11	68:17 83:4 112:22
concerned 22:17	94:20	8:8 22:13 41:3,13	116:9,10 117:7,16
	conscious 66:15	56:18 97:19	country 98:11
concerning 7:7 9:19 13:24 15:10	67:4 68:7 76:6	continued 23:16	country 98.11 counts 54:23
concise 84:1	77:25	94:12,13 97:18	county 1:1 51:17
conclusion 82:13	consciously 82:25	continues 73:6	77:25 116:4
· -	consequences 76:7 consider 57:20	contract 105:11	couple 5:14 13:14
conclusive 55:20		117:13,15	17:25 31:1 65:13
concur 29:22	68:21 93:16 95:4	contracts 104:9,13	course 40:1 64:20
concussion 11:8	98:4,25 113:23	control 40:1,2	104:15
11:14 27:4,10,17	considerations	70:22 79:6,20,22	court 1:1 2:12 5:1
29:19 30:10 36:7	104:2	80:1 100:7 104:3	5:10,20 6:16,19,21
55:2 90:23 96:17	considered 53:7	104:6,21,22,25	12:9 14:7,10,22
concussions 54:17	109:14 110:12,12	105:13	15:3 16:10 17:21
concussive 11:5	113:5	controls 79:14	17:25 18:10,13,17
95:11,19	considering 95:4	103:25	22:23 23:18 27:13
condition 85:25	99:8	conversation 31:5	27:17 28:4,16,20
99:1	consistent 21:12	cooperate 103:23	29:7,12,16,24
conditions 57:7	101:5	coordinators	30:10,20,23 31:8
conduct 62:16,17	consistently 99:17	118:5,9	31:25 33:7,9
63:13,22,22,25	100:23	copies 118:9	34:15 37:21 39:6
64:2,3,5 87:1 99:9	consult 9:18 10:1	copy 118:7	39:13,20 40:24
114:6,12	13:25 40:12	corolla 65:25	41:11,22 42:2,9,14
conducted 44:14	consultant 21:20	91:10	42:23 43:9,20
confer 114:7	21:21 31:4	corporate 63:2,19	44:9,12,16,20 45:1
conference 20:14	consultants 31:11	63:23 103:13,15	45:4,8,15,24 46:5
38:2	consulted 96:5	corporation	46:6,14,19,25 47:3
confidence 49:11	consulting 10:2	103:16 104:18	47:13,17,21,24
50:9	18:25 37:7	correct 29:9,14	48:1,3,4,15,20
confidential 45:21	contact 55:13	44:9 116:8 118:5	50:23 57:1 62:9
confidentiality	contacted 117:11	118:7	63:11,21 64:7,15
45:14	contained 65:17	corrected 82:17	66:10,15,18,21
confines 114:14	contemporaneou	82:18	67:3,10,16,21 68:3
confirm 34:23	97:16	correctly 113:3	68:9,12,13,16
confirmed 8:1,2,4	contend 102:13	correlates 55:17	78:13 83:16
8:6	contending 95:21	council 117:4	106:25 112:21
			114:23 117:1,3,4,5

[court - deloach] Page 7

117:6,6,10,11	customers 104:10	dates 26:8	decided 21:7
118:6	104:12,13	daubert 9:16	decision 84:11
court's 17:23 18:2	cvarnedoe 2:14	15:13 37:3 40:11	85:1,6,6,11 86:21
40:16 41:12 67:10		david 3:4	90:16
69:1	d	day 12:2 13:11	deemed 102:16
courtroom 5:6,7	d 2:4 3:14 4:1,4	19:14,14 29:6,25	defend 7:17 14:20
5:10 45:18 46:2	5:18,21 6:18,20,22	39:23 49:21 50:13	15:19 16:17 18:23
51:8 57:18 114:5	12:10 13:11 14:8	52:1 59:15 75:1	36:12,20 112:14
114:15	14:12,25 15:7	76:21 81:15,24	defendant 17:17
courtrooms 46:8	18:8,12,21 33:19	87:15,15 88:12,19	42:18 44:6 57:17
cover 117:17	34:2,17 37:23	105:22 107:7	61:24 70:11
covers 72:25	39:9 40:21 41:2	111:16	101:18,19
coworkers 81:20	41:15,19,23 42:3	days 12:3 17:9,10	defendant's 75:24
cracked 49:15	42:10 43:4,18	17:25 37:16 59:12	defendants 1:12
crash 24:11,18,19	44:11,24 45:2	84:7 88:18,23	2:16 3:3,18 16:21
24:20 70:25 71:2	46:17,21 47:2,8	ddial 3:10	24:3 25:1 26:6
75:4,11,20	56:24 62:6,14,23	dead 71:24 77:15	27:7 31:3 33:1
crashed 64:22	63:7,24 66:7,13,23	deadline 6:11	38:11 52:18 53:3
71:11	67:12,24 68:8	deadly 75:4	53:9 56:7,9 57:12
crashes 54:16	78:11 83:18	dealing 13:20	57:19 63:23 77:17
crc 117:22	dallas 62:3	19:15	78:21 79:3 83:8
creager 3:6	damage 55:4	dearly 76:23	85:13,13,17 103:3
create 16:21,22	77:19 78:16 83:6	death 52:8	105:18,20 108:12
credible 9:21	damaged 49:5,16	deceased 68:19	defense 5:12 7:16
credit 79:18	57:22	deceiving 50:19	18:4 30:16 32:6
cries 74:24	damages 15:21,22	december 11:1	83:4,11
criminal 80:12	38:25 39:1,3	12:1,13,13,25	deficits 56:5
101:15	62:13 63:6,7 64:2	13:13 20:7 26:9	definitely 30:24
critical 38:24	65:3,5,5 67:6 92:8	26:24,24 27:12,23	definitive 26:21
cross 84:24	92:14,17,20 110:2	28:7 31:18 33:13	definitively 7:15
crr 1:20 116:16	danger 75:7	33:17,25 34:2	degrees 34:12
117:22	dare 85:22	39:22,25 40:8	delay 40:13
cruise 70:22 100:7	dark 70:16 73:20	41:7,9 53:20	delayed 94:6
crushed 71:7 72:9	111:20	61:25 94:18	deliberations
crying 81:2	data 21:5,6,9,9,11	decide 61:16 68:23	114:18
cum 94:19	21:14,18 22:5	78:20 84:17 86:22	delivery 26:14
curative 67:23	23:25 24:2,2,12,13	88:13 98:25	dell 23:22,23
curriculum 94:14	24:17,20 41:10	102:21 104:2	75:12 76:19
curve 60:15	75:13,20	111:7 113:11,18	deloach 65:17
customary 117:17	date 32:3	114:4	68:1 71:23 91:5
January 11/11/	dated 28:7		00.1 / 1.20 / 1.0

[dementia - distress]

Page 8

dementia 57:8,12	destroyed 49:7	dial's 33:9	disclosure 6:11
denied 8:15	details 5:25	die 49:8 73:20	7:6 9:12 17:12
deny 40:17 41:12	detects 54:4	died 69:23,24	22:20 117:1,4,8
56:8 68:3	determine 9:14	72:11,21 92:1	118:2
denying 47:4	10:2 15:12 19:6	diesel 74:3,7	disclosures 7:8
depart 58:25	56:2	difference 25:24	discount 117:18
department 24:24	determining 92:7	27:14,16 34:11,12	118:13
depo 10:21	104:23	109:17 111:14	discounts 118:12
depose 21:23	developing 57:6	differences 84:20	discovered 24:15
25:15	development 38:7	108:8	98:23
deposited 79:13	39:16 52:14	different 10:9,10	discovery 13:3,8
deposition 6:23	diagnosed 52:2	10:11,13,14 11:13	28:22
7:24 8:11,16,20	93:7 95:9,10	13:19,20,21,21	discretion 18:2
10:25 11:2,16	diagnoses 11:22	16:16,16 19:9,11	23:15 40:17
12:4 13:2,3,7,8,9	13:24 15:10	19:16 21:5,18,18	discuss 80:9
13:24 14:2 15:9	diagnosis 8:24 9:3	28:2 38:1 49:18	114:16,19
20:8 26:4,11,12,13	10:11 11:14,15,21	52:3 64:4 70:5	discussed 9:9
27:20 29:25 30:2	12:18 13:20 30:23	77:7 98:10 104:13	23:11 29:4
31:7,19,22,24 32:4	36:17,18,18 52:15	104:14,15,17	discussion 38:4
32:7,10,13,16,21	95:12 97:4	109:25 111:3	dismiss 113:15
34:19,21,22 35:8	dial 3:4,5,7 4:4	difficult 36:20	disorder 7:19,19
35:15 47:10 101:4	5:17,18,21 6:18,20	95:1	7:23 8:5,19 9:24
117:5,7,8,12,12,17	6:22 12:10 13:10	difficulty 50:3	10:17 52:16,17
118:11	13:11 14:8,12,25	digit 76:18,22	dispatcher 59:14
deposition's 13:13	15:7 18:8,12,21	diligent 80:7	displays 76:6
depositions 12:3	23:20 31:9 32:9	diminished 56:3	disproven 22:4
37:10,11	32:15,22 33:11,19	dire 44:14 85:8	dispute 86:17
depressed 53:24	33:25 34:2,17	92:24	90:22,23 93:1,8,11
81:1	37:22,23 39:9	direct 110:13	112:10
depression 35:2	40:21,24 41:2,15	direction 72:7	disputed 86:19,20
52:16 81:2,25	41:19,23 42:3,10	116:8	92:6
92:3	42:16 43:4,18	directly 55:11	disputes 92:5,15
depth 100:21	44:11,24 45:2	68:24 72:7	92:16 93:5
described 73:13	46:17,21 47:2,8	disagree 25:5,7,8	disregard 67:22
describes 20:1,2	56:24 62:6,14,23	56:8	distance 60:15
describing 26:17	63:7,24 64:17	disagreed 34:5	109:18 111:15
description 93:14	66:7,13,23 67:12	disagrees 16:6	distract 61:2
98:6	67:24 68:8 78:11	discern 19:22	distracted 60:19
deserves 106:6,18	83:17,18 108:3,21	disclose 23:6	61:12
despite 8:19,20	110:14	disclosed 17:24	distress 16:2 92:10
		30:5	92:13

[ditch - evaluation] Page 9

ditch 74:5	35:12,12,14,20	duties 9:11	employees 104:8,9
doctor 10:20 31:4	36:1 53:14,18,22	duty 78:19 89:10	employer 103:7,12
32:2 53:9,12	54:14,18,21,25	e	117:6
56:10 57:17 80:23	55:3,7,10,16,25		employment 64:21
80:23	56:1,4 57:5 95:17	e 2:12 4:1 10:6	encountered 70:10
doctors 11:23	96:12 97:6,7	26:7 35:19 56:15	ended 33:22
12:16 16:25 29:20	dreadful 78:18	57:3 116:1,1	engine 74:1
29:21 37:7 40:2	dream 74:23	earlier 6:10 21:25	engineer 58:3
51:6 52:3,25	dreams 49:12 77:1	39:18 70:11 92:23	enjoy 98:13,18
53:11 95:7 97:15	drive 60:23 61:6	101:12	enjoyed 49:19
97:22	61:22 89:10	early 12:25 13:13 30:2 33:17 39:25	enter 104:12
document 79:9	driver 57:25 58:2	57:7 69:12 70:16	entered 70:7
documentary	58:24 59:5,7,11,14	73:12	enterprises 1:7
84:10	59:17,20,24 60:4	easily 70:16	3:18 43:24 103:10
dog 82:12	60:11,17,20,22	east 65:11	enthusiasm 49:20
doing 20:2 22:3	61:14,17 65:8,14	easy 88:21 95:2	entire 58:12 74:1
44:25 53:6 60:20	65:18 66:2 70:9	education 82:22	88:8,25 99:21
61:7 75:18 76:5	70:20 73:8 75:3,7	education 82.22 eerie 73:21	entities 3:3 105:2
76:10,11,24 86:23	75:24,25 76:21	effective 81:17	105:16
90:6 93:4 94:21	77:23 82:24 87:1	eight 81:24 98:10	entitled 15:15,15
donelson 3:21	101:23,25 107:17	either 22:15 53:5	63:4 86:14
door 72:24	108:10,22 110:3	60:18 84:22	entity 104:18
dot 104:14	111:19	113:19	117:7
doubt 54:12 80:14	driver's 59:21	ejected 72:20	entrapped 74:10
100:20	61:6 83:9 88:3	elected 51:20	envy 57:3
doubts 92:1	drivers 44:6 61:1	element 92:20	equally 9:1
downloaded 75:14	61:4,12,20,21 88:4	elevated 57:6	equipment 54:3
downloading	88:21	ellen 53:22	equivalent 58:13
24:17 75:10	driving 50:6 60:3	emergency 31:6	error 23:4
downloads 24:13	61:3,9,12,24 62:23	31:23	escape 48:24
dr 5:25 6:4,22 7:9	63:20 64:24 76:2	emily 66:1 70:12	65:16
10:1,19,19 12:3,4	88:15 89:3 90:9	emily's 65:12 70:7	esq 2:4,4,11,11,17
12:5,17,22 14:17	91:5 99:18,18	71:12	3:4,5,5,6,14,20
14:19 17:7 25:21	100:3 101:7	emory 80:24	essentially 22:20
25:22 26:1,2,3,3	drove 62:2	emotional 52:20	77:23 100:6 102:3
26:15,16,17,23,25	drug 47:20	92:10,13	103:19
27:1,20 28:2,5,21	drugs 99:12	emotionally 80:25	et 5:4
28:25 29:2,3,3,5	dublin 107:17	emotions 49:10	evaluated 95:25
29:13,21,21,21	due 70:10 74:16	employ 116:10	evaluation 7:1,11
31:7,15,19 32:14	dust 73:21	employee 105:1	7:13 8:3,7 95:15
32:22 34:6,9,19,20			

[evening - film] Page 10

evening 25:14	excluding 46:2,8	explore 40:11	false 85:17,19 86:7
48:7,11 83:19	exclusively 118:8	exposure 38:5,11	88:24 90:1,13
112:24 114:25	excuse 13:8 45:1	43:12,13	familiar 102:19
event 16:3 71:4	excuses 102:8	extent 92:8	families 103:18
93:2 98:1	exercise 40:16	external 55:15	105:25
eventually 12:6	exercising 82:11	extra 60:1	family 80:25 81:8
94:16	exhausted 42:11	eye 74:22	81:19 82:2 92:19
everybody's 23:7	42:19 43:5,10,11	eyes 38:6 61:8	98:14 103:14,15
100:8	exhibits 68:14	f	103:20,22 114:20
everyone's 20:6	113:10 118:7,8	f 2:17 116:1	far 25:20 110:1
75:7	existed 14:16 82:1	fabricated 98:23	112:2
evidence 17:5	exists 104:25	face 15:16 74:22	fashion 9:4 99:10
20:17 29:19 40:14	expands 11:18	facebooking 76:5	106:20
42:18 47:6 51:9	expect 45:5 106:7	facing 72:16	faster 99:25
55:8 56:3 64:8	106:7 113:7	facsimile 2:7 3:9	father 103:22
78:14,23 83:6,22	expecting 43:6	3:16,24	fatigued 60:5
83:23 84:2,2,4,5,7	expedited 26:14	fact 10:23 11:7	63:20 88:14
84:9,10,15,16,18	expend 86:12	13:22 20:5 26:14	fault 69:22 102:1,1
84:21,22,23 85:4	experience 11:20	27:9 32:22 41:4	102:12,22,23,24
85:16,24 86:2,2,11	52:9 61:5 100:1	42:19 56:5 59:11	103:2 108:25
86:15 87:2,4,6,14	experienced 58:8	64:24 68:18 69:23	favor 113:19
87:18 88:24 90:7	73:5 100:2	78:25 91:25 96:16	fears 73:17 74:6
90:17,20 93:15,20	experiencing	102:4 103:4 114:4	feel 48:9 49:5
96:6,16 98:8,9,24	96:21	114:9	feels 69:17,23 74:9
99:7 106:9 109:12	expert 5:23 6:11	facts 14:22,25 15:2	74:21 88:13,14,15
109:20 110:5	7:5,5,8 11:23	53:10 65:6 67:8	feet 72:22
111:8,23 113:2,5,6	14:10,17 15:7	73:4 78:19 79:5	fellow 91:10,14
113:7,8,11 114:5	17:24 18:25 25:2	89:4 93:5 95:3	114:17
116:9	25:7 27:25 28:15	106:12 114:13	felt 51:24 88:17
evident 15:18	28:21 32:5 33:6,8	fair 38:17 85:7,9	89:1
evidentiary 13:7,9	36:24 40:7 58:3	86:22 99:1 106:8	fiction 78:25
47:18	71:22 75:12 89:17	106:21 112:16	field 53:12
exact 16:20 19:15	90:4	fairly 98:2 99:4	figure 102:11
exactly 40:12	experts 10:2 12:12	106:1,18,19	file 1:5 17:3 31:22
exaggerating	14:1,8 40:12 56:7	113:21	45:14
52:19 53:5	explain 99:16	faking 30:18 33:3	filed 17:8,9,10,11
examine 84:24	101:5 107:23	52:19 53:6 85:14	31:6,22,23
examples 80:1	explained 99:16	86:6 93:19 105:19	filled 50:1
exclude 17:4 22:21	explanation 12:18	fall 94:15	film 34:8,9 35:5,14
23:7 45:17	12:19,20 13:15	falls 11:8	96:14,14,20 97:2,5
	101:9 111:13		

[films - given] Page 11

(N) 00 00 04 7	0 0 0	0 1 4 4 4	0 1 1 1 2
films 33:23 34:5	focusing 24:9	forward 14:14	future 9:3 10:13
finally 32:7 36:15	folks 76:19 96:10	18:3 36:11 49:20	11:20 16:10 36:9
38:13	follow 35:19,24	found 13:15 31:16	36:19 49:12,20
finals 94:5,8	62:4 63:2,3,4,18	54:3 56:4	58:21,23 86:9,15
financial 42:1,6,12	following 31:20	four 52:24 65:17	fuzzy 22:18
43:3 45:22 117:18	44:18 48:2 62:10	76:18,22	g
118:14	64:14 65:25 66:11	fourth 78:9	ga 118:10
find 33:8 36:5,5	68:11 117:8	fracture 73:17	gaining 99:20
53:9 74:18 76:9	football 54:17	fragile 51:20	100:16,17
finders 114:3	72:14	frankly 12:19	general 18:16
finding 61:19	footprints 54:8	15:17 17:16 20:6	39:11 105:5
fine 46:14 47:17	force 58:8	20:25 34:11 95:22	gentleman 19:18
88:17	ford 48:24 65:16	fray 39:23	gentlemen 37:11
finished 94:9	foregoing 116:6,8	freak 50:8	48:5,16,21 50:24
112:24	118:4	free 74:9	52:24 57:16 58:17
fire 42:25 43:1	forehead 55:13,16	frequent 81:2	62:9 64:17 68:16
66:5 73:10,18	74:22	friday 17:3,4 38:3	68:20 78:6 82:14
74:6	forensic 23:23	38:4 45:9	107:2 112:23
firm 105:7 118:2	foreseeable 110:19	friends 52:22	113:13 114:2
first 5:24 6:3,5,6	110:21 111:9,18	69:18,23,24 77:9	georgia 1:2,19 2:6
11:10 13:6,16	111:25 112:1	80:25 81:8,19	2:13,19 3:8,15,23
19:18 23:20 24:2	forever 49:22	82:2 91:25 98:14	16:5 22:23 53:16
34:3 56:16 65:16	forget 33:23	114:20	54:4 59:3 65:10
79:8 89:21 101:23	forgetful 81:9	front 10:8 60:25	79:10,21 91:15
107:19,20,24	forgetting 81:10	65:18 66:3 71:21	92:9 102:15,18
108:17,20 109:9	form 84:7 117:4,7	72:6 74:1 111:22	116:3 117:4,10
109:13 110:10,22	former 83:8	frontal 28:10 52:4	118:5,9
110:25 112:1	forseen 12:5,22	55:11	getting 17:17
five 52:21 106:23	26:3,3,17,23 27:20	frustrates 81:22	33:23 60:22 65:11
107:4	28:2 29:2,3,21	frustrating 50:16	66:17 87:25 99:23
flames 74:10	31:7 32:14,22	fuel 74:3,4,7	giant 50:7 72:10
flat 86:7	34:6,9 53:14,18	full 71:12 81:6	girls 66:471:15
flipped 8:13,17	54:14,18,21,25	93:20 94:11 111:4	91:7
95:25	55:3,7,10,16 57:5	111:21	give 12:16 16:8
floating 73:21	96:12 97:6	fully 83:12	22:14 26:4 40:18
florida 58:5	forseen's 12:17	fulton 116:4	46:13 52:25 67:23
flying 25:10	17:7 28:5 31:15	fun 93:3 98:13	73:4 83:25 86:13
focus 15:6 19:21	31:19 34:19,20	functioning 54:10	86:16 93:15
61:8 109:20 112:8	35:14,20 36:1	further 39:12	113:14
focused 17:23	97:7	116:9 118:7	given 15:9 37:16
			54:24 75:17 116:9

Page 12

Trial Vol. I In Re: Georgia Southern Nurses

[given - hinesville]

117.10	1 7 1 10 00	52.2	50.7
117:18	good 5:1,19,20	gun 53:2	58:7
gives 37:15	33:12 61:16,22	gunn 3:7	headed 24:6 59:3
giving 16:13 21:18	83:19 101:3 107:1	guy 23:23 46:24	65:11
glass 74:21	114:25	h	heal 51:10
gleaned 90:19	goods 49:5 57:22	h 3:5	healthy 77:20
gm 17:22,23	google 114:8	hand 20:5,6 65:21	hear 5:14 33:9
go 5:2,8,11 15:20	gordon 1:11 44:8	69:3 86:2 99:24	36:2 48:6,9 75:12
17:22 18:2 30:10	gotten 72:5	handed 5:12	80:15,22 83:4
33:8 35:2 36:11	gpa 94:14,17,17	handful 54:3	84:15,16,23 85:2
37:10,11 41:1	gps 24:3	handled 118:8	86:11,15 90:7,17
44:20 45:4 46:3	grades 94:13	hands 28:17 33:16	93:16 96:7 97:6
48:10 68:9 81:5	graduate 94:18	36:4	97:12 99:7 102:20
87:11 88:21 96:1	grant 22:17		103:24 106:10
100:3 102:25	granted 62:20	happen 20:23 21:2	109:11 110:5
105:6 106:25	grave 84:20	86:8,8 110:11	111:8
107:3	gray 55:9	112:1	heard 30:21 45:13
god 57:23	great 98:12,12	happened 24:22 33:21 35:17 36:2	51:23 83:22 84:3
goes 27:5 34:21	greatly 14:5		84:17,21 85:1
62:12 67:14 68:2	greywolf 1:10 2:16	49:9 63:9 64:4	89:19 91:19 93:13
going 14:16 16:8	44:2 70:12 101:17	86:7 94:2 96:4,10	95:22 98:5 103:8
20:16 21:8 22:16	101:20,22,24	102:5,6 105:22	107:25 110:1
23:7 25:11,14	102:11,23,25	108:13,16,17,18	112:6
27:24 28:16 30:22	107:15 108:2,11	109:10,11 110:22	hearing 44:19
32:2,4 33:6 35:11	108:21 112:7,12	111:1,16 112:17	48:3 62:11 64:15
35:13,20,24 38:18	112:16	happens 12:2 75:3	66:12 68:12 78:25
38:21 40:5,15,16	grieve 92:4	83:24 102:17	116:9
41:12 44:24 45:4	grieved 91:24	happy 56:22 93:2	hears 70:3 73:20
45:17 46:9,22	grieves 92:3	98:14,15	heart 15:21,21
48:1,5 50:3 58:20	grossly 14:3 52:19	hard 61:19 71:25	73:19 74:15
62:25 63:11,16,17	108:5	72:1 73:3 107:2,3	held 1:15 18:1
67:1,10,22,25	ground 58:13	harm 38:12 63:9	44:18 48:2 62:10
68:24 73:2 75:17	72:21	64:2,3,6 83:2	64:14 66:11 68:11
76:21 82:14,18,22	group 1:9 2:5 3:19	hate 50:20	help 14:1 33:7,7
84:19 85:7 86:22	42:17,24 44:2,4	haunt 73:6	74:25,25
89:13 93:15 97:14	growing 74:11	haunted 77:11	hemosiderins 54:5
99:23,24 103:8	guess 31:13 76:17	haunting 70:5	54:6,8,22,25 55:5
107:2 108:7	90:1	haunts 77:16	high 54:16 74:12
109:11 110:5	guilt 70:2	hawkins 2:18	higher 74:11
114:25	guilty 69:23	he'll 25:13,16	hill 3:5
gonna 22:15	101:14	84:23	hinesville 2:13
		head 26:21 27:3	30:3
		28:11 29:19 57:11	

[hire - inquired] Page 13

hire 105:7	hoppibly 10.12	impact 10:12	individuals 66:1
hired 53:2	horribly 49:13 horrific 52:8	38:16 68:4	industry 89:8
hires 104:8	70:25 98:1		104:16
hit 15:16 25:12		impaired 99:12,12	inefficient 81:21
	hospital 53:17	impartially 113:21	
52:13 58:13 72:21	77:3,13,15	impatient 82:6	infamy 75:2
73:7 100:19	hospitals 54:4	imply 47:6	inflammatory
hold 93:21,23	hostetter 3:14	importance 82:16	66:24
holdings 1:9 3:19	hotel 87:16	82:20	information 13:12
44:1 103:11	hour 7:1,2 45:4	important 9:2	16:25 17:2 26:23
holidays 26:5	52:11 58:10 70:21	52:23 57:15 99:8	30:5 31:17 39:18
home 48:10	76:4 112:25	104:23 109:1,13	40:8 45:22
honest 38:15	hours 6:8 12:15	importantly 7:12	injured 85:15,19
honestly 21:4	17:18 58:25 59:19	19:5	86:9,20 92:13
honor 5:18,21 6:9	60:1,7 81:24 89:7	improper 78:12	93:18 96:2,3
6:13,24 12:8	90:4 96:5 99:11	improve 94:14	97:25
13:18 14:13 15:1	108:16,19 110:24	improvement	injuries 11:9
15:23 16:5,19	111:4	97:18	13:21 33:4 51:12
17:4,14,16 18:8	hptylaw.com 2:20	improving 97:21	53:6 54:6,15,20
20:3,4,9,14,21,22	hudgins 3:7	inadmissible	55:15,17 56:2
22:12,13,14,24	human 51:15	62:19	80:20 85:10,23
23:11,14,19 30:8	73:11 82:23	inattentive 75:3	86:13,16 92:10,14
31:2 32:12 33:21	hundred 89:11	inaudible 46:18	95:6 105:21
37:9,15,23 38:3,10	hundreds 111:21	47:20	injury 7:15 8:4,14
38:14 39:5,10,12	hurt 56:11 78:5	including 22:10	11:7,13 14:18
40:21 41:2,15,20	hurting 82:8	77:20 100:24	16:12,13 26:21
42:3,15 43:6 44:7	hypnosis 100:20	inconsequential	27:3,6,10,18 28:9
44:11 46:17,22	i	14:24	28:12 29:20,23
48:14,18,19 56:24	ice 25:12	increased 38:11	30:11,25 31:16
57:2 62:6,8,14,20	icu 81:18	38:12	32:23 34:14,25
63:7 64:1 66:7,9	idea 20:16 49:24	increases 39:3	36:7 50:18 51:14
66:13,23 67:7,12	88:23 91:11	incredible 17:16	52:4,5,7 53:13
69:7 78:11 83:18	ideas 60:21	independent 114:7	54:13,19,24 55:1
85:3 102:14		indicate 95:15	55:21,22 57:10,11
honorable 1:16	identified 38:23	indicated 11:3	78:3 79:4 81:13
honors 94:19	identify 19:6	indicative 54:23	95:5,10,16,23
hopefully 112:25	ignite 74:7	indifference 76:7	96:18
hopes 49:12 77:14	ii 3:5	78:1	innocent 51:1
hoping 74:23	imaging 28:1	indifferent 82:25	78:16 83:2
horrible 50:10,11	53:20 54:1	individual 103:14	innuendo 56:20
71:4 73:9	immediate 94:15	103:19	inquired 38:15
	immediately 35:16		
	76:13 100:24		

[inquiries - know] Page 14

inquiries 114:12	interviewed 38:20	john 1:10 3:3 44:7	juror 69:5
insensitive 86:4	76:9 96:25	55:25 62:22 75:24	jurors 5:7,9
93:22	introduce 85:23	76:9 79:19,24	114:11,17
inside 49:5,16,25	invalid 19:3	102:23 103:24	jury 5:16 22:10
50:19 52:6 55:12	investigate 46:15	104:1 105:14,15	40:20 43:12,14,21
56:12 70:3 71:15	invite 23:3	107:11	44:13,15,19 48:3,5
instance 86:12	involve 63:21,22	johnny 89:20	50:24 62:11 64:15
93:14 103:24	involved 19:19,23	90:14	64:17 65:2 66:12
instruct 68:20	20:18 101:23	johnson 1:10 3:3	67:22 68:12 77:22
85:3 102:14	108:6,23	19:25 22:3 24:1	83:19 114:3
instructions 67:23	involvement 7:9	24:10,16,23 25:3	justice 80:16
69:1,2 113:14	involving 108:10	44:7 62:3,13,16,22	justification 38:14
114:24	108:18	63:1,25 64:19,24	k
insult 78:3	irritable 82:4	65:9 75:24 76:9	
insurance 1:11	issue 10:16 12:23	76:15,24 87:4,11	kansas 25:10
2:16 41:24 42:5,7	16:9 19:16 23:11	87:23 88:12 89:6	keep 45:2 67:24
43:1,13,18 44:3,3	23:20 28:17 33:18	89:7,24 90:6 99:7	97:7
insurances 42:10	39:21,24 40:11	100:2 102:8,23	keith 2:4,8
insurers 78:4	41:4,8,10 47:19	105:1 107:12	kept 36:14
integrity 114:3,21	67:14 93:10 109:6	108:18 109:21	kicked 72:14
intends 41:20	110:8	110:23 111:9,10	kids 107:18
intense 73:15	issue's 22:22	johnson's 20:8	killed 52:21 82:21
74:12	issued 7:11 10:23	64:3 79:24 103:7	kin 116:9
interaction 87:23	12:24 21:1 79:24	103:12	kind 26:21 32:23
interest 42:1,6,13	issues 5:14 6:9 9:6	jojlaw.com 2:14	32:25 36:8,25 58:19 63:1 65:3
43:3 104:19	9:8,16 16:2 41:6	2:14	105:5
interested 75:4	68:22 93:7	jones 2:11,12,12	knew 28:16 67:20
116:11	j	42:14,15 43:2,16	91:14 100:18
interestingly	j 13:10 33:25	44:4,4,6 62:22	knocked 72:18
75:21	jackson 3:5 59:6	67:7	73:9 74:2 90:22
internal 55:17	60:4 87:19	joy 98:20	know 7:9 10:8
international	jacob 82:8	judge 44:24 45:11	12:20 16:4 21:10
42:24	jad 32:15,22	46:5 47:18 62:25	21:13 23:1,13
interpret 27:25	january 1:17 13:4	80:11 83:15,20	28:22 29:5 30:20
interpreted 96:14	26:11 27:20 28:5	106:23	31:21 32:10,18
97:5	32:8 34:20,21	judges 46:7	33:15 35:15,22
interpreting 28:3	35:10	judicial 117:3	36:1,19 37:8
interruption 40:22	jdial 3:10	july 94:3	41:20 49:13 51:3
41:17	job 62:1 80:6,6	june 32:8	59:8,22 60:19
interstate 77:24	94:24	juries 5:10	67:18 71:24 75:8
99:19 101:8	, . .		75:16 79:20 85:4
			75.10 77.20 05.4

[know - lot] Page 15

96.0 01.0 21	laude 94:19	lothomaia 91.21	00.21 01.2 16 19
86:9 91:9,21 93:24 94:25	law 2:5 16:5 18:11	lethargic 81:21 level 57:10 104:25	90:21 91:2,16,18 91:23 107:23
106:19 112:8	18:15 22:18 44:4	levels 54:14	108:8,14 112:5
114:8	63:25 79:21 85:3	lexington 42:7,9	live 14:20,23 36:10
knowledge 77:14	85:5 89:11 92:9	43:5,18 44:3	37:18 44:22 51:16
79:18 113:19	92:21 102:14,18	liability 112:3	61:23 75:2 91:1
known 20:15	103:15 106:10,20	liable 65:1,4	lived 51:2,16
53:15,19 54:5	lawnmower 71:15	102:16 112:17	lives 25:9 69:18
69:25 70:1	lawyer 85:5	life 49:15,19,22	76:1 80:21 107:17
knows 20:3 42:4	lawyering 79:1	58:19 75:7 93:12	living 60:24
99:22	lawyers 43:7,23	light 39:18 99:20	llc 1:7,9 2:5 43:24
l	44:5 78:20 83:21	lights 100:12,13	44:1
labeled 55:4	83:25 85:13 86:3	111:17,20	llp 2:18 3:13
lacy 6:4 10:19,19	102:19 113:3	liked 15:4	load 88:6,12,14,16
12:3 14:19 25:21	lead 80:6	limine 47:19 62:7	lobe 28:10 52:4
26:2,16,25 29:13	leading 75:11	62:20,21 66:8,14	55:11
29:21 35:12 36:7	leaking 74:4	66:25 67:13	located 34:4 58:4
95:17	learn 114:13	limits 42:12,20	location 60:14,16
ladies 48:4,16,21	learned 61:13	43:10,11,19	logistics 1:10 2:16
50:23 52:23 57:15	91:15	line 60:11 76:10	44:2 70:12 107:15
58:17 64:16 65:17	leasing 1:8 3:19	79:17	long 42:21 45:3,5
65:21 68:16,20	43:25 103:10	link 35:5,7 96:19	63:13 87:22 89:10
78:6 82:14 106:1	leave 102:20	97:9	101:8
107:1 112:23	leaves 26:9	links 36:8	longer 50:9,20
107:1 112:23	leaving 87:15	list 35:3	look 15:11,12
	led 105:23	listed 42:7	17:22 26:16 34:4
lady 98:16 106:17 108:10	lee 1:20 116:16	listen 51:8 73:3	43:9 49:2,4,6,17
	117:22	78:23 86:1 87:2	57:19 64:7,11
lake 1:9,9 3:19,19	left 28:9 51:13	88:24 97:13,21,22	looked 5:15 21:17
42:17 44:1,1	52:4 55:11,16	listening 109:19	23:24 24:12 49:19
103:11	60:4 65:19,21	lists 41:25	71:4
lane 71:1,2,3,9	66:4 71:1,3,9	litany 35:1	looking 18:14 21:5
99:24 100:15	72:20 73:17 74:22	literally 6:7 12:14	21:6,8 75:16
large 11:8 60:24	76:25 77:8 82:20	22:8 90:3 96:10	89:25 90:9
61:9,22 71:17	99:24 100:15	literature 15:12	lookout 56:13
largest 78:9	legal 17:21 18:19	32:18,20	looks 57:20
lastly 79:23	18:21 104:17	litigation 90:12	lost 69:18
late 17:12 22:20	117:11,11,12,15	117:7,18	lot 34:11 38:17
23:6 30:7 94:6	117:17	litsup 118:10	69:13 90:18 94:25
112:25	legitimate 10:3	little 59:2,12 64:12	100:2
lately 89:20 90:14	10.3	71:13,18 72:8,12	100.2
		71.13,10 72.0,12	

[louisiana - morning]

Page 16

louisiana 59:6	22:8 30:4,5,7	58:7 69:21 72:24	minute 25:11 90:3
lounge 59:22 88:3	meaningful	74:15 77:19 78:20	110:14
88:20	104:16	79:3 80:20,25	minutes 24:17
lounges 88:20	means 36:18 44:24	81:8,15,19 82:2	40:19 45:5 70:8
lounging 88:10	114:6	member 103:20	75:11,15 81:11
loving 93:3	media 98:22	members 77:22	106:24 107:5
lower 51:13	113:25	92:19 103:14	108:1 109:16
ludicrous 32:25	medical 30:23	114:20	mirror 72:4
lying 72:22	31:4,11 32:1 41:6	memorial 77:3,12	miserable 98:17
m	52:25 53:15 54:2	memory 49:10	mislead 80:8
	56:7,8 57:17	53:23 81:16	missile 77:24
mail 10:6 35:19	69:25 78:25 97:13	mental 52:20	mississippi 1:7 5:4
mails 26:7	meet 51:5 69:9,12	mention 45:12	43:23 59:1,4,7
main 2:5	megan 1:3 25:24	mentioned 45:12	60:4 61:25 64:19
majority 15:25	27:3,9 28:9 30:17	47:9,9 92:23 99:6	64:25 65:1,4
104:20	32:23 33:3 48:17	108:2 109:17,18	79:11
making 18:19 27:7	48:23,24 49:1,18	messages 59:11	mistrial 66:24
30:17 32:11 36:8	49:18,23 50:2,4,20	met 96:24	modify 35:11,13
36:9 59:9,10	50:25 51:2,5,12,16	mhostetter 3:17	35:21
78:18 85:7 99:15	51:18 52:2,19	michael 3:14	moment 6:1 24:18
mammoth 72:3	53:5,20,22 54:7,12	michigan 26:6	48:18 72:2 100:10
man 63:2,6 79:18	54:24 55:12,15,24	mid 26:23 54:19	momentarily 71:8
mangled 49:14 74:18	56:4,11,14,21 57:5	middle 37:9 81:6	moments 72:19
	57:9,14,19,21,23	midnight 108:17	98:20
marcovitch 3:6 marine 42:25	57:25 58:13,18,22	mild 8:14 11:12	monarch 3:22
mark 3:20	65:4,20 67:6 69:8	27:5 29:22 34:13	money 65:3 86:12
marker 24:5 70:9	69:15 70:3,5	34:14 52:3 55:1	86:13,17
	71:24 72:10,18,20	95:23 96:18	month 25:4 76:16
75:8 108:13 markers 54:6	72:25 73:5,8,10,15	mile 24:5 58:10	months 31:1
massive 71:8	74:6,9,16,21,24	60:11,16 70:9	moody 53:24 82:3
73:22	75:1 77:2,6,9,11	75:8 107:22	morgan 65:19
material 77:10	77:13 78:4,7	108:13,15 111:4	72:18
matt 107:8	80:21 81:4,14,25	111:20	morgan's 72:22,25
matter 12:7 55:9,9	82:3,5,6,9 83:13	miles 52:11 65:9	morning 5:1,19
matthew 2:17	85:14 93:18 96:20	65:13 70:21 76:3	26:15 30:2 44:22
mbarber 3:25	96:24,25,25 97:8	109:18	50:10,11 51:25
mbarr 2:20	97:17 105:19,20	miller 3:13	60:10 64:21 65:7
mcdaniel 65:19	megan's 50:25	million 79:17	69:12,16 70:17
77:6	51:6 52:1,14 53:1	mind 56:19 69:21	73:12 75:1,2,8
mean 9:4 10:8	53:10,21 54:18	97:7	76:2,25 77:8,12,25
13:7 18:13 19:12	55:3,21 56:17		83:5 88:2 115:1
13.7 10.13 17.12			

[mother - okay] Page 17

100.01	50.00.00.0	27 0 20 2 41 0	• 65.10
mother 103:21	nap 59:20 60:3	27:8 39:2 41:9	nursing 65:10
motion 5:13 6:10	napping 88:9	43:25 46:23 51:4	71:6 91:10 94:8
17:3,8,9,10,13	naps 87:20 88:5	89:20 103:10	94:12
20:21 31:7,23	nation's 78:9	news 38:19	0
39:9 40:17 41:3	national 42:25,25	nice 88:20	o.c.g.a. 117:13
41:12 45:14 47:5	ne 2:19 3:15,23	night 22:9 38:20	oath 84:6,9 95:14
47:19 62:7,20,21	near 52:8	39:10 45:9,9 50:3	113:16,17
66:8,14,25 67:13	necessarily 47:6	59:6 60:3 81:5,6	obey 61:15
motivated 17:12	necessary 46:10	90:12 95:9,20	object 56:24 67:25
motor 78:9	need 28:14 33:18	96:4,10,11	objection 45:16
motors 18:16	38:17 56:13 95:3	nightmares 50:5	62:6 63:24 66:7
mountain 1:8,9	111:7	81:6	68:5 78:11
3:19,19 42:17	needed 31:10 60:2	nine 58:25 59:19	objections 41:19
44:1,1 103:11	needs 99:2	60:1	objective 30:12
move 57:2 66:7,23	negligence 57:24	nonchalantly 33:3	observed 91:20
100:15 101:16	65:2 80:20	normal 49:4 57:20	obviously 12:11
113:1	neurologist 9:19	92:4	31:25 39:17 43:22
moved 56:25	53:21	north 3:14	occasionally 87:20
movies 76:4	neuropsych 95:24	notaries 118:5,9	87:21
moving 5:17 71:21	neuropsychologist	notarized 118:9	occasions 85:12
100:7,8	7:4 9:18 25:22,23	note 50:22,25	95:18
mri 11:25 12:5,6	55:25 95:13	52:23	occupants 65:15
12:16,22,24 16:23	neuroradiologist	noted 40:10	91:12 109:2,5
26:2,17,20 27:12	34:4,7 96:13	notes 11:16 81:14	occurred 20:11,20
33:22,22,23 34:3	neuroradiology	97:23	25:25 39:16 55:11
35:14 51:15 53:19	53:13	notice 8:25 9:12	58:24 70:25 71:1
53:21 54:1,11	never 9:5,8 10:22	23:8,12 31:22	75:20
multi 38:23	11:15 19:13 21:15	33:15,17 40:4	occurring 9:13
music 21:13	21:16 27:24 63:19	noticed 32:4	102:2
n	74:17 76:8 85:17	notifying 24:24	ocga 118:12
n 2:11 4:1	85:19,19,19 86:7,7	november 8:1	october 6:12,13
nall 3:13	86:8,19,20 89:21	number 41:24	7:6,10
nallmiller.com	91:12,13 95:9,18	54:22,22	odd 79:17
3:17	96:24,24,25 97:7	numbers 46:12	odor 74:3
name 27:24 28:14	new 1:8 5:23 9:11	104:14	offended 18:13
107:8	10:4,6,10,18 11:15	nurse 8:9 9:6,7,11	offense 101:15
named 19:19	11:21 14:14 15:10	11:21 36:23 81:18	offered 94:24
42:17,20 58:3	15:17 16:15 17:6	94:25	113:9
62:2 107:18	17:17 19:9,10	nurses 69:11 77:1	office 34:1
names 104:14	20:1 21:6,6,17,19	77:5	okay 41:23 44:12
107.17	22:2,5,16 26:2		45:20 46:11 53:7
			+3.20 40.11 33.7

[okay - perform] Page 18

64:13 68:8 109:6	29:18 35:12,13,22	n	passing 99:22
110:18 111:5,22	35:25,25 37:2,17	p	path 16:9 45:19
olds 78:8	40:3,6 96:9	p 1:16 56:15 57:3	46:9
once 12:23 50:21	opportunistic	p.m. 6:2,4 60:5	patients 29:5
51:2,17,19,19 69:9	56:21	115:4	54:15 56:1
77:20 102:20	opportunity 9:14	pace 113:1	patrol 79:10
ones 43:16 77:7	9:17 13:23,25	page 4:2 25:17,17	100:11 101:2
109:25	15:9,11,19 16:18	26:25 44:10	pay 60:24 86:17
ongoing 97:17	19:6 21:22,23	pages 116:8	86:18,18 105:7,9
onset 57:7	22:7 26:7,10 37:4	paid 6:25 11:23	105:11
open 48:3 64:15	38:17	60:22 76:23	paying 60:17
68:12 76:18	opposed 85:5	pain 9:8 10:17	107:6
114:22	opposite 82:5	55:23 56:18,18,19	pays 105:3
opening 4:3,4,5	oppressive 99:10	66:15 67:2,4 68:7	pc 3:21
22:11 44:21,25	option 22:15,24	73:15 80:22	peachtree 2:19 3:7
45:10 46:21 47:12	order 6:13 16:19	palm 98:11	3:14,15,23
47:14 48:6,10	23:5,10 30:6,9	panel 40:20	pedal 72:1
56:25 83:24	31:24 37:16 42:21	panics 73:15	pediatric 36:23
operating 27:11	46:1 113:22	paragraph 30:9	81:18
59:2 77:24	ordered 26:14	paralegal 3:6	pembroke 1:19
operations 103:25	53:21	parent 80:2	pending 17:13
104:4,22	orthopaedic 80:23	parking 69:13	41:9 67:13
opine 34:25 40:2	osteen 2:12 44:4	parnell 2:18	penny 86:14
opines 11:11 96:13	outcome 42:1 43:3	part 16:14 68:3	people 22:24
opining 16:12 21:9	outdoor 82:11	86:24 103:13,22 104:20 107:4	38:22 45:18 46:8
34:25 35:4 96:23	outline 112:5		49:17,23 61:22
opinion 8:10,16,18	outside 5:7 44:19	participation 16:3 particular 97:10	68:18 77:7 84:8
12:16 19:16 21:19	49:4,24 62:11	parties 13:6 37:16	91:9,20,21 103:12
28:5,7 32:18	66:12 72:24 105:6	38:23 43:21 85:9	103:13 111:22
36:25 37:1 46:7	105:9 114:14	112:10 113:21	people's 92:22
46:23 75:22 89:19	outward 50:19	116:10,10 117:7	perceive 101:7
89:20,22 95:25	55:12	117:17 118:13,14	perceived 67:17
opinions 6:6 8:2	overlooked 63:14	partly 101:20	68:1
8:10,12 9:15 10:7	63:17	party 101.20 parts 93:25	percent 101:4
10:9 11:18 13:15	overnight 64:8	party 5:17 42:20	percentage 102:21
14:13,14 15:1,2,14	overruling 68:5	113:20 117:7,15	102:24
15:17,20,24,25	overworked 110:4	117:18 118:13	perception 100:17
16:1 17:5,13,18,18	owned 71:20	pass 9:22	100:21
19:1,2,9 21:24,25	ownership 104:19	pass 9.22 passed 99:24	percolating 41:5,8
22:16,20,21,22	owns 104:20	passenger 48:23	perform 8:8 9:10
23:9 25:6,21 27:8		52:12 66:3,4	36:22 105:8
		J2.12 00.J,T	

[performed - proceed]

Page 19

	I	T	I
performed 11:25	pick 22:10	pledged 79:15	prejudiced 15:6
12:5 26:3 53:18	picture 49:3,3,6,8	plenty 87:14,22	15:18 17:20,20
performing 11:20	49:13,14 55:3	88:17,18	18:6,14,22 22:1
perilous 45:19	65:15 73:5 90:10	point 20:3,9,25	40:10
periods 87:23,24	pictures 98:21,21	22:18 26:19 30:8	prejudices 37:23
permanency 55:20	piece 45:22 54:2	30:24 31:3 33:12	prejudicial 30:7
permanent 77:19	98:24	41:7 60:8 67:12	66:25
78:15 83:2	pills 81:4	84:19 88:11	prepare 14:1 32:6
permanently	pittman 2:4 66:2	106:14 109:1	37:12,12
54:10	placards 104:15	pointed 20:13 72:6	prepared 13:19
perpetrated 83:1	place 7:25 16:20	108:21	33:19
person 50:1 51:3	23:5,10 40:24	policies 61:15 62:4	preparing 7:17
57:21 103:16	69:9,10 105:3	63:2,19 104:2	present 5:6 97:14
personal 45:21	107:20	policy 42:12 43:4	presentation
personality 77:11	placed 40:4	43:19	109:20
personally 98:5	places 98:12	pooler 107:16	presented 55:19
peterson 46:6	plaintiff 1:4 2:3	popped 24:8	114:5
phone 21:20,21	5:24 38:6 39:4	portions 97:14	preserve 114:2,21
24:1,11,14,23,23	43:22 56:22 83:11	position 36:21	pretrial 20:14
25:2,3 29:3 31:6	plaintiff's 43:22	39:8 85:18 90:11	41:21 42:21 67:4
32:1,11 41:8	68:17 86:3	108:5	prevented 94:23
46:24 59:9,9,21,22	plaintiffs 10:5	positions 33:1	previously 9:5
75:9,17,21 76:13	12:7,10 22:14	positive 54:9	23:12
76:16,19,24 87:21	33:12 35:9 38:12	possible 11:19	prior 59:12 62:19
89:15 99:13,14,15	48:13 67:5 101:19	37:12	82:1 85:25 87:5
114:8	101:20 102:3,13	post 11:5,14 95:19	88:18 93:2,10
phones 20:10	103:1,1,2 106:3	posted 98:22	prison 101:13
114:10	planning 87:6	posttraumatic	proactive 33:16
photograph 48:22	play 112:9	52:15	probably 65:13
60:14	played 52:13	potential 14:1	79:23 106:15
photographs	75:23	30:14 33:18	problem 16:20,21
19:24 89:23	playing 75:25	pound 52:10 58:10	16:22 18:4 93:9
photos 20:10,17	82:11	71:10 76:3	97:4,10
physical 16:12	plaza 2:18 3:22	practice 54:21	problems 11:19
51:12,14 55:15,21	pleading 101:14	pre 57:21 68:2	16:14,15 35:1,2,6
55:22 56:19	please 26:9 35:15	preceding 24:18	36:9 46:1 53:23
physically 80:21	48:15 50:23 69:2	preeminent 53:12	55:23 93:6 94:22
physician 10:24	74:25 86:14 97:6	preexisting 93:9	96:20,23 97:10,19
11:24	114:11,24	preferred 39:17	proceed 5:16
physicians 6:17	pleasure 98:20	prejudice 14:4,5	22:15 40:18 44:21
53:1		32:11 57:3	45:9 48:13,19

[proceed - reconstruction]

Page 20

57:1 69:6 83:17	provided 6:7	question 7:21 10:5	real 9:21 51:11
proceeding 118:10	11:17 12:6,10,11	10:20 17:19 18:7	79:4
proceedings 1:15	12:13 79:9 99:2	80:3 85:22 86:10	reality 51:4
40:23 41:18 44:18	105:12	93:1 111:18,24	really 19:12 21:10
48:2 62:10 64:14	provides 105:4	questions 32:17	49:5 53:6 107:3,8
66:11 68:11 115:3	providing 6:6	34:24 106:17	107:24 109:11
process 64:18	16:24 105:10	116:7 118:6	rear 48:25 50:7
produced 118:4,8	proximate 110:13	quick 71:23	52:13 64:22 65:19
production 118:4	ptsd 11:4 52:15	quickly 100:18	65:20 66:4 70:21
118:9,9	70:1,5 81:25	102:9	71:12,18,19 72:8
productive 93:3	public 46:2	quite 19:13	72:13,20 73:7
profession 69:25	publish 114:22	quote 113:17	rearview 72:4
professional 60:22	puerto 98:11	quoted 20:20	reason 20:13
61:1,5 111:19	punished 101:13	r	90:16 106:5
program 94:12	punitive 62:12,15	r 2:11 116:1	reasonable 80:14
programs 114:1	63:6,7 64:1,11	races 73:19 74:15	111:11
prohibited 117:13	110:2	raise 69:3	reasonably 110:19
118:12	pure 78:17	raised 46:17	110:21 111:9,18
project 9:3	purpose 40:14	ramifications	111:24,25
projection 10:12	90:15	13:22	reasons 25:8
promise 107:4	pursuant 117:3	randy 19:19 23:22	rebecca 1:3
pronounced 77:15	pursuing 77:1	25:9	rebut 14:9 15:8
proof 26:20 54:9	82:22	range 54:19	27:25
56:8 78:25 80:11	push 26:10	rapidly 70:20	rebuttal 14:2 19:7
80:15	pushed 58:14	rate 7:1	27:25 28:15 37:13
proper 22:19	put 12:1 14:6 16:9	rates 117:17	recall 15:23 31:5
properly 85:4	16:19 24:4,7,15	raw 51:10	38:2
102:9	39:10 49:15 68:13	reaching 74:12	recalled 91:23
prospect 57:11	75:7 84:24,25	react 37:16 100:22	recalls 12:8 90:21
protective 31:24	puts 9:9	100:22 101:1,6,7	receive 5:23,24
protests 25:20	putting 64:9 83:5	reacting 102:8	received 6:1,3,5
prove 27:2 62:25	q	read 12:24 34:8	8:22 11:10 25:18
63:5 67:6 80:13	qualification 8:9	41:20 48:15 49:2	28:6 29:10,11
80:18 83:23	qualifications	50:24 84:4 96:14	90:10
proved 90:1	41:24	reading 12:23	receives 118:13
proven 90:7,13	qualified 61:19	readings 34:23	receiving 59:10,10
proves 54:11	96:17	reads 12:6 34:9	recognize 10:5
provide 12:22	qualify 43:12,14	ready 5:8,11 22:10	recollection 91:8
35:7 106:8 117:11	43:21	59:2,16,18 87:10	91:17
117:15	quash 31:23	87:11 88:2	reconstruction
			58:6

[record - ridden] Page 21

record 5:5,6 14:5	reliable 9:21	reports 5:23 6:12	resting 88:9 89:1
14:16 33:14 35:9	reliance 41:4	17:11 36:14 37:6	result 11:24
35:16 40:25 41:14	relied 7:16 26:2	118:14	101:14 116:11
69:4	relief 23:2,15	represent 107:10	resulting 10:17
records 11:2 20:4	relive 51:21	107:11,11,12,13	58:11 82:23
20:4,6,22 59:9,23	rely 35:20 39:7	116:8	retained 6:24
75:9 96:7 99:14	relying 18:10	representation	18:24 28:21
99:14	89:16	43:7	retention 1:9 3:19
recover 92:21 94:7	remaining 42:12	representative	42:17 44:2
recoverable 16:5,7	43:19 109:16	117:11	retiring 61:21
92:9,21	remains 42:6	represents 118:4,7	retraumatize 51:7
recovered 94:3	remedy 22:19	request 18:20	return 87:7 94:4
red 70:15 111:17	remember 12:9,22	23:24 118:10,14	returned 77:5,7
111:20	34:6,20 57:22	required 113:13	87:6
reduced 116:7	76:18 78:23 80:17	requires 42:22	revealed 8:3 11:15
reentered 94:11	91:3,4,5	79:21 106:21	revenues 79:13
reference 68:17	remembers 91:2	research 39:13	reversals 19:12
referral 117:6,16	remorseful 101:11	114:7	review 21:17
referred 40:8	101:12	reserve 63:12	reviewing 22:6
53:16	removed 112:2	resolutions 42:4	revise 40:3
referring 42:24	render 83:11	resolve 105:24	revised 10:7,10
reflect 5:5 69:4	113:20	resolved 92:23	17:6 29:8 40:5
reflexes 71:23	renowned 55:24	109:4,4	rhill 3:11
refusal 79:2	reopened 51:10	respect 6:9,15	richard 3:5
regard 68:6,7 69:1	reorient 47:16	14:12 15:14 89:3	richard's 107:22
89:12 108:20	repeats 81:10	respond 33:11,18	richards 1:3 5:3
regrets 69:15	report 6:3,5 7:12	response 33:10	7:11,14 8:7 9:4
regular 116:10	7:12,21 8:10,15,21	35:17,17,23 69:5	10:12,22 11:3,4,6
regulations 89:9	8:22 10:6,23	responses 28:22	11:11,19 16:1
117:3	11:11 12:17,23,24	responsibility	35:1,6 65:20 77:6
related 54:17	19:18 20:1 21:1,5	61:7,10 77:18	85:10,14,18,24
86:16 105:13	25:17,18 26:25,25	80:5 109:4 111:12	86:9 90:20 91:20
118:10	27:21 28:8,8 29:1	112:13	91:22,24 92:25
relates 111:6	29:8 31:15,20	responsible 61:17	93:2 94:3 96:20
relationally 82:2	33:22 35:14	101:21 102:12	96:24,25 97:1,8
relationship	reporter 117:1,4,6	108:23 110:17	105:19,21 106:4
108:11 118:12	117:6,10,16 118:6	rest 63:20 72:23	106:13 112:18
relationships	118:8	87:15,25 88:5,18	richland 59:4
49:11	reporter's 117:6	88:18,21 93:24	rico 98:11
release 17:1	reporting 53:23	rested 87:17 88:13	ridden 59:5
	117:3,5,12,15,16	88:19 89:2	

[right - services] Page 22

right 5:1 13:16	run 104:3 105:15	93:25	50:1,2,4,5 55:5
			' ' '
23:18 29:7 34:17	running 74:21	scales 54:25 80:16	57:16 76:20 90:25
39:6 41:11 44:10	runs 105:14	scathing 46:7	91:6 96:22 97:8
44:12 45:24 46:4	ruptured 74:4	scene 48:23 68:18	101:11 105:25
48:4,12,25 65:18	rush 74:16	73:13 90:18,21	106:15 113:23,24
65:20,23 66:3	russian 75:25	91:17,21	seeing 49:7 91:6
68:9,25 69:4,6	S	schedule 31:19	seen 11:25 19:13
71:2,6,25 78:18	s 56:15,16	scheduled 32:8	24:8 37:21 38:13
82:17,18,20	sad 98:16	scheduling 16:19	39:14 51:15 72:3
100:15 111:1,11	safe 102:9	23:5,10 30:6,9	74:17 96:24 97:7
112:21,23	safely 70:14	37:15 42:21	sees 29:5 35:5
rigs 72:10	safety 70.14 safety 78:1 82:25	school 94:4,11	54:14,20 73:10
risk 1:9 3:19 9:10		98:19	100:9
42:17 44:1 57:6,9	samaritan 101:3	scientific 57:8	seize 76:12
risks 9:7	sand 54:9	scope 64:20	seldom 82:9
rmarcovitch 3:11	sass 5:25 6:22 7:9	scott 53:14	selected 44:15
ro 1:6	10:1 12:4 14:17	screams 73:20	86:2
road 3:7,23 50:6	25:22 26:1,15	74:24	selection 5:16
60:18 61:9,23	27:1 28:21,25	screen 45:21	64:18
72:2,15 73:23,25	29:3,5,21 35:12	scrubs 69:16	self 15:18 50:9
75:6 82:21 100:20	36:6 55:25 56:1,4	sea 111:17,19	83:8
robert 1:11 2:4,16	sat 28:17 31:17	search 80:7	semester 94:9,13
3:6 44:7 107:17	satisfied 14:15,17	searches 114:8,9	94:15,15
role 52:14 112:9	14:19 16:7 36:10	seasoned 61:20	semesters 94:17
roll 59:17	savannah 24:6	seat 48:25 58:14	sense 109:23
rolled 72:15	59:3 60:13 65:12	65:18,19,20 72:20	sent 5:9 10:6 25:18
roof 71:13	65:18 69:14 77:3	seats 47:16	26:7,13,15,24
roommate 81:22	saw 51:22 67:17	second 6:1 51:18	29:11
rose 1:16 80:11	70:13 73:9 91:11	79:12 108:9	separate 104:12
roulette 76:1	91:12 96:19	109:14,22 110:11	104:24
route 24:6	100:12,13,14,14	110:16,19 112:3	series 34:24
rpr 1:20 116:16	100:22,25 101:6	secondly 56:20	serious 54:23 57:7
117:22	saying 26:8 33:8	seconds 70:19	57:10 58:6,15
rudimentary	36:6,7 38:18 43:2	110:23	83:1 95:4 106:4
32:20	67:19 80:18 85:14	secure 79:17	seriously 105:21
ruled 7:18,20,21	97:15,24 98:1,2	secureworks	serve 14:2 40:14
62:8,14	102:4,8	23:23 75:12	service 71:20
rules 7:7 39:11	says 8:13,23 9:5	see 5:12 31:14	services 8:8 9:11
89:8 117:3	19:25 21:4,10	34:5 37:7,20	11:20 35:21 36:22
ruling 41:3 47:3	23:6 34:12 35:3	44:16 45:25 49:3	105:5,8,12 117:5
62:15 63:12,13	54:21 55:10,16	49:8,13,14,17,23	117:12,15 118:13
02.13 03.12,13	59:24 84:12,18	77.0,13,14,17,23	117.12,13 110.13
L	1	·	

[serving - stand] Page 23

conving 7:4 101:12	shred 85:15	skilled 61:20	sound 10:3
serving 7:4 101:13 set 70:22	shreveport 59:6	skilled 61.20 skull 52:7	sounded 71:10
seven 58:15 76:23	87:16,19	skui 32.7 sky 70:17	source 117:6
76:25 77:4	side 65:21,23	slammed 52:6	south 1:18 2:5
severe 55:2	74:20	72:12	southern 65:10
severity 54:15,19	sift 78:19	slamming 52:11	91:15
sexting 20:18	sight 60:11 73:9	71:19	
shadow 54:12	111:21	,	spare 51:20 speak 22:23 29:2
shaken 32:24		sleep 50:3 59:3,7 81:5 88:5,24	38:1
shaver 53:22	sign 81:24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
shearing 26:18	signature 116:15	sleeper 88:20	speaking 92:25
28:9 33:14 54:6		sleeping 81:4 sleeps 81:23	speaks 82:16 96:12
55:8,10 71:13	signed 6:13 significant 27:14	sleepy 60:19 110:4	special 53:18
sheet 72:25	1 0	10	_
shell 83:7	27:16 30:12,14,14 30:25 38:16 52:14	slept 59:11 87:19 slow 81:21 100:5	specialist 56:1 specific 46:12 80:9
	56:5 82:15		118:12
shepherd's 71:20		slowing 70:23	specifically 7:2,20
shooting 78:21 shop 59:18	significantly 11:13 signs 81:12	smartphone 75:5 smashed 49:15	23:6 28:4 35:12
shorter 107:5	similar 16:2	72:16	40:9 42:23
shortly 65:24	simple 25:16		
shortly 03.24 shot 37:6	78:17	smell 73:11,11,12 73:14	specifics 38:2 speculate 89:14
shoulder 51:13	simply 17:16 19:8	smelled 51:22	speculation
55:22 72:7,14	86:23 92:5 93:19	smelle	106:12
73:17	93:23 97:2,5	smith 102:18	speed 54:16 68:4
shoved 73:24	single 53:9 56:10	smoothly 50:14	70:21 71:12
show 32:9,13	79:14	sneak 56:14	speeding 62:5 89:6
48:22 64:10 67:8	sir 6:18,20 31:8	social 98:22	99:11
75:13 79:5,22	41:1 42:2 45:15	solely 63:13 114:4	spelled 25:16
89:3 90:20 98:21	46:25 48:20 68:15	solutions 117:11	spells 81:3
99:14 105:13	sit 33:16 51:7	117:11,12,15,17	spend 109:15
111:23 113:7	sitting 36:4 58:9	somatic 7:19 8:5	spent 108:3
showed 20:22	71:6 72:19	8:18 9:23	spine 51:14
59:17	situation 23:8	somebody 46:13	spirit 51:20
showing 32:23	56:23 110:22,25	99:24	spoke 108:1
97:18	six 108:16,19	son 32:15 103:20	sports 54:17
shown 20:19 79:8	109:18 110:24	soon 25:18 26:12	sr 32:9
92:12	111:4	26:22 27:22 28:24	stains 54:4
shows 24:4 26:18	skeptical 64:12	29:4,11	stand 17:15 22:12
32:15 34:13 82:19	skidded 73:23	sophisticated 54:2	37:5 78:24 84:5
94:22 96:15,16 99:9	skill 113:18	sort 97:4 107:23	106:11 112:11

[start - take] Page 24

start 6:8 46:2,12	stopped 24:21	sue 56:22	sure 23:3 45:2
83:5 84:3	50:13 70:10,18	sued 101:18 102:3	47:17 60:19 61:11
started 5:2 7:9	71:21 75:21	103:1	surely 72:11 89:24
21:5,17 89:2	111:22	suffer 27:9 56:18	surfaced 41:10
starting 5:22	stops 104:1	96:17	surfacing 39:21
12:15	storm 25:12	suffered 7:22	surprisingly 96:15
state 1:2 5:10 7:25	story 58:15 86:24	32:24 51:12 52:5	survived 69:24
14:15 79:10	86:24 93:24,25,25	54:7,12 55:12	survivor's 70:1
100:11 101:2	straight 78:21	67:18 68:19 85:10	susceptibility 28:1
116:3	strapped 58:14	90:23 92:11 93:8	53:19 54:1
stated 7:15 18:22	streaming 21:12	95:16	susceptible 28:11
116:7	street 1:18 2:5,12	suffering 11:12	suspected 27:2
statement 4:3,4,5	2:19 3:15 107:16	16:1,15 66:16	suspended 115:3
31:10 41:21 42:15	stress 52:15	67:4 68:7 69:19	suspicion 56:16
84:1	stretch 76:2	82:23 92:18,18	suspicious 28:11
statements 22:11	strict 89:8	suffers 7:18 8:13	56:17
44:21 45:10 47:14	strike 22:21 23:9	8:23	sustaining 68:6
48:6,10 83:24	23:13 66:8	sufficient 42:16	sustains 57:11
113:6	strong 74:3	suggesting 56:11	suv 49:14 52:12
states 117:4	struck 58:9	suite 2:6 3:8,15,22	71:18 72:8,12,23
statesboro 69:10	student 93:4 94:20	summer 19:21	73:18 74:8
72:17 76:25 77:5	94:24	suntrust 2:18	sweat 81:7
stating 117:5	students 65:11	superior 1:1	sweat 31.7 swi 53:25 54:1,11
status 15:4,5	71:6 91:11,14,15	supplement 28:22	sworn 44:15 113:9
stay 63:11 81:15	studies 57:8	29:1 35:25	symptom 7:19,22
stayed 59:19,20	study 53:13	supplemental 17:5	8:5,18 9:23
staying 60:6	subject 7:6,7 12:7	17:6 20:21 25:21	symptoms 30:17
steered 71:25	submit 80:4 98:7	supplemented	30:18 81:12
step 27:5 34:18	submitted 96:7	28:24 36:15	syndrome 11:5,14
stepped 72:1	118:6,7	supplied 88:4	32:25 95:11,19
stevens 62:2 63:3	subpoenaed 23:25	supplies 69:12	system 24:3
stomps 79:19	subsequent 102:17	support 39:7 64:1	t
103:24 104:1,5	subsidiary 80:2	suppose 10:24	
105:11,14,15,23	substantially 39:4	12:11 22:14,24	t 116:1,1
stone 6:6 19:19	substantiated	38:13	taillights 70:15
20:25 21:15,24	32:19	supposed 61:4	take 13:7,23 15:9
22:6 23:22 25:9,9	successful 94:24	83:25	23:19 26:9,11,16
stone's 25:6	98:19	supposedly 11:24	31:11,13 34:19,20
stop 31:7 52:13	suddenly 24:19	38:10 39:3	37:10,11 45:19
70:14 100:3,4	70:25	supreme 22:23	47:10 56:23 60:2
102:10 111:13,21		46:6	61:8 78:8 81:4
,			84:6 88:5,14,16

[take - told] Page 25

04.5.07.2.21	75 10 77 0 70 7	07.0.12.112.0	40.00.45.16.50.17
94:5 97:3,21	75:18 77:9 78:7	97:9,13 113:9	42:23 45:16 58:17
105:20 106:4,23	80:11 81:23 82:3	testing 7:10,13 8:3	80:16 105:19
107:4 114:10	83:20 85:21 86:23	8:7 25:23 95:15	109:19 111:7,15
taken 9:2 11:1	87:24 91:1 103:25	texas 62:3	thinks 73:16,19
13:2,3,9,14 26:20	104:5 107:9,10	text 20:24 59:10	84:12
84:9 85:18 94:5	108:7 109:19	90:10	third 79:15
109:4 112:13	telling 15:3 47:4	texting 22:4 24:10	thought 25:10
113:16 116:7	58:19 60:21 73:3	87:21 89:13,22	44:20 100:14,16
takes 32:16 81:1	102:7,10	90:8 99:13 110:4	three 17:10 25:17
94:25	tellingly 79:23	texts 19:23 20:10	29:20 52:2 59:12
talk 5:25 32:5	telltale 81:24	20:16 89:24	66:1,4 71:15
46:19,23 47:13	tempest 28:19	thackston 2:18	thrown 39:23
63:17 67:3,25	33:5 38:8	thank 5:18,20	thrust 58:1
107:9 112:5	ten 106:24 107:4	18:12 23:17,18	tiffany 118:1,4,6
talked 67:16 86:25	tender 117:4	39:5 40:21 41:2	118:11
talking 37:17 41:6	term 80:15	41:15,16 48:14	time 5:8 6:3,5,7
46:12 103:18	terminal 59:1,15	69:7 83:14,15,16	11:10 13:6,16
talks 9:5,7	88:1	83:18 106:22	19:10,18 20:25
tallahassee 58:5	terms 41:23 47:19	112:19,21 115:1	24:2,11,19 26:19
tanker 71:7,19,20	terrible 51:21	thanks 112:19	31:10 32:3,5 34:3
72:8,13 73:25	55:13 77:11	theory 39:2 41:25	42:21 46:16 51:18
100:13,14	terrifically 58:8	90:5,14	59:17 61:19 69:21
tanks 74:4	test 9:22 47:20	thereto 116:7	82:13 87:22,23,24
taping 45:20	tested 55:24 56:4	thick 73:12	88:8,11,25 89:4,25
tardy 69:15,17	76:14 95:13,24	thing 16:22 19:14	94:7,11 95:8
taste 73:14	testified 6:22 7:3	24:22 33:2,4	97:20,20 99:19
tasted 51:24	8:19 11:2 14:18	45:12 50:17 52:23	101:8 108:2,3
tayloe 1:11 2:16	53:11 89:16 91:22	57:16 80:10 81:11	109:17 111:15,15
44:8 107:17	95:14 96:15 100:9	83:23 100:18	112:19 117:4
108:12,22 109:10	101:3	112:1 114:16	118:13
110:3 111:1	testifies 55:6	things 22:9 49:25	times 61:1 72:15
112:12,16	testify 11:6 53:4	51:21,22,23,23,24	82:3
tbi 55:1	54:18 56:10 71:3	53:7 63:9 82:9	timing 16:23,24
teapot 28:19 33:5	75:13 81:1,9,20	86:25 112:17	17:1
38:9	84:6 106:14,15	113:1,23	tiny 52:11
technically 108:4	testifying 84:9	think 9:16 15:17	tired 82:7
television 113:25	testimony 7:2 15:8	16:4 17:17 21:7	today 25:10,13
tell 6:21 12:21	15:24 16:8 17:7	23:8 27:15 28:7	69:20 77:17 110:1
14:4 37:25 43:20	17:24 33:14 35:21	30:12 33:12,24	told 26:16 31:25
57:4,5,9,18 58:7	36:11 44:23 55:20	36:11 37:2 39:22	59:14 64:17 75:19
60:2 65:23 73:2	80:23 84:8 90:25	40:13,14 41:5	76:22 86:21 87:9

[told - uncertain] Page 26

07.10.00.10	4 7 60 24	4 4 40 1	4 416 1 20 0
87:12 90:18	trailers 60:24	treatment 40:1	truthful 38:9
100:23 101:2,2,12	train 61:13	85:23 86:19 97:16	try 61:13 67:2
113:4,17	training 61:5	trees 72:16	74:18 105:25
tomorrow 30:2	trains 71:5	tremendously	114:12,13
48:8 83:5 113:8	transcript 116:6,9	38:6	trying 18:18 22:10
tonight 25:15	117:8 118:4,5	trial 6:8 12:15	36:5,5 37:17
top 71:12	transfer 75:20	13:17 14:6 17:19	56:22 80:3,5
tortures 69:21	transferal 19:23	17:25 19:14 22:16	90:15 98:25
total 1:6 3:3 5:3	transport 62:3	30:11 37:10,13,18	102:11
43:23 61:24 64:18	63:3	39:24 40:18 46:7	ttm 63:4
64:24,25 65:3	transportation 1:6	51:5,6,18 56:14	tuesday 45:8
71:10 74:5,13	3:3 5:4 43:23	90:4 110:9 113:2	turn 97:3
79:6,6,10,12,16,19	61:25 64:18,25	114:6,19	turning 50:13
88:4 103:7,25	65:1,3 71:11 74:5	trial's 18:1	tv 45:20
104:3,10,20,21,21	79:7,10,16,19	trials 114:9	twice 69:9
105:3,6,11,14,23	107:13	tried 25:2 30:15	two 12:3 17:9 24:4
107:12	transportation's	31:19 85:20	24:7,16 25:17,17
total's 104:3	74:13 79:13	trip 20:12,20,23	26:5 59:12 64:23
totally 40:2 49:7	trapped 25:11	20:24 21:3 22:3	65:10,16,21,22
81:3 82:4 83:2	trauma 52:20	62:17 87:5,16,19	70:8 71:5,5,8
104:12	traumatic 7:14 8:3	88:19 89:2 99:21	72:10,15 73:22
tower 3:14	8:14 11:7,9,12	truck 24:3,5 50:7	77:4 88:23 90:11
toyota 65:25 73:10	14:18 16:13 27:6	59:1,18 60:22	94:16 108:7
74:11	27:9 29:22 31:16	61:1,6,12 70:19,22	type 21:13 53:18
track 81:15	52:3,7 54:13	71:7,19,20 72:8	92:9 93:7
tractor 52:10	57:10 81:12 95:10	73:8,25 74:5 88:2	types 54:14,20
58:11 60:24 71:11	95:16,23 96:18	88:22 91:3 100:11	typewriting 116:8
71:17 72:3 73:24	traumatically 96:2	100:14,15	u
74:1,13 108:24	96:3	trucker 89:9,10	u.s. 1:7,7,8 3:3
traffic 60:9,25	traumatized 51:19	trucking 61:11,18	24:23 43:24,24,25
70:10 76:10 99:19	traveling 26:5	89:8 101:22	78:9 79:5,8,12,14
99:19,21,21 100:4	52:10	104:16	79:15,25 80:3
100:5 101:24	treat 86:13	trucks 61:9,23	103:9,9,10 104:6
107:20	treated 95:7,17	71:8 73:22	103.9,9,10 104.0
tragedies 92:19	103:16,17 106:18	true 13:22 28:23	104.11,19 103.2,3
tragedy 24:25	106:19	83:12 90:8 92:5	ultimately 34:17
52:21 55:14 65:6	treaters 96:5	110:14 116:8	77:5
trailer 52:10 58:11	treating 6:17 7:3	118:5,7	unavailable 13:5
71:11,17 72:3	10:19,21,24 11:3,4	truly 75:22	
73:24 74:13,14	11:6,16,24 53:1	truth 53:1 80:7	uncaring 86:4 uncertain 50:15
108:24	56:1 95:7,19	82:16	
	,		

[unconscionable - woke]

Page 27

unconscionable	varnedoe 2:11	vs 1:5	114:9,10
76:6	vast 15:25	W	week 29:4 30:11
unconscious 72:18	vehicle 65:22 72:6		31:20 69:9,9
90:22	72:23 74:10,19	w 79:24 wait 58:25 59:25	weekend 5:15
understand 18:18	107:21,22		weeks 13:14 26:6
41:11 52:1 80:10	vehicles 65:12	84:15,15,23 90:7	weighted 28:1
101:10	70:7	waited 12:14,21	53:19 54:1
undertakes 18:18	velocity 58:12	waiting 88:6,22	weinberg 3:7
undisputed 55:19	vendor 105:9	wakes 50:4 81:5	went 8:13 13:5
89:5	verdict 82:15	want 15:13 19:7	23:24 38:5 88:3
unfair 14:3 39:22	83:12 113:20	23:3,19 25:15	88:23 94:7
108:5	114:22	33:7,11 36:13	west 60:12 65:9
unfortunate 30:4	veritext 117:11,11	37:25 45:2 48:15	98:11
39:16 105:17	117:12,15,17	48:21 56:16,20	whatsoever 68:21
unfortunately	118:1,4,6,11	57:19 80:10,16,17	wheeler 3:7 76:3
76:8,12 77:4	veritext.com.	86:18,18,23 93:23	white 55:9 72:24
union 42:25	118:10	98:7,15 99:3 101:16 106:18	wichita 25:9
university 53:17	version 82:5	101:16 106:18	wicked 75:22
65:10	versus 5:3 18:16	wanted 28:25 29:1	wife 107:18
unlimited 58:23	vertebrae 51:13		wild 106:11
unsuccessful	video 55:6 84:8	32:2 40:10,11,24 41:7 45:12 47:22	willful 99:9
106:2,3	90:10 106:15	77:2 109:1 112:4	willing 97:3
unwilling 57:13,13	videos 19:24 20:11	wanting 61:22	window 56:9
uploaded 75:14	20:17 89:23	wanting 01.22 wanton 99:9	74:20
uploading 24:16	113:10	wants 98:16	winnebago 108:24
24:20 75:10	videotape 91:1	warning 46:13	109:3,6
uploads 24:12	view 21:12 30:13	watch 113:25	wishes 101:6,9
upset 73:4	39:15	watching 75:5,6	witness 19:7 35:10
usage 21:13,14	views 39:20	76:4	35:11 37:12,13
41:10	violated 66:25	way 13:15 18:16	78:24 84:5 89:17
use 21:7	violates 62:7	19:20 28:2 34:8	89:17 106:11
usual 117:17	violation 30:6	39:15,20 68:21	118:8
v	violations 62:5	74:18 78:13 81:23	witnessed 75:2
v 1:6 5:3	violent 52:9 58:8	wayne 1:10 3:3	witnesses 7:8 14:3
vacation 13:5	violently 52:6	44:7 62:22 75:24	15:8,10 38:19,21
34:22 87:5,7	71:18 72:12,15	76:9 79:24 102:23	71:1,2,9 73:13
vacations 98:10	visible 70:16	107:11	84:6,24,25,25
valid 19:3	vocational 36:23	we've 13:25 22:1,4	90:19 96:5 113:9
value 82:23	vocationally 81:19	22:5,6 36:6,7,8	wobbly 50:15 51:1
values 38:5	voir 44:14 85:8	37:3 38:13,23	woke 73:8
	92:23	42:20 86:19 109:8	
		.2.25 53.17 107.0	

[woman - zoloft] Page 28

83:7 women 69:8 70:10 70:18 76:23,25 78:2 83:1 won 104:10 wonder 58:18 wonderful 50:15 83:7 106:17 wondering 46:22 103:5 woody's 69:10,16 word 73:5 97:21 112:7 works 34:7 work 17:7 35:21 36:1 77:2 82:22 87:7,10 working 19:10 77:12,13 100:21 works 23:22 world 50:11,13,15 50:16,25 51:1 worn 45:3 worried 45:23 81:16 worse 36:19 wounds 51:9,10 wreck 25:4 48:23 50:5 57:21 58:23 59:13,16 70:11 76:11,13,17 82:10 91:7 102:5,6 107:19,24 108:9 108:20,23 109:9 109:14,15,22 wrecks 58:6 108:7 wrestles 74:17 write 81:14 woither for a size of 49:1 97:23 whyd.com 3:10 3:10,11,11 x x 4:1 104:6,19 105:16 xpress 1:7,8,8 3:3 3:18,19,19 24:24 43:24,25,25 78:10 79:6,8,12,14,15,25 80:3 103:9,10,10 104:11 105:2,4,4,8 107:14 y y'all 107:6 109:2 yeah 47:2 year 78:8 years 62:18 63:9 64:4 90:11 94:16 95:18 yesterday 5:21 7:24 8:12,22 9:13 11:10 13:16 21:2 25:19 28:23 29:8 36:3,15 37:6 39:14 41:10 47:20 89:18 65:17 91:7 102:5,6 107:19,24 108:9 108:10 younger 61:22	woman 78:17 83:3	wrong 64:9 78:18	Z
women 69:8 70:10 70:18 76:23,25 78:2 83:1 won 104:10 wonder 58:18 wonderful 50:15 83:7 106:17 wondy's 69:10,16 word 73:5 97:21 112:7 words 34:7 work 17:7 35:21 36:1 77:2 82:22 87:7,10 working 19:10 77:12,13 100:21 works 23:22 world 50:11,13,15 50:16,25 51:1 worn 45:3 worried 45:23 81:16 worse 36:19 wounds 51:9,10 wreck 25:4 48:23 50:5 57:21 58:23 59:13,16 70:11 76:11,13,17 82:10 91:7 102:5,6 107:19,24 108:9 108:20,23 109:9 109:14,15,22 wrecks 58:6 108:7 wrestles 74:17 write 81:14 won 104:10 3:10,11,11 x wwhyd.com 3:10 3:10,11,11 x x wwhgd.com 3:10 3:10,11,11 x x whyd.com 3:10,11,11 x yunges 1:7,8,8 3:3 3:18,19,19 24:24 43:24,25,25 78:10 79:6,8,12,14,15,25 80:3 103:9,10,10 104:11 105:2,4,4,8 107:14 yy y'all 107:6 109:2 yeah 47:2 year 78:8 years 62:18 63:9 64:4 90:11 94:16 95:18 yesterday 5:21 7:24 8:12,22 9:13 11:10 13:16 21:2 25:19 28:23 29:8 36:3,15 37:6 39:14 41:10 47:20 89:18 95:8 young 2:18 65:17 65:21 69:8 70:10 70:18 76:23,25 78:1,16 83:1,2,7 98:16 106:1,17 108:10 younger 61:22	83:7	82:17 90:2,7	zoloft 81:2
78:2 83:1 won 104:10 wonder 58:18 wonderful 50:15 83:7 106:17 wondering 46:22 103:5 woody's 69:10,16 word 73:5 97:21 112:7 words 34:7 works 17:7 35:21 36:1 77:2 82:22 87:7,10 working 19:10 77:12,13 100:21 works 23:22 world 50:11,13,15 50:16,25 51:1 worn 45:3 worried 45:23 81:16 worse 36:19 wounds 51:9,10 wreck 25:4 48:23 50:5 57:21 58:23 59:13,16 70:11 76:11,13,17 82:10 91:7 102:5,6 107:19,24 108:9 108:20,23 109:9 109:14,15,22 wrecks 58:6 108:7 wrestles 74:17 write 81:14 wwhgd.com 3:10 3:10,11,11 x x 4:1 104:6,19 105:16 xpress 1:7,8,8 3:3 3:18,19,19 24:24 43:24,25,25 78:10 79:6,8,12,14,15,25 80:3 103:9,10,10 104:11 105:2,4,4,8 107:14 y y'all 107:6 109:2 yeah 47:2 year 78:8 years 62:18 63:9 64:4 90:11 94:16 95:18 yesterday 5:21 7:24 8:12,22 9:13 11:10 13:16 21:2 25:19 28:23 29:8 36:3,15 37:6 36:19 65:21 69:8 70:10 70:18 76:23,25 78:1,16 83:1,2,7 98:16 106:1,17 108:10 younger 61:22			
won 104:10 wonder 58:18 wonderful 50:15 83:7 106:17 x wondering 46:22 103:5 x woody's 69:10,16 word 73:5 97:21 112:7 works 34:7 work 17:7 35:21 36:1 77:2 82:22 80:3 103:9,10,10 36:1 77:2 82:22 77:10 y'all 107:6 109:2 works 23:22 year 78:8 world 50:16,25 51:1 y'all 107:6 109:2 works 23:22 year 78:8 world 50:11,13,15 50:16,25 51:1 years 62:18 63:9 64:4 90:11 94:16 95:18 years 62:18 63:9 65:12 9:13 16 70:11 76:11,13,17 82:10 89:18 95:8 yeig 91:7 102:	70:18 76:23,25	49:1 97:23	
wonder 58:18 x wonderful 50:15 x 83:7 106:17 x wondering 46:22 x 103:5 x woody's 69:10,16 xpress 1:7,8,8 3:3 word 73:5 97:21 3:18,19,19 24:24 43:24,25,25 78:10 79:6,8,12,14,15,25 79:6,8,12,14,15,25 80:3 103:9,10,10 104:11 105:2,4,4,8 107:14 working 19:10 y'all 107:6 109:2 77:12,13 100:21 yeah 47:2 works 23:22 yeah 47:2 world 50:11,13,15 50:16,25 51:1 years 62:18 63:9 64:4 90:11 94:16 95:18 worried 45:23 yesterday 5:21 81:16 7:24 8:12,22 9:13 worse 36:19 yesterday 5:21 workek 25:4 48:23 36:3,15 37:6 59:13,16 70:11 76:11,13,17 82:10 91:7 102:5,6 39:14 41:10 47:20 109:14,15,22 young 2:18 65:17 65:21 69:8 70:10 70:18 76:23,25 78:1,16 83:1,2,7 98:16 106:1,17 108:10 younger 61:22	78:2 83:1	wwhgd.com 3:10	
wonderful 50:15 83:7 106:17 wondering 46:22 103:5 woody's 69:10,16 word 73:5 97:21 112:7 words 34:7 work 17:7 35:21 36:1 77:2 82:22 87:7,10 working 19:10 77:12,13 100:21 works 23:22 world 50:11,13,15 50:16,25 51:1 worn 45:3 worried 45:23 81:16 worse 36:19 wounds 51:9,10 workek 25:4 48:23 50:5 57:21 58:23 59:13,16 70:11 76:11,13,17 82:10 91:7 102:5,6 107:19,24 108:9 108:20,23 109:9 109:14,15,22 wrecks 58:6 108:7 wrestles 74:17 write 81:14 x 4:1 104:6,19 105:16 xpress 1:7,8,8 3:3 3:18,19,19 24:24 43:24,25,25 78:10 79:6,8,12,14,15,25 80:3 103:9,10,10 104:11 105:2,4,4,8 107:14 y y'all 107:6 109:2 yeah 47:2 year 78:8 years 62:18 63:9 64:4 90:11 94:16 95:18 yesterday 5:21 7:24 8:12,22 9:13 11:10 13:16 21:2 25:19 28:23 29:8 young 2:18 65:17 65:21 69:8 70:10 70:18 76:23,25 78:1,16 83:1,2,7 98:16 106:1,17 108:10 younger 61:22	won 104:10	3:10,11,11	
S3:7 106:17 wondering 46:22 103:5 woody's 69:10,16 word 73:5 97:21 112:7 words 34:7 work 17:7 35:21 36:1 77:2 82:22 87:7,10 working 19:10 77:12,13 100:21 works 23:22 world 50:11,13,15 50:16,25 51:1 worn 45:3 worried 45:23 81:16 worse 36:19 wounds 51:9,10 wreck 25:4 48:23 50:5 57:21 58:23 59:13,16 70:11 76:11,13,17 82:10 91:7 102:5,6 107:19,24 108:9 108:20,23 109:9 109:14,15,22 wretks 58:6 108:7 wrestles 74:17 write 81:14	wonder 58:18	X	
83:7 106:17 wondering 46:22 103:5 woody's 69:10,16 word 73:5 97:21 112:7 words 34:7 work 17:7 35:21 36:1 77:2 82:22 87:7,10 working 19:10 77:12,13 100:21 works 23:22 world 50:11,13,15 50:16,25 51:1 worn 45:3 worried 45:23 81:16 worse 36:19 wounds 51:9,10 wreck 25:4 48:23 50:5 57:21 58:23 59:13,16 70:11 76:11,13,17 82:10 91:7 102:5,6 107:19,24 108:9 108:20,23 109:9 109:14,15,22 wrecks 58:6 108:7 wrestles 74:17 write 81:14		x 4:1 104:6.19	
wondering 46:22 103:5 woody's 69:10,16 word 73:5 97:21 112:7 words 34:7 work 17:7 35:21 36:1 77:2 82:22 87:7,10 working 19:10 77:12,13 100:21 works 23:22 world 50:11,13,15 50:16,25 51:1 worn 45:3 worried 45:23 81:16 worse 36:19 wounds 51:9,10 wreck 25:4 48:23 50:5 57:21 58:23 59:13,16 70:11 76:11,13,17 82:10 91:7 102:5,6 107:19,24 108:9 108:20,23 109:9 109:14,15,22 wrecks 58:6 108:7 wrestles 74:17 write 81:14 xpress 1:7,8,8 3:3 3:18,19,19 24:24 43:24,25,25 78:10 79:6,8,12,14,15,25 80:3 103:9,10,10 104:11 105:2,4,4,8 107:14 y y'all 107:6 109:2 yeah 47:2 years 62:18 63:9 64:4 90:11 94:16 95:18 yesterday 5:21 7:24 8:12,22 9:13 11:10 13:16 21:2 25:19 28:23 29:8 36:3,15 37:6 39:14 41:10 47:20 89:18 95:8 young 2:18 65:17 65:21 69:8 70:10 70:18 76:23,25 78:1,16 83:1,2,7 98:16 106:1,17 108:10 younger 61:22			
woody's 69:10,16 word 73:5 97:21 112:7 words 34:7 work 17:7 35:21 36:1 77:2 82:22 87:7,10 working 19:10 77:12,13 100:21 works 23:22 world 50:11,13,15 50:16,25 51:1 worn 45:3 worried 45:23 81:16 worse 36:19 wounds 51:9,10 wreck 25:4 48:23 50:5 57:21 58:23 59:13,16 70:11 76:11,13,17 82:10 91:7 102:5,6 107:19,24 108:9 108:20,23 109:9 109:14,15,22 wrecks 58:6 108:7 wrestles 74:17 write 81:14			
woody's 69:10,16 43:24,25,25 78:10 word 73:5 97:21 79:6,8,12,14,15,25 112:7 80:3 103:9,10,10 work 17:7 35:21 104:11 105:2,44,8 36:1 77:2 82:22 79'all 107:6 109:2 87:7,10 y'all 107:6 109:2 works 23:22 yeah 47:2 works 23:22 year 78:8 world 50:11,13,15 50:16,25 51:1 worn 45:3 yesterday 5:21 81:16 7:24 8:12,22 9:13 worse 36:19 yesterday 5:21 wounds 51:9,10 25:19 28:23 29:8 wreck 25:4 48:23 36:3,15 37:6 50:5 57:21 58:23 39:14 41:10 47:20 59:13,16 70:11 89:18 95:8 76:11,13,17 82:10 91:7 102:5,6 107:19,24 108:9 70:18 76:23,25 108:20,23 109:9 70:18 76:23,25 109:14,15,22 98:16 106:1,17 wrestles 74:17 younger 61:22		-	
word 73:5 97:21 112:7 words 34:7 work 17:7 35:21 36:1 77:2 82:22 87:7,10 working 19:10 77:12,13 100:21 works 23:22 world 50:11,13,15 50:16,25 51:1 worn 45:3 worried 45:23 81:16 worse 36:19 wounds 51:9,10 wreck 25:4 48:23 50:5 57:21 58:23 59:13,16 70:11 76:11,13,17 82:10 91:7 102:5,6 107:19,24 108:9 108:20,23 109:9 109:14,15,22 wrecks 58:6 108:7 wretle 81:14 79:6,8,12,14,15,25 80:3 103:9,10,10 104:11 105:2,4,4,8 107:14 y y'all 107:6 109:2 yeah 47:2 year 78:8 years 62:18 63:9 64:4 90:11 94:16 95:18 yesterday 5:21 7:24 8:12,22 9:13 11:10 13:16 21:2 25:19 28:23 29:8 36:3,15 37:6 39:14 41:10 47:20 89:18 95:8 young 2:18 65:17 65:21 69:8 70:10 70:18 76:23,25 78:1,16 83:1,2,7 108:10 younger 61:22	,	· · ·	
words 34:7 work 17:7 35:21 36:1 77:2 82:22 87:7,10 working 19:10 77:12,13 100:21 works 23:22 world 50:11,13,15 50:16,25 51:1 worn 45:3 worried 45:23 81:16 worse 36:19 wounds 51:9,10 wreck 25:4 48:23 50:5 57:21 58:23 59:13,16 70:11 76:11,13,17 82:10 91:7 102:5,6 107:19,24 108:9 108:20,23 109:9 109:14,15,22 wrecks 58:6 108:7 wrette 81:14		· · ·	
words 34:7 work 17:7 35:21 36:1 77:2 82:22 87:7,10 working 19:10 77:12,13 100:21 works 23:22 world 50:11,13,15 50:16,25 51:1 worn 45:3 worried 45:23 81:16 worse 36:19 wounds 51:9,10 wreck 25:4 48:23 50:5 57:21 58:23 59:13,16 70:11 76:11,13,17 82:10 91:7 102:5,6 107:19,24 108:9 108:20,23 109:9 109:14,15,22 wrecks 58:6 108:7 wrette 81:14			
work 17:7 35:21		, , ,	
working 19:10 77:12,13 100:21 yeah 47:2 works 23:22 year 78:8 world 50:11,13,15 50:16,25 51:1 50:16,25 51:1 worn 45:3 years 62:18 63:9 64:4 90:11 94:16 95:18 worried 45:23 yesterday 5:21 81:16 7:24 8:12,22 9:13 11:10 13:16 21:2 wounds 51:9,10 25:19 28:23 29:8 wreck 25:4 48:23 36:3,15 37:6 50:5 57:21 58:23 39:14 41:10 47:20 89:18 95:8 young 2:18 65:17 91:7 102:5,6 65:21 69:8 70:10 107:19,24 108:9 70:18 76:23,25 109:14,15,22 78:1,16 83:1,2,7 wrecks 58:6 108:7 wrestles 74:17 write 81:14			
working 19:10 77:12,13 100:21 works 23:22 world 50:11,13,15 50:16,25 51:1 worn 45:3 worried 45:23 81:16 worse 36:19 wounds 51:9,10 wreck 25:4 48:23 59:13,16 70:11 76:11,13,17 82:10 91:7 102:5,6 107:19,24 108:9 108:20,23 109:9 109:14,15,22 wrete 81:14 y'all 107:6 109:2 yeah 47:2 year 78:8 years 62:18 63:9 64:4 90:11 94:16 95:18 yesterday 5:21 7:24 8:12,22 9:13 11:10 13:16 21:2 25:19 28:23 29:8 36:3,15 37:6 39:14 41:10 47:20 89:18 95:8 young 2:18 65:17 65:21 69:8 70:10 70:18 76:23,25 78:1,16 83:1,2,7 98:16 106:1,17 108:10 younger 61:22		v	
77:12,13 100:21 works 23:22 world 50:11,13,15 50:16,25 51:1 worn 45:3 worried 45:23 81:16 vorse 36:19 wounds 51:9,10 wreck 25:4 48:23 50:5 57:21 58:23 59:13,16 70:11 76:11,13,17 82:10 91:7 102:5,6 107:19,24 108:9 108:20,23 109:9 109:14,15,22 wrecks 58:6 108:7 wrete 81:14 yeah 47:2 year 78:8 years 62:18 63:9 64:4 90:11 94:16 95:18 yesterday 5:21 7:24 8:12,22 9:13 11:10 13:16 21:2 25:19 28:23 29:8 36:3,15 37:6 39:14 41:10 47:20 89:18 95:8 young 2:18 65:17 65:21 69:8 70:10 70:18 76:23,25 78:1,16 83:1,2,7 98:16 106:1,17 108:10 younger 61:22	·		-
works 23:22 year 78:8 world 50:11,13,15 50:16,25 51:1 years 62:18 63:9 worn 45:3 yesterday 5:21 81:16 7:24 8:12,22 9:13 worse 36:19 11:10 13:16 21:2 wounds 51:9,10 25:19 28:23 29:8 wreck 25:4 48:23 36:3,15 37:6 50:5 57:21 58:23 39:14 41:10 47:20 59:13,16 70:11 89:18 95:8 young 2:18 65:17 65:21 69:8 70:10 70:18 76:23,25 108:20,23 109:9 78:1,16 83:1,2,7 109:14,15,22 98:16 106:1,17 wrecks 58:6 108:7 98:16 106:1,17 wrestles 74:17 younger 61:22		•	
world 50:11,13,15 years 62:18 63:9 50:16,25 51:1 64:4 90:11 94:16 worn 45:3 95:18 worried 45:23 yesterday 5:21 81:16 7:24 8:12,22 9:13 worse 36:19 11:10 13:16 21:2 wounds 51:9,10 25:19 28:23 29:8 wreck 25:4 48:23 36:3,15 37:6 50:5 57:21 58:23 39:14 41:10 47:20 89:18 95:8 young 2:18 65:17 91:7 102:5,6 65:21 69:8 70:10 107:19,24 108:9 70:18 76:23,25 108:20,23 109:9 78:1,16 83:1,2,7 109:14,15,22 98:16 106:1,17 wrecks 58:6 108:7 wrestles 74:17 write 81:14	<u>'</u>	•	
50:16,25 51:1 worn 45:3 worried 45:23 81:16 worse 36:19 wounds 51:9,10 wreck 25:4 48:23 50:5 57:21 58:23 59:13,16 70:11 76:11,13,17 82:10 91:7 102:5,6 107:19,24 108:9 108:20,23 109:9 109:14,15,22 wretks 58:6 108:7 write 81:14 64:4 90:11 94:16 95:18 yesterday 5:21 7:24 8:12,22 9:13 11:10 13:16 21:2 25:19 28:23 29:8 36:3,15 37:6 39:14 41:10 47:20 89:18 95:8 young 2:18 65:17 65:21 69:8 70:10 70:18 76:23,25 78:1,16 83:1,2,7 98:16 106:1,17 108:10 younger 61:22		•	
worn 45:3 95:18 worried 45:23 yesterday 5:21 81:16 7:24 8:12,22 9:13 worse 36:19 11:10 13:16 21:2 wounds 51:9,10 25:19 28:23 29:8 wreck 25:4 48:23 36:3,15 37:6 50:5 57:21 58:23 39:14 41:10 47:20 89:18 95:8 young 2:18 65:17 91:7 102:5,6 65:21 69:8 70:10 107:19,24 108:9 70:18 76:23,25 108:20,23 109:9 78:1,16 83:1,2,7 109:14,15,22 98:16 106:1,17 wrestles 74:17 younger 61:22 younger		•	
worried 45:23 yesterday 5:21 81:16 7:24 8:12,22 9:13 worse 36:19 11:10 13:16 21:2 wounds 51:9,10 25:19 28:23 29:8 wreck 25:4 48:23 36:3,15 37:6 50:5 57:21 58:23 39:14 41:10 47:20 89:18 95:8 young 2:18 65:17 91:7 102:5,6 65:21 69:8 70:10 107:19,24 108:9 70:18 76:23,25 108:20,23 109:9 78:1,16 83:1,2,7 109:14,15,22 98:16 106:1,17 wrecks 58:6 108:7 wrestles 74:17 write 81:14	<u>'</u>		
81:16 worse 36:19 wounds 51:9,10 wreck 25:4 48:23 59:13,16 70:11 76:11,13,17 82:10 91:7 102:5,6 107:19,24 108:9 108:20,23 109:9 109:14,15,22 wretk 81:14 7:24 8:12,22 9:13 11:10 13:16 21:2 25:19 28:23 29:8 36:3,15 37:6 39:14 41:10 47:20 89:18 95:8 young 2:18 65:17 65:21 69:8 70:10 70:18 76:23,25 78:1,16 83:1,2,7 98:16 106:1,17 108:10 younger 61:22			
worse 36:19 11:10 13:16 21:2 wounds 51:9,10 25:19 28:23 29:8 wreck 25:4 48:23 36:3,15 37:6 50:5 57:21 58:23 39:14 41:10 47:20 59:13,16 70:11 89:18 95:8 76:11,13,17 82:10 young 2:18 65:17 91:7 102:5,6 65:21 69:8 70:10 107:19,24 108:9 70:18 76:23,25 108:20,23 109:9 78:1,16 83:1,2,7 109:14,15,22 98:16 106:1,17 wrecks 58:6 108:7 108:10 wrestles 74:17 younger 61:22		•	
wounds 51:9,10 25:19 28:23 29:8 wreck 25:4 48:23 36:3,15 37:6 50:5 57:21 58:23 39:14 41:10 47:20 59:13,16 70:11 89:18 95:8 76:11,13,17 82:10 young 2:18 65:17 91:7 102:5,6 65:21 69:8 70:10 107:19,24 108:9 70:18 76:23,25 108:20,23 109:9 78:1,16 83:1,2,7 109:14,15,22 98:16 106:1,17 wrecks 58:6 108:7 108:10 wrestles 74:17 younger 61:22	0 - 1 - 0	'	
wreck 25:4 48:23 36:3,15 37:6 50:5 57:21 58:23 39:14 41:10 47:20 59:13,16 70:11 89:18 95:8 76:11,13,17 82:10 young 2:18 65:17 91:7 102:5,6 65:21 69:8 70:10 107:19,24 108:9 70:18 76:23,25 108:20,23 109:9 78:1,16 83:1,2,7 109:14,15,22 98:16 106:1,17 wrecks 58:6 108:7 wrestles 74:17 write 81:14			
50:5 57:21 58:23 39:14 41:10 47:20 59:13,16 70:11 89:18 95:8 76:11,13,17 82:10 young 2:18 65:17 91:7 102:5,6 65:21 69:8 70:10 107:19,24 108:9 70:18 76:23,25 108:20,23 109:9 78:1,16 83:1,2,7 109:14,15,22 98:16 106:1,17 wrecks 58:6 108:7 108:10 write 81:14 younger 61:22			
59:13,16 70:11 76:11,13,17 82:10 91:7 102:5,6 107:19,24 108:9 108:20,23 109:9 109:14,15,22 wrecks 58:6 108:7 wrestles 74:17 write 81:14 89:18 95:8 young 2:18 65:17 65:21 69:8 70:10 70:18 76:23,25 78:1,16 83:1,2,7 98:16 106:1,17 108:10 younger 61:22		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
76:11,13,17 82:10 91:7 102:5,6 107:19,24 108:9 108:20,23 109:9 109:14,15,22 wrecks 58:6 108:7 wretles 74:17 write 81:14 young 2:18 65:17 65:21 69:8 70:10 70:18 76:23,25 78:1,16 83:1,2,7 98:16 106:1,17 108:10 younger 61:22			
91:7 102:5,6 107:19,24 108:9 108:20,23 109:9 109:14,15,22 wrecks 58:6 108:7 wrestles 74:17 write 81:14 65:21 69:8 70:10 70:18 76:23,25 78:1,16 83:1,2,7 98:16 106:1,17 108:10 younger 61:22	<u>'</u>		
107:19,24 108:9 70:18 76:23,25 108:20,23 109:9 78:1,16 83:1,2,7 109:14,15,22 98:16 106:1,17 wrecks 58:6 108:7 108:10 write 81:14 younger 61:22	, ,	• •	
108:20,23 109:9 78:1,16 83:1,2,7 109:14,15,22 98:16 106:1,17 wrecks 58:6 108:7 108:10 wrestles 74:17 younger 61:22 write 81:14 81:14	*	70:18 76:23,25	
109:14,15,22 98:16 106:1,17 wrecks 58:6 108:7 wrestles 74:17 write 81:14 98:16 106:1,17 108:10 younger 61:22	,	78:1,16 83:1,2,7	
wrecks 58:6 108:7 108:10 wrestles 74:17 younger 61:22 write 81:14		98:16 106:1,17	
wrestles 74:17 younger 61:22 write 81:14		108:10	
		younger 61:22	
Written 0:3	written 6:3		

Georgia Code

Title 9, Chapter 11

Article 5, Section 9-11-30

(e) Review by witness; changes; signing.

If requested by the deponent or a party before completion of the deposition, the deponent shall have 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which to review the transcript or recording and, if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement reciting such changes and the reasons given by the deponent for making them. The officer shall indicate in the certificate prescribed by paragraph (1) of subsection (f) of this Code section whether any review was requested and, if so, shall append any changes made by the deponent during the period allowed. If the deposition is not reviewed and signed by the witness within 30 days of its submission to him or her, the officer shall sign it and state on the record that the deposition was not reviewed and signed by the deponent within 30 days. The deposition may then be used as fully as though signed unless, on a motion to suppress under paragraph (4) of subsection (d) of Code

Section 9-11-32, the court holds that the reasons given for the refusal to sign require rejection of the deposition in whole or in part.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF SEPTEMBER 1,

2016. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE STATE RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.